Mapping the epithelial-cell-binding domain of the *Aggregatibacter actinomycetemcomitans* autotransporter adhesin Aae
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The Gram-negative periodontopathogen *Aggregatibacter actinomycetemcomitans* (*Aa*) binds selectively to buccal epithelial cells (BECs) of human and Old World primates by means of the outer-membrane autotransporter protein Aae. We speculated that the exposed N-terminal portion of the passenger domain of Aae would mediate binding to BECs. By using a series of plasmids that express full-length or truncated Aae proteins in *Escherichia coli*, we found that the BEC-binding domain of Aae was located in the N-terminal surface-exposed region of the protein, specifically in the region spanning amino acids 201–284 just upstream of the repeat region within the passenger domain. Peptides corresponding to amino acids 201–221, 222–238 and 201–240 were synthesized and tested for their ability to reduce Aae-mediated binding to BECs based on results obtained with truncated Aae proteins expressed in *E. coli*. BEC-binding of *E. coli* expressing Aae was reduced by as much as 50% by pre-treatment of BECs with a 40-mer peptide (201–240; P40). Aae was also shown to mediate binding to cultured human epithelial keratinocytes (TW2.6), OBA9 and TERT, and endothelial (HUVEC) cells. Pre-treatment of epithelial cells with P40 resulted in a dose-dependent reduction in binding and reduced the binding of both full-length and truncated Aae proteins expressed in *E. coli*, as well as Aae expressed in *Aa*. Fluorescently labelled P40 peptides reacted in a dose-dependent manner with BEC receptors. We propose that these proof-of-principle experiments demonstrate that peptides can be designed to interfere with Aa binding mediated by host-cell receptors specific for Aae adhesins.

INTRODUCTION

*Aggregatibacter actinomycetemcomitans* (*Aa*) has been the subject of intense investigation since 1976 when it was discovered to be associated with localized aggressive periodontitis (LAP), an infection in young adolescents of African descent, which can result in premature tooth loss (Newman *et al.*, 1976; Slots, 1976). Recently, this association has become more compelling in light of two longitudinal studies that have shown that healthy adolescents who harbour *Aa* have a significantly greater risk of developing disease than their age, gender-and race-matched controls (Fine *et al.*, 2007; Haubek *et al.*, 2008). In conjunction with these clinical studies, *Aa* has been shown to possess an array of virulence traits that are consistent with the pathogenesis of LAP. These traits include attachment and colonization factors, innate and acquired host-defence avoidance factors, and connective tissue and bone destructive factors (Fine *et al.*, 2006; Fives-Taylor *et al.*, 1999; Henderson *et al.*, 2002). *Aa* attachment and colonization functions have been assigned to a variety of outer-membrane proteins that mediate binding to oral mucosal surfaces (Asakawa *et al.*, 2003; Komatsuzawa *et al.*, 2002). As such, these structures are presumed to be a prerequisite for *Aa*-initiated mucosal infections (Asakawa *et al.*, 2003; Komatsuzawa *et al.*, 2002). It has further been shown that *Aa* can be found in atheromatous plaques, and is one of several periodontal pathogens that have been associated with an increased risk of heart disease (Haraszthy *et al.*, 2000; Kozarov *et al.*, 2005). Taken together, these studies attest to the importance of understanding how *Aa* initiates disease at a molecular level. Our goal is to dissect the molecular events relating to binding so that novel diagnostic, preventive and therapeutic strategies can be discovered.

**Abbreviations:** *Aa*, *Aggregatibacter actinomycetemcomitans*; BECs, buccal epithelial cells; FBS, fetal bovine serum; LAP, localized aggressive periodontitis; HUVECs, human umbilical vein endothelial cells.

The GenBank/EMBL/DDBJ accession number for the aae gene sequence of *Aggregatibacter actinomycetemcomitans* strain IDH 781 is FJ744750.

Two supplementary figures are available with the online version of this paper.
Recently, studies in humans have implicated the buccal mucosa as a primary site for Aa colonization and immune avoidance (Eger et al., 1996; Müller et al., 1996; Rudney et al., 2001). Along these lines, we and others have shown that Aa produces two autotransporter adhesins, Aae and ApiA, that account for its association with the oral mucosal epithelium (Asakawa et al., 2003; Fine et al., 2005; Komatsuizawa et al., 2002; Rose et al., 2003; Yue et al., 2007). In vitro studies have shown that if both the aae and apiA structural genes are mutated, the attachment of Aa to buccal epithelial cells (BECs) is completely abrogated (Yue et al., 2007). Moreover, Aa has been shown to attach to and penetrate endothelial cells, although the cellular events that direct that attachment are still unknown (Kusumoto et al., 2004; Schenkein et al., 2000). It is our premise that both Aae and ApiA could be instrumental in the attachment of Aa to tissues. Thus, the more we understand about the role of Aae and ApiA in the attachment of Aa to epithelial and endothelial surfaces, the more we can direct our work towards designing strategies to interfere with that attachment.

This study focused on the role of the autotransporter adhesin Aae in the attachment of Aa to epithelial cells with the goal of developing strategies to interfere with Aa binding. Our plan was to revisit methods developed for anti-adhesive therapeutic approaches to mucosal infections (Beachey, 1981; Cheney et al., 1980; Sellwood et al., 1975). It is well known that autotransporters contain three basic functional domains: an N-terminal signal peptide that directs the export of the protein through the inner membrane by a Sec-dependent mechanism, a C-terminal translocator domain that inserts into the outer membrane and forms a β-barrel structure with a central channel, and an internal passenger domain that is secreted through the channel in the β-barrel and presented on the cell surface (Henderson et al., 1998, 2004). Since the N-terminal passenger domain is exposed to the environment external to the bacterial cell, it is the likely region for binding to host cells (Fine et al., 2005). The passenger domain of autotransporter proteins often contains a series of repeat sequences (Henderson & Nataro, 2001). In the case of Aae, repeats in the passenger domain are clustered toward the amino terminus, the number of repeats varying from one to four depending on the strain (Fine et al., 2005; Rose et al., 2003). The exact function of the Aae repeats is unknown, but it is speculated that a greater number of repeats may be related to an increased binding of Aa to its target tissue (Rose et al., 2003). The goal of this work was to locate the region of the Aae passenger domain that influences Aa attachment to BECs and related epithelial cells, with the long-term goal of defining the minimal motif needed to prevent the initiation of disease.

**METHODS**

**Bacterial strains and growth conditions.** The bacterial strains used in this study are listed in Table 1. Aa strains were cultured on AAGM agar plates or in AAGM broth (Fine et al., 1999), except that bacitracin and vancomycin were omitted from the media. All Aa cultures were grown in 10% CO₂. *Escherichia coli* strains were cultured on Luria–Bertani (LB) agar plates or in LB broth. Media were supplemented with kanamycin 50 μg ml⁻¹; 1 mM IPTG was added when necessary. *E. coli* cultures were incubated in air at 37 °C with shaking (250 r.p.m.).

**Construction of aae wild-type and deletion mutant plasmids.** We constructed plasmids containing wild-type *aae* and seven different *aae* deletion mutants as follows. First, the full-length *aae* gene was amplified by PCR using primers Aae-BamHI-F and Aae-PstI-R (Table 1) and genomic DNA from Aa strain IDH 781 as a template. The PCR product (2759 bp) was inserted into plasmid pCR2.1-TOPO (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The resulting plasmid, designated pGJD3, contained *aae* in the orientation that placed the gene under control of the lac promoter. Next, IDH 781 genomic DNA was amplified by PCR with forward primer Aae-Acc65I combined with reverse primers Aae-301, Aae-284, Aae-264, Aae-238, Aae-221, Aae-201, Aae-120 and Aae-68 (Table 1) in eight different PCRs (primer orientations are described with respect to the direction of transcription). Forward primers were engineered to contain an Acc65I restriction site. The PCR products were digested with Acc65I and BpuI10I and ligated into the Acc65I/BpuI10I restriction sites of pGJD3. The Acc65I and BpuI10I recognition sequences correspond to bp –83 to –78 upstream of the *aae* start codon located in the backbone of pCR2.1-TOPO in pGJD3, and bp 1783 to 1789 in the *aae* gene, respectively (Fig. 1a). The ligation reactions were transformed into *E. coli* DH5α chemically competent cells (Invitrogen) and transformants were selected on LB agar supplemented with kanamycin. All plasmid constructs were confirmed by restriction endonuclease digestion (Fig. 1b) and DNA sequence analysis.

**Isolation of human buccal epithelial cells.** Human buccal epithelial cells (BECs) were collected from healthy adult volunteers as previously described (Fine et al., 2005). Collection of BECs from human volunteers was approved by the UMDNJ Institutional Review Board. Cells were diluted to approximately 5 × 10⁵ c.f.u. ml⁻¹ using a haemocytometer. The absence of endogenous bacteria was confirmed by spreading BECs onto LB plates containing kanamycin 50 μg ml⁻¹ as well as on AAGM agar plates.

**Buccal epithelial cell binding.** The BEC binding assay was performed as described previously (Fine et al., 2005; Yue et al., 2007). Briefly, 48-h-old cultures of Aa strain IDH 781 were rinsed three times with PBS, scraped from the culture dishes with a cell scraper and then vigorously vortexed to disperse cell aggregates. The *E. coli* cells were prepared by inducing exponential-phase cultures with IPTG (final concentration of 1 mM) for 3 h. Aa or *E. coli* cells were adjusted to OD₅₇₀ 0.8. A total of 200 μl of *Aa* or *E. coli* cells at a concentration of 1 × 10⁶ c.f.u. ml⁻¹ was added to 200 μl *E. coli* cells at a concentration of 1 × 10⁵ cells ml⁻¹ in a 1.5 ml microcentrifuge tube to achieve a ratio of 10⁴ bacterial cells per BEC. The tube was rotated at 20 r.p.m. at 37 °C for 60 min. One hundred microlitres of the mixture of bacteria and BECs was placed on the top of a 10 ml gradient of 5% Ficoll 400 suspended in PBS contained in a 15 ml centrifuge tube. The tube was centrifuged at 600 g for 10 min to separate unbound bacteria from the heavier BECs, which pelleted to the bottom of the tube. The supernatant was removed carefully by pipette and the pellet was resuspended in 100 μl PBS. Resuspended cells were serially diluted and plated on agar for c.f.u. enumeration. Controls included BECs with no bacteria, and bacteria alone with no BECs. Results were calculated as c.f.u. ml⁻¹ and then converted to c.f.u. per BEC.

**Cell culture techniques.** Cell lines were cultured in six-well or twelve-well tissue culture plates (Becton/Dickinson). Cell line TW2.6, a buccal epithelial cell line, was a kind gift from Dr Mark Y. P. Kuo.
Table 1. Bacterial strains, plasmids and PCR primers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain, plasmid or primer</th>
<th>Relevant characteristics or sequence*</th>
<th>Reference or source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bacterial strains</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>A. actinomyctetemcomitans</em> IDH 781</td>
<td>Wild-type (serotype d)</td>
<td>Fine <em>et al.</em> (2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>A. actinomyctetemcomitans</em> JK 1047</td>
<td>IDH 781N flap-1::Tn903kan; Km′</td>
<td>Yue <em>et al.</em> (2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. coli</em> DH5α</td>
<td>For expression of aae in <em>E. coli</em></td>
<td>New England Biolabs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Plasmids</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pCR2.1 TOPO</td>
<td>Cloning vector (Km′)</td>
<td>Invitrogen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pGJD3</td>
<td>pCR2.1 TOPO containing aae from <em>Aa</em> IDH 781</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pA301–594</td>
<td>pGJD3 with truncated aae (A301–596)</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pA284–594</td>
<td>pGJD3 with truncated aae (A284–596)</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pA264–594</td>
<td>pGJD3 with truncated aae (A264–596)</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pA238–594</td>
<td>pGJD3 with truncated aae (A238–596)</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pA221–594</td>
<td>pGJD3 with truncated aae (A221–596)</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pA201–594</td>
<td>pGJD3 with truncated aae (A200–596)</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pA68–594</td>
<td>pGJD3 with truncated aae (A67–596)</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pVK43</td>
<td>pJK16 containing aae from <em>Aa</em> CU 1000 (Cm′)</td>
<td>Fine <em>et al.</em> (2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PCR primers†</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aae-BamHI-F</td>
<td>GCGGGGATCCATATAATGAAGAAGGTAGATGTTCTTTTTCAAAAAAGT</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aae-PstI-R</td>
<td>TGCCCTGAGCTACCGATTATTGTAGTTCTTTTTACAACC</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aae-Acc65I</td>
<td>CCAAGCTTGGTACCCGAGATC</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aae-301</td>
<td>GATAAACCAGCTTGCTTCTGTGGCTTTTGGA</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aae-284</td>
<td>GATAAACCAGCTTGCTTCTAAAGCTTGCTTGTTT</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aae-264</td>
<td>GATAAACCAGCTTGCTTCTGGCGAGATTTTAC</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aae-238</td>
<td>GATAAACCAGGAACCTGCCTGCTATTAC</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aae-221</td>
<td>GATAAACCAGCTTTTATCTTTTGCGGGG</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aae-201</td>
<td>GATAAACCAGCTTGCGACTGTCCTTTTGA</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aae-120</td>
<td>GATAAACCAGCTTGCTTCTTTTGGCGCATCTC</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aae-68</td>
<td>GATAAACCAGCTTGAGAAGACGGTTGA</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Km′, kanamycin resistant; Cm′, chloramphenicol resistant.

†PCR primer sequences are shown in the 5′→3′ orientation. Restriction endonuclease cleavage sites are underlined. The aae start and stop codons are shown in bold.

(School of Dentistry, National Taiwan University). TW2.6 buccal epithelial cells were cultured in DMEM/Ham’s F12 medium (3 : 1) (Gibco) with 5 % antibiotics and 15 % fetal bovine serum (FBS) as previously described (Kok *et al.*, 2007). An immortalized human gingival epithelial cell line (OBA-9) and a human oral mucosal keratinocyte line which ectopically expressed a telomerase catalytic subunit (OKF6/TERT-1) were kind gifts from Dr Gill Diamond (New Jersey Dental School). OBA-9 and OKF6/TERT-1 cells were cultured in keratinocyte serum-free medium, supplemented with 0.05 % bovine pituitary extract and 5 ng recombinant epidermal growth factor ml−1 (Invitrogen). Additional CaCl2 was added to the medium to a final concentration of 0.4 mM (Kusumoto *et al.*, 2004). Pooled human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVECs) were purchased from Lonza and cultured in EBM-2 complete medium according to the manufacturer’s instructions, except that additional FBS was added to attain a final concentration of 10 %. All cell lines were cultured at 37 °C in a humidified atmosphere of 5 % CO2 in air.

**Blocking epithelial cell binding with synthetic peptides.** Three peptides, P21 (AQKEAERLANEQEIARQKIKA), P17 (NELQRAI-
NEQSKLAEVA) and P40 (AQKEAERLANEQEIARQKICANELQ-
RAINEQSKLAEVAR), were chemically synthesized, by CHI SCI-
ENTIFIC, to 99 % purity. These peptides correspond to amino acids 201–221, 222–238 and 201–240, respectively, in Aae. Peptides were added to the cultured cells, after treatment with a blocking buffer, to achieve a concentration of 0.1–100 μg ml−1. Control cells were treated with no peptide. After 1 h at room temperature, bacteria were added to the cells and the binding assay was performed as described above.

**Fluorescently labelled peptide binding assay.** TW2.6 cells were cultured in 96-well microtissue culture plates (Becton Dickinson). When 85 % confluence was achieved, cells were washed three times with ice-cold HEPES buffer (50 mM HEPES, 128 mM NaCl, 5 mM KCl, 5 mM MgSO4, 1.3 mM CaCl2, 5 % heat-inactivated FBS). HEPES buffer containing 3 % BSA (blocking buffer) was added for 2 h to block non-specific binding. FITC-conjugated peptide P40 dissolved in HEPES buffer was added to the wells to achieve a concentration of 0–250 μM. The plates were then incubated at room temperature for 2 h. At the end of the incubation period, the cells were rinsed three times with HEPES buffer and the fluorescence was quantified by a Multi-Detection Microplate Reader (BIO-TEK Instruments). The background level of binding was measured in wells without TW2.6 cells.
Statistics. All assays were performed in triplicate at a minimum. One-way ANOVA comparison was followed by post-hoc Tukey-Kramer testing for pairwise analysis. P-values <0.05 were considered significant.

RESULTS

Construction of plasmids encoding wild-type and mutant Aae proteins

The aae gene from Aa strain IDH 781 was amplified by PCR and then ligated into plasmid vector pCR2.1 TOPO, resulting in plasmid pGJD3 (Fig. 1a). Experiments were designed to use pVK43 initially but, due to difficulties with the targeted restriction sites required to make deletion mutants, it was more efficient to design cassettes with truncated PCR products containing specific amino acid residues expressed in a pCR2.1 TOPO plasmid using E. coli DH5α as the host. The predicted amino acid sequence of IDH 781 Aae was 96% identical to that of Aae from strain CU 1000 (Fine et al., 2005). Nearly all of the amino acid changes, including a 5 aa deletion in IDH 781, occurred in the region that encodes the C-terminal half of the passenger domain, which was not related to the functional binding region (Fig. 1a). The N-terminal half of the passenger domain and the β domain were very highly conserved (>99% identity, which showed differences in amino acids downstream of the functional adhesin; see Supplementary Fig. S1, available with the online version of this paper). The IDH 781 and CU 1000 Aae proteins both contained four copies of the characteristic Aae amino acid repeat sequence (Fine et al., 2005) (Fig. 1a).

A series of plasmids containing in-frame deletions in IDH 781 aae was also constructed (Fig. 1b). Plasmid pA68–594 contained a deletion of the entire passenger domain and plasmid pΔ301–594 contained a deletion of the C-terminal half of the passenger domain, including the four Aae amino acid repeat sequences (Fig. 1b). Based on preliminary cell binding assays with E. coli cells harbouring plasmids pGJD3, pA68–594 and pΔ301–594 (Fig. 2a), we concluded that the epithelial cell binding domain in Aae was located between amino acids 68 and 301. We therefore constructed a series of plasmids that encoded sequential deletions within this region (pΔ301–594, pΔ284–594, pΔ264–594, pΔ238–594, pΔ221–594, pΔ201–594, pΔ120–594 and pΔ68–594) (Figs 1b and 2a).
We measured the binding ability of E. coli DH5α cells harbouring pGJD3 and the eight aae deletion mutants harbouring plasmids with deletions between amino acids 68 and 301 as listed above. In these experiments neither the pCR2.1- (empty plasmid vector) nor the pΔ68–594-, pΔ120–594- or pΔ201–594-harbouring cells bound to BECs, suggesting that amino acids 68–201 have nothing to do with binding to epithelial cells. Modest binding was restored in pΔ221–594-harbouring cells and almost half of the total binding was restored in pΔ238–594-harbouring cells, suggesting that residues 201–238 could be involved in binding. Some modest additional binding was seen in pΔ264–594-harbouring cells, while still greater binding was restored in pΔ284–594-harbouring cells. Overall complete restoration of binding was seen from amino acids 201 to 284, suggesting that the binding domain of interest should include these amino acid residues (Fig. 2a).

**Fig. 2.** Binding of plasmid-harbouring E. coli DH5α cells to freshly isolated human BECs and cultured epithelial cells. (a) Binding of E. coli harbouring the indicated plasmid expressing deletion mutant Aae to BECs. Values show mean c.f.u. per epithelial cell for three independent experiments and error bars indicate sd. Bars with different letters indicate values that were significantly different from each other by ANOVA and post-hoc pairwise testing. (b) Comparison of binding of E. coli DH5α to cells of epithelial origin when expressing Aae and truncated varieties of Aae proteins. BECs, HUVECs, TERT, OBA9 and TW2.6 cells are compared. Similarity between TW2.6 cells and BECs is seen when binding of full-length Aae and truncated versions of Aae protein are compared. Significant differences (P<0.05) for all cell types are seen when full-length Aae is compared to 238–594 aa truncated proteins. * Significantly lower binding to corresponding epithelial cells versus full-length expressed Aae (pGJD3). (c) Direct comparison of binding of E. coli DH5α to BECs and TW2.6 cells when E. coli expresses aae from pVK43 versus pGJD3. Plasmid pVK43 expressing Aae in DH5α binds at a higher level than that seen for pGJD3. DH5α expressing 201–594, 221–594 and 238–594 aa truncated proteins show significant reductions (P<0.05) in binding to their corresponding epithelial cell type (* for BEC, † for TW2.6 cells) when compared to DH5α expressing full-length protein.

**BEC binding of full-length Aae and truncated proteins expressed in E. coli**

We measured the binding ability of E. coli DH5α cells harbouring pGJD3 and the eight aae deletion mutants harbouring plasmids with deletions between amino acids 68 and 301 as listed above. In these experiments neither the pCR2.1- (empty plasmid vector) nor the pΔ68–594-, pΔ120–594- or pΔ201–594-harbouring cells bound to BECs, suggesting that amino acids 68–201 have nothing to do with binding to epithelial cells. Modest binding was restored in pΔ221–594-harbouring cells and almost half of the total binding was restored in pΔ238–594-harbouring cells, suggesting that residues 201–238 could be involved in binding. Some modest additional binding was seen in pΔ264–594-harbouring cells, while still greater binding was restored in pΔ284–594-harbouring cells. Overall complete restoration of binding was seen from amino acids 201 to 284, suggesting that the binding domain of interest should include these amino acid residues (Fig. 2a).

**Aae mediates bacterial binding to various oral epithelial cells and vascular endothelial cells**

We also measured the ability of E. coli cells harbouring Aae-expressing plasmids to bind to OKF6/TERT-1, OBA9, HUVEC and TW2.6 cells and BECs (Fig. 2b). Our goal was to expand our assessment beyond BECs to cultured epithelial cells that could be collected in a more controlled manner. For convenience we limited our testing to plasmids that expressed full-length Aae (pGJD3), no Aae (pΔ201–594), truncations that produced minimal Aae (pΔ238–594), and truncated proteins that restored Aae binding completely (pΔ301–594). Complete reduction in binding was exhibited by cells harbouring plasmid pΔ201–594, while minimal binding was restored by plasmid pΔ238–594 when compared to the full-length protein expressed by pGJD3. Binding was fully restored by plasmid pΔ301–594. These data support the hypothesis that amino acid residues from 301 to 594 have no effect on binding and that the Aae epithelial binding domain is located between amino acids 201 and 301. The BEC and TW2.6 binding patterns of all Aae-harbouring plasmids expressed in E. coli cells were similar, suggesting that TW2.6 cells could be used as surrogates for BECs (Fig. 2b).

We further compared binding of Aae expressed from plasmids pVK43 and pGJD3 to BECs and TW2.6 cells (Fig. 2c). Our data show that Aae expressed from pVK43 bind
at significantly higher levels than that those seen in pGJD3 \((P<0.05)\). It is likely that plasmid expression levels are responsible for these differences (Supplementary Figs S1, S2). Nevertheless, binding differences between BECs and TW2.6 cells were similar in both pVK43 and pCR2.1 cells, reinforcing the sense that TW2.6 cells could act as a reasonable surrogate for BECs.

Due to these results, and as a proof-of-principle strategy, we chose to synthesize peptides derived from amino acid sequences 201–221, 222–238 and 201–240 to test their ability to reduce binding to epithelial cells. These peptides were designed to test the principle that specific peptides could be used to block Aae-mediated binding.

**Peptides block binding of Aae to BECs**

Only the 40-mer (P40), as opposed to P17 or P21, blocked binding of the Aae harboured in plasmid pVK43 and expressed in *E. coli* (Fig. 3a). No effect was seen by pre-treating BECs with either the 17-mer or the 21-mer. This result differs from that seen when the truncated proteins are expressed in *E. coli*. Differences could result from conformational distinctions between the expressed proteins and the synthesized peptides. It was shown that P40 peptide pre-treatment can reduce binding of both the full-length (pGJD3) and the truncated Aae proteins (pΔ238–594, pΔ221–594; \(P<0.05\)) (Fig. 3b). These results suggest that both the full-length and the truncated proteins are surface expressed. Fig. 3(c) illustrates the effect of the P40 peptide on Aae expression in *Aa* strain JK 1047, an *flp*-mutant strain (Table 1). Here, bacterial binding is reduced from 14 to 2 JK 1047 cells BEC\(^{-1}\) \((P<0.05)\). Neither the 17-mer nor the 21-mer, nor pre-treatment with BSA, had any effect on binding of JK 1047 to BECs. This Flp-negative strain was used because, unlike the parent wild-type strain (IDH 781), JK 1047 does not autoaggregate. As a result, JK 1047 provides a way to measure receptor–adhesin interaction in native *Aa* strains not showing autoaggregation.

The P40 peptide pre-treatment of both the BECs and TW2.6 cells reduced binding in a dose-dependent manner, as shown in Fig. 4(a). Peptide levels of 1.0 \(\mu\)M and above significantly reduced binding \((P<0.05)\). A fluorescently labelled P40 peptide was used to demonstrate that P40 bound to TW2.6 cells in a dose-dependent manner, showing a trend toward saturation (Fig. 4b). These findings suggest that peptide P40 blocked the interaction of Aae with its BEC receptor by binding it in a dose-dependent manner.

**DISCUSSION**

Several years ago, receptor and adhesin analogue therapy or anti-adhesive therapy was introduced as a new way of interfering with mucosal infections (Aronson *et al.*, 1979; Beachey & Courtney, 1987; Cheney *et al.*, 1980; Simpson & Beachey, 1983). The premise suggested that by blocking bacterial–tissue interactions, anti-adhesive therapy could alter the manifestation of disease without the need to kill the infecting micro-organism (Sellwood *et al.*, 1975;
Ukkonen et al., 2000). The experiments presented in this report were designed to revisit the anti-adhesive therapeutic approach in relation to Aa-induced infections.

Aa expresses two autotransporter adhesins, Aae and ApiA, that are involved in mucosal binding (Fine et al., 2006). It is interesting to note that the two autotransporter adhesins show specificity for the same epithelial tissue surfaces and mammalian species (Yue et al., 2007). Since both Aae and ApiA mediate Aa binding to BECs, perhaps a functional overlap exists between these two autotransporters. This overlap or redundancy could suggest that BEC binding is of great importance to the survival of Aa in the mucosal domain of the oral cavity of humans and Old World primates. While this area needs further exploration it is known that Aae binds at low cell density while ApiA binds only at high cell density (Fine et al., 2005). Since both autotransporter adhesins are involved in binding to BECs, our effort to block Aa binding by interfering with one adhesin could prove to be a challenge.

This potential redundancy has led us to think of these autotransporters in the context of the temporal events associated with mucosal infections. In the early stages of infection, during the incubation and prodromal periods, Aa would be present at low cell densities, but as disease ensues, Aa cell densities would increase in the local environment (Fine et al., 2006). Since our P40 peptide functioned better at low cell density (the data shown in Fig. 3 were obtained at a low density of added cells) we would predict that it would be effective in the early stages of infection. In contrast, minimal reduction of BEC binding by peptide P40 was seen when Aa cells were added at a high density since ApiA was also active (data not shown), suggesting that our peptide would be minimally effective as a therapeutic intervention. This area also needs further exploration.

The Aae passenger domain is displayed on the cell surface and thus would appear to be capable of interacting with the outside environment (Fine et al., 2005). Our experimental data, and those of others, have indicated that Aa strains have passenger domains containing repeat sequences that vary in the number of repeat motifs (Fine et al., 2005; Rose et al., 2003). As a result we have tried to assess the functional changes in binding related to these repeats (Fine et al., 2006; Henderson et al., 2004). While Rose et al. (2003) proposed that increasing the number of Aae repeats results in increased binding, data from the current study do not support this contention. Our results suggest that Aae-mediated binding to BECs resides between amino acids 201–284 and lies immediately upstream of the Aae repeat domain. We have also observed that different strains of Aa, differing in the number of Aae repeats, do not differ in the amount of binding seen (data not shown).

In our studies, the P40 peptide reduced binding to BECs by at least 50% (Fig. 3a–c). There are at least two possible explanations that can be offered as to why the P40 peptide did not completely abrogate Aae-mediated binding. First, the P40 peptide does not represent the Aae protein adhesin binding domain in its entirety (Fig. 2a). Second, since conformational differences exist between peptides and expressed proteins, the peptides may not provide a completely accurate representation of the Aae protein adhesin. This second point may not be valid since our
results with the P40 peptide appear to account for a similar reduction in binding when compared to the truncated protein as expressed in *E. coli*. These issues will be resolved in future studies.

In conclusion, we have evidence that within the Aae autotransporter adhesin domain there is a motif of 40 aa that accounts for a large percentage of its interaction with epithelial cells. Our effort was to evaluate this 40 aa sequence and to translate this information into a strategy that could be used to interfere with Aa binding. Experiments presented in this report were first performed by deletion analysis and then confirmed by peptide blocking studies. While the information obtained does not account for the entire binding capacity of Aa to the epithelium, it should suggest ways to design future experiments. Our long-term goal is to discover ways to completely block the binding of this oral pathogen to its target tissue and thus develop methods for anti-adhesive therapy.
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