Growth-phase dependence of susceptibility to antimicrobial peptides in Staphylococcus aureus
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Bacterial cell surface charge is responsible for susceptibility to cationic antimicrobial peptides. Previously, Staphylococcus aureus dlt and mprF were identified as factors conferring a positive charge upon cell surfaces. In this study, we investigated the regulation of cell surface charge during growth. Using a group of S. aureus MW2 mutants, which are gene-inactivated in 15 types of two-component systems (TCSs), we tested dltC and mprF expression and found that two TCSs, aps and agr, were associated with dltC and mprF expression in a growth phase-dependent manner. The first of these, aps, which had already been identified as a sensor of antimicrobial peptides and a positive regulator of dlt and mprF expression, was expressed strongly in the exponential phase, while its expression was significantly suppressed by agr in the stationary phase, resulting in higher expression of dltC and mprF in the exponential phase and lower expression in the stationary phase. Since both types of expression affected the cell surface charge, the susceptibility to antimicrobial peptides and cationic antibiotics was changed during growth. Furthermore, we found that the ability to sense antimicrobial peptides only functioned in the exponential phase. These results suggest that cell surface charge is tightly regulated during growth in S. aureus.

INTRODUCTION

Staphylococcus aureus is a well-known major pathogen in humans. S. aureus produces many toxins and exoenzymes to cause various suppurative diseases, food poisoning and toxic shock syndrome (Foster, 2004; Lowy, 1998; Manders, 1998). Furthermore, strains isolated clinically, especially meticillin-resistant S. aureus (MRSA), exhibit multiple antibiotic resistance (Deurenberg et al., 2007; Grundmann et al., 2006), resulting in serious problems with regard to therapy of S. aureus infectious disease. Recently, besides these virulence factors, S. aureus has also been found to possess the ability to produce several factors that protect against host-derived innate immune factors, such as complement, antibodies and neutrophils, as well as recently recognized innate immune antimicrobial peptides, such as LL-37 and defensins (Foster, 2005; Rooijakkers et al., 2005).

Human antimicrobial peptides are one of the innate immune factors and are produced in various tissues and organs, such as the skin, lung and intestines (Ganz et al., 1985; Ganz & Lehrer, 1995; Lehrer & Ganz, 1999; Selsted & Ouellette, 2005; Zaiou & Gallo, 2002). The most well-known antimicrobial peptides are the defensins. Defensins are classified into two types: alpha-defensins from neutrophils and Paneth cells, and beta-defensins from epithelial cells (Cunliffe, 2003; Ganz & Lehrer, 1995; Lehrer & Ganz, 1999). Another major peptide is CAP18/LL37, which is found in neutrophils and epithelial cells (Larrick et al., 1995; Ramanathan et al., 2002; Zaiou & Gallo, 2002). These cationic peptides are electrostatically attracted to bacterial cell surfaces. Then, the peptides interact with membrane lipids, causing membrane permeabilization and leading to the formation of pores or gaps in the membrane. Recently, some diseases such as Crohn’s disease (Fellermann et al., 2003a; Wehkamp et al., 2002), atopic dermatitis (Fellermann et al., 2003b; Ong et al., 2002) and Kostmann’s disease (Püttep et al., 2002) have been demonstrated to be associated with increased microbial infection because antimicrobial peptide production is decreased in these patients. Therefore, antimicrobial peptides are believed to be critical for host defence systems.

Previously, we showed that susceptibility to antimicrobial peptides, including beta-defensin-3 and LL37, differs substantially among 497 clinically isolated strains (Ouhara

Abbreviations: CP, chloramphenicol; hBD, human beta-defensin; MRSA, meticillin-resistant S. aureus; TC, tetracycline; TCS, two-component system.
et al., 2008). We also showed that bacterial cell surface charge is one of the factors that affects susceptibility to antimicrobial peptides. To date, in *S. aureus*, three types of mechanism that affect susceptibility to antimicrobial peptides have been identified. These are (1) trapping defenses by binding to staphylolokinase (Braff et al., 2007; Jin et al., 2004), (2) digestion of peptides by proteasine (Sieprawska-Lupa et al., 2004), and (3) changing the bacterial cell surface charge via dlt and mprF (Li et al., 2007a; Peschel et al., 1999, 2001). mprF was first identified as *fntC*, which was shown to affect the metillin-resistance level in MRSA (Komatsuawa et al., 2001). Among the above mechanisms, cell surface charge is considered to play a significant role in determining susceptibility to antimicrobial peptides, because *dlt* or *mprF* inactivation results in a high level of susceptibility to these peptides. Recently, Aps, one of the two-component systems (TCSs), has been shown to sense antimicrobial peptides and regulate the expression of *dlt* and *mprF* (Li et al., 2007a, b).

In this study, we investigated the regulation of *dlt* and *mprF* expression mediated by TCSs during growth in *S. aureus*. Also, we investigated the interaction of *dlt* and *mprF* expression with bacterial surface charge and susceptibility to cationic antibacterial agents.

**METHODS**

**Bacterial strains and growth conditions.** The bacterial strains used in this study are listed in Table 1. *S. aureus* was grown in Trypticase Soy Broth (TSB; Beckton Dickinson Microbiology Systems). Tetracycline (TC; 10 μg ml⁻¹) or chloramphenicol (CP; 10 μg ml⁻¹) for *S. aureus* was added when necessary. Fifteen sets of TCS mutants in *S. aureus* MW2, which had been constructed previously (Matsuo et al., 2010), were used in this study.

**Construction of insertion-inactivated mutants.** In this study, we constructed the *agr*- and *aps*-inactivated mutants in *S. aureus* TY34 by a method described previously (Komatsuawa et al., 2004; Matsuo et al., 2010). We also tried to construct an *agr*-*aps* double mutant in MW2, but this was not successful. For the complementation experiment, we constructed an *agrA*-inactivated mutant by the method described above. Since we had previously constructed the *agr* mutant, which inactivated *agrCA* (Matsuo et al., 2010), we first constructed a plasmid harbouring all of the *agr* genes, although this failed. *agrA*, encoding a response regulator, is the last gene in an operon consisting of four genes (*agrB*, *B*, *C*, and *D*) (Novick, 2003). We checked that the *agrA* mutant showed a phenotype similar to that of the *agrCA* mutant. The DNA fragment of *apsR* or *agrA* amplified with specific primers was cloned into pCL15, an E. coli–*S. aureus* shuttle vector with a PsPac promoter (Luong & Lee, 2006). The plasmid was electroporated into RN4220. Then, the plasmid was transduced to the *agr* or *aps* mutant by phage 80alpha by a method described previously (Komatsuawa et al., 2004). The primers used for the construction of plasmids are listed in Table 2.

**Quantitative analysis of gene expression during *S. aureus* growth.** A small sample of *S. aureus* cultured overnight was inoculated into fresh TSB. Then, *S. aureus* cells were grown at 37 °C with shaking, and bacterial cells in various phases were collected. Total RNA was extracted from bacterial cells with a FastRNA Pro Blue kit (MP Biomedicals) in accordance with the manufacturer’s protocol. One microgram of total RNA was reverse-transcribed to cDNA using a First Strand cDNA Synthesis kit (Roche). Using cDNA as template DNA, quantitative PCR was performed using a LightCycler system (Roche). Primers for *dltC*, *mprF*, *apsR*, and *agrA* were constructed and used to determine the optimal conditions for analysis of their expression. The amount of *gyrA* was used as an internal control. Primers for each TCS and *gyrA* are shown in Table 2.

**Assay to determine the antibacterial activity of antimicrobial peptides.** An antibacterial assay was performed following a protocol described previously (Midorikawa et al., 2003). Briefly, *S. aureus* strains in the appropriate phase were harvested, washed with PBS and resuspended in 10 mM sodium phosphate buffer (PB). The bacterial suspension was diluted to 10⁻¹ to 10⁻⁶ cells ml⁻¹ with PB, and 10 μl of the bacterial suspension (10⁻⁵ to 10⁻⁶ cells) was inoculated into 500 μl PB with or without antimicrobial peptides [human beta-defensin (hBD)1, -2 and -3; Peptide Institute Inc.] and incubated aerobically for 2 h at 37 °C. Dilutions of the reaction mixture (100 μl) were plated on agar medium and incubated at 37 °C overnight. The c.f.u. was determined as the total number of colonies identified on each plate. The antibacterial effect was calculated as the ratio of the number of cells surviving after exposure to antimicrobial peptides (survival rate, %) to the total number of bacteria incubated in a control PB solution.

**Cytochrome c binding assay.** To evaluate the bacterial surface charge, we used a cytochrome c binding assay as described elsewhere (Peschel et al., 1999). Bacterial cells collected in the appropriate phase were adjusted to a concentration of 10⁹ ml⁻¹ in 10 mM PB (pH 6.8), and then cytochrome c (Sigma Aldrich) was added to the bacterial suspension at a final concentration of 250 μg ml⁻¹. After 10 min incubation at room temperature, centrifugation at 15 000 r.p.m. for 5 min was performed, and the supernatant absorbance was measured at 530 nm. The absorbance value obtained compared with that without bacterial cells was calculated as the absorption ratio, reflecting the bacterial surface charge.

**Assay of susceptibility to cationic antibacterial agents.** Positively charged antibacterial agents (gentamicin, nisin, polymyxin B, gramicidin S, indolicidin and vancomycin) were obtained from Sigma Aldrich. Bacterial cells collected in the exponential or stationary phase were suspended in 10 mM PB (pH 6.8) (10⁻⁹ to 10⁻¹⁰ cells 500 μl⁻¹) with or without antibacterial agent. Nisin (final concentration 1 μg ml⁻¹), polymyxin B (1 μg ml⁻¹), gramicidin S (1 μg ml⁻¹), indolicidin (0.1 μg ml⁻¹), gentamicin (4 μg ml⁻¹) and vancomycin (2 μg ml⁻¹) were added to the buffer. After 10 min (gentamicin and vancomycin) or 2 h (nisin, indolicidin, polymyxin and gramicidin S) of incubation at 37 °C, the reaction mixture was diluted and plated on trypticase soy agar (TSA). The number of c.f.u. was counted and used to calculate the proportion of bacteria that survived by comparing with the c.f.u. in the absence of antibacterial agents.

**Analysis of antimicrobial peptide sensing in *S. aureus*.** Bacterial cells collected in the appropriate phase were suspended in 10 mM PB (pH 6.8) at a final concentration of 10⁹ ml⁻¹. Then, hBD1, -2 and -3 at a final concentration of 10 (hBD1) or 2.5 μg ml⁻¹ (hBD2, hBD3) was added to the bacterial suspension, followed by incubation for 30 min at 37 °C. After centrifugation, total RNA was extracted using the method described above. Then, cDNA synthesis and quantitative PCR were performed using the methods described above. To check the viability of the bacterial cells exposed to antimicrobial peptides, the reaction mixtures were diluted and plated on TSA. After 24 h of incubation, the number of c.f.u. was recorded. The antibacterial effect was estimated by determining the rate of survival of cells in comparison with the total number of cells added.
**RESULTS**

**dlt expression in 15 sets of TCS mutants**

Aps/Gra has been reported to be a factor controlling dlt and mprF expression (Kraus et al., 2008; Li et al., 2007a). To determine whether other factors are involved in the regulation of dlt and mprF expression, we investigated the expression of dltC and mprF in 15 sets of TCS mutants in *S. aureus* MW2 in the exponential and stationary phases (Fig. 1). Since the dlt operon is composed of four genes, dltA–D, we investigated dltC expression to determine dlt expression. The results showed that dltC expression was decreased in the aps mutant in the exponential phase compared with that in the wild-type and other mutants, but that the expression in the aps mutant in the stationary phase was similar to that of the other mutants, except for the agr mutant. In the agr mutant, dltC expression in the stationary phase was significantly higher than that of the wild-type and other mutants, but expression in the agr mutant in the exponential phase was similar to that of the others, except for the aps mutant. We also investigated mprF expression in TCS mutants, and obtained results that were similar to those for dltC expression (data not shown).

Table 1. Strains and plasmids used in this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain or plasmid</th>
<th>Gene ID*</th>
<th>Gene name</th>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Reference or source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strains</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MW2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clinical strain, meticillin-resistant (mec +)</td>
<td>Baba et al. (2002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FK61</td>
<td>MW0198-99</td>
<td>Unassigned</td>
<td></td>
<td>Matsuo et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FK62</td>
<td>MW0236-37</td>
<td>ltySR</td>
<td></td>
<td>Matsuo et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FK64</td>
<td>MW0621-22</td>
<td>apsR</td>
<td></td>
<td>Matsuo et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FK65</td>
<td>MW0667-68</td>
<td>saeR</td>
<td></td>
<td>Matsuo et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FK66</td>
<td>MW1208-09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Matsuo et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FK67</td>
<td>MW1304-05</td>
<td>arlR</td>
<td></td>
<td>Matsuo et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FK68</td>
<td>MW1445-46</td>
<td>srrAB</td>
<td></td>
<td>Matsuo et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FK71</td>
<td>MW1789-90</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Matsuo et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FK72</td>
<td>MW1824-25</td>
<td>vraSR</td>
<td></td>
<td>Matsuo et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FK73</td>
<td>MW1962-63</td>
<td>agrCA</td>
<td></td>
<td>Matsuo et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FK74</td>
<td>MW2002-03</td>
<td>kdpDE</td>
<td></td>
<td>Matsuo et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FK75</td>
<td>MW2282-83</td>
<td>hssRS</td>
<td></td>
<td>Matsuo et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FK76</td>
<td>MW2313-14</td>
<td>nreBC</td>
<td></td>
<td>Matsuo et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FK77</td>
<td>MW2544-45</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Matsuo et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MM11</td>
<td>MW1963</td>
<td>agrA</td>
<td></td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MM12</td>
<td>MW0621</td>
<td>apsR</td>
<td></td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MM14</td>
<td>MW1963</td>
<td>agrA</td>
<td></td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TY34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MM15</td>
<td>MW0621-22</td>
<td>apsR</td>
<td></td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MM16</td>
<td>MW1962-63</td>
<td>agrCA</td>
<td></td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RN4220</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Kreiswirth et al. (1983)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TY1355</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TY1741</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TF2890</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TF2988</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TF3483</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasmids</td>
<td>pCL52.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sau et al. (1997)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>pCL515</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Luong &amp; Lee (2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>pMM11</td>
<td>agrA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>pMM12</td>
<td>apsR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>pMM14</td>
<td>agrA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Gene ID in *S. aureus* MW2.
†Tetracycline resistance.
§Chloramphenicol resistance.
§§Staphylococcal scalded skin syndrome.
l|Ampicillin resistance.
In the complementation experiment, we found that the phenotype of the \textit{aps} mutant was restored by complementation with \textit{apsR}, showing the decreased susceptibility to \textit{hBD3} and the increased expression of \textit{dltC} compared with those of the \textit{aps} mutant. Also, the phenotype of the \textit{agrA} mutant was restored by complementation with \textit{agrA} (Table 3). Furthermore, we found that complementation with \textit{agrA} in the \textit{agr} mutant decreased the expression of \textit{aps}, while complementation with \textit{apsR} in the \textit{aps} mutant did not affect the expression of \textit{agr} (data not shown).

Then, we constructed \textit{aps}- and \textit{agr}-inactivated mutants in another MRSA strain, TY34, to determine whether this result was specific to the MW2 strain. We obtained a result similar to that for MW2 (Table 3). The expression of \textit{dltC} in the \textit{aps}-inactivated mutant in the exponential phase was

### Table 2. Primers used in this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene ID*</th>
<th>Gene name</th>
<th>Forward</th>
<th>Reverse</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MW0006</td>
<td>\textit{gyrA}</td>
<td>5'-aag gtg ttc gct taa ttc gc-3'</td>
<td>5'-att gca ttt cct ggt gtt tc-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MW0621</td>
<td>\textit{apsR}</td>
<td>5'-gga tca agt gat gag tga a-3'</td>
<td>5'-aca gca tct tgc caa gtc a-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MM0816</td>
<td>\textit{dltC}</td>
<td>5'-agc aga agt agc aca aaa tg-3'</td>
<td>5'-gcc cac tca tct caa a-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MW1247</td>
<td>\textit{mprF}</td>
<td>5'-tca cag tgg cga cat tct t-3'</td>
<td>5'-tac tgg cgc ttt caa cct ac-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MW1963</td>
<td>\textit{agrA}</td>
<td>5'-gca gta aca ttc gta agc at-3'</td>
<td>5'-cga gtt ctt aat tct gct gga-3'</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Gene ID in \textit{S. aureus} MW2.

![Fig. 1. dltC expression in the MW2 wild-type and its TCS mutants in the early exponential and stationary phases. Cells of MW2 and 15 TCS mutants were collected in the early exponential or stationary phase. \textit{dltC} expression was measured by quantitative PCR, as described in Methods. Data shown represent mean ± SEM of triplicate measurements. *Significant decrease from the \textit{dltC} expression of the wild-type strain MW2 as determined by Dunnett's test, \(P<0.001\). †Significant increase from the \textit{dltC} expression of the wild-type strain MW2 as determined by Dunnett's test, \(P<0.001\).](http://mic.sgmjournals.org)
lower than that of the wild-type, while its expression in the 
*agr*-inactivated mutant in the stationary phase was higher.

**dltC** and **mprF** expression during growth

*S. aureus* MW2 cells in early, mid- and late-exponential 
phases and in the stationary phase were collected (Fig. 2a), 
and then the mRNA expression of **dltC** and **mprF** was 
analysed by quantitative PCR (Fig. 2b, c). The patterns of 
**dltC** and **mprF** expression during growth were quite 
similar, with no significant changes in the expression levels 
of the two genes in the exponential phase but drastic 
decreases in both in the stationary phase. We then inves-
tigated **aps** and **agr** expression during growth (Fig. 2d, e). 
The peak in **aps** expression was in the mid-exponential 
phase; this expression then decreased, especially in the 
stationary phase. In contrast, **agr** expression was quite low 
in the early and mid-exponential phase, and high in the 
stationary phase.

To analyse **dltC** and **mprF** expression in more detail, we 
investigated their expression in both the **aps** mutant and the 
**agr** mutant (Fig. 2b, c). The growth rate of the two 
mutants was similar to that of the wild-type. The **aps** 
mutant exhibited decreased **dltC** and **mprF** expression in 
the exponential phase compared with the wild-type, and 
showed expression levels that were similar to those of the 
wild-type in the stationary phase. The **agr** mutant showed 
similar expression to that of the wild-type in the early to 
mid-exponential phase, and increased levels of expression 
of both **dltC** and **mprF** in the late-exponential phase and, 
especially, in the stationary phase.

Since **aps** and **agr** affected **dlt/mprF** expression during 
growth, we then investigated whether the two TCSs 
affected each other (Fig. 2d, e). In the **aps** mutant, the 
**agr** expression pattern during growth was quite similar to 
that of the wild-type. On the other hand, **aps** expression 
was increased in the **agr** mutant compared with that in the 
wild-type. In particular, the **aps** expression level in the **agr** 
mutant increased in the stationary phase.

**Susceptibility to antimicrobial peptides during growth**

Since we found that the levels of **dltC** and **mprF** expression 
changed during growth, we analysed susceptibility to hBD3 
(0.5 μg ml⁻¹) in the wild-type (MW2 strain), the **aps** 
mutant and the **agr** mutant during growth (Fig. 3a). In the 
wild-type, susceptibility to hBD3 gradually increased dur-
ging growth. In particular, the susceptibility to hBD3 in the 
stationary phase was higher (about half the level of survival 
compared with that in the early exponential phase) than 
that in the early exponential phase. The susceptibility to 
hBD3 of the **aps** mutant showed almost the same level of 
understanding in the same phase that in the 
stationary phase. In contrast, the **agr** mutant showed 
almost the same survival rate as the wild-type in the early, 
mid- and late-exponential phases, while the survival rate in 
the stationary phase was higher than that of the wild-type. 
We also investigated the susceptibility to other beta-
defensins (hBD1 and hBD2) in the early exponential and

### Table 3. Susceptibility to hBD3 and **dltC** expression in the exponential and stationary phases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Survival rate (%) in the presence of hBD3*</th>
<th>dltC expression†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EXP‡</td>
<td>STA§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MW2</td>
<td>Clinical</td>
<td>62.1</td>
<td>35.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FK64</td>
<td>Δaps</td>
<td>28.8</td>
<td>32.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MM11</td>
<td>Δagr</td>
<td>61.9</td>
<td>60.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MM12</td>
<td>apsR/FK64</td>
<td>54.8</td>
<td>51.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MM14</td>
<td>agr/MM14</td>
<td>28.4</td>
<td>29.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RN4220</td>
<td>Laboratory</td>
<td>87.1</td>
<td>49.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TY1355</td>
<td>Clinical</td>
<td>30.3</td>
<td>12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TY1741</td>
<td>Clinical</td>
<td>84.3</td>
<td>53.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TF2890</td>
<td>Clinical</td>
<td>73.7</td>
<td>38.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TF2988</td>
<td>Clinical</td>
<td>82.0</td>
<td>25.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TF3483</td>
<td>Clinical</td>
<td>83.0</td>
<td>54.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TY34</td>
<td>Clinical</td>
<td>52.8</td>
<td>43.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MM15</td>
<td>Δaps</td>
<td>34.2</td>
<td>45.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MM16</td>
<td>Δagr</td>
<td>61.6</td>
<td>69.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Survival rate was estimated as cell viability [c.f.u. (hBD3-treated)/c.f.u. (not treated)] expressed as a percentage. Data shown represent mean values of three independent experiments.
†dltC expression was evaluated as a ratio with respect to gyrA expression in three independent experiments.
‡EXP, exponential phase.
§STA, stationary phase.
stationary phases. The results showed the same trend as that of hBD3, with a high susceptibility in the *aps* mutant in the exponential phase and a low susceptibility in the *agr* mutant in the stationary phase compared with those of the wild-type (Table 4).

**Cytochrome c binding assay**

Bacterial cell surface charge is known to affect susceptibility to cationic antimicrobial peptides such as defensins. To evaluate the cell surface charge, we measured the cytochrome *c* binding affinity of the wild-type and mutant cells during growth (Fig. 3b). Cytochrome *c* is a cationic protein, and shows a high affinity for strongly negatively charged cells (Peschel *et al.*, 1999). In the wild-type strain, the binding affinity in the exponential phase (early, 40.8%; mid, 45.2%) was lower than that in the stationary phase (54.3%), implying that the bacterial cell surface charge in the stationary phase is more negative than that in the exponential phase. The binding affinity of the *aps* mutant in early and mid-exponential phase was significantly higher than that of the wild-type, while the affinity of the two strains was almost the same in the stationary phase because the wild-type exhibited increased binding affinity in the stationary phase. The *agr* mutant in the stationary phase showed a drastically decreased binding affinity compared with the wild-type. In summary, the cell surface charge of the *aps* mutant in the exponential phase was highly negative, and the charge of the *agr* mutant in the stationary phase was significantly lower than that of the wild-type.

**Susceptibility to cationic antibacterial agents during growth**

In the wild-type strain, susceptibility to the cationic antibacterial agents nisin, indolicidin, polymyxin *B*, gramicidin *S*, gentamicin and vancomycin in the exponential phase was lower than that in the stationary phase (Table 4), showing similar results in terms of the susceptibility to antimicrobial peptides. In addition, in the exponential phase.
phase the \textit{aps} mutant showed increased susceptibility to cationic peptides compared with that of the wild-type; however, in the stationary phase, the susceptibility was almost the same in the wild-type and the mutant. The \textit{agr} mutant in the stationary phase showed decreased susceptibility to cationic peptides compared with the wild-type.

\section*{Correlation between susceptibility to antimicrobial peptides and \textit{dlt} expression in clinical isolates}

Seven clinical isolates, including MW2 and TY34, and one laboratory strain were investigated (Table 3). All strains tested showed that susceptibility to hBD3 (0.5 μg ml\(^{-1}\)) in the exponential phase was lower than that in the stationary phase, although the ratio of the susceptibilities between the exponential and stationary phases differed among strains. In addition, in all strains, \textit{dltC} expression in the exponential phase was higher than that in the stationary phase, indicating that susceptibility to hBD3 and \textit{dltC} expression were correlated in all strains, as well as with the results for strain MW2. Strain TY1355, which exhibited a low level of \textit{dlt} expression compared with the other strains, showed high susceptibility to hBD3.

\section*{Sensing antimicrobial peptides during growth}

It has been reported that the \textit{aps} system in \textit{Staphylococcus epidermidis} senses antimicrobial peptides such as hBD3, nisin and histatin (Li \textit{et al.}, 2007b). In \textit{S. aureus}, the \textit{aps} system also senses indolicidin, nisin and melitin and increases the expression of \textit{dlt}, while the expression of \textit{dlt} in the presence of hBD3 is weak compared with that of indolicidin, nisin or melitin (Li \textit{et al.}, 2007a). Since we found that \textit{dltC} expression changed during growth, we hypothesized that the sensing system in \textit{S. aureus} changes during growth. Therefore, we analysed the ability to sense antimicrobial peptides in cells in the exponential and stationary phases, indicating that susceptibility to hBD3 and \textit{dltC} expression were correlated in all strains, as well as with the results for strain MW2. Strain TY1355, which exhibited a low level of \textit{dlt} expression compared with the other strains, showed high susceptibility to hBD3.
Before assaying, we confirmed that 2.5 μg hBD3 ml⁻¹ had no significant effect on cell viability.

We investigated the dltC expression of the wild-type and aps mutant in the exponential and stationary phases (Fig. 4). We consider the difference from the earlier results (Li et al., 2007b) to be due to the phase of the bacterial cells and/or the conditions of the assay (10 mM phosphate buffer in this study, 10 mM phosphate buffer with 100 mM NaCl in the earlier study). We found that hBD3 induced dltC expression of the wild-type in the exponential phase, but found no induction in the aps mutant. Also, we found that dltC expression of both strains in the stationary phase was not induced by hBD3. In addition, we used hBD1 and hBD2 as inducers, and obtained similar results to those for hBD3 (Fig. 4). The aps expression of the wild-type in the exponential phase was also induced by hBD3, but its expression in the stationary phase was not induced (data not shown).

Table 4. Susceptibility to various antibacterial agents in S. aureus TCS mutants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agent</th>
<th>Dose (μg ml⁻¹)</th>
<th>Survival rate (%)†</th>
<th>Exponential phase</th>
<th>Stationary phase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>WT‡</td>
<td>Δaps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hBD1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>37.9</td>
<td>13.3**</td>
<td>42.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hBD2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>56.7</td>
<td>29.6**</td>
<td>57.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nisin</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>38.1</td>
<td>13.1*</td>
<td>27.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indolicidin</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>55.1</td>
<td>15.6*</td>
<td>42.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polymyxin B</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>8.5*</td>
<td>32.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gramicidin S</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>57.4</td>
<td>23.8*</td>
<td>51.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gentamicin</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>43.8</td>
<td>6.7*</td>
<td>40.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vancomycin</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>46.4</td>
<td>23.6*</td>
<td>41.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

†Survival rate was estimated as cell viability [c.f.u. (antibacterial agent-treated)/c.f.u. (not treated)] expressed as a percentage. Data shown represent mean values of three independent experiments.
‡WT, wild-type.
*P<0.05, **P<0.01 (Dunnett’s test). Calculations of statistical significance are for comparison with the corresponding data for the MW2 wild-type.

Fig. 4. Induction of dlt expression by sensing of hBD via aps. MW2 wild-type and aps mutant cells were collected in the early exponential and stationary phases. Cells were suspended in PB to give a concentration of 10⁹ cells ml⁻¹. Concentrations of 10 μg hBD1 ml⁻¹, 2.5 μg hBD2 ml⁻¹ and 2.5 μg hBD3 ml⁻¹ were added separately to bacterial suspensions and incubated for 30 min at 37 °C. Calculations of statistical significance are for comparisons with the corresponding data for MW2. *P<0.01 (Dunnett’s test). Data shown represent mean ± SEM of triplicate measurements. (-), No hBD added.
DISCUSSION

In this study, we initially showed that the charge of the bacterial cell surface in S. aureus changed during growth. Dlt and MprF have been reported to be major contributors to a decreased negative charge of cell surfaces because these factors are associated with the addition of amino acids (dlt for alanine and mprF for lysine) to teichoic acids and phosphatidylglycerol, respectively (Collins et al., 2002; Peschel et al., 1999, 2001). Alanylation of teichoic acids in cell walls and lysyl-phosphatidylglycerol in membranes confer a positive charge upon bacterial cell surfaces, which results in a shift to a weak negative charge on the cell surface (Peschel et al., 1999, 2001). Earlier reports and our present findings demonstrate that aps/gra, a TCS in S. aureus, positively regulates the expression of dlt and mprF (Kraus et al., 2008; Li et al., 2007a). In addition, our new finding is that aps/gra expression is also regulated by agr, which has a central role in the quorum-sensing system (Novick & Geisinger, 2008); this implies that agr also regulates the expression of dlt and mprF by controlling aps. Since agr expression is dependent on cell density (Cheung et al., 2004; Novick, 2003; Novick & Geisinger, 2008), agr expression gradually increases during growth, showing high expression in the stationary phase and low expression in the exponential phase. Our results showed that aps expression during growth decreases gradually in an inverse relationship with the level of agr expression. In addition, aps expression was significantly increased in the agr mutant, especially in the stationary phase. These results indicate that aps is negatively regulated by agr, and is mainly expressed in the exponential phase.

Agr, a quorum-sensing system, is involved in the expression of many factors, including virulence factors mediated by RNAIII. RNAIII has been demonstrated to directly upregulate hla (alpha-haemolysin) (Morfeldt et al., 1995), and downregulate spa (protein A) (Huntzinger et al., 2005) and the transcription factor rot responsible for the repression of toxins (Geisinger et al., 2006). RNAIII binds to the target mRNA directly, resulting in the up- or down-regulation of gene expression. However, the precise mechanism of the expression of other virulence factors mediated by the agr system is still unknown. The mechanism of aps regulation by agr also needs further investigation. Since aps is a positive regulator of dlt and mprF (Kraus et al., 2008; Li et al., 2007a), S. aureus cells in the stationary phase are considered to be highly susceptible to antimicrobial peptides, owing to the strong negative charge of the cell surface caused by decreased dlt and mprF expression through the agr–aps pathway. Moreover, susceptibility to several positively charged agents has also been shown to be growth-dependent. Therefore, we conclude that, in S. aureus, susceptibility to some positively charged antibacterial agents changes during growth.

Since aps expression was higher in the exponential phase than in the stationary phase, we consider that the ability to sense antimicrobial peptides is also growth phase-dependent. Our results showed that the aps system to sense hBDs (hBD1–3) only functioned in the exponential phase, and not in the stationary phase. We also identified an ability to sense alpha-defensin and indolicidin in S. aureus cells in the exponential phase, but not in the stationary phase (data not shown). These results indicate

![Fig. 5. Bacterial cell surface charge is regulated during growth. Gene products involved in the regulation of surface charge are indicated (upper left), and a model for aps and agr expression during growth is also shown (upper right). Cell surface charge, susceptibility to antimicrobial peptides (AMPs) and ability to sense antimicrobial peptides change during growth.](image-url)
that the induction of dlt expression by antimicrobial peptides through the aps system is growth phase-dependent in S. aureus.

Besides affecting susceptibility to antimicrobial peptides, bacterial cell surface charge has been reported to affect several other biological activities, such as adhesion to biomaterials and mammalian cells, and phagocytic activity (Collins et al., 2002; Gottenbos et al., 2001; Gross et al., 2001; Peschel et al., 2001). In particular, dlt plays a central role in the regulation of cell surface charge. The absence of dlt in S. aureus results in a high susceptibility to being killed by neutrophils and also reduces the ability to colonize plastic or glass surfaces, a factor in catheter-associated infection (Collins et al., 2002; Gross et al., 2001). In addition, it has been found that a dlt mutant is highly susceptible to human phospholipase A2, a cationic protein that exhibits antibacterial activity against S. aureus, owing to a strong surface negative charge (Koprivnjak et al., 2002). Furthermore, dlt in group A streptococci has been found to promote survival in neutrophils and invasion of epithelial cells (Kristian et al., 2005), and dlt in Streptococcus agalactiae and Listeria monocytogenes is associated with susceptibility to phagocytic cells (Abachin et al., 2002; Poyart et al., 2003). Taken together with our results in the present study, these findings suggest that in S. aureus not only susceptibility to antimicrobial peptides but also other biological activities change during growth.

As for antibiotic susceptibility, we demonstrated increased susceptibility to several cationic agents in the aps mutant, which implies that the charge of the bacterial cell surface affects susceptibility to antibiotics. Similarly, daptomycin susceptibility has been shown to be affected by cell surface charge mediated by mprF and dlt (Yang et al., 2009a, b). Aps/Gra has been found to be related to susceptibility to glycopeptides in vancomycin-intermediate S. aureus (VISA), owing to transporters (vraFG) located immediately downstream of aps/gra and regulated by aps/gra (Howden et al., 2008; Meehl et al., 2007). Inactivation of aps in VISA has been demonstrated to increase susceptibility to vancomycin, because vraFG expression is suppressed. Although vraFG is strongly associated with vancomycin susceptibility, a more negatively charged cell surface in an aps/gra mutant also increased susceptibility to positively charged vancomycin (Table 4).

In conclusion, we have demonstrated that S. aureus cell surface charge is related to the expression of dlt and mprF, which are tightly regulated by aps and agr during growth (Fig. 5). It is interesting that not only many virulence factors but also cell surface charge depend on the growth phase and are mediated by the agr system. We have also shown that susceptibility to antimicrobial peptides and the ability to sense antimicrobial peptides change during growth. Our study indicates that bacterial cell surface charge is tightly regulated during growth, and that some features associated with surface charge are dependent on the growth phase.
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