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SUMMARY

Eleven mutants resistant to a toxic analogue of asparagine, aspartic hydroxamate, have been isolated; they are allelic and map at the ahrA locus. These mutations result in low or non-detectable asparaginase activity. ahrA mutations are recessive for asparaginase activity and aspartic hydroxamate resistance. The ahrA locus is in linkage group VIII and is loosely linked with abaA, palB, uZ9 and chaA. Asparaginase activity was measured by the formation of aspartic hydroxamate from asparagine and hydroxylamine. The $K_m$ values of asparaginase for asparagine and hydroxylamine are 0.6 and 8.3 mM respectively. Minimum asparaginase activity is present in cells grown on ammonium or glutamine. Maximum asparaginase activity is present in wild-type cells grown on ammonium and then held in nitrogen-free medium for 3 h. Derepression from this ammonium repression requires protein synthesis. A number of different types of ammonium-repressed and of ammonium-derepressed mutants have abnormal regulation of asparaginase activity.

INTRODUCTION

L-Asparaginase (L-asparagine amidohydrolase EC. 3.5.1.1) has been extensively investigated since it was found that asparaginase from certain bacteria and fungi including Aspergillus has anti-tumour activity (Kidd, 1953; Mashburn & Wriston, 1963, 1964; Capizzi, Bertino & Handschumacher, 1970; De-Angeli et al., 1970). Although a wealth of information has accumulated about the biochemistry and immunology of asparaginase, very little is known about its genetics and regulation. The present study deals with certain aspects of the genetics of asparaginase and its regulation in the simple eukaryote Aspergillus nidulans. Although the normal function of asparaginase is to deaminate asparagine, producing aspartate and ammonium, it can also catalyse the conversion of aspartic hydroxamate to asparagine and hydroxylamine, and vice versa (DeGroot & Lichtenstein, 1960a, b; Ehrman, Cedar & Schwartz, 1971). Aspartic hydroxamate is extremely toxic for many microorganisms, due to the production of hydroxylamine by the catalytic action of asparaginase. Our studies reveal that mutations in the locus ahrA lead to resistance to aspartic hydroxamate and partial or complete loss of asparaginase activity.

Ammonium represses a number of systems in A. nidulans (for review, see Pateman & Kinghorn, 1976). These include nitrate reductase (Pateman & Cove, 1967), hypoxanthine dehydrogenase (Scaccizichio & Darlington, 1968), extracellular protease (Cohen, 1972), acetamidase and formamidase (Hynes, 1970; Hynes & Pateman, 1970), glutamate uptake (Kinghorn & Pateman, 1972; Pateman, Kinghorn & Dunn, 1974) and urea uptake (Dunn & Pateman, 1972). Evidence is presented that ammonium also controls asparaginase activity and that this control involves protein synthesis.
METHODS

Chemicals. All chemicals were obtained from commercial sources. DL-Aspartic acid-β-hydroxamate (aspartic hydroxamate) was obtained from Sigma and was kept at 4°C. The nitrogen sources used were kept as sterile 1 M stock solutions at 4°C and used in growth tests at a final concentration of 10 mM.

Media. Complete medium (CM) and minimal medium (MM) used for genetic analysis were those described by Pontecorvo et al. (1953). Nitrogen-less minimal medium (−N medium) used for growth tests was that described by Cove (1966).

Strains and genetic analysis. The genetic techniques employed were those described by Pontecorvo et al. (1953) and McCully & Forbes (1965). The strains used were as follows: biAl, a translocation-free biotin auxotroph (Glasgow no. 051) as wild type; MSE master strain (Glasgow no. 95) for use in haploidization (McCully & Forbes, 1965); meaA8, a methylammonium-resistant, ammonium-derepressed mutant (Arst & Cove, 1969; Arst & Page, 1973); DER-3, an ammonium-derepressed mutant (Pateman et al., 1973; Kinghorn & Pateman, 1973); tamA*119, a partially ammonium-repressed mutant (Kinghorn & Pateman, 1975); tamA*50, a completely repressed mutant that grows only on ammonium as nitrogen source (Pateman & Kinghorn, 1975, 1976); tamA*r, an ammonium-derepressed mutant (Pateman & Kinghorn, 1975, 1976); niaD17 (Glasgow no. 0126), a mutant lacking nitrate reductase (Pateman & Cove, 1967); and nirA1 (Glasgow no. 0128), a mutant lacking nitrate reductase and nitrite reductase (Pateman & Cove, 1967). A more comprehensive discussion of the characteristics of the ammonium control mutants is given by Pateman & Kinghorn (1976). For details of other markers and the Aspergillus nidulans linkage map see Clutterbuck (1974) and Clutterbuck & Cove (1974).

Isolation of mutants. Wild-type cells do not grow on −N medium plus 0.3 mM-aspartic hydroxamate plus 10 mM-nitrate or on −N medium plus 0.1 mM-aspartic hydroxamate plus 10 mM-alanine as nitrogen source. Resistant (on aspartic hydroxamate) mutants were isolated by plating N-methyl-N'-nitro-N-nitrosoguanidine (NTG)-treated biAl wild-type conidia (Adelberg, Mandel & Chen, 1965) on −N medium supplemented with 10 mM-aspartic hydroxamate plus 10 mM-alanine or alanine as nitrogen source and aspartic hydroxamate. Growing colonies were purified by streaking on complete medium and re-tested on −N medium plus 10 mM-nitrate plus various concentrations of aspartic hydroxamate. Strongly-resistant mutants were isolated on 0.3 to 1.5 mM-aspartic hydroxamate, while weakly-resistant mutants were isolated on 0.3 to 0.5 mM-aspartic hydroxamate.

Growth of mycelium and extract preparation for asparaginase assays. Cells were grown on −N medium supplemented with the desired nitrogen source in shaken flask cultures at 30°C, essentially as described by Cove (1966). The mycelium was harvested through a cheese cloth, washed with distilled water, pressed dry using high-dry paper towels, and weighed. For maximum asparaginase activity the mycelium was grown on 10 mM-ammonium for 17 h and transferred to nitrogen-free conditions (−N medium) for 3 h. The mycelium was extracted by grinding 0.5 g of pressed dry mycelium in a cold mortar containing 5 ml 0.5 M-Tris/HCl buffer (pH 7.2) plus acid-washed sand. The extract was centrifuged at 20000 rev. min⁻¹ for 20 min in a Beckman Ultracentrifuge at about 4°C. The supernatant was kept cold in ice and used for the enzyme assays.

Asparaginase assays. The reaction mixture was as follows: 1.5 ml 20 mM-Tris/HCl buffer (pH 8); 0.2 ml 100 mM stock asparagine solution (final concentration 10 mM); 0.2 ml 1 M stock hydroxylamine solution (final concentration 100 mM); and 0.1 ml cell extract; total volume 2 ml. The reaction mixture was incubated in a water bath at 37°C. After 30 min
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0.5 ml ferric chloride reagent [10% (w/v) FeCl₃ plus 5% (w/v) trichloroacetic acid in 0.66 M HCl] was added. The reaction between the aspartic hydroxamate produced and FeCl₃ yielded a brown coloration whose absorption at 500 nm was measured in a Pye Unicam SP1800 spectrophotometer. The enzyme activity was expressed as nmol substrate formed min⁻¹ (mg protein)⁻¹. This aspartyl transferase activity of asparaginase was correlated with the ammonium production determined by nesslerization (Imada et al., 1973) and aspartic acid production estimated quantitatively by paper chromatography (unpublished).

Protein determination. All protein determinations were carried out by the procedure of Lowry et al. (1951).

RESULTS

Toxicity of aspartic hydroxamate on various nitrogen sources

The wild type was sensitive at concentrations greater than 0.3 mM-aspartic hydroxamate with urea, proline or nitrate as the sole nitrogen source. It was sensitive at concentrations greater than 0.5 mM-aspartic hydroxamate with glutamine as sole nitrogen source. With alanine, aspartate or glutamate as the nitrogen source, aspartic hydroxamate was toxic at even the lowest concentration used, 0.1 mM. In contrast, ammonium protected against any concentration of the toxic analogue; the wild type grew even on 10 mM-aspartic hydroxamate in the presence of ammonium. Asparagine also protected against the toxicity of aspartic hydroxamate at concentrations up to 3 mM.

Isolation and resistance properties of ahrA mutants

Eleven mutants designated ahrA (aspartic hydroxamate resistance) were isolated on the basis of resistance to the toxic analogue. The mutant ahrA₃ was characterized as weakly resistant because it could not grow on concentrations of aspartic hydroxamate greater than 0.5 mM or 0.3 mM if the nitrogen source was nitrate or alanine respectively. All the other mutants were characterized as strongly-resistant because of their ability to grow on high concentrations of aspartic hydroxamate. The mutant ahrA₂ was resistant at any concentration of aspartic hydroxamate tested; ahrA₁ was not resistant at concentrations greater than 5 mM (Fig. 1). The resistance of the mutants was reduced if aspartate, glutamate or alanine was used as nitrogen source (Figs 1 and 2). The ahrA mutants were not resistant to hydroxylamine, showing that they are defective in the production rather than the metabolism of hydroxylamine. ahrA mutations are recessive with respect to resistance to the wild-type allele in heterozygous diploids since the ahrA₁/ahrA⁺, ahrA₂/ahrA⁺, ahrA₃/ahrA⁺, ahrA₄/ahrA⁺ diploids were sensitive to aspartic hydroxamate (Figs 1 and 2). The heterozygous diploid ahrA₂/ahrA₃ was resistant on aspartic hydroxamate with a phenotype intermediate between those of the haploid strains since it was resistant at 1 mM and sensitive at 1.5 mM-aspartic hydroxamate.

Growth properties of ahrA mutants

ahrA mutants grew like the wild type with ammonium, aspartate, asparagine, glutamate or glutamine as the sole nitrogen source and aspartate, asparagine, glutamate or glutamine as the sole carbon source.

Genetic characterization of the ahrA mutants

The haploidization of the diploids ahrA₁/MSE, ahrA₂/MSE, ahrA₃/MSE and ahrA₄/MSE revealed linkage with the marker riboB of the MSE strain, indicating that the ahrA gene is located in linkage group VIII. All the ahrA mutants were crossed to each other and no
Fig. 1. Difference in aspartic hydroxamate (AH) resistance between *ahrA* and *ahrA* mutants. Nitrogen-free medium was supplemented with (a) 10 mM-nitrate plus 5 mM-AH, (b) 10 mM-nitrate plus 10 mM-AH, (c) 10 mM-alanine plus 5 mM-AH, (d) 10 mM-alanine plus 10 mM-AH. Strains were tested in duplicate: top right, *ahrA*; centre right, *ahrAz*; other (sensitive) strains were heterozygous diploids of the *ahrA* mutants and the wild type. The *ahrA* mutant grew poorly on nitrate plus 10 mM-AH and was sensitive on alanine plus 5 or 10 mM-AH.

Fig. 2. Reduced resistance of the *ahrA* mutants with alanine, glutamate or aspartate. Nitrogen-free medium was supplemented with 3 mM-aspartic hydroxamate plus (a) 10 mM-nitrate; (b) 10 mM-alanine, (c) 10 mM-aspartate, (d) 10 mM-glutamate. Mutants were arranged on the plates as in Fig. 1.
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Table 1. Asparaginase activity of wild-type and ahrA haploid and heterozygous diploid strains

Cells were grown for 17 h on -N medium supplemented with 10 mM-ammonium and then transferred to -N medium for 3 h.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strains</th>
<th>Asparaginase activity [nmol min⁻¹ (mg protein)⁻¹]</th>
<th>Percentage of maximum activity in wild type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ahrA⁺</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ahrA⁺</td>
<td>ahrA⁺</td>
<td>1320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ahrA1</td>
<td>&lt; 5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ahrA1</td>
<td>ahrA⁺</td>
<td>640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ahrA2</td>
<td>&lt; 5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ahrA2</td>
<td>ahrA⁺</td>
<td>630</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ahrA3</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ahrA3</td>
<td>ahrA⁺</td>
<td>990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ahrA4</td>
<td>&lt; 5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ahrA4</td>
<td>ahrA⁺</td>
<td>690</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ahrA2</td>
<td>ahrA3</td>
<td>230</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Wild-type asparaginase activity with various nitrogen sources

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nitrogen source (10 mM)</th>
<th>Asparaginase activity [nmol min⁻¹ (mg protein)⁻¹]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alanine</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammonium</td>
<td>&lt; 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspartate</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asparagine</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glutamate</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glutamine</td>
<td>&lt; 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrate</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrite</td>
<td>&lt; 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urea</td>
<td>173</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Recombinants were obtained in approximately 500 progeny from each cross. The mutants ahrA1, ahrA2 and ahrA3 did not complement each other in heterozygous diploids. The ahrA locus recombined freely with the markers fw, facB, riboB, niaD and nirA; it is 23.3 ± 2.9 cM from abaA and 13.4 ± 2.3 cM from palB on linkage group VIII.

Asparaginase activity

The strongly-resistant ahrA mutants had no detectable asparaginase activity. The weakly resistant ahrA3 had about 35% of the haploid wild-type activity (Table 1). The heterozygous diploids of the mutants ahrA1, ahrA2, ahrA4 with the wild type possessed about 50% of the enzyme activity of the homozygous wild-type diploid. The heterozygous diploid of the weakly-resistant ahrA3 with the wild type had about 80% of the wild-type diploid activity. The heterozygous diploid between the strongly-resistant ahrA2 and the weakly-resistant ahrA3 had about 15% of the enzyme activity of the diploid wild-type.

Regulation of asparaginase

Asparaginase activity in wild-type cells with various nitrogen sources is shown in Table 2. Cells grown on ammonium as the sole nitrogen source had undetectable enzyme activity. Cells grown on ammonium and transferred to nitrogen-free conditions for 3 h had the maximum asparaginase activity. Activity was low or undetectable in cells grown with...
asparagine, urea, glutamine, nitrite or nitrate as the sole nitrogen source. Enzyme activity was increased in cells grown on these nitrogen sources and transferred to nitrogen-free conditions.

The simplest hypothesis to explain these results and the suppression of aspartic hydroxamate toxicity by ammonium is that asparaginase activity is regulated by ammonium repression. Nitrogen starvation results in ammonium derepression and consequent high enzyme activity.

**Ammonium repression**

Some aspects of the kinetics of ammonium derepression were investigated. Cells grown on ammonium were transferred to nitrogen-free conditions and their asparaginase activity was determined (Fig. 3). Asparaginase activity could be detected approximately 30 min after transfer and increased to a maximum at 3 h. After 3 h the activity decreased. The addition of cycloheximide at the time of transfer (when derepression starts) prevented any increase in enzyme activity, but cycloheximide added to the medium at 3 h (maximum activity) had less effect on activity. Addition of ammonium at 3 h (maximum activity) resulted in rapid loss of activity (Fig. 3). When cycloheximide was added at the same time as ammonium, the effect was the same as if ammonium had not been added at all. Nitrate had the same effect as ammonium, but to a lesser extent, and was similarly inhibited by cycloheximide. These results strongly suggest that protein synthesis is necessary for ammonium repression to take place. Ammonium does not inhibit asparaginase activity in vitro (Drainas, unpublished results).

The ammonium-derepressed mutants meaA8, DER-3 and tamA41 were not protected by ammonium against the toxicity of aspartic hydroxamate. Furthermore, these mutants had asparaginase activity when grown with ammonium. The partially ammonium-repressed mutant tamA419 and the completely ammonium-repressed tamA50 had low and undetectable asparaginase activities respectively.
Affinity of asparaginase for asparagine and hydroxylamine

The $K_m$ values of asparaginase for asparagine and hydroxylamine were determined as 0.6 and 8.3 mM respectively. The $K_m$ values of asparaginase were calculated in extracts from the heterozygous diploids $ahrA1/+, ahrA2/+, ahrA3/+$, the weakly-resistant mutant $ahrA3$ and the partially ammonium-repressed mutant $tam^{r119}$. No differences from the wild-type values were found.

DISCUSSION

Aspartic hydroxamate is apparently toxic for *Aspergillus nidulans* under certain conditions. The actual toxic agent is not aspartic hydroxamate itself but the hydroxylamine produced by asparaginase activity when this enzyme is present. The $ahrA$ mutants are resistant to aspartic hydroxamate but not to hydroxylamine.

The levels of asparaginase activity show very clear-cut gene dosage effects in various haploids and diploids (Table I). The haploid $ahrA^+$ and the homozygous diploid $ahrA^+/ahrA^+$ show similar enzyme levels. The heterozygous diploid $ahrA1ahrA^+$ has about 50% of the enzyme activity of the homozygous wild-type $ahrA^+/ahrA^+$. The diploid $ahrA2ahrA3$ has about 50% of the enzyme activity of the haploid $ahrA3$. Clutterbuck (1968) has shown that gene dosage is the same in haploids and diploids in *A. nidulans*, since haploids have about twice as many nuclei per cell as diploids. Therefore the simplest explanation of our results is that gene expression under derepression is limiting with consequent strict gene dosage effects in the various diploids.

All the resistant mutants tested were shown to be allelic and map at the $ahrA$ locus on linkage group VIII. This is likely to be the structural gene locus for an asparaginase activity since all known asparaginase minus mutants map in this gene. There is a spectrum of resistance depending on the nitrogen source and on the concentration of aspartic hydroxamate. Moreover, there is a direct correlation between aspartic hydroxamate resistance and asparaginase activity and this is shown by the weakly-resistant $ahrA3$ mutant which has reduced asparaginase activity.

Paradoxically, $ahrA$ mutants grow like the wild type on asparagine as sole nitrogen or carbon source, indicating that asparagine can be utilized by another system, although aspartic hydroxamate-resistant mutations have not been found at the gene specifying such a system. It is unlikely that growth of $ahrA$ mutants with asparagine is due to residual non-enzymic ammonium formation from asparagine, since the ammonium-repressed control mutant $tamA^r50$, which also lacks asparaginase, grows extremely poorly with asparagine as sole nitrogen source indicating that the other system is subject to ammonium repression (Pateman & Kinghorn, 1976).

The involvement of ammonium in the control of asparaginase is confirmed by the levels of asparaginase activities in ammonium-derepressed mutants. The mutants $meaA8$, $der-3$ and $tamA^r2$ are not protected by ammonium against the toxicity of aspartic hydroxamate. Asparaginase activity in the presence of ammonium produces hydroxylamine which inhibits the growth of the above mutants. Moreover, these mutants have low activity in the presence of ammonium. The partially repressed mutant $tamA^r119$ has low asparaginase activity and is resistant to low concentrations of aspartic hydroxamate. Furthermore, the completely repressed mutant $tamA^r50$ is completely repressed for asparaginase activity.

There are two main types of explanation for the role of ammonium in the control of asparaginase activity. First, ammonium inactivates asparaginase *in vivo* and this inactivation, possibly mediated by a protease, needs protein synthesis. Second, ammonium
represses asparaginase synthesis and the requirement for protein synthesis is due to the ammonium-mediated synthesis of one or more control proteins which block the synthesis of asparaginase.
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