Kinetics of binding, uptake and degradation of live fluorescent (DsRed) bacteria by Dictyostelium discoideum
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The kinetics of binding, uptake and degradation of bacteria by vegetative Dictyostelium amoeba using Escherichia coli expressing the recombinant fluorescent protein DsRed have been characterized. There are significant advantages to using DsRed-expressing bacteria for phagocytosis assays. Stable expression of the fluorescent protein, DsRed, provides living bacteria with a bright internal fluorescent signal that is degradable in the phagolysosomal pathway. Unlike assays with chemically labelled bacteria or latex beads, the bacteria are alive and possess a natural, unaltered external surface for receptor interaction. Dictyostelium cells rapidly bind and phagocytose DsRed bacteria. Pulse–chase experiments show that the signal derived from DsRed is degraded with a half-life of approximately 45 min. To distinguish internalized bacteria from those bound to the surface, an assay was developed in which sodium azide was used to release surface-bound particles. Surprisingly, surface particle release appears to be independent of myosin II function. Using this assay it was shown that the uptake of bacteria into cells is extremely rapid. After 1 min incubation, 20% of the signal is derived from internalized bacteria. The proportion of the signal from internalized bacteria increases gradually and reaches 50% at steady state. This assay will be useful in investigations of the molecular machinery of phagocytosis and post-internalization vesicle trafficking.
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INTRODUCTION

Phagocytosis in eukaryotic organisms is essential for the clearance of invading bacteria, cell debris and apoptotic cells (Franc et al., 1999; Greenberg, 2001). Many cell types are able to phagocytose particles; however, some cells, like neutrophils and monocytes of the immune system, are considered professional phagocytes because of their robust and versatile phagocytic capabilities. Receptors on the surface of phagocytic cells bind particles and initiate a signal transduction cascade which leads to actin polymerization. Particles are then internalized into phagosomes and these later fuse with lysosomes to initiate degradation of their contents. Some of the molecular details of these processes have been revealed, but many aspects are still unclear (Aderem & Underhill, 1999; Kwiatkowska & Sobota, 1999).

Phagocytic ability is not limited to multicellular eukaryotes. Simpler organisms, like the social amoeba Dictyostelium, are very agile phagocytes. The process of particle uptake in Dictyostelium looks remarkably similar to macrophage phagocytosis (Aizawa et al., 1997; Rupper & Cardelli, 2001). The evidence suggests that phagocytosis is driven by a receptor-activated signal transduction cascade leading to the actin cytoskeleton, similar to the system observed in mammalian cells. However, in Dictyostelium it is possible to take a genetic approach to understanding the process of phagocytosis (Cornillon et al., 2000; Janssen & Schleicher, 2001; Robinson & Spudich, 2000). To initiate a genetic analysis of phagocytosis, it was important to have a robust and versatile method of characterizing the process. In this paper we report the use of Escherichia coli expressing the 28 kDa fluorescent protein DsRed

Abbreviations: DIC, differential interference contrast; DsRed-Ec, DsRed Escherichia coli; FIU, fluorescence intensity unit(s).
(Baird et al., 2000) for a Dictyostelium phagocytosis assay. This phagocytosis substrate provides a bright signal that is digestible by cells, resistant to photobleaching and provides a fluorescence emission that is stable at physiological pH (Aubry et al., 1993; Baird et al., 2000). To separate the process of binding from internalization, we have added a washing step that removes bound bacteria from the surface of the cells. This optimized assay allows examination of rates of surface binding, internalization and degradation of prey particles.

METHODS

Cell culture. The Dictyostelium discoideum cell lines used in this study include wild-type parental cell lines AX2 (Watts & Ashworth, 1979), AX4 (Knecht et al., 1986), AX3K (Loomis, 1971) and NC4-A2 (Shelden & Knecht, 1995); the mutant cell lines HS1 (Ruppel et al., 1994), lacking myosin II heavy chain (mhcA¹), and HTD17-1, lacking myosin VII and its non-homologous recombinant control G1-21 (Titus, 1999). All strains were grown in 100 mm plastic Petri dishes with 10 ml HL-5 medium (Shelden & Knecht, 1995). Two days before an assay, cells were inoculated at 1 ± 10⁶ cells ml⁻¹ to harvest cells at approximately 1 ± 10⁶ cells ml⁻¹ for the assay.

DsRed-expressing E. coli. Fluorescent bacteria for phagocytosis assays were prepared by introducing a DsRed expression vector into E. coli cells. The E. coli line XL-1 Blue MFR’ (Stratagene) was transformed with the vector pDG75 (kindly provided by Dr Daniel Gage, University of Connecticut, Storrs, CT, USA). The vector was created by amplifying the DsRed gene from pDsRed (Clontech) using a primer containing a ribosome-binding site (as described in Bringhurst et al., 2001) and the fragment was cloned into pGemTeasy (Promega). E. coli cells containing the vector were grown in LB medium with 1 mM IPTG and 75 mg ampicillin ml⁻¹ at 37 °C with shaking. After the cells reached stationary phase the cultures were shaken for an additional 24 h during which time the DsRed became maximally fluorescent. Cultures were concentrated by centrifugation and resuspended at an OD₆₀₀ of 8 ± 0 in 20 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 6·3) and stored at 4 °C for no more than 3 weeks (1:200 dilution in above buffer measured in a BioRad SmartSpec 3000).

Phagocytosis assay. Dictyostelium cells were harvested by centrifugation in the cold for 5 min at 200 g, washed once in 20 mM sodium phosphate buffer, pH 6·3, and resuspended at a concentration of 4 ± 10⁶ cells ml⁻¹. Cells were then incubated in 20 mM sodium phosphate buffer, pH 6·3, at 22 °C and shaken at 130 r.p.m. in a 50 ml flask for 15 min. After this initial incubation, 2 x 10⁶ DsRed E. coli (DsRed-Ec) cells were added for every 4 ± 10⁶ Dictyostelium cells. Alternatively, 2 x 10⁶ YG fluorescent plain latex beads (Polysciences) were used. DsRed-Ec or latex beads were briefly sonicated and vortexed vigorously to break-up any aggregates before addition to the Dictyostelium suspension. This gave a final ratio of 5000 prey particles to each Dictyostelium cell. At each time point in the assay, 0·5 ml cells were transferred from the flask to 5 ml ice cold 20 mM sodium phosphate buffer in a 15 ml conical tube. Cells were washed free of unbound bacteria by centrifugation at 200 g for 5 min at 4 °C in a swinging bucket rotor (Beckman JH6). The pellet was then resuspended in 5 ml fresh buffer by vortexing for 5 s at maximum speed. The pellets were washed two additional times and after the third wash the pellet was resuspended in 200 µl buffer and transferred to a 96-well microtitre plate (Falcon). Fluorescence was assessed in a plate fluorimeter (CertoFluor Series 400; Per-Septive Biosystems) using 530 nm excitation and 580 emission for the DsRed signal or 485 nm excitation, 508 nm emission for YG beads. Each time point was done in triplicate from the same flask unless otherwise indicated. Background signal was established by adding 2 x 10⁶ DsRed-expressing bacteria or 2 x 10⁶ YG beads per millilitre of 20 mM sodium phosphate buffer to flasks without cells and prepared as above.

To remove surface-bound bacteria or beads, the first two washes were performed in 20 mM sodium phosphate buffer containing 5 mM sodium azide, a modification of the method of Glynn (1981). This azide concentration causes release of surface-bound bacteria and beads, but does not significantly reduce cell viability if it is subsequently washed out (data not shown). The final wash did not contain azide and the cells were resuspended in buffer without sodium azide before they were analysed in the fluorescent plate reader.

Binding without uptake. To measure binding in the absence of uptake, flasks containing Dictyostelium were incubated in an ice bucket of packed shaved ice on a rotary shaker (100 r.p.m.) for 15 min before ice-cold bacteria were added. The mixture was then incubated on ice while shaking for 10 min and then washed as described above. All steps were performed at as close to 0 °C as possible.

DsRed breakdown. To measure breakdown of fluorescent bacteria, cells were allowed to take up bacteria for 30 min as described above. The cells were then washed twice in ice-cold sodium phosphate buffer, pH 6·3, with 5 mM sodium azide and once in buffer without sodium azide. Washed cells were resuspended in HL-5 at 4 x 10⁶ cells ml⁻¹. To one flask, unlabelled Klebsiella aerogenes was added to a final concentration of 1 x 10¹⁰ ml⁻¹ and an equal volume of buffer was added to the other. The cells were shaken at 130 r.p.m. and aliquots were removed at specified time points and centrifuged at 2400 g for 5 min at 4 °C to separate cells from any secreted DsRed-labelled material. Three hundred microlitres of supernatant was removed and added to a 96-well microtitre plate. The rest of the supernatant was discarded and then the cells were resuspended and added to another well. The fluorescence of the cells and supernatant was measured on a fluorescence plate reader as described above.

Confocal microscopy. Images of cells and bound bacteria were acquired using a Bio-Rad MRCL600 laser scanning confocal microscope. To minimize internalization of bound particles during imaging, the cells were placed in 20 mM sodium phosphate buffer in a Rose chamber cooled by a 20/20 Technologies stage controller set at 0 °C on the stage of a Zeiss Axiovert Microscope. Dual channel imaging was used with a rhodamine filter to visualize the DsRed bacteria and differential interference contrast (DIC) to image the whole cells and bound particles. Optical sections of the rhodamine channel were made using a x63 1·25 NA oil immersion objective. Z-Series images were collected at 0·5 µm intervals. Single slices from the Z-stacks are presented with the two channels overlaid. The DsRed bacteria are pseudocoloured red in the merged image. Images were processed with NIH image (developed at the US National Institutes of Health and available at http://rsb.info.nih.gov/nih-image/) and Photoshop (Adobe).

RESULTS

Uptake of DsRed-Ec by Dictyostelium

Most phagocytosis assays utilize either dead, chemically labelled bacteria or yeast, or fluorescent beads as a
target particle for phagocytosis. We have developed an assay using live bacteria expressing the fluorescent protein DsRed (DsRed-Ec), allowing us to assess both uptake and processing of bacteria by cells. When cells were shaken in suspension with DsRed-Ec, cells rapidly began to take up bacteria and cell-associated fluorescence continued to increase until a plateau was reached after about 30 min (Fig. 1). If fluorescent latex beads were used instead of bacteria, the kinetics of the process were similar (Fig. 1).

There are numerous ‘wild-type’ Dictyostelium cell lines used for making mutants that are subsequently assessed for defects in phagocytosis. Therefore, it was of interest to use this assay to determine if all of these cell lines were equally proficient at phagocytosis. The results indicate that there are differences in the rate of phagocytosis between these commonly used strains. The most striking difference is that AX4 is less efficient at internalizing DsRed-Ec than NC4-A2, AX2 or AX3-K (Fig. 2a). The AX4 cell line is also less efficient at internalizing latex beads than the other wild-type cell lines (Fig. 2b). The decision to use AX2 for subsequent studies was based on its robust ability to phagocytose both DsRed-Ec and beads.

**Contribution of surface-bound bacteria to total signal**

The process of phagocytosis can be divided into two phases: binding and internalization. Other phagocytosis assays have used trypan blue to quench the fluorescent signal from bacteria still on the surface to distinguish this signal from internalized bacteria (Maniak et al., 1995). Since the DsRed-Ec cells are alive, quenching will not work, so we sought an alternative method. Since cells undergo a cortical contraction and round up when treated with sodium azide (Pasternak et al., 1989), it seemed possible that this process might release bacteria bound to the surface without affecting bacteria already internalized into phagosomes. Cells can recover completely from azide treatment, so it appears not to damage them irreversibly.

Several experiments were performed to confirm that azide was removing surface-bound bacteria. To visualize the effects of azide treatment, cells were incubated for various times with DsRed-Ec and then washed with or without azide. The cells were then imaged with a confocal microscope. This allowed us to use optical sectioning to determine if bacteria were near the surface of the cell or inside. Cells incubated for 5 min or more had many bacteria associated with the surface when washed with buffer alone and some bacteria appeared to
Fig. 3. Azide washing removes DsRed-Ec from the surface of cells. After the indicated incubation time the suspension of DsRed-Ec and AX2 cells was washed twice with the indicated treatment and once in plain sodium phosphate buffer. Images were acquired by Z-sectioning through the cells using a confocal microscope. Single representative focal planes near the centre of the cells are shown which make it easy to distinguish the cell perimeter. The DIC image of the cells was merged with the rhodamine (DsRed) channel in each section and the DsRed-Ec are pseudocoloured red.

have been internalized (Fig. 3). When washed with azide, all the DsRed-Ec cells were found inside the cell. When cells that had taken up bacteria for 40 min were examined, there was a significant increase in the amount of bacteria associated with the surface as well as inside the cell. All of the surface-bound bacteria appeared to have been removed by the azide wash.

When two azide washes were employed in the assay, we observed a reduction in the fluorescence signal consistent with our visual observation. After 1 min of uptake, when most of the bacteria are expected to be surface-associated, azide treatment removed more than 80 % of the fluorescence signal (Fig. 4b). The proportion of the signal washed off decreased over time until it reached a
Uptake of live fluorescent *E. coli*

plateau at approximately 50% of the total signal (Fig. 4a). This number represents the proportion of the signal that is due to surface-bound bacteria. Similar results were obtained when fluorescent latex beads were used as substrate (data not shown).

To confirm that the azide wash was removing surface-bound bacteria, the assay was performed under conditions where all of the bacteria should be surface-associated. Cells were allowed to bind DsRed-Ec at 0 °C, which prevents internalization (Rabinovitch, 1967). When these cells were washed in 5 mM azide, 90% of the fluorescence was lost. By varying the wash conditions, the requirements for azide-induced release were determined. At azide concentrations below 0.05 mM, the release was incomplete (data not shown).

An azide concentration of 5 mM gave consistent results and did not compromise cell viability. It is important to note that the azide-mediated release required some mechanical agitation to induce the release of particles. If azide was added to cells while they were being imaged on the microscope stage, no release was observed. Likewise, gentle agitation when resuspending pellets in the standard assay did not remove surface-bound bacteria. Only when cells were vigorously vortexed was release observed. These data indicate that azide treatment reduces the tightness of binding of bacteria to the surface, but does not in and of itself cause bacterial release. *Dictyostelium* washed with azide after uptake of rhodamine dextran, as a fluid phase marker, showed no reduction in fluorescent signal when compared with untreated cells (data not shown). This result suggests that azide is not stimulating release of intracellular vesicles.

The rounding and detachment of cells from surfaces in the presence of azide are mediated by myosin II, since cells lacking myosin II contractile activity remain attached to the substrate when exposed to azide (Pasternak et al., 1989). To assess if the release of bound bacteria from the surface of the cell was mediated by a generalized cell shape change, we analysed the effect of azide on phagocytosis by mutant cells lacking myosin II heavy chain (*mhcA*−) (*mhcA*− cells were able to phagocytose bacteria nearly as well as wild-type cells (Fig. 5). Surprisingly, the release of bacteria from *mhcA*− cells was equivalent to that of wild-type cells (Fig. 5). This result indicates that myosin II is not required for phagocytosis of bacteria and that the azide-induced bacterial release is not functioning through a generalized cell shape change or other myosin II-dependent mechanism.

---

**Fig. 4.** Surface-bound DsRed-Ec accounts for 50% of total fluorescence at equilibrium. (a) After the indicated incubation time, the suspension of DsRed-Ec and AX2 cells was washed twice with either sodium phosphate buffer (●) or buffer containing azide (○) and once in sodium phosphate buffer. Each time point is a mean of three independent samples from the same bacteria/AX2 mixture. (b) Duplicate samples taken during the first 300 s of uptake. At the 30 s time point, the surface-bound bacteria account for approximately 80% of the signal. After 40 min incubation the azide-releasable signal is 50% of the total signal. Maximum FIU valves were normalized to 1000. ●, Total bacteria; ○, internalized bacteria.

**Fig. 5.** Release of bacteria from the surface of *Dictyostelium* is not dependent on myosin II. After the indicated incubation times the suspension of DsRed-Ec and AX2 or *mhcA*− cells was washed twice with the indicated treatment and once in plain sodium phosphate buffer. Each time point is a mean of three independent samples from the same cell mixture. Maximum FIU valves were normalized to 1000. ●, AX2; ○, AX2 with azide; △, *mhcA*− cells; ▲, *mhcA*− cells with azide.
It is essential that this modified assay should be able to identify cells defective in phagocytosis. To verify that this assay is able to identify cells defective in phagocytosis and further explore the sodium-azide-mediated release, phagocytosis by myosin VII null cells (DdMVII null) was examined. These cells are known to exhibit a substantially reduced rate of phagocytosis of both latex beads and fluorescently labelled yeast (Titus, 1999; Tuxworth et al., 2001). The role of myosin VII is to mediate adhesion during the early stages of phagocytosis (Tuxworth et al., 2001). This makes DdMVII null cells interesting candidates for testing the DsRed-Ec assay. DdMVII nulls cells have a substantially slower rate of uptake of DsRed-Ec when compared with control cells (Fig. 6). This finding is consistent with published results (Titus, 1999; Tuxworth et al., 2001) and verifies the ability of this assay to identify cells defective in phagocytosis. When the DdMVII null cells were washed with sodium azide, the amount of signal was reduced (Fig. 6). This suggests that the binding of particles to DdMVII cells is sodium-azide-sensitive and that myosin VII is not responsible for the azide-induced release of surface-bound particles.

Degradation of DsRed-Ec

One of the advantages of using DsRed-Ec instead of dye-labelled bacteria is that the fluorescent protein should be eventually degraded by the phagolysosomal system. Therefore, it is possible to directly assess the processing of internalized material. To measure the degradation rate of DsRed-Ec, a pulse–chase experiment was performed. Dictyostelium cells were allowed to internalize DsRed-Ec for 30 min and then the free and surface-bound bacteria were removed; the incubation was continued with either unlabelled bacteria or HLS growth medium. The DsRed fluorescent signal associated with the cells decreased continuously during the chase with a half-life of 45 min (Fig. 7). The presence of bacteria in the chase condition does not appear to alter the rate of DsRed-Ec degradation. No signal was detected in the chase medium, indicating that the DsRed protein is degraded prior to release of any material from the cells (data not shown).

DISCUSSION

Phagocytosis and digestion of prey particles is a multistep process. It involves binding of the particle by a surface receptor, changes in the actin cytoskeleton that lead to the particle becoming surrounded by membrane and then membrane fusion and internalization of the particle into phagosomal vesicles (Greenberg, 1995). The phagosome is then processed by the lysosomal pathway, leading to degradation of the vesicle contents. To investigate the kinetics of phagocytosis it is necessary to distinguish surface-bound particles from internalized particles. The use of a sodium azide wash allows us to make this distinction. Our results show that a surprisingly large proportion of the signal (50%) in the standard phagocytosis assay is derived from surface-bound bacteria. If not taken into account, this surface-bound fraction leads to an overestimation of the amount of phagocytosis and may mask some of the kinetics of internalization.

The mechanism of sodium-azide-mediated release of surface-bound particles remains unclear. It may act through depletion of ATP from the cells; however, the fact that particles are released even when the treatment...
is done in the cold argues that the phenomenon may be more complex. In addition, the release of surface-bound particles requires some mechanical agitation. Since both beads and bacteria are released by azide and the uptake of these particles is mediated by different receptors, it seems unlikely that the azide release is due to a direct effect on receptor affinity. A more likely explanation is that azide has an effect on cytoskeletal protein(s) that modulate receptor function. It is clear that the release of particles from the surface of the cells is not mediated by myosin II, although myosin II has been found in the phagocytic cup of lung macrophages (Stendahl et al., 1980). Cells lacking myosin II function show the same azide-induced release of bacteria as wild-type cells. Myosin VII is present at the early stages of phagocytic cup formation and is another possible candidate for the molecule affected by sodium azide (Tuxworth et al., 2001). Mutants that lack myosin VII are defective in uptake of DsRed-Ec, but the small amount of surface binding that does occur is partially azide-sensitive, suggesting that myosin VII is not essential for azide release. When cells are treated with 2,4-dinitrophenol, an uncoupler of oxidative phosphorylation, the cells round up and the cortical actin filament system is disrupted (Jungbluth et al., 1994). The rearrangement of the actin cytoskeleton induced by starring cytoskeletal components for ATP combined with the shear forces generated by vortexing the cells may cause the release of incompletely internalized bacteria.

There are several advantages to using E. coli expressing DsRed as a phagocytosis uptake marker. A major consideration is alteration of the surface chemistry of the bacteria. The surface chemistry of the prey particle is important to how Dictyostelium interacts with the particle (Cohen et al., 1994; Cornillion et al., 2000; Vogel et al., 1980). Since the DsRed bacteria are collected from growth medium and used for the assay, changes in surface chemistry caused by handling are negligible. The use of live E. coli also prevents loss of any attractant factors or signals that are secreted by living bacteria and any modification to the surface of the cell wall that is the result of preparation and labelling of bacteria using a heat killing step. A second advantage is that the fluorescence emission from DsRed protein is very pH-stable (Baird et al., 2000). The stability of DsRed works in tandem with live E. coli which have an intact membrane, so the DsRed is protected from the environment of the phagolysosome until the bacterial membrane is disrupted. This situation differs from artificially labelled bacteria, where the fluorescence marker is accessible to the acidic environment of the phagosome immediately after internalization. Loss of the E. coli-derived DsRed fluorescence signal is likely to be the direct result of the degradation of the DsRed protein and not a pH quenching effect. A final advantage is that unlike chemical labelling procedures, it is very simple and inexpensive to prepare large amounts of bacteria for phagocytosis assays. Thus E. coli expressing DsRed has great utility as a target particle for phagocytosis assays. Expression of the DsRed protein in other bacteria with known surface characteristics will give us the opportunity to examine the role of surface chemistry on phagocytosis.

Using DsRed-Ec, we observed a half-life of the fluorescence signal of 45 min. This suggests that after 30 min incubation, when the fluorescence signal begins to plateau, we are observing the equilibrium between uptake and degradation of the DsRed signal. In this plateau period, the difference between the sodium-azide-washed samples and untreated samples indicates the binding capacity of the surface of the cell for bacteria. This binding capacity represents approximately 50% of the total signal at equilibrium. This result suggests that binding of bacteria is not the rate-limiting step in phagocytosis, since abundant surface-bound bacteria are available for internalization. The ability to remove bacteria from the surface with azide is critical to the efforts we have initiated to genetically screen for phagocytosis mutants. Mutants that bind, but do not internalize bacteria would be difficult to identify if we were not able to remove the surface-bound bacteria.

The combination of DsRed with a mild sodium azide wash to release surface-bound bacteria produces a versatile phagocytosis assay. This assay is well suited for examination of the kinetics of particle binding and uptake, and for screening for mutants defective in both the binding and subsequent stages of phagocytosis. Understanding the biochemical mechanisms of phagocytosis will be aided by our ability to separate the process into discrete steps and to identify the molecular components involved.
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