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SUMMARY

Gluconic acid-producing bacteria have been isolated in high glucose media from honey bees and from ripening honey. Their morphological and biochemical properties indicate possible relationship with acetic bacteria and certain pseudomonads. At least part of the gluconic acid in honey may arise from the metabolic activity of these bacteria.

INTRODUCTION

Several apparently non-pathogenic bacterial groups have been isolated from bees (Bailey, 1968), some of them being implicated in the normal biochemical transformations occurring in the bee-hives (Pain & Maugenet, 1966; Rodriguez-Navarro & Ruiz-Argüeso, 1970).

We have regularly detected bacteria producing gluconic acid in healthy bees and ripening honey. This paper reports the characterization of these organisms and their relationship to other gluconic acid bacteria.

METHODS

Bees and honey. Bees and honey samples were taken from several apiaries in the Madrid area.

Media and conditions. The medium referred to as ‘basal’ was a broth of yeast extract (Oxoid) 0·6% (w/v). Yeast glucose broth was basal medium with 5% glucose; it was solidified when required with 1·5% agar. Chalk glucose agar was a yeast glucose agar with 1% sterile CACO₃. Incubation was 30 °C unless otherwise specified.

Isolations. Samples of ripening honey, larvae, bees, and bee-intestinal contents were streaked on chalk-glucose agar, either directly or after incubation in yeast glucose broth until the pH value fell below 3. Colonies surrounded by clear zones of dissolved CaCO₃ after 4 or 5 days were transferred to yeast glucose agar slants and purified. Maintenance by subculturing every 2 weeks proved to be necessary.

Counting procedures. Intact intestines and rest of the bee’s body were ground separately in sterile water previous to inoculation. Honey samples were dissolved in sterile water.

The most probable number (m.p.n.) was obtained from quintuplicate tubes of 10 ml basal medium with 10% (w/v) glucose inoculated with dilutions covering a threefold decimal range (0·1, 1·0, and 10 ml) in water. Double strength medium was used for the 10 ml inoculum. M.p.m. were read from probability tables. No significant differences were obtained in m.p.n. when 40% (w/v) sucrose was used for dilutions instead of water. Na Penicillin G (3 μg/ml) was used in some countings.

Culture tubes with a pH of 3 or below after 10 days of incubation were recorded as
positive for counting purposes, since gluconic acid bacteria were always identified in these tubes. These bacteria could not be isolated from tubes with pH values above 3 (recorded as negative), even though microbial growth was occasionally observed.

**Identification.** Procedures recommended by the Society of American Bacteriologists (1957) were essentially followed, except that basal medium was used instead of nutrient broth; pH was adjusted with HCl.

Carbohydrate dissimilation was investigated in basal medium plus 5% filter-sterilized sugar and bromocresol purple as indicator. Hugh & Leifson (1953) test for glucose was also performed.

Ethanol oxidation was tested by the agar plate procedure of Shimwell, Carr & Rhodes (1960), in liquid basal medium with 2% (v/v) ethanol, and in the same medium plus glucose (5%, w/v). Ethanol losses due to evaporation were determined in control flasks without inoculation.

Ketogenic activity from glycerol, mannitol and sorbitol was determined by the agar plate procedure of Shimwell et al. (1960) and also in a basal medium to which 3% glycerol, 5% mannitol or 5% sorbitol was added. Broths were observed for growth and reducing substances with Fehling’s solution after 10 days.

Gluconate oxidation was investigated according to Haynes (1951).

All the foregoing determinations in liquid media were performed at pH values of 4 and 7, with shaken as well as in stationary culture.

Production from D-glucose and D-fructose of substances giving positive reaction with ferric chloride was tested by the method of Asai & Shoda (1958).

Lactate and acetate oxidation tests were performed according to Shimwell et al. (1960) and in liquid basal medium plus 3% potassium lactate or acetate with bromothymol blue as indicator; pH values were adjusted to 6.4 prior to inoculation. After 20 days, broths were observed for growth and acid production.

Oxidase test was performed by Kovacs’s method (1956).

Gelatin hydrolysis was observed on plates of yeast glucose agar with 0.4% gelatin (Frazier, 1926).

Flagella were stained by Leifson’s procedure (Skerman, 1967) for light-microscope examination and by negative staining with phosphotungstic acid for electron microscope preparations.

Acid production from glucose was tested up to 50% (w/v), the maximum allowing growth, in basal liquid medium shaken at 30 °C. Samples were taken at 3, 6 and 10 days and acid was measured according to Association of Official Agricultural Chemists (1970), as specified for honey. Production of acid from glucose at concentration inhibitory to bacterial growth was detected on yeast agar plates containing the required glucose concentration and 2% chalk; a clear zone due to solution of CaCO₃ round the implanted bacterial masses was recorded as positive.

**Chemical analyses.** Glucose and ethanol were determined as described by Neish (1952). Sugar acids were identified by paper chromatography with the following solvent systems (Gordon, Thornburg & Werum, 1962): isobutanol + pyridine + water + glacial acetic acid (12:6:4:1) and isopropanol + pyridine + water + glacial acetic acid (8:8:4:1). Spots on chromatograms were developed with aniline oxalate (Horrocks & Manning, 1949) or ammoniacal silver nitrate (Hulme, 1961).
**Gluconic acid bacteria from honey**

Table 1. *Results of biochemical tests on gluconic acid-producing bacteria*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Acid from glucose</th>
<th>Acid from sucrose</th>
<th>Acid from lactose</th>
<th>Acid from arabinose</th>
<th>Acid from xylose</th>
<th>Catalase</th>
<th>Oxidase</th>
<th>Gelatin hydrolysis</th>
<th>Nitrate reduction</th>
<th>Voges-Proskauer test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acid from glucose</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Litmus milk</td>
<td>Unchanged</td>
<td>Ethanol oxidation</td>
<td>Lactate oxidation</td>
<td>Acetate oxidation</td>
<td>Growth at pH 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Glycerol</td>
<td>Reaction with FeCl&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Ketogenic activity from:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethanol oxidation</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactate oxidation</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetate oxidation</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Growth at pH 3</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reaction with FeCl&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ketogenic activity from:</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mannitol</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sorbitol</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gluconate</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Type II bacteria were positive, Type I bacteria were negative.

Table 2. *Effect of glucose concentration on acid production by honey bacteria*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Glucose concentration (%, w/v)</th>
<th>3 days</th>
<th>6 days</th>
<th>10 days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A, Type I bacteria; B, Type II bacteria. For further details see text.

**RESULTS**

*Morphological and cultural characteristics.* Two different types of gluconic acid-producing bacteria from honey could be distinguished on the bases of morphological and cultural characteristics. Type I bacteria were long bacilli (10 to 20 \(\mu\)m) occurring singly or in pairs, forming white undulate irregular colonies on yeast glucose and flocculent growth with slight pellicle or islets with a completely clear medium in glucose yeast broth. The second type of bacterium encountered (Type II) were spindle rods (2.5 \(\times\) 1 \(\mu\)m), forming white entire circular colonies on yeast glucose agar and homogeneous turbidity in yeast glucose broth. Both types were Gram-negative and had polar flagella. Optimum temperature for growth was 30 °C; no growth occurred above 40 °C; minimum growth temperature was below 15 °C.

*Physiological characteristics.* These are listed in Table 1. The aerobic habit of these organisms and their oxidative utilization of glucose was confirmed by Hugh and Leifson’s method.

Broths inoculated with these bacteria reached pH values below 3 after 5 to 10 days of incubation.

Acid production at different glucose concentrations is recorded in Table 2. No significant decrease in acid production was observed even at 40% and 50% (w/v) sugar, which markedly limited growth. Sugar at 50% and 60% (w/w) did not support growth but allowed acid production in agar media as previously described.

Gluconic acid was the main acid produced in liquid media according to co-chromatography
Table 3. Comparison of honey bacteria with related genera*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Honey bacteria</th>
<th>Gluconobacter</th>
<th>Acetobacter (Acetomonas)</th>
<th>Pseudomonas</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ethanol oxidation</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+ †</td>
<td>− ‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetate oxidation</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetate oxidation</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Growth at pH 4·5</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+ †</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dihydroxyketone from glycerol</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flagellation (if motile)</td>
<td>Polar</td>
<td>Peritrichous</td>
<td>Polar</td>
<td>Polar</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

+ , Positive; ±, positive in some strains; −, negative.

* Data of related genera from Asai et al. (1958), Shinwell et al. (1960), Shimwell & Carr (1960) and De Ley (1960).

† ± in Gluconobacter, + in Acetomonas.

‡ Some strains +, in a buffered medium (Stanier, 1947).

with an authentic sample: 2-ketogluconic acid was barely detected in chromatograms. Neither 5-ketogluconic acid nor volatile acids were found.

Growth with ethanol did not occur either on Shimwell’s agar or in liquid basal medium with 2% (v/v) ethanol at pH 4 or 7. In yeast glucose broth plus ethanol, growth but not ethanol utilization was observed.

The bacteria did not grow in a basal medium with glucose below 2% (w/v).

Incidence of gluconic acid bacteria in the beehive. Over 100 individual bees were examined during the flowering season. Gluconic bacteria appeared as frequently in the bee-intestines as in the rest of the bee’s body, average total count/individual (from m.p.n. values) was $8 \times 10^3$, with extreme values at $4 \times 10^3$ and $5 \times 10^5$. Addition of Na Penicillin G to inhibit growth of Gram-positive intestinal bacteria did not significantly alter results, so it was omitted in further tests.

In ripening honey, samples of increasing density gave counts decreasing from $10^4$ to 0.

No gluconic acid bacteria could be isolated from honey sealed in honey comb or from larvae.

DISCUSSION

The most relevant differential properties of the gluconic acid-producing bacteria from honey and the bacterial groups to which they might belong are presented in Table 3.

It appears that these Gram-negative, polar flagellated bacteria, producing large amounts of gluconic acid from glucose, capable of growth at pH 3 but unable to utilize ethanol, could be included in the subgenus Eugluconobacter of the genus Gluconobacter (Asai, 1935).

This highly controversial genus was initially described by Asai as a subgroup of acetic acid bacteria with scarce or no acetifying capacity. Later Leifson (1954) regrouped the same bacteria according to their flagellation and metabolism and described the genus Acetomonas, that included those gluconobacters that oxidized ethanol (Asai, 1935). Shimwell & Carr (1959) rejected the genus Gluconobacter, considering that the genus included both Acetomonas and Pseudomonas strains.

However, we think that inability to oxidize ethanol should not exclude a bacterial strain from the genus Gluconobacter if it can grow in strongly acid media. Pseudomonas species in contrast are adapted to neutral or slightly alkaline environments (Kluyver & van Niel, 1936; Stanier, 1947). We concur with the opinion of Komagata (1970) that growth at pH 3 rather than 4·5 is more adequate for taxonomic differentiation between Gluconobacter and Pseudomonas.
Gluonic acid bacteria from honey

Thus the honey gluonic bacteria can be included in the genus *Gluconobacter*, as originally defined by Asai (1935), as redefined by Asai & Shoda (1958) and even, in the sense finally proposed by Lott & Carr (1964), restricted to bacteria with properties similar to acetic acid bacteria but unable to acetify ethanol.

Stinson, Subers, Petty & White (1960) established that gluonic was the main acid found in clover honey. Bee-gland enzymes are generally considered responsible for gluconic acid synthesis (Shepartz & Subers, 1964; Louveaux, 1968). However, the high number of gluconic acid bacteria present in honey during the ripening stage and their ability to produce large amounts of gluconic acid at high glucose concentrations and aeration suggests that at least part of the gluconic acid present in honey has a bacterial origin.
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