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PROPOSAL FOR DESIGNATION OF NEOTYPE STRAINS OF LEPTOTRICHIA BUCCALIS AND BACTERIONEMA MATRUCHOTII

To: Judicial Commission
International Committee on Bacteriological Nomenclature of the
International Association of Microbiological Societies
Attention: Dr. R. E. Buchanan, Chairman

As noted in the accompanying reprint*, for many years there has been considerable confusion in the nomenclature of certain Gram-positive, rod-shaped or filamentous bacteria isolated from the oral cavity. As a result, two entirely different organisms have been included in the genera Leptothrix and Leptotrichia. To clarify this confusion the following proposal is offered.

1. Robin's name, Leptothrix buccalis Robin 1853, was invalid. (see Buchanan, Jour. Bacteriol. 3:301-306, 1918.)

2. Trevisan's proposal of the genus Leptotrichia (1879), with L. buccalis Trevisan as the type species, for certain of the filamentous forms of the oral cavity was both useful and valid. However, he failed adequately to characterize the genus, and gave no description of the type species.

A. It must be assumed that his type species had characteristics which conformed to those given for the genus. (See 6A below.)

3. Trevisan's inclusion of Leptothrix buccalis Robin and Lebert as a synonym of his Leptotrichia buccalis was an error.

A. The reference given was incorrect, since the name Leptothrix buccalis was first used by Robin alone in 1853.

B. Trevisan's definition of the genus Leptotrichia did not correlate with Robin's description of Leptothrix buccalis.

4. DeToni and Trevisan's later removal of Leptotrichia buccalis Trevisan to the new genus Rasmussenia as R. buccalis was illegitimate.

A. A new genus cannot contain the type species of a previously described genus which was legitimate.

5. DeToni and Trevisan were in error in using Leptothrix buccalis Robin and Lebert as a synonym of Rasmussenia buccalis.

A. The name Leptothrix buccalis was first used by Robin alone in 1853, not 1847.

B. The DeToni and Trevisan description of Rasmussenia buccalis does not correlate with that given by Robin for Leptothrix buccalis.

6. It must be assumed that DeToni and Trevisan's definition of Rasmussenia buccalis applied to Trevisan's earlier Leptotrichia buccalis for which no description was given.

A. This definition, though inadequate, correlates more closely with his earlier generic definition of Leptotrichia than does that of Robin for Leptothrix buccalis.

7. Opinion 13 of the Judicial Commission is hereby revised by the adoption of Leptotrichia buccalis Trevisan 1879 as the type species of the conserved genus Leptotrichia rather than Leptotrichia buccalis (Robin) Trevisan.

8. Culture No. 14201 of the American Type Culture Collection is recognized and approved as a neotype culture of Leptotrichia buccalis Trevisan.
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A. To date no other culture has been designated as a
type of neotype strain. This strain conforms to the
description of the venus Leptotrichia Trevisan 1879
and to some extent to that of Rasmussenia buccalis
DeToni and Trevisan 1889.

B. This culture also conforms to the description of the
organisms studied adequately by Thjøtta et al. (1939)
for which they proposed the name Leptotrichia
buccalis Trevisan 1879.

9. Culture No. 14266 of the American Type Culture Collec-
tion is recognized and approved as a neotype culture of
Bacterionema matruchotii (Mendel, 1919), comb. nov.,
Gilmour, Howell, and Bibby, 1961, the type species of
the new genus Bacterionema.

A. This culture correlates with the description of the
organism studied and described by Kligler, 1915, as
Leptothrix buccalis.
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