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SUMMARY

The rates of ethylene production per unit mass of *Mucor hiemalis* are highest at low specific growth rates. Thus this fungus probably produces more ethylene in the soil, where growth rates are low, than would have been predicted by laboratory batch culture, where growth rates are high. The rate of utilization of energy-yielding substrate by *Mucor hiemalis* increases logarithmically with growth rate. This contrasts with the data obtained for *Penicillium digitatum* and *Aspergillus nidulans*; it is discussed in terms of the success of *Mucor hiemalis* as a primary saprophytic sugar fungus.

INTRODUCTION

Microbial growth rates in the soil are governed partly by the availability of energy-yielding substrates. The formation of microbial products is controlled by both growth rates of the organisms and the availability of the transformation substrates which are used to form specific products. We have reported previously the effects of substrates on the formation of a plant growth regulator, ethylene, in soil and in pure cultures of the common soil fungus, *Mucor hiemalis* (Lynch, 1972; Lynch & Harper, 1974), where glucose is the energy-yielding substrate and methionine is the transformation substrate. At least part of the process of ethylene formation is extracellular (Lynch, 1974a). A problem in extrapolating from laboratory studies to the field is that growth rates of soil micro-organisms in laboratory culture, where there is usually an ample supply of substrates, are much higher than those in the field. Growth rates of filamentous fungi in laboratory flask cultures are often about 0.2 h⁻¹, whereas estimates of microbial growth rates in the soil have ranged from 0.0006 h⁻¹ (Babiuk & Paul, 1970) to 0.04 h⁻¹ (Gray & Williams, 1971). It therefore seemed to be important to control availability of substrate and hence growth rate in laboratory cultures, when predicting the behaviour of *M. hiemalis* in soil.

Pirt (1965) discussed the effect of maintenance energy, i.e. energy required for functions other than the production of new cell material, on the growth yield of bacteria. Studies of maintenance energy requirements have now been extended to the fungi (Righelato, Trinci, Pirt & Peat, 1968; Carter, Bull, Pirt & Rowley, 1971). The true growth yield of a micro-organism, $Y_\alpha$, does not allow for substrate consumption in cell maintenance, whereas the observed growth yield includes this. The metabolic quotient or specific rate of energy substrate utilization, $q$ (g material metabolized/g dry wt organisms/h), can be described as follows:

$$ q = \frac{\mu}{Y_\alpha + m} $$

where $\mu$ is specific growth rate and $m$ is the maintenance coefficient. Hence if $q$ is plotted against $\mu$, a linear relationship of slope $1/Y_\alpha$ and intercept $m$ is predicted. This occurs in the utilization of glucose by *Penicillium chrysogenum* (Righelato et al. 1968) and by *Aspergillus nidulans* (Carter et al. 1971). However, the linear relationship applies for *A. nidulans* only at growth rates below 0.06 h⁻¹.
METHODS

Inoculum of *Mucor hiemalis* ATCC26035, isolated from a heavy clay soil, was prepared as described previously (Lynch & Harper, 1974). Glucose-limited chemostat cultures were grown on mineral salts medium (Lynch & Harper, 1974) with glucose at 9 g/l and DL-methionine at 1 g/l, at 25 °C, pH 6.0, and dissolved oxygen tension always greater than 85 % of air saturation. Ethylene, oxygen, carbon dioxide, methionine and glucose were assayed as described previously but an automatic procedure was employed for gas sampling (Lynch, 1974a). The specific metabolic procedure was employed for gas sampling (Lynch, 1974b). The specific metabolic quotients for glucose and oxygen were calculated according to Carter et al. (1971). Extracellular carbon in the spent medium was estimated by adding with swirling 0.2 M-potassium dichromate (10 ml) in concentrated sulphuric acid (20 ml) to a sample of the medium (2 ml) in a 250 ml conical flask. After cooling for 30 min, de-ionized water (70 ml) was added and the colour of the solution measured spectrophotometrically at 625 nm. This was compared with glucose standards in the range 1 to 5 mg carbon/2 ml. It was assumed that the cellular carbon content of the fungus was 50 % of its dry weight.

RESULTS

*Ethylene production*

There was a great increase in ethylene production per unit weight of organism per unit time as the specific growth rate was decreased below 0.04 h⁻¹ (Fig. 1). The maximum specific growth rate observed in batch cultures was 0.21 h⁻¹, but in continuous cultures the organism washed out at dilution rates in excess of 0.08 h⁻¹. Wash-out at dilution rates substantially below that required to give maximum specific growth rate has been observed previously for moulds (Righelato et al. 1968; Carter et al. 1971).

In the chemostat at a specific growth rate of 0.018 h⁻¹, *M. hiemalis* produced ethylene at a rate per unit mass of organism which is about 1000 times greater than that in sealed shaken flasks. Although reduced oxygen levels are partially responsible for the much lower concentrations of ethylene observed in the flasks (Lynch & Harper, 1974), the high growth rate is probably the major factor responsible.

Increased ethylene formation was the result of an increased conversion of methionine to ethylene and not to an increase in methionine uptake, which was about 90 % irrespective of growth rate.

*Specific rate of glucose utilization*

For *M. hiemalis* where glucose is the energy substrate, the relationship between the utilization of energy substrate and the specific growth rate is not linear but can instead be described by a logarithmic curve which extrapolates at μ = 0 to give m = 0.04 ± 0.01 h⁻¹. This discrepancy from the predicted linear relationship might be caused by a false assumption that m is independent of μ. The substrate utilization curve approximately mirrors that for ethylene production at increasing μ values.

The specific rates of energy utilization by *M. hiemalis* were much higher than those obtained by other workers for *P. chrysogenum* and *A. nidulans* (Table 1). The maintenance energy also appeared to be higher for *M. hiemalis*, but this difference may merely reflect the difficulty in extrapolating the curves to obtain these values and therefore may not be significant.

*Respiration*

The specific rate of oxygen utilization increased with growth rate (Table 2), as has been found previously for moulds, but the values were considerably greater than those observed.
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Fig. 1. Ethylene production and energy substrate (glucose) utilization at different specific growth rates by chemostat cultures of *Mucor hiemalis*. ●, Ethylene; ■, $q_{\text{Gl}}$.

for *P. chrysogenum* and *A. nidulans* at the corresponding growth rates, with a greater differential at higher growth rates. This seems to be consistent with the values of $q_{\text{Gl}}$. The specific rate of carbon dioxide production increased similarly to give respiratory quotients (R.Q.) just above 1; R.Q. increased with growth rate. For *P. chrysogenum*, R.Q. was always just below 1.0 whereas for *A. nidulans* it was always about 1.0; in both cases R.Q. increased with growth rate.

**Carbon balance**

The high specific rate of glucose utilization at high growth rates suggested that the increased carbon utilized per unit mass was secreted as extracellular products. It was shown that there was an increase in extracellular products with growth rate (Table 3), but with increased growth rate progressively less of the carbon utilized could be accounted for. Whilst the analysis of carbon present is accurate to within a few per cent of the total carbon, the procedure used did not include estimation of volatile products. Unfortunately, the samples could not be analysed immediately and had to be deep-frozen and thawed twice before analysis, which would accentuate any loss of volatile carbon products in addition to those lost as a
Table 1. Maintenance energies and specific rates of energy substrate utilization at different specific growth rates of fungi

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fungus</th>
<th>Maintenance coefficient, $m$ (h⁻¹)</th>
<th>$q_{m}$ (g/g dry wt/h)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Penicillium chrysogenum</em></td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>Righelato et al. (1968)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Aspergillus nidulans</em></td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>Carter et al. (1971)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Mucor hiemalis</em></td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>This paper</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Respiration of *Mucor hiemalis* at different specific growth rates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specific growth rate, $\mu$ (h⁻¹)</th>
<th>Specific rate of oxygen utilization (g/g dry wt/h)</th>
<th>Specific rate of carbon dioxide production (g/g dry wt/h)</th>
<th>Respiratory quotient, R.Q.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>2.23</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>3.55</td>
<td>5.65</td>
<td>1.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>6.47</td>
<td>8.95</td>
<td>1.38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Fate of carbon fed to *Mucor hiemalis* at different specific growth rates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specific growth rate, $\mu$ (h⁻¹)</th>
<th>Recovery of carbon (%)</th>
<th>Cellular</th>
<th>CO₂</th>
<th>Extracellular</th>
<th>Unidentified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Carbon feed was glucose (9 g/l), which was all utilized at all growth rates, and DL-methionine (1 g/l), of which 50% was utilized at all growth rates.

The result of the continuous gas flow in the fermentor itself. It therefore seems reasonable to assume that a proportion of the unaccounted for carbon was lost in volatile products. This would be consistent with the observations of others who have shown that the production of volatile fatty acids and ethanol by yeasts, bacteria and fungi increases with growth rate (Maxon & Johnston, 1953; Pirt, 1957; Pirt & Callow, 1960).

For batch cultures in sealed shaken flasks, where the organism grows at its maximum specific growth rate (0.21 h⁻¹) but where oxygen is limiting, 31% of the carbon utilized resulted in cellular material and 14% resulted in carbon dioxide, with 55% unaccounted for, presumably as extracellular products.

Morphology

It is well known that the genus *Mucor* exhibits mould–yeast dimorphism (Bartnicki-Garcia, 1963). It is also known that growth rate influences the morphology of *P. chrysogenum* (Righelato et al. 1968) and a soil bacterium (Luscombe & Gray, 1971). The characteristic growth form of *M. hiemalis* growing in submerged liquid culture (Fig. 2) was observed at all growth rates studied in the chemostat culture and in flask culture. The yeast form of the organism was never observed in chemostat culture although it was occasionally noticed in flask cultures; however the occurrence of the yeast form did not appear to be related to any particular physical or chemical conditions. The organism always grew in a filamentous form in the chemostat, although pellets were sometimes observed in flasks when an inoculum giving less than $10^4$ spores/ml medium was used.
DISCUSSION

The results show clearly that at growth rates which might be expected in soils, ethylene production is likely to be greater than would have been predicted by conventional laboratory batch culture techniques (Lynch, 1972; Lynch & Harper, 1974). They also emphasize the point that growth rate should be considered when extrapolating microbial behaviour in laboratory culture to field situations. Furthermore, it is evident from the work of others (Tempest & Herbert, 1965; Carter & Bull, 1969) that growth rate has a considerable effect on the enzyme activity of fungi, and the present results are a further demonstration of such changes.

*Mucor hiemalis* seems to be important in ethylene production in soil because it is a pioneer colonizer of fresh plant tissue substrates, where it can get substrates for growth and ethylene formation (Lynch, 1972), i.e. it succeeds as a primary saprophytic sugar fungus as described by Garrett (1970). The present results showing that *M. hiemalis* has a high rate of utilization of energy-yielding substrate compared with *P. chrysogenum* and *A. nidulans* may partly explain why it is successful as a primary colonizer. The production of large amounts of extracellular products by *M. hiemalis* might provide substrates for secondary colonizers in soil.
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