Entomophthora Conidia in the Air-spora

By N. WILDING
Rothamsted Experimental Station,
Harpenden, Hertfordshire

(Accepted for publication 18 April 1970)

SUMMARY

At Silwood Park, Berkshire, in 1958, the largest concentration of each of five ‘types’ of Entomophthora conidia in the air typically occurred at 05.00 to 07.00 h. and was associated with humid air and sunrise. The smallest concentration occurred between 14.00 and 17.00 h. when the air was usually driest.

INTRODUCTION

Lacey (1962) operated a Hirst automatic volumetric spore trap at Silwood Park, Berkshire, from May to September 1958, in a sheltered site near a stream and in an exposed site, and estimated the daily concentration of pollen grains and fungus spores in the air. She did not publish her figures for Entomophthora conidia but found most at the sheltered site during late June and July (personal communication). I have re-examined in detail Lacey’s spore trap slides that were made during this time at the sheltered site and have classified the Entomophthora conidia into five types, determining the diurnal periodicity of each. I then compared my findings with those of Hamilton (1957, 1959), who used a Hirst spore trap to estimate the aerial concentration of pollen grains, fungus spores and organic and inorganic particles at 2 h. intervals and who attempted to correlate her results with weather records. Hamilton’s studies were done: (1) in 1954 at South Kensington, London, when most Entomophthora conidia were recorded during August and September; (2) in 1954 at Rothamsted, Hertfordshire, a rural site, when conidia were abundant throughout July and August; (3) in 1955 at South Kensington when conidia were most numerous during August and September, as in 1954 (Hamilton, 1957). She found a diurnal periodicity of occurrence of Entomophthora conidia with maxima at 05.00 and 13.00 h. That at 13.00 h. when the air is usually relatively dry, is unexpected since spore discharge occurs only when the relative humidity exceeds 90% (Rockwood, 1950; Wilding, 1969). However, Hamilton counted small numbers of Entomophthora conidia which may have shown peaks that were not significant, or the bimodal periodicity might have been caused by differences between species all grouped together by Hamilton as Entomophthora.

METHODS

On each slide, from 25 June to 24 July, 100 μm. wide short traverses (Hirst, 1953) were scanned at 2 mm. intervals corresponding to each hour. Assuming that Lacey’s trap was 100% efficient, each conidium counted was equivalent to an aerial concentration of 33 conidia/m.³.
Conidia were segregated into one of five types distinguished as follows: *Entomophthora aphidis* type conidia were ovoid with a rounded papillate base. They were 15 to 30 µm. long × 7 to 14 µm. wide, commonly asymmetrical and are included in Lakon's papillata and subpapillata types (Lakon, 1919). *Entomophthora echinospora* type conidia were ovoid, like those of the *E. aphidis* type, but less variable in size, approximately 20 µm. long × 12 µm. wide, usually symmetrical and with a truncate papilla. They are included in Lakon's subpapillata and truncata lageniformis types. *Entomophthora grylli* type were roundly ovoid, about 20 µm. long × 12 µm. wide, usually symmetrical and with a truncate papilla. They are of Lakon's truncata lageniformis type. *Entomophthora muscae* type were helmet-shaped with a broad flat base and pointed apex which could be seen only when the conidium was resting in a certain plane on the trapping medium. They were 15 to 20 µm. long and 14 to 18 µm. wide and form Lakon's truncata campaniformis type. *Entomophthora sphaerosperma* type were cigar-shaped, about 16 µm. long × 7.5 µm. wide, with a broadly papillate base and rounded apex. They fall into Lakon's papillata type.

*Weather.* Hourly records of temperature, humidity, rainfall and sunshine were taken from the meteorological enclosure at Silwood Park, about 400 m. from the trapping site where it was probably more humid (Lacey, 1962). Records of wind speed, wind direction and gustiness were for the Air Ministry Meteorological Office at South Farnborough, 14 km. south-west of Silwood Park.

Table 1. Number of Entomophthora conidia in the air

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Av.</th>
<th>Max.</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Area</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Present results</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>2964</td>
<td>25. vi. 58–</td>
<td>Silwood Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>24. vii. 58</td>
<td>(sheltered site)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lacey</td>
<td>1962</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>25. vi. 58–</td>
<td>Silwood Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>24. vii. 58</td>
<td>(exposed site)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>36</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>14. v. 58–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>25. ix. 58</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>14. v. 58–</td>
<td>Rothamsted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>25. ix. 58</td>
<td>(exposed site)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hamilton</td>
<td>1957</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>560</td>
<td>4. v. 54–</td>
<td>South Kensington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>30. ix. 54</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>19</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>4. v. 54–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>30. ix. 54</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>1. v. 55–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>30. ix. 55</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Based on 100% efficiency of the spore trap.

**RESULTS**

Figure 1 shows the estimated average concentration of Entomophthora conidia/m.³ in the air for each 24 h. period 09.00 to 09.00 h. from June to September 1958, found by Lacey and based on a single longitudinal trace of each slide. Conidia were not caught before 26 June and most were caught from then until 24 July, a relatively warm dry period in an otherwise cool damp year. Conidia were then trapped infrequently at intervals during the rest of the summer. Figure 1 also shows my estimates, from 25 June to 24 July for the same 24 h. periods, which were based on the numbers found in 24
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Fig. 1. Seasonal change in weather and abundance of Entomophthora conidia in the air-spora. Line—based on daily counts; shaded area—based on hourly counts from 25 June to 24 July only.

Fig. 2. Mean diurnal periodicity of different Entomophthora conidial 'types' and the mean variations in temperature and relative humidity. 25 June to 24 July.
Fig. 3(a). Meteorological records and number of *Entomophthora aphidis* 'type' conidia in the air-spore, plotted hourly, from 25 June to 24 July.
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Fig. 3(b). For legend see Fig. 3(a).
transverse traces of the same slides. The daily totals of conidia obtained by the two scanning methods were similar. Table 1 compares my estimates of the average and maximum concentrations of conidia with those of Lacey (1962) and Hamilton (1957).

During the study period, Entomophthora aphidis type conidia, of which more than four times as many were caught as of the other types together, and E. muscae type conidia were the most abundant. All the types exhibited the same diurnal periodicity (Fig. 2).

Conidia were most abundant when the air was cool and humid, typically around dawn (Fig. 2), but also when the relative humidity was high later in the day; for example, between 08.00 and 12.00 h. on 16 July (Fig. 3c, Entomophthora aphidis type). Peak numbers of conidia correspond with unusually prolonged periods of high humidity (Fig. 3).
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**Fig. 4**

Conidia were most numerous between 13 and 15° and were rarely caught below 10° or above 21° (Fig. 4).

Wind speeds were consistently fastest during the day and thus, as humidity was small during the day (Fig. 2), conidia were most numerous when winds were slowest. There was no correlation between conidial numbers and either rain or sunshine. Hamilton, too, found no correlation with sunshine but she found that concentrations were significantly smaller during rainfall.
DISCUSSION

All the recorded types of Entomophthora conidia exhibited a similar diurnal periodicity with a peak at 06.00 h. and with fewest in the air between 14.00 and 17.00 h. Thus, although this agrees with Hamilton's (1959) record of an early morning peak, I find neither evidence of a second peak at 13.00 h. nor any indication that a second peak could be caused by different species exhibiting differences in diurnal periodicity.

The correlation between conidial numbers and humidity observed by Hamilton (1959) and Rockwood (1950) was confirmed and is as expected from results of laboratory experiments (Wilding, 1969). Conidia were caught when the relative humidity, as recorded by the meteorological station, was less than the minimum for conidial discharge in Entomophthora species but the trapping site was probably more humid than the meteorological enclosure; also, the humidity at the sporulating surface is probably more than, though proportional to, that of the surrounding air (Wilding, 1969). Figure 2 shows that the hourly average concentration of *E. aphidis* type conidia remained constant from 01.00 to 04.00 h. and then rose at 06.00 h. to a value four times that at 04.00 h. This peak when humidity is very little more than for the preceding hours may be explained by the influence of light at sunrise on sporulation. Ege (1965) showed that cultures of *E. coronata*, *E. virulenta* and one other Entomophthora species (probably *E. thaxteriana*), on artificial media, discharged from 1·5 to 10 times as many conidia in light as in dark, and Callaghan (1969) showed that, when cultures of *E. coronata* were subjected to a 12:12 h. alternation of light and dark, within an hour of each admission of light, conidial discharge increased to about five times that in the dark.

Airborne conidia were most numerous between 13 and 15°, and the average number of conidia for each hour of the day was inversely correlated with the corresponding temperature. However, in 1955, Hamilton (1959) found most conidia between 18 and 20·5°, and Ege (1965) showed in laboratory experiments that the optimum temperature for conidial discharge by cultures of Entomophthora species on artificial media was 20°. During the study period, temperature and humidity were inversely correlated and the temperature did not exceed 18° when the relative humidity exceeded 85%. But conidial discharge of Entomophthora species occurs only in a near saturated atmosphere (Wilding, 1969), probably irrespective of the temperature. Thus conidia were most abundant below the optimum temperature for their discharge, found by Ege (1965), probably because at that temperature the relative humidity was rarely enough for discharge.

Hamilton (1959) suggested that strong winds decreased the number of insect hosts and consequently the number of Entomophthora conidia, but Hamilton and I probably found fewer conidia in strong winds because humidity was inversely correlated with wind speed.

Studies in England (Hamilton, 1957, 1959; Lacey, 1962) show that the largest numbers of Entomophthora conidia in the air are caught at some time between July and September when insect populations are largest. My observations suggest that, within that period, aerial concentrations of conidia are related to weather, especially the relative humidity. Conidial discharge will increase with increased temperature up to 20° and will be more in light than in dark only when the air remains humid. Near saturation is most usual from 01.00 h. but the greatest aerial concentrations of conidia are typically not reached until sunrise. They then remain abundant until 07.00 h. when the relative humidity falls.
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