Communications blackout? Do N-acylhomoserine-lactone-degrading enzymes have any role in quorum sensing?
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Identification of AHL-degrading enzymes

N-Acyllhomoserine-lactone-dependent quorum sensing is now recognized as playing a major role in the virulence of a number of pathogenic bacteria and as such is increasingly seen as a useful target for antimicrobial therapy (Hentzer & Givskov, 2003). For example, experiments have shown that the inactivation of either or both of the rhl and las quorum sensing systems of Pseudomonas aeruginosa significantly attenuates virulence (Pearson et al., 2000) and there is evidence that some plants have evolved the ability to interfere with quorum sensing through the release of compounds which mimic the activity of AHL signals (Bauer & Robinson, 2002). It has been suggested that targeting the quorum sensing system and as a result shutting down the expression of virulence traits has the advantage that it does not affect the viability of the individual cells, but rather the virulence of the population as a whole, meaning there is less powerful selection for the evolution of resistance than is the case in, for example, antibiotic therapy (Hentzer & Givskov, 2003). Such targeting of quorum sensing systems is referred to as ‘quorum quenching’.

Quorum sensing can be interfered with in a number of ways; one of the more obvious is to target the signal itself for destruction, preventing it from accumulating. The possibility that such an activity may already exist in nature has been investigated by carrying out screens of soil microorganisms in order to identify any that are capable of inactivating AHLs. Such screens have led to the isolation of a number of bacterial strains exhibiting the ability to either inactivate and/or metabolize AHLs (Table 1). The first such isolate was a Bacillus species, 240B1, which was found to produce an enzyme, AiiA, which inactivated AHLs by hydrolysing the ester bond of the lactone ring to give acylhomoserine (Fig. 1A) (Dong et al., 2000, 2001). This enzyme was found to possess two small conserved regions, including a zinc-binding motif, which were shared with the zinc metalloenzymes, a group which includes the glyoxylase II and arylsulfatase enzyme families, and β-lactamases (Daiyasu et al., 2001). Many members of this family target substrates possessing an ester linkage (Aravind, 1998). AiiA has been dubbed an N-acylhomoserine lactonase.

Shortly after the discovery of AiiA, a strain of Variovorax paradoxus was isolated which was found to be capable of utilizing AHL as a sole energy source (Leadbetter & Greenberg, 2000). This is in contrast to 240B1, which did not further degrade AHL. Variovorax was found to degrade AHL through an acylase activity in which the amide bond connecting the HSL ring to the acyl chain is cleaved, releasing homoserine lactone and fatty acid, which is further metabolized (Fig. 1B). This activity was subsequently also found in a strain of Ralstonia; in this case the gene encoding the acylase was cloned and found to show homology to a number of other acylases and N-terminal hydrolases of the Ntn-hydrolase superfamily (Lin et al., 2003).

Subsequently a number of other Bacillus species with AHL-inactivating properties were isolated, some of which were further investigated and found to possess homologues of aiiA (Dong et al., 2002; Lee et al., 2002). An AHL-lactonase,
AhID, has also been identified in an *Arthrobacter* species, in this case conferring upon the cells the ability to grow on AHL as a sole carbon source (Park *et al.*, 2003). Another recent screen has identified additional bacterial isolates with AHL-degrading properties, including *Pseudomonas*, *Variovorax*, *Comamonas* and *Rhodococcus* spp. (Uroz *et al.*, 2003), although in this study the specific enzymic activities were not characterized.

Database searches for homologues of the characterized AHL lactonases and acylase in complete bacterial genomes have shown that relatives of these enzymes exist in a wide range of species, indicating that these activities may be widespread. To date all the enzymes characterized have been shown to be either lactonases or acylases. It would also be theoretically possible to enzymically alter AHLs by optical inversion from either lactonases or acylases. It would also be theoretically possible to enzymically alter AHLs by optical inversion from either lactonases or acylases. It would also be theoretically possible to enzymically alter AHLs by optical inversion from either lactonases or acylases. It would also be theoretically possible to enzymically alter AHLs by optical inversion from either lactonases or acylases. It would also be theoretically possible to enzymically alter AHLs by optical inversion from either lactonases or acylases. It would also be theoretically possible to enzymically alter AHLs by optical inversion from either lactonases or acylases. It would also be theoretically possible to enzymically alter AHLs by optical inversion from either lactonases or acylases.

Table 1. Organisms identified to date exhibiting AHL-degrading activity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Gene name</th>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Notes</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bacillus sp. 240B1</td>
<td>aiiA</td>
<td>AHL lactonase</td>
<td>Degrades OHHL, ODHL, OOHHL</td>
<td>Dong <em>et al.</em> (2000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacillus strain COT1, strains of Bacillus thuringiensis, B. cereus, B. mycoides</td>
<td>aiiA homologues</td>
<td>AHL lactonase</td>
<td>Degrade OHHL with differing efficiencies</td>
<td>Dong <em>et al.</em> (2002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacillus thuringiensis (numerous subspecies)</td>
<td>aiiA homologues</td>
<td>AHL lactonase</td>
<td>Degrade OHHL with differing efficiencies</td>
<td>Lee <em>et al.</em> (2002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agrobacterium tumefaciens</td>
<td>attM, aiiB</td>
<td>AHL lactonase</td>
<td>Degrade OOHHL, HHL; aiiB less active</td>
<td>Zhang <em>et al.</em> (2002); Carlier <em>et al.</em> (2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAI-C</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>AHL acylase</td>
<td>Grew on a wide range of AHLs, with differing efficiencies</td>
<td>Leadbetter &amp; Greenberg (2000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudomonas strain PAI-A, Pseudomonas aeruginosa PAO1</td>
<td>pvdQ, unidentified acylase*</td>
<td>AHL acylase</td>
<td>Both strains can degrade long-chain (&gt; 6C), but not short-chain AHLs</td>
<td>Huang <em>et al.</em> (2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudomonas sp., Variovorax sp., Variovorax paradoxus, Comamonas sp., Comamonas testosteroni, Rhodococcus erythropolis</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>Degrade a range of AHLs, exhibiting differences in efficiency between o xo- and non-oxo-derivatives</td>
<td>Uroz <em>et al.</em> (2003)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND, Not determined.

*Although PvdQ exhibited AHL acylase activity, it was shown not to be involved in the AHL-degrading activity identified in this study.*

AHL turnover or quorum sensing modulation?

As yet, the role that AHL-degrading enzymes play in their natural ecological niche remains far from clear, and it is by no means certain that AHL degradation is their ‘normal’ function. In fact, what has been established to date about the activities of these enzymes raises many questions, particularly in relation to their suggested role in modulating the activities of quorum sensing bacteria. That there is co-culturing of AHL-inactivating bacteria with AHL-producing bacteria has been shown to inhibit quorum sensing-related activities, both in liquid culture and on plant tissue (Dong *et al.*, 2000; Park *et al.*, 2003; Uroz *et al.*, 2003). In addition, cloning of the AHL lactonase and acylase genes into quorum sensing bacteria has been shown to abolish or dramatically reduce AHL accumulation (Dong *et al.*, 2000; Reimmann *et al.*, 2002; Lin *et al.*, 2003). Most significantly, the aiiA gene has been cloned into tobacco and potato and found to confer resistance to infection by *E. carotovora* subs. carotovora, inhibiting the production of virulence determinants and allowing the host defences to effectively combat the infection (Dong *et al.*, 2001). Clearly the rationale behind the identification of these enzymes, that of developing new means of interfering with quorum sensing, has been vindicated.
that some strains degrade but do not metabolize AHL when grown as pure cultures does not rule out the possibility that they form part of a community of organisms which catalyse different stages of AHL breakdown; it has been shown that growing *Variovorax* and *Arthrobacter* together on AHL gives more efficient growth than that of either strain alone, presumably because their differing enzymic activities complement one another (Flagan et al., 2003). Another point worth noting is that some of the breakdown products of AHL, such as homoserine lactone and homoserine, can be toxic (Flagan et al., 2003) and detoxification could be an explanation for some of the AHL-degrading activities identified.

The possibility exists, of course, that these enzymes have a more complex role than simple degradation. From the first reports of their discovery, there has been speculation that AHL-degrading enzymes may play a role of much greater significance: that of actively interfering with quorum sensing in other bacteria. This speculation naturally emerged from the observations of the effects that these enzymes were seen to have on AHL-utilizing quorum sensing activities in the laboratory. It is easy to see ways in which this could benefit the competitors of quorum sensing organisms. For example, some strains of *E. carotovora* produce carbapenem antibiotics in a quorum sensing-dependent manner, presumably to inhibit the growth of competitors at the site of infection (Axelrood et al., 1988). Any bacteria capable of interfering with quorum sensing in *Erwinia* could inhibit the production of these antibiotics and gain a competitive advantage. It is also possible that these enzymes may play a role in modulating interactions within as yet poorly understood microbial communities, such as multispecies biofilms. However, if bacteria are actively interfering with quorum sensing, this raises the question as to whether quorum sensing bacteria would have evolved counter-strategies, for example producing forms of AHL which are resistant to enzymic degradation, perhaps similar to the synthetic non-hydrolysable AHLs which have been shown to retain the ability to activate quorum sensing systems (Smith et al., 2003). Alternatively, they could utilize completely different signals, such as those found in AHL-independent quorum sensing systems. Clearly, the presence of such enzymic activities in the environment has not prevented the success of AHL-dependent quorum sensing. Nevertheless, the possibility of complex interactions between quorum sensing bacteria and AHL-degrading bacteria cannot be discounted.

**A role for these enzymes in quorum sensing systems?**

Of greater significance than the above speculation in terms of our understanding of the natural role played by these enzymes is the discovery of homologues of both kinds of AHL-degrading enzyme in bacteria that actually *produce* AHLs. The first such enzyme was found in *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*. AttM was found to show homology to AHL lactonases and indeed characterization of the gene revealed...
it to be a potent blocker of AHL accumulation; constitutive expression of attM abolished the production of native AHL (Zhang et al., 2002). attM had previously been identified as belonging to a cluster of genes involved in attachment to host cells (Matthysse et al., 2000). It was noted that AHL levels in A. tumefaciens cultures decline rapidly when the cells enter stationary phase (Zhang et al., 2002), which correlated with the fact that a quorum sensing-mediated activity, Ti plasmid transfer, is initiated at mid-exponential phase and declines thereafter. This decline in AHL levels was attributed to AHL lactonase activity; however, the authors did not report the level of expression of attM at this point in the growth cycle, and it is important to note that the decline of AHL levels during stationary phase in other bacteria has been attributed to non-enzymic turnover. Recently, two other AHL lactonase homologues, AiiB and AiiC, were identified in A. tumefaciens; AiiC did not exhibit lactonase activity, while AiiB was expressed in E. carotovora subsp. atroseptica and found to reduce endogenous AHL levels and virulence (Carlier et al., 2003). The activity of these enzymes in A. tumefaciens was not examined. Since Ti plasmid transfer takes place at a specific point in the growth cycle, it has been suggested that AHL degradation may be important in ensuring the correct timing of this activity.

Recently, a homologue of an AHL acylase, pvdQ, has been identified in another quorum sensing bacterium, Pseudomonas aeruginosa (Huang et al., 2003). The enzyme was found to efficiently degrade long-chain-acyl AHLS, though not short-chain-acyl AHLS, and constitutive expression prevented accumulation of the native 3OC12HSL quorum sensing signal, but not the short-chain-acyl C4HSL signal. However, the ability of the bacteria to grow on AHL as a sole carbon source was not affected when pvdQ was inactivated, which may suggest that other enzymes are involved in this activity. Furthermore, growth on AHL was characterized by very long lag phases and doubling times, suggesting that the bacteria are not adapted for AHL metabolism in these growth conditions. It has been suggested that the function of long-chain-acyl AHL turnover in P. aeruginosa may be related to a need for the bacteria to manipulate the ratio of their two AHL signals, allowing fine-tuning of the level of expression of the genes under their respective control.

Of particular interest in both of these species is evidence that the enzymes identified as AHL degraders may be involved in other functions in the cell, suggesting that assigning them a role in quorum sensing modulation may be premature. Knockout mutants of attM have been shown to have a non-attaching and avirulent phenotype, exactly the opposite of what would be expected in cells which were free to accumulate AHL (Matthysse et al., 2000). Against this, it should be added that loss of the pAt plasmid which carries attM results in accumulation of AHL to higher levels than the wild-type (Vaudequin-Dransart et al., 1998), suggesting that some AHL turnover at least can be ascribed to its activity. In addition, attM has been shown to be part of an operon containing two other genes: attK, identified as a homologue of succinate semialdehyde dehydrogenase, and attL, a homologue of alcohol dehydrogenase (Matthysse et al., 2000; Zhang et al., 2002). The operon is under the control of a repressor protein, AttJ. This suggests that the three enzymes are likely to be involved in an as-yet-undefined metabolic pathway. Whether the other two enzymes can be assigned roles in AHL degradation remains to be seen.

Similarly, the pvdQ gene of P. aeruginosa has recently been identified as an iron-regulated component in the pathway for the biosynthesis of pyoverdine, a fluorescent siderophore involved in iron acquisition (Lamont & Martin, 2003; Ochsner et al., 2002). This suggests that the acylase activity it possesses is directed towards another function than that of AHL degradation, a fact consistent with the observation that inactivation of pvdQ has no effect on the ability of the cells to utilize AHL (Huang et al., 2003), raising the likelihood that this is not in fact its natural role. This is particularly significant as it represents the first definite role established for an AHL-degrading enzyme, and given the high efficiency with which pvdQ has been shown to degrade AHL, it raises a question mark over the function ascribed to AHL-degrading molecules in general.

**Quorum quenchers or something else?**

Since these enzymes have been identified as a result of their AHL-degrading capabilities, it is not surprising that the roles proposed for them have been informed by this fact. However, it does not follow that because they exhibit this activity, they should automatically be classed as AHL lactonases or acylases. That caution should be exercised in relation to their function is further indicated by findings in relation to the range and specificities of their enzymic activities. AiiC of A. tumefaciens did not degrade lactone despite its homology to other lactonases (Carlier et al., 2003). A number of the enzymes identified exhibit activity only against certain types of AHL. The specificity of the lactonase activity of the characterized pseudomonads for long-chain-acyl AHLS has already been described. In other species the enzymic activity differs markedly between oxo-substituted and non-substituted forms of AHL, and the efficiency of degradation also varies considerably (Uroz et al., 2003). It is likely that one reason for the differing specificities observed has been variation in the method used to isolate the bacteria; growth on a specific type of AHL may select for activities optimized for that substrate, and it may be that other specific activities against other AHL types would be found if they were used in the screening process. Of course this specificity could also be explained in terms of the AHL-degrading system having been fine-tuned to target specific AHLS in the context of specific ecological interactions or, as has been suggested for P. aeruginosa, specific regulatory functions.

These findings also need to be considered in the context of the nature of the enzyme families to which the
AHL-degrading enzymes belong. The fact that AHL lactonase can hydrolyse the ester bond and AHL acylase can cleave the amide bond of AHLs may not imply that these activities are targeted toward these molecules at all, notwithstanding the fact that in some cases at least, they do so at high efficiencies. It has been suggested that acylases tend to be very specific in their targets, showing little activity towards close homologues of their substrates (Lin et al., 2003). However, a porcine acylase has been shown to exhibit AHL-degrading abilities when used at a sufficiently high concentration (Xu et al., 2003), and it must be noted that the expression levels of these enzymes when introduced into other bacteria or into plants may not reflect their activities at their normal expression levels. Similarly, zinc metallo-hydrolases exhibit diverse enzymatic activities (Daiyasu et al., 2001) and care needs to be taken in assigning specific function to an enzyme in the light of its ability to degrade one particular substrate. Interestingly, however, Wang et al. (2004) have recently reported that the AiiA enzyme exhibits high specificity for N-acylhomoserine lactones and that, unlike other metallo-hydrolases, AiiA does not require zinc or any other metal ions for activity, suggesting it possesses a novel catalytic site.

Conclusions

To date, characterization of the specific role played by AHL-degrading enzymes has taken a back seat to investigations into their potential as quenchers of quorum sensing—understandably so, given the potential utility of such activities. However, this approach means that what insights we have gained into their natural function have been arrived at as a by-product of these investigations, and as yet there has not been a detailed functional characterization of any of these genes. It will be necessary to carry out such characterization before we can be confident that the enzymes identified do indeed function as quorum quenchers. This scenario seems elegant in principle, but we have already seen how previously existing information about the activities of AttM and PvdQ complicates attempts to attribute to them a definite role in quorum sensing modulation, and it would not be surprising to see similar complications emerge in relation to other genes which have not yet been fully characterized. It will be particularly interesting to see to what extent they turn out to have properties consistent with their proposed functions. For example, in the case of putative AHL-degrading bacteria, is the expression of the enzymes activated by the presence of AHL, or are they expressed constitutively? Similarly, in the case of the AHL-utilizing quorum sensing bacteria which have these enzymatic activities, how consistent is the timing of expression of these genes with the observed levels and/or ratios of AHLs during the growth cycle and in different environmental conditions? It will be necessary to examine what factors control the expression of the genes. In addition, there should be careful screening for potential roles other than AHL degradation by observing what other activities, if any, are affected by knocking out the genes. To date, only pvdQ has been assigned a specific function in another context, in the course of an unrelated study. Of course, it is possible that the enzymes may be bifunctional, having a role in quorum quenching in addition to any other activity identified. Careful study of the phenotypes of knockout mutants, e.g. through ‘global’ methods of analysis such as proteomics or transcriptomics, will be necessary to establish definite functions for these genes.

That these enzymes can degrade lactones, often with great efficiency, is clearly not in dispute; neither is their potential utility; however, careful investigation is needed before we can be confident of their function.
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