Bacterial respiration: a flexible process for a changing environment
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Overview

The respiration of oxygen is fundamental to the life of higher animals and plants. The basic respiratory process in the mitochondria of these organisms involves the donation of electrons by low-redox-potential electron donors such as NADH. This is followed by electron transfer through a range of redox cofactors, bound to integral membrane or membrane-associated protein complexes. The process terminates in the reduction of the high-redox-potential electron acceptor, oxygen (Fig. 1). The free energy released during this electron-transfer process is used to drive the translocation of protons across the mitochondrial membrane to generate a trans-membrane proton electrochemical gradient or protonmotive force (∆p) that can drive the synthesis of ATP (Fig. 1). The respiratory flexibility of the mammalian mitochondrion is rather poor. There is some flexibility at the level of electron input (Fig. 1), but none at the level of electron output where cytochrome aa₃ oxidase provides the only means of oxygen reduction. In the case of plant mitochondria, a slightly greater degree of respiratory flexibility is encountered with a number of alternative NADH dehydrogenases and two oxidases being apparent. This respiratory flexibility affords plant mitochondria with the capacity to contribute to processes other than the generation of ATP. For example, electron transfer from the alternative NADH dehydrogenase to the alternative oxidase is not coupled to the generation of ∆p and instead serves to release energy as heat, which can volatilize insect attractants to aid pollination. In the American skunk cabbage this same mechanism for heat production serves to permit growth at subzero temperatures (Nicholls & Ferguson, 1992). There is also some respiratory flexibility in the mitochondria of yeast, filamentous fungi and ancient protozoa, but it is amongst the Bacteria and Archaea that respiratory flexibility can be found at its most extreme. In these organisms, a diverse range of electron acceptors can be utilized including elemental sulphur and sulphur oxanions (Hamilton, 1998), organic sulphonoxides and sulphoxides (Lie et al., 1999; McAlpine et al., 1998), nitrogen oxy-anions and nitrogen oxides (Berks et al., 1995), organic N-oxides (Czjzek et al., 1998), halogenated organics (Dolfing, 1990; Louie & Mohn, 1999; van de Pas et al., 1999), metalloid oxy-anions such as selenate and arsenate (Krafft & Macy, 1998; Macy et al., 1996, 1993; Schroder et al., 1997), transition metals such as Fe(III) and Mn(IV) (Lovley, 1991), and radionuclides such as U(VI) (Lovley & Phillips, 1992) and Tc(VII) (Lloyd et al., 1999). This respiratory diversity can be found amongst psychrophiles, mesophiles and hyperthermophiles and contributes to the ability of prokaryotes to colonize many of Earth’s most hostile micro-oxic and anoxic environments.

A good example of respiratory flexibility can be found in Paracoccus denitrificans, a soil bacterium that is a member of the z-proteobacteria and thought to be a close relative of the original progenitor of the mitochondrion (Fig. 2). This bacterium is equipped with genes that encode three biochemically distinct oxidases (de Gier et al., 1994). One of these, the cytochrome aa₃ oxidase, operates at high oxygen tensions and terminates a highly coupled electron-transfer pathway. However, at lower oxygen tensions the high-affinity cytochrome cbb₃ oxidase becomes more important and a cytochrome c peroxidase is also expressed to enable the energy-conserving detoxification of the partially reduced toxic oxygen species hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂). Under anaerobic conditions, enzymes that are capable of reducing nitrogen oxy-anions and nitrogen oxides are expressed (Berks et al., 1995a). These can be coupled to the core electron-transport pathway at the level of the ubiquinol pool or the cytochrome bc₁ complex, and enable growth and metabolism of the organism in anoxic environments. Thus, by modulating expression of different terminal oxidoreductases, which ‘lock’ onto a core electron-transfer system, it is possible for Paracoccus denitrificans to survive and proliferate in a range ofoxic, micro-oxic and anoxic environments and adapt quickly in a rapidly changing environment.

A combination of biochemistry and genome sequence
Respiratory flexibility in pathogens will make a significant contribution to understanding the survival of these bacteria both inside and outside the host organism. Recent examples of developments in this area include the cytochrome bd oxidase and the cytochrome bo oxidase, the former of which has a high affinity for oxygen and is expressed under micro-oxic conditions (D’mello et al., 1996). Respiratory flexibility is also apparent in the emerging genomes of many pathogenic bacteria, including *Helicobacter pylori*, *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* and *Haemophilus influenzae* (Cole et al., 1998; Fleischmann et al., 1995; Tomb et al., 1997). Studying the nature, degree and regulation of this respiratory flexibility in pathogens will make a significant contribution to understanding the survival of these bacteria both inside and outside the host organism. Recent examples of developments in this area include the suggestion that cytochrome bd oxidase is important in *Shigella flexneri* virulence (Way et al., 1999), that the NarZ membrane-bound nitrate reductase of *Salmonella typhimurium* is stress-induced and expressed at high levels in cultured kidney epithelial cells (Spector et al., 1999), and that the copper-type nitrite reductase of *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* is detected in sera of patients suffering from gonococcal infections (Householder et al., 1999; Hoehn & Clark, 1992, Berks et al., 1995a).

Amongst the Archaea, methanogens can utilize CO₂ as the electron donor, in the strictly anaerobic process of methanogenesis, which can be considered as a respiratory process as it is coupled to the generation of a H⁺ or Na⁺ electrochemical gradient. The complexity of
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**Fig. 1.** A summary of the topology and bioenergetics of a basic aerobic respiratory electron transport system of a mammalian mitochondrion. UQ, ubiquinone; UQH₂, ubiquinol; Cyt, cytochrome.

**Fig. 2.** Respiratory flexibility in *Paracoccus denitrificans* (a) and *Escherichia coli* (b). MQ, menaquinone; UQ, ubiquinone; DMQ, demethylenoquinone.
methanogenesis is clearly apparent from analysis of the genomes of the strictly anaerobic hyperthermophile *Methanococcus jannaschii* (Bult *et al.*, 1996) and moderate thermophile *Methanobacterium thermoautotrophicum* (Smith *et al.*, 1997) and was reviewed recently by Thauer (1998). The genome of the anaerobic hyperthermophilic sulphate respirer *Archeoglobus fulgidus* has also been sequenced and reveals a genetic potential for respiratory flexibility not yet recognized by biochemical studies and which might include the ability to utilize Fe(III), nitrate, DMSO, polysulphide, fumarate, heterodisulphides and oxygen as electron acceptors (Klenk *et al.*, 1997). Another sulphate-reducing hyperthermophile, *Pyrobacterium aerophilum*, has been demonstrated to utilize nitrate or oxygen (at low partial pressures) as growth-supporting respiratory substrates (Volkel *et al.*, 1997). Amongst the aerobic Archaea (e.g. *Sulfolobus acidocaldarius*), the study of oxygen respiration has been the focus of some attention, leading to the identification of haem–copper oxidases (HCOs) that are related to the HCOs of Bacteria. Indeed, analysis of protein sequences in current databases of Bacteria and Archaea reveal that a number of respiratory proteins are homologous in both domains of life. In addition to HCOs these include nitrate reductase, DMSO reductase, adenylylsulphate reductase, sulphite reductase and polysulphide reductase, and cytochrome *bd* oxidase. Thus, it seems likely that these respiratory pathways arose early in evolution and that the last common ancestor of living organisms was not a simple organism in its energetic metabolism and that its respiratory flexibility enabled it to proliferate under a range of environmental conditions (Castresana & Moreira, 1999).

One of the respiratory processes that probably evolved before the last common ancestor was denitrification, in which nitrate is reduced via nitrite, nitric oxide and nitrous oxide to dinitrogen (Berks *et al.*, 1995). One of the enzymes involved in this process, nitrate reductase, binds a complex organic cofactor [the *bis*-molybdopterin guanine dinucleotide (*bis*-MGD) cofactor], which lies at the heart of a number of different respiratory enzymes (Kisker *et al.*, 1997). Another two, nitric oxide reductase and nitrous oxide reductase, have together evolved into the cytochrome *aa*_3 oxidase that catalyses much of the oxygen respiration on earth today (Saraste, 1994; Saraste & Castresana, 1994; van der Oost *et al.*, 1994; Watmough *et al.*, 1999). The absence of photosynthetic reaction centres in Archaea, and the presence of oxidases of similar primary structure in both Bacteria and Archaea, has led to the ‘respiration-first’ hypothesis. It is argued that oxygen levels began to increase on early Earth before the onset of oxygenic photosynthesis (Castresana & Saraste, 1995; Schaefer *et al.*, 1996). Thus the original oxidases were high-affinity enzymes that evolved from the nitric oxide reductase. Some of these may still exist today in the guise of the high-affinity bacterial cytochrome *cbb*_3 oxidases (Preisig *et al.*, 1993; Saraste & Castresana, 1994). The original role of these early HCOs and the unrelated high-affinity cytochrome *bd* oxidase may have been to protect oxygen-labile enzymes from destruction by oxygen. Such roles can be seen, for example in the respiratory protection of nitrogenase by cytochrome *bd* in *Azotobacter vinelandii* (Poole & Hill, 1997) and by cytochrome *cbb*_3 in *Bradyrhizobium japonicum* (Preisig *et al.*, 1993). The lower-affinity cytochrome *aa*_3 oxidases may then have evolved subsequently in response to increasing oxygen levels in many environments.

A review detailing progress of study on all of the prokaryotic respiratory systems is beyond the scope of any article and in this paper only some of the key respiratory reactions currently under study in the author’s laboratory will be addressed, namely Fe(III) respiration, nitrate respiration and nitric oxide reductase. In doing so, attention will be paid to the flexible use of particular proteins or cofactors in a range of other respiratory reactions and also to flexible use of the respiratory process itself in functions other than the generation of *Ap*.

**Bacterial Fe(III) respiration**

**Fe(III) reduction: an ancient respiratory process**

It is generally agreed that the first respiratory processes to evolve in the hyperthermal reducing environment of early Earth, over 3.5 billion years ago, would have utilized either Fe(III) or S(0) as electron acceptors. It has recently been argued that Fe(III) respiration preceded sulphur respiration (Vargas *et al.*, 1998) since Fe(III), derived from photochemical oxidation of Fe(II) from Archean seas and hydrothermal-vent fluids, was abundant on early earth and Fe(III) respiration has been demonstrated in a number of deep-branching hyperthermophilic Archaea and Eubacteria, many of which do not respire S(0) (Vargas *et al.*, 1998). Intriguingly, it has been pointed out that extracellular magnetite accumulations characteristic of Fe(III) respiring prokaryotes are associated with some of the putative fossilized microbes found in ancient Martian meteorites (McKay *et al.*, 1996; Vargas *et al.*, 1998).

An early importance for Fe(III) respiration in microbial life is also attractive because it would not have required the evolution of complex cofactors and redox proteins. The respiratory reduction of Fe(III) and other transition metals is largely dependent on a sufficient thermodynamic driving force and an appropriate long-range electron-transfer system. This need not require specific enzymes, indeed it is unlikely that there are specific iron reductases operating in any of the hyperthermophiles recently reported as Fe(III)-respiring bacteria (Vargas *et al.*, 1998). A simple early respiratory process for Fe(III) is likely to have utilized hydrogen as an electron donor with electrons being extracted via an extra-membranous hydrogenase. The scalar protons produced from hydrogen oxidation would contribute to the generation of a proton gradient across the membrane. In this context, it is also notable that the hydrogenase (*Hyc*) of the formate hydrogen lyase system of *Escherichia coli* has been reported to provide the organism with the capacity for technetium reduction (Lloyd *et al.*, 1997). Hydrogen-
driven Fe(III) respiration in hyperthermophiles may account for observations of magnetite accumulation at depths of 6-7 km in the Earth’s core (Gold, 1992; Lovley, 1997).

**Fe(III) respiration in mesophilic Gram-negative bacteria**

Although Fe(III) respiration may have originally evolved in hydrothermal environments on early Earth and can be identified in a number of hyperthermophilic Archaea, the process is also known to be widespread among a number of branches of the Bacteria (Lonergan et al., 1996) and contributes significantly to the biogeochemical development of a number of mesophilic anoxic environments (Lovley, 1991). Indeed, the activity of Fe(III)-respiring bacteria can have an impact on the populations of both sulphate- and nitrate-reducing bacteria in these environments, and hence impact on the nitrogen and sulphur cycles in general (Lovley, 1991). The activity of Fe(III)-respiring bacteria can be detrimental, for example in the corrosion of deep-sea oil pipes, but it can also potentially be harnessed for the bioremediation of polluted anoxic subsurface zones (Lovley et al., 1994). The major problem of utilizing Fe(III) as a respiratory substrate is the insolubility of the cation at circum-neutral pH. The soluble FeIII(OH)6 species only exists at pH < 2 and the use of Fe(III) as an electron acceptor by sulphur-oxidizing acidophiles (e.g. *Thiobacillus ferrooxidans*) has been reported (Sugio et al., 1992). However, as the pH increases, deprotonation and dehydration events lead to the formation of complex oxo/hydroxo bridged precipitates (e.g. rust). An Fe(III)-respiring Gram-negative bacterium then faces the problem of moving electrons generated from carbon metabolism in the cytoplasm across two cell membranes and the intervening periplasm to the site of reduction of the insoluble extracellular species.

In the case of the members of the Fe(III)-respiring genus *Shewanella*, it is emerging that this problem may be solved by using a number of tetra-haem and deca-haem c-type cytochromes to form a multi-haem electron ‘wire’ between the inner and the outer membranes (Beliaev & Saffarini, 1998; Field et al., 2000; Myers & Myers, 1997a, b, 1998) (Fig. 3a). Accordingly, when cells of *Shewanella frigidimarina* are grown under anaerobic conditions with Fe(III) present as the electron acceptor, the expression of cytochromes greatly increases compared to cells grown anaerobically with fumarate present as the electron acceptor (Dobbin et al., 1999), and addition of Fe(III) complexes to anaerobically incubated cells results in the ‘drain’ of electrons from the total cytochrome pool (Dobbin et al., 1993, 1996a). Some of the multi-haem cytochromes purified from *Shewanella frigidimarina* grown with Fe(III) include a 20 kDa tetra-haem membrane-anchored quinol dehydrogenase (CymA), a 35 kDa periplasmic deca-haem cytochrome (Pcc35), a 60 kDa iron-induced flavocytochrome c5 (Ifc3) and an outer-membrane 80 kDa deca-haem lipoprotein (OmcA) (Dobbin et al., 1999; Field et al., 2000; Myers & Myers, 1997a, b, 1998) (Fig. 3a). It is becoming apparent that the genes for many of these cytochromes are present in multiple copies on the *Shewanella putrefaciens* genome, for example one recently characterized gene cluster (GenBank accession no. AF083240) contains three outer-membrane deca-haem lipoproteins and two periplasmic deca-haem proteins (Beliaev & Saffarini, 1998). This increased gene dosage presumably enables the bacteria to drive the high levels of cytochrome expression required to facilitate rapid reduction of the surrounding insoluble Fe(III) species.

The means by which electrons proceed from redox centres in the periplasm to redox centres on the outer face of the outer membrane has not yet been established.
Direct electron transfer may be possible. However, genes predicted to encode outer-membrane β-barrel proteins cluster with some of the multi-haem cytochromes, and the possibility that these bind a redox centre has been raised (Beliaev & Saffarini, 1998; Dobbin et al., 1999).

In the model for Fe(III) respiration shown in Fig. 3a, the reduction of Fe(III) is probably via non-specific long-range electron transfer. The multi-haem cytochromes themselves are not specific Fe(III) reductases. Indeed the soluble periplasmic multi-haem cytochromes have Fe(III) reductase activity but are not physiological soluble periplasmic multi-haem cytochromes have Fe(III) chelators such as nitriloacetic acid can accelerate the rate of Fe(III) reduction, which may be because the soluble species can enter the periplasm where they can be reduced by the large pool of low-potential periplasmic cytochromes (Dobbin et al., 1999). However, adding Fe(III) chelators can accelerate the rate of Fe(III) reduction, which may be because the soluble species can enter the periplasm where they can be reduced by the large pool of low-potential periplasmic cytochromes (Dobbin et al., 1999). Furthermore, the non-specific nature of the multi-haem Fe(III) reductase system makes it suitable for reduction of a range of extracellular substrates, such as Mn(IV) and insoluble sulphur species (Beliaev & Saffarini, 1998; Lovley, 1991).

**Diverse respiratory functions of periplasmic multi-haem c-type cytochromes**

Consideration of the multi-haem cytochromes involved in Fe(III) respiration in conjunction with recent structural studies on multi-haem cytochromes is beginning to give insight into the evolution of these proteins. Sequence analysis of the deca-haem cytochromes involved in Fe(III) respiration suggests that they are composed of two penta-haem units which show some similarity to the 16 kDa penta-haem NrfB protein of some enteric bacteria (Beliaev & Saffarini, 1998; Hussein et al., 1994). NrfB is involved in electron transfer to the 50 kDa NrfA protein which is a nitrite reductase and which also has a penta-haem core (Darwin et al., 1993; Einsle et al., 1999) (Fig. 4). Recent structural analysis increases the number of this multi-haem protein family further to include some tetra-haem and octa-haem proteins. Fig. 4 shows the haem arrangement of four such proteins. One of these is the Fe(III)-induced periplasmic flavocytochrome c₃, which is an isozyme of a soluble fumarate reductase (Fcc₃) also expressed by the Shewanella species and for which structures are also known (Bamford et al., 1999; Taylor et al., 1999; Leys et al., 1999). The tetra-haem arrangement of the haems in this protein includes an intriguing haem–haem pair in which the haem-irons are only 9 Å apart and the closest haem edges are only 4 Å apart. Inspection of the octa-haem arrangement in the hydroxylamine oxidoreductase (HAO) (Igarashi et al., 1997) reveals that the four Ifc₃/Fcc₃ haems can be superimposed onto four of the HAO haems. The four Ifc₃ haems also have a similar arrangement to four of the haems from the penta-haem NrfA-type nitrite reductase (Einsle et al., 1999) and all five haems from this enzyme overlap on five of the HAO haems. Finally two of the haems from the Ifc₃ overlap onto two of the four haems of cytochrome c-554 (Iverson et al., 1998), from which all four haems will superimpose on the HAO (Fig. 4). Despite this conservation of haem organization, little similarity can be seen between these four proteins at the primary-structure level. Nevertheless, this analysis suggests that all four multi-haem cytochromes share a common evolutionary origin but have diverged for use in four distinct periplasmic electron-transfer processes: Fe(III) reduction, fumarate reduction (Fig. 3a), hydroxylamine oxidation (Fig. 5) and nitrite reduction to ammonia (Fig. 5). The conservation of the haem arrangements must reflect the importance of haem–haem angles and distances in these periplasmic electron-transfer processes. Given the non-enzymatic nature of long-range electron transfer, it seems likely that these multi-haem cytochromes evolved for functions such as protein–protein electron transfer and non-specific reduction of respiratory substrates, and later evolved other catalytic activities through the evolution of the polypeptide chain yielding specialized active sites around a catalytic haem.

Members of the Nrf/HAO multi-haem cytochrome family have quite distinct haem arrangements from the tetra-haem subunit that lies at the periplasmic face of the Rhodopseudomonas viridis photosynthetic reaction centre tetra-haem Cyt c, Rhodopseudomonas viridis.
centre and those of the multi-haem cytochrome c family found in many sulphate-reducing bacteria, such as Desulfovibrio vulgaris. The latter group of cytochromes are likely to be associated with the electron transfer from periplasmic hydrogenases to the membrane components involved in transfer of electrons across the membrane to facilitate cytoplasmic sulphite reduction (Fig. 3b). These family members can bind up to 16 haems, but the gene duplication of tri- and tetra-haem units (Fig. 5) is usually clearly apparent and consequently nona-haem, octa-haem and dodeca-haem polypeptides of this family have been reported (Matias et al., 1999; Pollock et al., 1991). These cytochrome c$_3$ proteins may also be involved in the non-specific reduction of transition metals and radionuclides that have been reported in a number of sulphate-reducing bacteria (Lovley et al., 1993). These are particularly adapted at reducing soluble metal ions such as U(VI) which can easily access the periplasmic cytochromes, and industrial use of these can be made in the reductive precipitation of such metals, e.g. reduction of U(VI) to insoluble U(IV), which could be harnessed in bioremediation of polluted waters (Lovley & Coates, 1997).

The inter-membrane electron-transfer system that operates during Fe(III) respiration in Shewanella species requires a means of extracting electrons from membrane-entrapped quinol and directing them into the periplasmic compartment. This is true for a number of bacterial respiratory systems that involve periplasmic oxido-reductases and one solution to the problem seems to have been the evolution of the NapC family of membrane-anchored tetra/penta-haem cytochromes (Roldan et al., 1998). Members of this family have been implicated in mediating electron transfer from quinols to a range of oxido-reductases that include the nitrate reductase (NapC) (Roldan et al., 1998), the periplasmic DMSO reductase (DorC) (Shaw et al., 1999), the TMAO reductase (TorC) and some cytochrome cd$_1$ nitrite reductases (NirT) (Jungst et al., 1991). In the case of CycB (Bergmann et al., 1994), they may also serve to transfer electrons into the ubiquinone (UQ) pool during nitritification by Nitrosomonas europaea (Fig. 5a). The CymA protein involved in Fe(III) respiration in Shewanella putrefaciens is also a member of this family and additionally serves to transfer electrons to the periplasmic fumarate reductase and the periplasmic
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Fig. 5. Model schemes of the electron-transport systems in which the multi-haem cytochromes of the HAO/Nrf family participate. (a) The scheme for HAO represents a possible electron-transport system for ammonia oxidation in Nitrosomonas europaea. (b) The scheme for Nrf represents a possible electron-transport system for periplasmic nitrate reduction to ammonium in Escherichia coli. Haem groups are represented by black ovals. P, periplasm; C, cytoplasm.
nitrate reductase of this organism (Myers & Myers, 1997a). This demonstrates a promiscuous role for this multi-haem quinol dehydrogenase that contributes to the respiratory flexibility of the organism. The biology of one of its redox partners, the periplasmic nitrate reductase, will be discussed below.

**Nitrate respiration and the bis-MGD enzymes**

Nitrate is an important component of the biological nitrogen cycle (Fig. 6). It serves as the substrate for the denitrification process in which nitrate is reduced via nitrite, nitric oxide and nitrous oxide to dinitrogen. Each reaction is catalysed by an enzyme that is coupled to energy-conserving electron-transport pathways. The process as a whole is important in agriculture where it results in the loss of nitrate fertilizers from fields and in waste-treatment processes where nitrate must be removed from waste waters before release into the environment. Nitrate is also an end product of the nitrification process whereby ammonia is oxidized, via hydroxylamine and nitrite, to nitrate by the combined action of species such as *Nitrosomonas europaea* (NH$_4^+$ oxidizer) and *Nitrobacter vulgaris* (NO$_2^-$ oxidizer). Nitrate can also be reduced via nitrite to ammonium by some enteric and sulphate-reducing bacteria in a respiratory process (Berk et al., 1995a; Moura et al., 1997). The same series of reactions, though catalysed by distinct enzymes, can also occur as part of nitrogen assimilation into cellular biomass in ammonium-limited environments.

In bacterial nitrate reductases the cofactor at which the chemistry of nitrate reduction takes place is bis-MGD (Fig. 7a). The bis-MGD cofactor is also utilized by a wide range of other respiratory enzymes that catalyse distinct chemistries. These include DMSO reduction, TMAO reduction, selenite reduction, tetrathionate reduction, thiourea reduction and formate dehydrogenation (Dias et al., 1999; Hensel et al., 1999; Kisker et al., 1997; McAlpine et al., 1998; Schindelin et al., 1996; Schroder et al., 1997; Boyington et al., 1997; Czjzek et al., 1988). The topological organization of some of these is shown in Fig. 7 and reveals how these enzymes have recruited iron–sulphur proteins and membrane anchors to enable the redox reactions catalysed by the bis-MGD to be coupled to electron transfer into, or out of, the quinone (Q)/QH$_2$ pool. In the case of the iron–sulphur subunit, which binds four [4Fe–4S] clusters, homologues can be found in a number non-molybdenum-dependent redox systems. These include HmcB (Rossi et al., 1993), involved in electron transfer from hydrogenase to the Q pool in *Desulfovibrio vulgaris* (Fig. 3) and NrfC, involved in electron transfer from the QH$_2$ pool to the multi-haem nitrite reductase system of *Escherichia coli* (Hussain et al., 1994; Berks et al., 1995b) (Fig. 5).

In the bis-MGD subunits, the molybdenum that lies at the heart of the bis-MGD cofactor can be coordinated by up to four thiolate ligands provided by the two bis-MGD moieties. It can additionally be coordinated by -S, -O or -Se, provided by cysteine, serine or seleno-cysteine residues in the polypeptide chain and a variable number of oxo (=O), hydroxy (-OH) or water groups. The reaction catalysed by nitrate, DMSO and TMAO reductases is an oxo-transferase reaction in which an oxo group on the oxidized Mo(VI) ion is lost as H$_2$O when the cofactor is reduced to the Mo(IV) state. Nitrate can bind to the reduced state and is reduced to nitrite which is released leaving behind a nitrateoxygen
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**Fig. 6.** The biological nitrogen cycle. Figures in parentheses denote the oxidation state of the nitrogen. ANAMMOX, anaerobic ammonium oxidation.
Fig. 7. The catalytic centre of the nitrate reductases. (a) The bis-MGD cofactor. (b) A possible catalytic cycle for NAP of *Paracoccus pantotrophus*. (c) An alignment of the segment 3 region of some members of the bis-MGD family.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAP/NAS/Fdh subgroup</th>
<th>*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PpNapA</td>
<td>AAATELMRAGPRENLDPARNRRCHAASAYAMFT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EcNapA</td>
<td>AAASKLPRAGPRENLDPARNRRCHAASAYSFMRT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HiNapA</td>
<td>YGKRLKAGPRENLDPARNRRCHAASAYAMFT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ReNapA</td>
<td>YGKRLKAGPRENLDPARNRRCHAASAYAMFT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SynNarB</td>
<td>YQAQLKLCGCLNNDFTSRKLMCAASAVSLC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BeNarB</td>
<td>YGLQGFAVQANGQFDYNGRLCMXAAATAATQT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KnNarA</td>
<td>YGANLKLGQIQGADNNDSRMLCAASAVYQRA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SpNarA</td>
<td>YVANKFAGQFLKA .VDFTRSLRMCAASAVQRA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EcFdhF</td>
<td>YVQKSPARAVIGNYVDCDCDYHPSVAGLQGS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCFdh</td>
<td>YVQKSPARVIGNYVDCDCDYHPSVAGLQGS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BcFdoG</td>
<td>YLQKSPARAVIGNYVDCDCDYHPSVAGLAKT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S-oxide/N-oxide subgroup</th>
<th>*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RsDmsA</td>
<td>BCVLMERLMLNLADGTVNSGDYVTAAGQIM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EcDorA</td>
<td>WCTTLERLMLNLADGTVNSGDYVTAAGQIM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EcBisA</td>
<td>KASITLQRMYLALGGTYHGDLDYVTAAGQAM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EcTorA</td>
<td>HASOMRAKIALHGNVQGTYGDYVTAAGQIL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HiDmsA</td>
<td>PASTM1ARPMUCIGGYYGTYVTAQAVG</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAR subgroup</th>
<th>*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EcNarA</td>
<td>HADGARYLSSLIGTCLSFYMDYCLLPAPBPQGQT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PdNarG</td>
<td>HAAHGRTLYLSMCTCMNFTSYMDYCLLPAPBPQGQT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PfNarG</td>
<td>HADGARYLSSLIGTCLSFYMDYCLLPAPBPQGQT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ScNarG</td>
<td>HASOMRAKIALHGNVQGTYGDYVTAAGQIL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BeNarG</td>
<td>HASOMRAKIALHGNVQGTYGDYVTAAGQIL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TrNarG</td>
<td>HADGARYLSSLIGTCLSFYMDYCLLPAPBPQGQT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Almost all the characterization of bis-MGD enzymes has been carried out in Bacteria. However, nitrate-reducing Archaea are known (Volkl et al., 1993) and putative bis-MGD-binding enzymes can be identified from primary-structure analysis of ORFs in the genome sequence of *Archaeoglobus fulgidus* which suggest the presence of nitrate, DMSO and polysulphide reductases. It should be noted that no biochemical data are available on these enzymes and the possibility that they bind tungsten rather than molybdenum at the active site.
cannot be excluded. Indeed, the inclusion of tustange in the growth medium of *Pyrobacterium aerophilum* stimulates anoxic growth with nitrate (Volkl et al., 1993) and active W-substituted TMAO reductase from *Escherichia coli* has been reported (Buc et al., 1999). However, the presence of putative bis-M(W)GD enzymes in Archaea suggests an early evolution for these respiratory reactions, before the last universal ancestor.

The structures of some of the catalytic subunits of the *bis-MGD* family have emerged in recent years from the formate dehydrogenase (Boyington et al., 1997), DMSO reductase (McAlpine et al., 1998; Schindelin et al., 1996), TMAO reductase (Czjzek et al., 1999) and a periplasmic nitrate reductase from the sulphate-reducing bacterium *Desulfovibrio desulfuricans* (Monteiro et al., 1998). All the subunits show a high degree of similarity in the structural organization with the *bis-MGD* cofactor lying at the bottom of a deep substrate cleft. However, there is some debate over the number of oxo groups bound to the Mo in these enzymes. Crystal structures and spectroscopic studies indicate one oxo group in the Mo(VI) form of *Rhodobacter sphaeroides* DMSO reductase (Schindelin et al., 1996) and two in the Mo(VI) form of *Rhodobacter capsulatus* DMSO reductase (McAlpine et al., 1998). Similarly with nitrate reductases, EXAFS (Extended X-ray Absorption Fine Structure) studies on *Paracoccus denitrificans* periplasmic nitrate reductase indicate a di-oxo Mo(VI) state (Fig. 7b) (Butler et al., 1999) and crystal-structure studies on *Desulfovibrio desulfuricans* periplasmic nitrate reductase suggest a mono-oxo Mo(VI) state (Dias et al., 1999). Studies with model oxomolybdenum complexes have demonstrated that some can exhibit a broad specificity of oxo-transferase chemistry. Thus, for example, some can exhibit both nitrate reductase and DMSO reductase activity (Craig & Holm, 1989). This contrasts to the *bis-MGD* enzymes where a nitrate reductase does not exhibit DMSO reductase activity and a DMSO reductase does not exhibit nitrate reductase activity. Clearly the evolution of the polypeptide around the *bis-MGD* cofactor has played a major role in conferring catalytic selectivity and this is reflected in differences in the amino acids that line the substrate cleft and that lie in the catalytic pocket. In the case of DMSO reductase, mutation of the Mo-coordinating serine residues has altered catalytic specificity (Hilton et al., 1999).

**The multiple nitrate reductases of Paracoccus species**

Perhaps not surprisingly, given the multiple roles for nitrate in bacteria, it has emerged that many bacteria can express multiple biochemically distinct nitrate reductases. For example, *Paracoccus denitrificans* and *Paracoccus pantotrophus* have three nitrate reductases (Sears et al., 1997). One of these, NAS (cytoplasmic assimilatory nitrate reductase), is located in the cytoplasmic compartment, is ammonium repressible and participates in nitrogen assimilation. The other two, however, are both linked to respiratory electron-transport systems, each ultimately taking electrons from the quinol pool (Fig. 8b). One of the enzymes (NAR, membrane-bound nitrate reductase) is a three-subunit complex anchored to the cytoplasmic face of the membrane with its active site located in the cytoplasmic compartment. The other (NAP, periplasmic nitrate reductase) is a two-subunit enzyme located in the periplasmic compartment that is coupled to quinol oxidation via a membrane-anchored tetra-haem cytochrome of the NapC quinol dehydrogenase family, discussed earlier in the context of Fe(III) respiration (Berks et al., 1995a, c; Roldan et al., 1998; Richardson & Watmough, 1999).

Comparison of the primary structure of the catalytic (MGD) subunits of NAR, NAP and NAS suggests that NAP and NAS are most closely related to each other and to the *bis-MGD* subunits of formate dehydrogenases (Berks et al., 1995a, b). This similarity is particularly well defined in the so-called segment 3 region of the polypeptide chain which provides a Cys or SeCys ligand
to the Mo (Bennett et al., 1996; Berks et al., 1995a, c). The bis-MGD subunit of NAR is much larger than that of NAP and NAS (120–140 kDa compared to 80–90 kDa) and there is no conserved cysteine in the segment 3 region of the NARs (Fig. 7c). Rather, there are a number of conserved serine residues, which raises the possibility that NAR has a Mo–O–serine ligand rather than the Mo–S–Cys ligand demonstrated for NAP (Dias et al., 1999) and predicted for NAS (Berks et al., 1995a, c). In this respect, NAR appears more similar to the DMSO reductase subgroup of bis-MGD enzymes than to the NAP/NAR/Fdh subgroup. This is also reflected in Mo(V) EPR spectra which show that the Mo(V) environment of NAP and NAS is similar, but likely to be quite distinct from NAR with the latter exhibiting signals that share more similarity with those seen in the Rhodobacter capsulatus DMSO reductase (Bennett et al., 1994a, b; Butler et al., 1999). These spectroscopic and primary-structure analyses raise the possibility that nitrate reduction has evolved more than once in the bis-MGD family.

**Different physiological roles for the membrane-bound and periplasmic nitrate reductases in Paracoccus species**

An early question that emerged, on discovering two respiratory nitrate reductases in a single organism, was what are their physiological roles? Studies on enzyme expression revealed that NAR was predominantly expressed under anaerobic denitrifying growth conditions, whilst NAP was predominantly expressed under aerobic growth conditions (Bell et al., 1990). Consideration of the bioenergetic properties of each system offers a physiological rationale for this. In the case of NAR, quinol is oxidized at the periplasmic face of the cytoplasmic membrane by the NarI subunit (Fig. 8b). Protons are ejected into the periplasm whilst the electrons flow back across the membrane via the two stacked NarI haems (Rothery et al., 1999). They then pass, via multiple NarH iron–sulphur centres (Magalon et al., 1998), to the cytoplasmic NarG bis-MGD cofactor where nitrate is reduced to nitrite with the associated consumption of two protons (Fig. 7b). This electron-transfer process represents a classic Mitchellian electrogenic redox loop and ensures that the free energy in the QH$_2$/NO$_3^−$ redox couple is conserved as ∆p (Berks et al., 1995b). The role of an integral di-b-haem cytochrome in these sort of redox loops is widespread in bacterial electron-transfer systems (Berks et al., 1995b) (see, for example, the formate dehydrogenase depicted in Fig. 5b) and these simple loops may have evolved before the more complex proton-motive Q cycle of the di-haem cytochrome bc$_1$ complex (Nicholls & Ferguson, 1992).

In NAP, quinol is also oxidized at the periplasmic face of the cytoplasmic membrane by NapC, but the electrons also flow into the periplasm where they ultimately reduce nitrate to nitrite. Thus, by contrast to the membrane-bound nitrate reductase, the free energy in the QH$_2$/NO$_3^−$ redox couple is not conserved as ∆p and is therefore dissipated (Fig. 8b). In physiological terms, it makes bioenergetic sense to express the energy-coupled NAR system under anaerobic conditions when the organism is dependent on nitrate reduction for energy conservation. The expression of an energy-dissipating system under aerobic conditions immediately raises the possibility of a role for NAP in redox balancing. During chemoheterotrophic growth on reduced carbon sources, the carbon substrate must be oxidized to the level at which it can be assimilated. If this oxidation results in the release of more reductant than is needed for the generation of the ATP required for the metabolism of the carbon then a means of disposing of the excess reductant must be available. If it is not, the growth rate will be slowed to that allowed by the reoxidation of NADH in cell-maintenance reactions. One means of disposing of the excess reductant is via the respiratory electron-transport pathways. However, efficiently coupled pathways (e.g. the cytochrome bc$_1$ complex/cytochrome-oxidase-dependent pathways) will not turn over at high rates in the presence of a large steady-state ∆p. Consequently, the maximum rate of growth-substrate utilization is only possible if electrons are disposed of by relatively uncoupled pathways, such as that provided by NAP.

The need to dissipate reductant is likely to be most acute during the metabolism of a reduced carbon substrate under conditions that are both oxygen and energy sufficient (Sears et al., 1997). Laboratory cultures of denitrifying bacteria are routinely grown on relatively oxidized carbon substrates such as malate or succinate. However, higher levels of intracellular reductant may be generated through the oxidation of more reduced substrates, such as fatty acids, to carbon intermediates suitable for biosynthesis and assimilation. Accordingly, expression of the periplasmic nitrate reductase is 10–40-fold higher following growth of *Paracoccus* species aerobically with butyrate or caproate compared to malate or succinate (Richardson & Ferguson, 1992; Sears et al., 1993). Furthermore, analysis of steady-state cultures of *Paracoccus denitrificans* in butyrate-limited and malate-limited chemostat cultures revealed that aerobic nitrate respiration was only significant in the butyrate-limited cultures. The malate-limited cultures were carbon limited and energy limited, whilst the butyrate-limited cultures were carbon limited but energy sufficient with the excess reductant dissipated through the NAP system (Sears et al., 1997).

A model for the integrated aerobic respiratory and NAP electron-transport systems of *Paracoccus* species is shown in Fig. 9a. Unregulated electron flux through the NAP system would be detrimental to the cell as it would result in an unnecessary wastage of redox energy. A major factor that is likely to influence the destination of electrons entering the respiratory chain from low-potential electron donors is the redox state of the QH$_2$/Q pool, since this is a redox component that is common to both the oxygen- and nitrate-respiratory pathways. Cellular overreduction will ultimately result
in overreduction of the QH$_2$/Q pool as a consequence of the high NADH/NAD$^+$ ratio driving turn over of the Q-dependent NADH dehydrogenase, even in the presence of a high Ap. The resulting increase in the QH$_2$/Q ratio could ultimately limit turn over of the cytochrome bc$_1$ complex, since this requires Q as well as QH$_2$ as substrate (Fig. 9b). The redox components that comprise the NAP electron-transport system have rather low redox potentials and the system depends only on QH$_2$ (Fig. 9b). Thus electron flow through the NAP system may be slow when the QH$_2$/Q ratio is low (high $E_m$) and cytochrome bc$_1$-dependent electron transport is favoured. At high QH$_2$/Q ratios, turn over of the cytochrome bc$_1$ complex becomes limited by Q availability and there is a stronger thermodynamic driving force for electron transport through the NAP system. Reoxidation of QH$_2$ via NAP will serve to reposition the Q pool, lowering the QH$_2$/Q ratio so that electron flux switches back to the more highly coupled cytochrome bc$_1$-dependent oxidase system.

If a means of dissipating excess reducing power is important to the bacterium then it is not a good strategy to rely solely on NAP, as many environments may be nitrate limited. Accordingly, Paracoccus strains have many different options for disposing of reductant, which include the coupled processes of ammonia oxidation to nitrite (heterotrophic nitrification) and aerobic nitrite reduction to gaseous N-oxides and N$_2$ (aerobic denitrification) (Robertson & Kuenen, 1990). Heterotrophic nitrification coupled to aerobic denitrification uses two distinct respiratory processes to remove one QH$_2$ for every ammonium utilized (Fig. 10b, see below) (Richardson et al., 1998). Other strategies could include the reductive fixation of CO$_2$, the deposition of polyhydroxyalkanoates or the use of poorly coupled oxidases such as the QH$_2$-oxidizing cytochrome ba$_3$ oxidase (Robertson & Kuenen, 1990).

**Different physiological roles for the periplasmic nitrate reductase in different bacteria**

Since the early identification in the $\alpha$-proteobacteria of Paracoccus denitrificans (Bell et al., 1990), Ralstonia eutropha (Siddiqui et al., 1993) and Rhodobacter species (Ferguson et al., 1987), genome-sequence analysis, biochemical studies and environmental gene probing (Flanagan et al., 1999) have revealed that NAP is distributed amongst all branches of the proteobacteria, including sulphate-reducing bacteria (Moura et al., 1997) and many pathogens such as Yersinia pestis, Haemophilus influenzae, Vibrio cholerae and Campylobacter jejuni. In Ralstonia eutropha and other bacteria capable of aerobic nitrate respiration, the role of NAP is likely to be similar to that described above for Paracoccus species. However, it is clear when considering the diversity of bacteria that express NAP that it can not have the same physiological function in all bacteria. For example, in Escherichia coli NAP is expressed anaerobically rather than aerobically (Darwin & Stewart, 1995; Rabin & Stewart, 1993; Tanapongpipat et al., 1998) and in Rhodobacter species it is expressed during anaerobic photoheterotrophic growth (Castillo et al., 1996; Reyes et al., 1998; Richardson et al., 1988).

**NAP and photo-nitrate respiration**

Members of the Rhodospirillaceae family of $\alpha$-proteobacteria, which includes Rhodobacter species, possess a cyclic photosynthetic electron-transport system. This cyclic system relies on a single reaction centre, the cytochrome bc$_1$ complex, the QH$_2$/Q pool and one or more $c$-type cytochromes that mediate electron transfer between the two integral membrane complexes (Fig. 10). Under illuminated conditions the photosynthetic reaction centre will only turn over if there is a supply of oxidized Q as electron acceptor, whilst the protonmotive
Q cycle of the cytochrome bc₃ complex requires the provision of both Q and QH₂ (Fig. 10a). Consequently, the cyclic electron-transport system is critically dependent on the QH₂/Q ratio in the Q pool. However, the cyclic electron-transport system is not a closed system; there are a number of routes for electron input during photoheterotrophic metabolism (Fig. 10). Thus the UQ/UQH₂ (E°' = +80 mV) pool can be coupled to low-potential electron donors such as NADH (E°' NADH/NAD⁺ = −330 mV). This could lead to extensive reduction of the UQH₂/UQ pool, restricting the rate of cyclic electron transport. Many strains of Rhodobacter capsulatus and Rhodobacter sphaeroides have the ability to express a NAP and it has become clear that nitrate reduction can serve to repose the cyclic electron-transport system when it has become perturbed by overreduction (Ferguson et al., 1987; Jones et al., 1990). This may be particularly important during photoheterotrophic growth of Rhodobacter capsulatus on reduced carbon substrates, such as butyrate (Richardson et al., 1988). Many species of the family Rhodospirillaceae are able to photometabolize butyrate anaerobically in the presence of carbon dioxide. Excess reductant generated through the oxidative photometabolism of butyrate can be consumed by the reductive fixation of CO₂, resulting in extensive deposition of poly-3-hydroxybutyrate. However, in the absence of CO₂, photoheterotrophic growth of Rhodobacter capsulatus on butyrate can be facilitated through the reduction of nitrate (Richardson et al., 1988). Under energy rich conditions, in the presence of both CO₂ and nitrate, reductive CO₂ fixation is the selected mechanism by which NAD⁺ is regenerated, presumably because it conserves carbon. However, CO₂ fixation also consumes ATP and the choice of mechanism may be different under energy-limited (i.e. light limited) conditions. In order for nitrate reduction to effectively consume excess reductant, it may be advantageous if the transfer of electrons from ubiquinol to the reductases can continue in the presence of a substantial light-dependent Δp. Since oxidation of ubiquinol by nitrate, via NAP, is not thought to be coupled to the generation of Δp it will not be subject to thermodynamic back-pressure mediated by light-dependent Δp.

During steady-state cyclic electron-transfer on oxidized carbon substrates, thermodynamic back-pressure of the light-dependent Δp on the protonmotive NADH dehydrogenase is a major factor that prevents over-reduction of the cyclic electron-transport chain. Thus at high light intensities nitrate respiration by bacteria utilizing malate (and therefore predominantly NADH) as the electron donor is sensitive to inhibition by the light-dependent Δp (which may be around 200 mV). However, intermittent periods of darkness or prolonged periods of low light intensity could result in the redox poise of the photosynthetic electron-transport system becoming disturbed even during photometabolism of such a relatively oxidized carbon substrate. Under these conditions, the light-dependent promotive force could collapse to as low as +50 mV, leading to electron flux into the Q pool from the NADH pool. The effect of prolonged periods of anaerobic dark incubation, in malate medium, on the redox poise of the electron-transfer system of intact cells of Rhodobacter capsulatus has been examined and has indicated that the Q/QH₂ pool was extensively reduced, restricting turn over of the reaction centre (Jones et al., 1990). This problem was relieved if nitrate was present during the dark incubation period since NAP, by drawing electrons from the UQ/UQH₂ pool, was serving to maintain the optimal redox poise of the cyclic electron-transfer pathway.

**Fig. 10.** Generalized electron-transport systems of Rhodobacter species. (a) A scheme for cyclic photosynthetic electron transport. (b) Routes for electron input and output into the Q pool. It should be noted that some Rhodobacter species and strains within a species are deficient in some of the oxido-reductases indicated. For example, Rhodobacter capsulatus does not have a cytochrome aa₃ oxidase, but Rhodobacter sphaeroides does, and not all strains of Rhodobacter capsulatus have a nitrate reductase. If a strain has a nitrate reductase it is usually periplasmic (NAP) but some have the membrane-bound (NAR) type; some strains of Rhodobacter sphaeroides may have both NAP and NAR.
during the period of darkness (Jones et al., 1990). The light intensity on sediment surfaces is probably rarely as high as routinely used for growth of photosynthetic organisms in laboratory cultures. At low light intensities (and therefore low-light-dependent $\Delta p$), the reduction of nitrate, during growth of Rhodobacter capsulatus on oxidized carbon substrates such as malate and succinate, is more extensive than at high light intensities. This may be related to the electron-transfer system being more susceptible to overreduction under these conditions (Richardson et al., 1988).

An extreme example of the use of nitrate reduction as a means of regulating the redox poise of the cyclic photosynthetic electron-transport chain of purple non-sulphur photosynthetic bacteria can be found in Roseobacter denitrificans which can not grow photosynthetically under anaerobic conditions unless auxiliary oxidants, such as nitrate, are present. The reaction-centre complex of Roseobacter denitrificans is very similar to that of the photosynthetically competent Rhodobacter species, with the exception that the redox potential of the primary acceptor quinone ($Q_a$) is higher ($E^{\circ} = +35$ mV compared to $-20$ mV in Rhodobacter sphaeroides). Thus the QH$_2$/Q pool has to be maintained in a very oxidized state (i.e. at a high redox potential) in order for the reaction centre to turn over and this can be facilitated by the reduction of nitrate (Takamiya, 1988).

Nitrate reductase is not the only accessory oxidant available to photosynthetic bacteria during photoheterotrophic metabolism. The respiratory flexibility of these bacteria is such that DMSO, TMAO, NO$_2^-$, N$_2$O, (Ferguson et al., 1987), NO (Bell et al., 1992) and Fe(III) (Dobbin et al., 1996a) can all be used as respiratory substrates and many of these have been demonstrated to serve redox-poising roles (Jones et al., 1990; McEwan et al., 1985; Richardson et al., 1988; Takamiya et al., 1988). Furthermore, in strains of Rhodobacter sphaeroides in which the CO$_2$ fixation pathway has been inactivated, spontaneous mutants can arise which have deregulated nitrogenase so that reductive fixation of nitrogen can serve to facilitate cellular redox balance (Qian & Tabita, 1998).

**NAP and nitrate scavenging**

Escherichia coli can, in principle, express either a NAR or a NAP type of nitrate reductase under anaerobic growth conditions. The $q^+/e^-$ ratio of the charges translocated across the membrane per electron transferred through the respiratory system for nitrate reduction by NAR would be 6 or 4 with NADH or formate as electron donor, but only 4 (NADH) and 2 (formate) when NAP is used to reduce nitrate. Given that NAR is more highly coupled than NAP, the question then arises as to when the NAP system is expressed and physiologically important. This has been addressed in recent competition experiments in continuous cultures where a strain expressing only NAR has been placed in competition with a strain expressing only NAP. Under nitrate-limited conditions the strain expressing NAR is out-competed, but the situation is reversed under carbon-limited conditions where the strain expressing NAP is out-competed (Potter et al., 1999). This may reflect a low $K_a$ (higher affinity) for intact cells for nitrate when the NAP system is expressed. Thus NAP may be important in scavenging nitrate from nitrate-limited environments and consequently under these conditions coupling efficiency is sacrificed in favour of substrate affinity. Expression studies of NAP in Escherichia coli support this view point since the nap operon is induced

---

**Fig. 11.** The role of NOR in the denitrification systems of Paracoccus species. (a) Anaerobic denitrification. NIR, cytochrome cd$_1$, nitrite reductase; NOS, nitrous oxide reductase; NOR, nitric oxide reductase; Ndh, NADH dehydrogenase.

(b) The postulated aerobic nitrification–denitrification pathway for dissipation of reductant. AMO, ammonium monoxygenase; HAO, hydroxylamine oxidase. Four electrons generated from hydroxylamine oxidation can be used to reduce the product, nitrite, to nitrous oxide. The nitrous oxide can be released from the cell or be further reduced by electrons generated from subsequent rounds of NH$_2$OH oxidation.
at low nitrate concentrations but repressed at higher nitrate concentrations which induce the *narG* operon (Wang *et al*., 1999). In this context, it becomes significant that many of the pathogenic bacteria that may have to scavenge nitrate from the low levels present in many bodily fluids have the genetic information for NAP. Indeed, in some of these (e.g. *Haemophilus influenzae*) NAP is the only nitrate reductase present. It should be noted that consideration of the *nap* gene clusters of enteric bacteria reveals some heterogeneity in their composition. For example, in addition to the *nap* genes that encode the NAP enzyme, the *nap* gene cluster of *Escherichia coli* contains additional genes (*napFGH*) that are predicted to encode membrane-associated iron–sulphur clusters (NapFGH; Fig. 5b). These are not essential for electron transport to NAP (Potter & Cole, 1999) and are absent in the *nap* cluster of *Paracoccus denitrificans* which instead has a gene encoding a small single-helix transmembrane protein, NapE. It is however notable that there are genes encoding structural homologues of NapFGH elsewhere on the *Paracoccus denitrificans* chromosome (see Berks *et al*., 1995a for a detailed discussion of the NapFGH protein families).

The periplasmic reduction of nitrate to ammonium via the NAP and Nrf systems can also support anaerobic growth in some sulphate-reducing bacteria, for example *Desulfovibrio desulfuricans* and *Sulfurospirillum deleyianum*, studies on which have recently provided X-ray crystal structures of both enzymes (Dias *et al*., 1999; Einsle *et al*., 1999). These species thus have a rather flexible respiratory metabolism, enabling them to grow as either sulphate reducers or nitrate reducers (Moura *et al*., 1997) and they can express multi-haem cytochromes of both the HAO/Nrf family (Fig. 5) and the cytochrome *cd* family to facilitate this (Fig. 3b).

**NAP and anaerobic denitrification**

In many of the best characterized denitrification systems NAR catalyses the first stage of anaerobic nitrate reduction to nitrite (e.g. *Pseudomonas stutzeri* and *Paracoccus* species). In *Paracoccus pantotrophus* a mutation in the structural genes of the *nar* operon led to the generation of a mutant strain that could de-repress NAP under anaerobic conditions. Consequently it could still grow under anaerobic denitrifying conditions using NAP, rather than NAR, in the first step (Bell *et al*., 1993). The strain did, however, have a lower specific growth rate and growth yield. Recently, it has become apparent that some *Rhizobium* species (e.g. *G179*) can express a NAP and that disruption of the *nap* genes is lethal for growth under denitrifying conditions (Bedzyk *et al*., 1999). Also, in *Rhodobacter sphaeroides* f. sp. *denitrificans*, which can express both NAR and NAP, mutation of NAP is lethal for anaerobic denitrification (Liu *et al*., 1999). Thus, in these organisms one of the physiological roles of NAP is in anaerobic denitrification. When considered in isolation, the energy coupling of NAR and NAP appear markedly different: \( q^+ / 2e^- = 6 \) (NAR) and 4 (NAP) with NADH as electron donor and 2 (NAR) and 0 (NAP) with succinate as electron donor. However, when considered in the context of the entire denitrification pathway the \( q^+ / 2e^- \) ratio is 24 (NAR) or 22 (NAP) with NADH and 8 (NAR) or 6 (NAP) with succinate. Thus the energetic loss of using NAP rather than NAR is only 8% when NADH is the electron donor to the respiratory system.

**Denitrification, nitric oxide reductase and the evolution of oxygen respiration**

In many bacteria, the nitrite generated from nitrate respiration can be further reduced in the reactions of denitrification (Berks *et al*., 1995a; Zumft, 1997) (Fig. 6). In *Paracoccus* species this proceeds via a periplasmic nitrite reductase containing *c* and *d* haems, an integral membrane NO reductase complex and a periplasmic copper-containing *N*₂*O* reductase (Fig. 11). In some denitrifying bacteria the *cd* nitrite reductase can be substituted by a copper enzyme. There has been one report that *Paracoccus denitrificans* has the genes for both a cytochrome *cd* and copper enzyme (Hallin & Lindgren, 1999), but this has yet to be confirmed by biochemical studies. Crystal structures of both types of nitrite reductase have been solved recently and have been reviewed in detail elsewhere (Ferguson, 1998; Richardson & Watmough, 1999). Denitrification is widespread in Bacteria (Zumft, 1997), but is also recognized in some Archaea (Volk & *et al*., 1993) and thus it is likely that it evolved before the last universal ancestor (Castresana & Saraste, 1995).

The nitric oxide reductase (NOR), which catalyses the reaction \( 2NO + 2e^- + 2H^+ \rightarrow N_2O + H_2O \), is an integral membrane protein and analysis of its primary structure has established that it is a divergent member of the family of respiratory haem–copper oxidases (Saraste & Castresana, 1994; van der Oost *et al*., 1994) (Fig. 12). Since NO is known to have been abundant on early Earth, this observation suggests that the mitochondrial cytochrome *aa₃* oxidase evolved from an ancient nitric oxide reductase. Indeed, haem–copper oxidases possess a low nitric oxide reductase activity and, conversely, nitric oxide reductase possesses a low oxidase activity (Watmough *et al*., 1999). As the Earth’s environment was evolving, some 3 billion years ago, it is likely that oxygen was present at low levels, even before the evolution of oxygen-splitting photosynthesis (Fig. 1). The early oxidases that evolved from NOR would have been likely to have had a high affinity for oxygen. Examples of such high-affinity oxidases may now be found in the cytochrome *cbb₃* branch of the currently known haem–copper oxidase family, which is the branch most closely related to the Bacterial NORs (Saraste & Castresana, 1994).

The nitrous oxide reductase is a soluble periplasmic enzyme that binds two distinct copper centres. One, Cu⁹⁺⁴, is the site of *N*₂*O* reduction whilst the other, Cu⁹⁺⁵, is an electron-transfer site that mediates electron transfer between periplasmic electron donors and the Cu⁹⁺⁴ site (Farrar *et al*., 1998). Cu⁹⁺⁴ is a binuclear copper centre
that lies in a cupredoxin fold. Such a centre is also found in the Bacterial cytochrome \( \text{aa}_3 \) oxidases. Since it is likely that denitrification preceded oxygen respiration, and thus that \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) reductase existed before oxidases, it would seem that the cytochrome \( \text{aa}_3 \) oxidase evolved as a hybrid enzyme as a result of gene duplication and fusion events involving the \( \text{nor} \) and \( \text{nos} \) genes (van der Oost et al., 1994; Saraste & Castresana, 1994).

The core catalytic subunits of the haem–copper oxidase family comprise 12 transmembrane helices which bind a magnetically isolated electron-transferring haem and dinuclear active site formed by a haem magnetically coupled to a copper ion (\( \text{Cu}_B \)). Secondary-structure modelling of NOR suggests a similar 12 helical arrangement and similar cofactor-binding properties. Seven conserved histidine residues, responsible for ligating the three redox-active metal centres, can be identified in helices II, VI, VII and X. Each of these histidine residues is conserved in the NorB subunit of NOR which co-purifies as a heterodimer with NorC, a membrane-anchored mono-haem \( c \)-type cytochrome. The key difference between NOR and other haem–copper oxidases is the composition of the dinuclear centre which contains non-haem iron (\( \text{Fe}_B \)) rather than copper (\( \text{Cu}_B \)) (Fig. 12). It may be that the ancestral enzyme from which NOR and haem–copper oxidases evolved incorporated iron into the dinuclear site because, under the highly reducing conditions of the primordial biosphere, ferrous ions were more readily available than insoluble cuprous ions. Since denitrification preceded aerobic respiration in the biosphere, the primary function of the ancestral oxidase was the reduction of NO. A key step in the evolution of aerobic life on Earth may have then been the substitution of iron by copper in the ancestral oxidase, allowing it to reduce oxygen efficiently. The three histidine residues responsible for ligating \( \text{Cu}_B \) in oxidases (the ‘\( \text{Cu}_B \)’ histidines) are completely conserved in NorB and are likely to be \( \text{Fe}_B \) ligands. It is well established that \( \text{Fe(III)} \) prefers different coordination geometry (e.g. octahedral and penta- or hexa-dentate) to \( \text{Cu(II)} \) (e.g. distorted tetra-
hedral and tetra-dentate). Primary-sequence analysis of NorB reveals four conserved glutamic acid residues (E125, E198, E202, E267; Paracoccus denitrificans numbering), located in potential transmembrane helices, that are absent from haem–copper oxidases (Fig. 1). Given that glutamate is frequently found in biological systems as a non-haem Fe ligand, it is plausible that one or more of these conserved glutamates is involved in coordinating Fe$_{\text{III}}$ along with the three conserved ‘Cu$_{\text{II}}$’ histidine ligands. In addition, they may serve to modulate the properties of the catalytic centre leading to differences in the redox potentials of the haem that may in turn influence the catalytic cycle. At present there is little agreement on the catalytic cycle of NOR. An early study on NO reduction in the cytochrome aa$_3$ oxidase suggested the involvement of a [haem–NO NO–Cu$_{\text{II}}$] intermediate (Brudwig et al., 1980). An analogous [haem–NO NO–Fe$_{\text{III}}$] intermediate has been forwarded for NOR which then leads to the formation and loss of N$_2$O, leaving an oxo-bridged species (Girsch & de Vries, 1997; Hendriks et al., 1998). An alternative possibility is the binding of two NO molecules to a coordinately unsaturated Fe$_{\text{III}}$. This has been observed on the Cu$_{\text{II}}$ of the cytochrome bo oxidase (Butler et al., 1997; Watmough et al., 1998) and has the advantage of preventing the formation of a ferrous haem-nitrosyl species which in myoglobin bind NO very tightly (Watmough et al., 1999). One means by which this may be avoided is the low redox potential of the NOR haem of the dinuclear centre, which is around 200 mV lower than that of cytochrome aa$_3$ oxidase (Grönberg et al., 1999).

A second key step in the evolution of aerobic life on Earth may have been the development of proton translocation. Cytochrome aa$_3$ oxidase pumps four protons across the membrane for every O$_2$ that is reduced to water. Recent high-resolution X-ray crystal structures of cytochrome aa$_3$ oxidase, together with site-specific mutagenesis, have led to the identification of amino acid residues that form the so-called D and K channels which are important in the delivery of protons from the cytoplasm to the dinuclear centre (Fig. 12b) (Konstantinov et al., 1997). However, these residues are absent from NOR and, furthermore, it has been demonstrated that in chromatophores of Rhodobacter capsulatus there is no generation of membrane potential when electrons were fed into the electron-transfer system at the level of periplasmic c-type cytochrome (Bell et al., 1992). This observation rules out both a cytoplasmic site of nitric oxide reduction (i.e. with protons taken from the cytoplasm) and a proton-pumping activity of the nitric oxide reductase. This suggests that NOR is not a proton pump and takes catalytic protons from the periplasm. Hence, for a NO-reducing primordial enzyme to evolve into a cytochrome c oxidase it had not only to evolve a Cu-containing dinuclear centre, but also a proton-pumping mechanism. It seems probable that some conserved glutamic acid residues present in periplasmic loop regions and putative transmembrane helices in NOR but absent in other cytochrome c oxidases play a role in proton movements. Little is currently known about the mechanism of proton output from cytochrome aa$_3$ and cytochrome bo oxidases, and it is possible that the ancient proton-input channel used to move protons from the periplasm to the di-nuclear centre in NOR evolved into the proton-output channel. Comparative studies on proton input in NOR and proton output in cytochrome aa$_3$ oxidase may prove informative in this respect.

**Two classes of nitric oxide reductase**

Analysis of the amino acid sequences of NORs in the current databases reveals that there are two NOR branches. In one group, which includes the enzymes of the denitrifiers Paracoccus denitrificans and Pseudomonas stutzeri, the catalytic subunit, NorB, is predicted to comprise 12 transmembrane helices and the norB gene is adjacent to the norC gene which encodes a membrane-anchored mono-haem c-type cytochrome. This cytochrome mediates electron transfer between the proton-motive cytochrome bc$_3$ complex and NorB. In the second class of NORs, for example in the denitrifier Ralstonia eutropha (Cramm et al., 1997), the norB gene is always found in isolation; norC is not present. In these cases the NorB protein is predicted to have a C-terminal extension which folds to give two extra transmembrane helices linked by a globular region in the periplasm. It has been suggested that this extension serves as a functional substitute for NorC (Cramm et al., 1997), with a possibility being that it serves as a direct quinol dehydrogenase (Cramm et al., 1999) so that, by analogy to oxidases, there are cytochrome c-dependent and quinol-dependent systems. This would lead to a cytochrome bc$_{\text{1}}$-complex-independent route for electron transport and so the NorC-dependent and quinol-dependent NORs would have different coupling efficiencies. The q$^+/e^-$ for the NorC-dependent system would be 6 and 2 with NADH and succinate as electron donors, respectively, but it would be 4 and 0 for the quinol-dependent NOR. These differences in energy coupling then resemble the situation described earlier for the membrane-bound and periplasmic nitrate reductases. In the case of Ralstonia eutropha, which actually has genes for two of the NorC-independent NOR systems, there will then be a small price to pay in energy coupling during denitrification with the q$^+/e^-$ ratio being 22 and 6 with NADH and succinate as electron donors, compared to 24 and 8 when the cyt bc$_{\text{1}}$-linked NorC-dependent system operates in Paracoccus denitrificans. It is not clear which class of NOR evolved first and it would thus be informative to characterize some NORs from denitrifying Archaea, which has not yet been done.

**Multiple physiological roles for NOR**

Although NOR classically plays a role in anaerobic denitrification in Bacteria, it is clear that it may be operative under some aerobic conditions, participating in the process of aerobic denitrification. As discussed earlier, bacteria can use a variety of means to dispose of...
excess reductant generated during oxidative metabolism of reduced carbon substrates, and one mechanism available to many denitrifying bacteria is the process of nitrification coupled to aerobic denitrification (Robertson & Kuenen, 1990). In autotrophs such as *Nitrosomonas europaea*, ammonia oxidation to hydroxylamine consumes one molecule of QH$_2$ (Fig. 5a). Hydroxylamine oxidation to nitrite utilizes H$_2$O as an oxygen donor and is catalysed by the periplasmic hydroxylamine oxidoreductase, generating four reducing equivalents (Fig. 5a). Two of these are used to reduce a molecule of ubiquinone, to regenerate QH$_2$ for the ammonia monooxygenase, and two are consumed in oxygen reduction by the protonmotive cytochrome oxidase. However, in heterotrophs ammonia oxidation cannot support lithoautotrophic growth. One reason may be that oxidation of NH$_3$OH by a periplasmic hydroxylamine oxidase requires oxygen rather than water (Moir et al., 1996; Richardson et al., 1998) and thus yields only two reducing equivalents. These can be transferred to either the nitrite reductase, nitrous oxide reductase or nitric oxide reductase, so that the nitrite produced can ultimately be reduced to N$_2$ (Fig. 10b). Thus, when the nitrification process of ammonium oxidation to nitrite is coupled to aerobic denitrification, electrons do not reach the denitrification enzymes via the protonmotive cytochrome bc$_c$ complex and periplasmic electron transfer is uncoupled. Heterotrophic nitrification coupled to denitrification then provides a poorly coupled pathway for removing reductant from the quinol pool. This poor coupling is, importantly, contributed by the absence of proton pumping in NOR.

In addition to providing a key step in the pathway of denitrification, norB genes can be identified in the genome sequences of a number of non-denitrifying bacteria, including pathogenic species such as *Myco- bacterium avium* and *Neisseria* species and also in the photosynthetic cyanobacterium *Synechocystis*. In these bacteria, NOR may provide a means of removing cytotoxic NO produced by community bacteria or macrophages as part of the host’s defence system. It is notable that in many of these bacteria, the NOR expressed is the NorC-independent type, which could provide an uncoupled route of quinol oxidation to facilitate more rapid turn over of NO at high Δp.

**Concluding remarks**

The evolution of respiratory diversity and flexibility in prokaryotes has made a major contribution to the ability of these microbes to colonize a wide range of the Earth’s environments and adapt rapidly to changes within an environment. The field of bacterial respiration provides challenges to physiologists, molecular biologists and biochemists working in environmental, biotechnological, and medical microbiology. There is a continued need to understand the means by which organisms with a flexible respiratory system can modulate gene expression in response to changes in the environment, which can include for example the sensing of oxygen, nitrate or nitric oxide. There is major potential for exploring respiratory processes in biotechnology, in fields of bioremediation (Lovley, 1995) and development of biosensors (Aylott et al., 1997). The systems themselves provide a rich source of material that can be studied using spectroscopy and structural biology to understand in more detail the fundamentals of bioenergetics at the level of an individual protein, an integrated electron-transport system or the whole cell. Finally, as the genomes of many pathogenic prokaryotes emerge with increasing frequency, there arises a need to understand the implication of the genetic capacity that many of these have for respiratory flexibility in terms of survival and colonization both inside and outside the host.
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