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**SUMMARY**

*Mycoplasma dispar* has been shown to produce a capsule which can be visualized by electron microscopy following staining with ruthenium red. The capsule of *M. dispar* possesses no obvious structure and extends for 17 to 24 nm beyond the cytoplasmic membrane. Ruthenium red also stains the capsule of *M. mycoides var. mycoides* so that it can be seen by electron microscopy. Five other bovine mycoplasmas, *M. agalactiae var. bovis*, *M. bovinogenitalium*, *M. bovirhinis*, *Acholeplasma laidlawii* and a T-mycoplasma, and one human mycoplasma, *M. pneumoniae*, were found not to produce a capsule.

**INTRODUCTION**

Springer & Roth (1973) used Luft's (1971) staining procedure with ruthenium red to visualize the capsules of *Diplococcus pneumoniae* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* by electron microscopy. Their observations prompted us to use this technique to determine whether certain bovine mycoplasmas produce capsules. As *Mycoplasma mycoides var. mycoides* is known to produce a capsule apparently composed largely of galactan (Gourlay & Thrower, 1968), this micro-organism was included in our study as a positive control.

Since mycoplasmas do not synthesize a cell wall, the cytoplasmic membrane is usually considered to be that part of the organism in direct contact with the environment. The term capsule is used here to describe the material present outside the mycoplasma membrane.

**METHODS**

*Media.* The T-mycoplasma strain was grown in U2 broth (Howard & Gourlay, 1973*a*). All other mycoplasmas were grown in glucose calf-serum broth (Gourlay & Leach, 1970) in which ampicillin (Beecham Research Laboratories, Brentford), 1 mg/ml, had been substituted for benzylpenicillin (Andrews, Leach, Gourlay & Howard, 1973). All media were filtered (220 nm Millipore filters) before inoculation.

*Mycoplasma strains.* *Mycoplasma dispar* strains F370, Vic12 and G1221 were isolated from pneumatic calf lungs, and purified by filtration of broth cultures (650 nm Millipore filters) and by picking single colonies on three successive occasions (Subcommittee on the Taxonomy of Mycoplasmatales, 1972). *Mycoplasma mycoides var. mycoides* t2 vaccine strain and strain K131 have been described previously (Gourlay & Thrower, 1968). *Mycoplasma bovirhinis* strain 010C was isolated from the eye of a cow with keratoconjunctivitis and purified as for the *M. dispar* strains except that a 450 nm Millipore filter was used. T-mycoplasma strain A417 has been described previously (Howard & Gourlay, 1973*b*). *Acholeplasma laidlawii* strain M1305/68, *M. agalactiae var. bovis* strains NCTC10131 and
m720/70, and *M. bovigenitalium* strains M338/70 and M991/70 were obtained from Dr R. H. Leach (Mycoplasma Reference Laboratory, Colindale, London). *M. pneumoniae* strain NCTC10119 and *M. bovirhinis* strain PG43 (NCTC10118) were obtained from the National Collection of Type Cultures, Colindale, London. *Mycoplasma bovirhinis* strain C155 was isolated originally from a case of pneumo-enteritus and was provided by Dr Carmichael (Langer & Carmichael, 1963). It was purified as for strain 010c.

**Electron microscopy.** Mycoplasma strains were harvested by centrifugation at 15000 g for 30 min. Pellets were suspended in a mixture of equal volumes of 3·6 % (w/v) glutaraldehyde, 0·2 M-cacodylate buffer, pH 6·5, and ruthenium red (1·5 mg/ml H₂O), for 1 h at 4 °C. After three washings with cacodylate buffer the mycoplasmas were post-fixed by adding a mixture of 4 % (w/v) osmium tetroxide, 0·2 M-cacodylate buffer, pH 6·5, and ruthenium red (1·5 mg/ml H₂O), and incubating for 2 h at 20 °C (Springer & Roth, 1973). Samples were dehydrated by suspension in 50 and 75 % (v/v) ethanol, each for 5 min at 20 °C, followed by two washings with absolute ethanol for 30 min at 20 °C. They were next transferred to epoxy propane and embedded in Araldite. Sections 50 to 60 nm thick were cut using glass knives on a Reichert model OMU 2 ultramicrotome, and were examined with a Philips EM 300 microscope using an accelerating voltage of 80 kV.

Samples not stained with ruthenium red were fixed in phosphate buffered glutaraldehyde (Sabatini, Bensch & Barrnett, 1963) for 2 h at 4 °C, washed with phosphate buffer, pH 7·3, for 4 h at 4 °C, post-fixed in osmium fixative (Millonig, 1961) for 1 h at 4 °C, dehydrated and embedded as before, and stained with uranyl acetate followed by lead citrate (Venable & Coggeshall, 1965).

**RESULTS**

*Mycoplasma mycoides* var. *mycoides*. A capsule was seen by electron microscopy in preparations of strain T2 following ruthenium red treatment (Fig. 1). This capsule does not appear to possess any obvious structure and becomes more diffuse as distance from the cytoplasmic membrane increases. In strain T2 the capsule extends about 30 nm from the membrane. No capsular material was seen in strain KH3J.

*Mycoplasma dispar*. Figures 3, 4 and 5 show the result of staining with ruthenium red. All three isolates possess material which extends for 17 to 24 nm outside the membrane. This capsular material stains less intensely than that of *M. mycoides* var. *mycoides*, but as with *M. mycoides* var. *mycoides* no obvious structure is evident and it becomes more diffuse as distance from the membrane increases. When *M. dispar* that had not been treated with ruthenium red was examined by electron microscopy a small amount of material was evident outside the membrane (Gourlay & Leach, 1970). However, this material does not seem to be the same as that seen following ruthenium red treatment as it has different dimensions and staining properties.

*Other mycoplasmas*. None of the other mycoplasmas examined possessed any structure equivalent to the capsule of *M. dispar* and *M. mycoides* var. *mycoides*. When *A. laidlawii* strain M1305/68 was stained with ruthenium red (Fig. 6), no extramembranous material was evident, nor was any observed in *M. bovirhinis* strains PG43, 010c, C155 or T-mycoplasma strain AT17. *Mycoplasma bovirhinis* strains M991/70 and M338/70 (Fig. 7) and *M. agalactiae* var. bovis strains NCTC10131 and M720/70 (Fig. 8) appeared to have some material outside the membrane of about the same dimensions as the membrane. However, they did not possess a definite capsule equivalent to that of *M. dispar*.

*Mycoplasma pneumoniae* strain NCTC10119, examined because of its importance in human disease, did not appear to possess a similar capsule.
Fig. 1. *Mycoplasma mycoides* var. *mycoides* strain 12 stained with ruthenium red. Capsular material (c) is evident outside the membrane (m).

Fig. 2. *Mycoplasma dispar* strain vic12 stained with uranyl acetate. No capsular material is present outside the membrane (m).

Fig. 3. *Mycoplasma dispar* strain Grl221 stained with ruthenium red. Capsular material (c) can be seen outside the membrane (m).

Fig. 4. *Mycoplasma dispar* strain 1370 stained with ruthenium red. Capsular material (c) is evident outside the membrane (m).
Fig. 5. *Mycoplasma dispar* strain vic12 stained with ruthenium red. Capsular material (c) is evident outside the membrane (m).

Fig. 6. *Acholeplasma laidlawii* strain M1305/64 stained with ruthenium red. No capsule is evident.

Fig. 7. *Mycoplasma bovigenitalium* strain M338/70 stained with ruthenium red. No capsule is evident but some amorphous material is present outside the membrane (m).

Fig. 8. *Mycoplasma agalactiae* var. *bovis* strain M720/70 stained with ruthenium red. No capsule is evident but some amorphous material is present outside the membrane (m).
DISCUSSION

*Mycoplasma mycoides* var. *mycoides* is known to produce a capsule (Gourlay & Thrower, 1968), apparently composed of galactan (Buttery & Plackett, 1960); more recently Green & Hanson (1973) demonstrated the presence of a capsule in *M. meleagris*, following precipitation with antiseraum and staining with ruthenium red.

Amongst the bovine mycoplasmas we have studied, both *M. mycoides* var. *mycoides* T2 and all three *M. dispar* strains have been shown to possess capsules that can be visualized by electron microscopy following staining with ruthenium red. *Mycoplasma mycoides* var. *mycoides* strain KH3J, on which no capsular material was observed, is also known to be avirulent and not to produce threads (Gourlay & Thrower, 1968).

No material equivalent to the capsule of *M. mycoides* var. *mycoides* and *M. dispar* was observed on any of the isolates representing *M. bovirhinis*, T-mycoplasmas, *A. laidlawii* and *M. pneumoniae*. However, *M. agalactiae* var. *bovis* and *M. bovigenitalium* stained with ruthenium red can be seen to have some material outside the membrane, but so do many other mycoplasmas, including *M. agalactiae* when examined by electron microscopy without ruthenium red treatment (Domermuth, Nielsen, Freundt & Birch-Andersen, 1964). No structure equivalent to the capsule of *M. mycoides* var. *mycoides* and *M. dispar* was observed in these two species.

The capsule of *M. mycoides* var. *mycoides* strain T2 is not seen by electron microscopy if specimens are not treated with ruthenium red (Gourlay & Thrower, 1968), and although Gourlay & Leach (1970) observed a fringe of amorphous material outside the membrane of *M. dispar* that had not been treated with ruthenium red, the dimensions of this fringe were such as to indicate this material was not identical to the capsule seen following ruthenium red treatment. However, the material seen beyond the plasma membrane of *M. dispar* not treated with ruthenium red could be the inner layer of the capsule seen when the organism is treated with ruthenium red. The outer layers may be less tightly bound and lost during processing unless treated with ruthenium red. Alternatively, the capsular material may only react with ruthenium red and not be stained by the other method involving osmium tetroxide, uranyl acetate and lead citrate. Capsules rendered visible by electron microscopy following ruthenium red treatment do not seem to be a common finding amongst bovine mycoplasmas.

The ultrastructure of the *M. mycoides* var. *mycoides* and the *M. dispar* capsule appear similar. Neither possesses any clear structure and seem to become less dense as distance from the membrane increases. However, the capsular material of *M. mycoides* var. *mycoides* stains more intensely than that of *M. dispar*. The appearance of the capsule of *M. meleagris* (Green & Hanson, 1973) is similar to these other two mycoplasma capsules. The ultrastructure of the mycoplasma capsule is distinct from those of *D. pneumoniae*, where the capsule was found to have a fibrous structure, and *K. pneumoniae* where a spike- or net-like appearance was observed (Springer & Roth, 1973).

Luft (1971) studied the interaction of ruthenium red with various compounds. He stated that ruthenium red reacted most strongly with substances possessing a high negative charge density and a high molecular weight. Ruthenium red was capable of precipitating a large number of polyanions, e.g. phospholipids, acid polysaccharides, mucopolysaccharides, and fatty acids. Since ruthenium red does not react specifically with any group of chemical compounds, definite conclusions on the chemical nature of the *M. dispar* capsule cannot be made.

Many instances are known of capsular material being important in determining the virulence of bacteria (MacLeod, 1958), and the amount of galactan capsule produced by
strains of *M. mycoides* var. *mycoides* may be related to virulence (Gourlay & Thrower, 1968). Although the significance of the capsule of *M. dispar* is unknown it is potentially an important factor enabling strains to evade host defence mechanisms, a property often attributed to capsular material (MacLeod, 1958; Meynell, 1961; Glynn, 1972).
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