Effects of gene disruptions in the nisin gene cluster of Lactococcus lactis on nisin production and producer immunity
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The lantibiotic nisin is produced by several strains of Lactococcus lactis subsp. lactis. The chromosomally located gene cluster nisABTCIPRKFEG is required for biosynthesis, development of immunity, and regulation of gene expression. In-frame deletions in the nisB and nisT genes, and disruption of nisC by plasmid integration, eliminated nisin production and resulted in a strongly reduced level of immunity of the strains. The transcription of two nisin operons was inactivated in these mutant strains, but could be restored by addition of small amounts of nisin to growing cultures. The immunity levels of the mutants were also raised by adding nisin to growing cultures, albeit not to wild-type level. A strain with an in-frame deletion in the nisi gene was still able to produce active nisin, but the production and immunity levels were markedly lower. By measuring immunity levels of the knock-out strains and determining mRNA levels, it is concluded that Nisl has an important function for nisin immunity and must cooperate with nisFEG-encoded proteins to provide a high level of immunity. Maximal immunity could not be obtained in the mutant strains, probably because the wild-type transcription levels from nisA and nisF promoters are not reached when essential nis genes are disrupted. Using Southern hybridization with a consensus promoter probe, no other DNA sequences similar to the nisA and nisF promoters could be detected, indicating that these two elements are probably the only ones in the chromosome regulated by nisin and are thus the only ones involved in the regulation of producer immunity.
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INTRODUCTION

The antimicrobial peptide nisin belongs to the family of lantibiotics and is produced by several strains of Lactococcus lactis (Hurst, 1981). It is used as a natural preservative in the food industry because it inhibits the growth of food-spoilage bacteria (Delves-Broughton et al., 1996). Nisin is ribosomally synthesized as a precursor peptide that undergoes post-translational modifications, i.e. dehydration of serine and threonine residues and formation of five intramolecular thioether ring structures called (β-methyl)lanthionine residues (Gross & Morell, 1971). The eleven genes required for nisin synthesis are located in a gene cluster on the nisin-sucrose transposon Tn5276 (Buchman et al., 1988; Kaletta & Entian, 1989; Steen et al., 1991; Rauch & de Vos, 1992; Engelke et al., 1992; van der Meer et al., 1993; Kuipers et al., 1993; Engelke et al., 1994; Immonen et al., 1995; de Vos et al., 1995a; Siegers & Entian, 1995). The organization of these genes is shown in Fig. 1. The nisA gene encodes the 57 aa precursor peptide; nisB and nisC probably encode membrane-associated proteins that are involved in the posttranslational modification of nisin (Engelke et al., 1992; Kuipers et al., 1993; Siegers et al., 1996). nisT encodes a protein that shares significant homology with ATP-dependent translocator proteins, and recently it has been shown that NisT is involved in the translocation of the fully modified precursor nisin across the cytoplasmic membrane (Qiao
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**METHODS**

**Bacterial strains, plasmids and media.** *L. lactis* strain NZ9700 (Kuipers et al., 1993) is a nisin A producing transconjugant, which was obtained from a mating between *L. lactis* MG1614 (Gasson, 1983) and the nisin A producer *L. lactis* NIZO R5 (Rauch & de Vos, 1992). NZ9800 is a derivative of NZ9700, with a 4 bp deletion in the pronisin-encoding part of the *nisA* gene, which is unable to produce nisin (Kuipers et al., 1993). *L. lactis* strains were grown in M17 broth (Difco) supplemented with 0.5 % (w/v) glucose or sucrose at 30 °C without aeration. *Escherichia coli* strain MC1061 (Casadaban et al., 1980) was used as a host strain for cloning experiments; it was grown in Tryptone Yeast (TY) medium (Sambrook et al., 1989) at 37 °C. Antibiotics were used in the following concentrations: ampicillin, 50 μg ml⁻¹; erythromycin, 2.5 μg ml⁻¹; chloramphenicol, 10 μg ml⁻¹.

**Construction of plasmids.** The construction of the integrative plasmid pNZ9135, used for the disruption of the *nisB* gene by gene replacement, has been described previously (Kuipers et al., 1995). The gene replacement results in a ΔnisB gene, in which the codons for amino acid residues 474-535 were specifically deleted. To construct the integrative plasmid pNZ9143 for disruption of the *nisT* gene, a 3.9 kb SnaI-AccI fragment containing the *nisT* gene and flanking regions was cloned into a SstI/AccI-digested pUC19 vector, which has an additional erythromycin resistance marker. The *nisT* gene was changed by introducing a 231 bp in-frame deletion in the middle of the gene; this was accomplished by removing an internal SpeI fragment, resulting in the removal of the codons for amino acid residues 318-395. To construct the single-crossover integrative plasmid pNZ9134 (*nisC*), an internal 753 bp NdeI-NcoI fragment (filled in with Klenow) of *nisC* was cloned into a NdeI/Smal-digested pUC19 vector, which had an additional erythromycin resistance marker from pE194 (Leenhouts et al., 1991). To construct the integrative plasmid pNZ9147, a 47 kbp SpeI-BclI fragment containing the *nisI* gene and flanking regions was cloned into a XbaI/BamHI-digested pUC19 vector, which had an additional erythromycin resistance marker. The *nisI* gene was almost completely removed by introducing a 399 bp in-frame deletion, resulting in removal of the codons for amino acid residues 57-190 of NisI. This was accomplished by removing an internal Hpal (partial digest)–AvaI (filled in with Klenow) fragment from the gene.

**DNA, RNA and protein techniques.** Plasmid and chromosomal DNA of *L. lactis* were isolated as described previously (Vos et al., 1989). *L. lactis* cells were transformed by electroporation (Holo & Nes, 1989). Plasmid isolations from *E. coli* cells, and transformations of *E. coli* strains, were carried out according to established procedures (Sambrook et al., 1989). Restriction enzymes, T4 DNA ligase and other DNA-modifying enzymes were purchased from Gibco-BRL Life Technologies, New England Biolabs, Pharmacia or Promega and used as recommended by the manufacturers. Cloning procedures, radio-labelling of DNA fragments, agarose-gel electrophoresis and Southern blot hybridizations were carried out according to established procedures (Sambrook et al., 1989). PCRs were performed using the conditions described before (Kuipers et
### Table 1. Primers used in this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5'-GAGTCCGAACCGAGTGAC-3'</td>
<td>nisB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>5'-GAGTTGAATCTCGTG-3'</td>
<td>nisB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>5'-GGAACATGGAACATTTCG-3'</td>
<td>nisC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5'-GATAGTGGAAAGTTGCTAAC-3'</td>
<td>nisl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5'-GAGAGATTTTTATTACTTATTGTG-3'</td>
<td>nisl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>5'-AGTCCATGAGAAGAGGATTTTTCGCTC-3'</td>
<td>nisl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>5'-GTTTTTGTGCGGCTGCACTTCTATG-3'</td>
<td>nisR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIS121</td>
<td>5'-CTGATIAATTCTGAATTTGTT-3'</td>
<td>Promoter fragment of nisA and nisF</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Introduction of in-frame deletions in chromosomal nisin genes by gene replacement. The plasmids pNZ9135 (ΔnisB), pNZ9143 (ΔnisT) and pNZ9147 (Δnisl), all pUC19 derivatives that cannot replicate in *L. lactis*, were used to transform the nisin A producing *L. lactis* NZ9700. Erythromycin-resistant (Em') colonies were obtained that were the result of the integration of the plasmid caused by a recombination event involving one of the flanking regions on the plasmid and the corresponding regions on the chromosome. After subculturing for 100–200 generations in the absence of erythromycin, Em' colonies were obtained as a result of a second recombination event with the flanking region on the other side of the disrupted region relative to the first recombination event. The expected disruptions in the chromosomal genes were confirmed by PCR analysis, Southern analysis and sequence analysis.

RESULTS

In-frame deletions in *nisB*, *nisT* and *nisl* and single-crossover disruption of *nisC*

After electroporation of NZ9700 with pNZ9135 to disrupt the *nisB* gene, one of several Em' colonies with the plasmid integrated in the correct location of the chromosome as judged by Southern analysis was grown without erythromycin. After plating, several Em' colonies were obtained in which a second recombination event had occurred resulting in excision of the plasmid from the chromosome. Southern blot hybridization, PCR analysis with primers 1 and 2 (Table 1) and sequence analysis confirmed the expected single-crossover disruption of the *nisB* gene on the chromosome in one of the colonies. The resulting strain was named NZ9735. Using a similar approach with other integrative plasmids, in-frame deletions were also obtained in *nisT* (NZ9743) and *nisl* (NZ9747). Attempts to obtain a double-crossover integration to disrupt the *nisC* gene on the chromosome of *L. lactis* NZ9700 were unsuccessful. After changing the strategy to obtain single-crossover integrants, Southern blot analysis of a picked Em' colony revealed that four copies of pNZ9134 had integrated in the same spot of the *nisC* gene on the chromosome. The integrated plasmids could not be excised by culturing without erythromycin.

Effects of in-frame deletions and plasmid integration on nisin production and immunity

The effects of the various gene disruptions in the nisin gene cluster on nisin production were studied. Supernatants of overnight cultures were tested in a bioassay, and TCA-precipitated supernatants were analysed by SDS-PAGE for the production of nisin or nisin precursor (Table 2). Nisin production was blocked in all cases with one exception, i.e. strain NZ9747, carrying the in-frame deletion in the *nisl* gene, which was still able to produce nisin, although the production level was reduced to approximately 20–40% of that of the wild-type NZ9700. This confirms that intact *nisB*, *nisC* and *nisT* genes are essential for the production of active nisin in the supernatant and shows for the first time that intact *nisl* is not essential.

A disruption in the *nisl* gene resulted in an immunity level that was still approximately 10–30% of the maximum immunity level of the wild-type nisin-producing strain. Disruptions in *nisB*, *nisT* and *nisC* resulted in very low immunity levels, comparable to the immunity level of the ΔnisA strain NZ9800 (Kuipers et al., 1993), which is more than 100 times lower than that of the wild-type nisin producer NZ9700, but still much higher than that of strain MG1614, carrying no nisin...
Table 2. Effects of gene disruptions on nisin production, immunity and transcription of nisFEG

The amount of nisin used for pre-induction of the cultures was 0.01 µg ml⁻¹. Nisin production levels: + + + +, 100% ; + +, 20–40% ; +, 10–30% ; no production. Immunity levels: + + + +, 100% ; + + +, 30–60% ; + +, 10–30% ; +, 5–10% ; <, < 1%. nisFEG transcript levels: + + + +, 100% ; + + +, 50–70% ; + +, 10–30% ; <, < 1%.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Extracellular nisin production</th>
<th>Immunity</th>
<th>Transcription of nisFEG</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No induction</td>
<td>Pre-induction with nisin</td>
<td>No induction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MG1614</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NZ9700</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>+ +</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NZ9800 ΔnisA</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NZ9735 ΔnisB</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NZ9743 ΔnisT</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NZ9734 ΔnisC</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NZ9747 ΔnisI</td>
<td>+ +</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 2. Northern blot using total RNA from various L. lactis strains with the structural nisA gene as a probe. Lanes: 1, NZ9700; 2 and 3, MG1614; 4 and 9, NZ9800 (ΔnisA); 5 and 10, NZ9735 (ΔnisB); 6 and 11, 9743 (ΔnisT); 7 and 12, NZ9734 (ΔnisC); 8 and 13, NZ9747 (ΔnisI). The RNA in lanes 4–7 was isolated from cells that had been pre-induced with 0.01 µg nisin A ml⁻¹.

Fig. 3. Western blotting analysis of cells of L. lactis strains. A polyclonal NisI-specific antiserum was used to recognize the NisI protein. Lanes: 1 and 2, NZ9800 (ΔnisA); 3 and 4, NZ9735 (ΔnisB); 5 and 6, NZ9743 (ΔnisT); 7 and 8, NZ9734 (ΔnisC); 9 and 10, NZ9747 (ΔnisI); 11, NZ9700; 12, MG1614. The cells of the samples in lanes 2, 4, 6 and 8 were pre-induced with 0.01 µg nisin A ml⁻¹.

genes. However, when cells were pre-induced with 0.01 µg nisin A ml⁻¹, the immunity levels were elevated to approximately 20% of the wild-type level (Table 2). Although no production of nisin was found in the extracellular medium after induction, it is possible that an intracellular, partly modified precursor nisin is produced by strains NZ9735 (ΔnisB), NZ9734 (ΔnisC) and NZ9743 (ΔnisT). However, we were not able to detect any accumulation of intracellular nisin precursor with antibodies against the nisin leader peptide. The presence of a partly modified nisin precursor secreted by nisin-induced cells of strains NZ9735 or NZ9734 could also not be detected.

Effects of gene disruptions and nisin induction on transcription of nisin immunity genes

Strains NZ9735, NZ9743, NZ9734 and NZ9747, with disruptions in the nisB, nisT, nisC and nisl genes, respectively, were checked for the presence of a nisA and a nisFEG transcript by Northern hybridizations. In all cases, except in NZ9747, nisA and nisFEG transcription was absent (Fig. 2, Table 2). Addition of nisin to the growing cultures restored the transcription of nisA and nisFEG in all knock-out strains (Fig. 2, Table 2). In accordance with this, Western blotting analysis with NisI-specific antiserum showed that without induction, NisI is not produced in the mutant strains, whereas induced cells produce NisI, except strain NZ9747 (ΔnisI) and strain NZ9734 (ΔnisC) (Fig. 3). In strain NZ9734 four plasmids had integrated into the nisC gene and this is likely to hinder transcriptional readthrough of the nisC to the nisl gene from the induced nisA promoter. Interestingly, this strain was also less immune than the other knock-out strains, even after induction.

Analysis of the number of promoters similar to the nisA and nisF promoters

In order to evaluate whether nisin induction could potentially initiate the transcription of genes other than nisABCTPRKFEAG, a Southern analysis was performed with EcoRI/EcoRV-digested chromosomal DNA of a nisin-producing strain using a degenerate oligonucleotide probe which hybridizes to the conserved regions of the two known nisin promoters. The expected size of the fragments with these promoters is 3 and...
1.3 kb. The Southern blot result (Fig. 4) did not visualize any other bands than the expected ones, indicating that a nisin producer has no additional promoters in the chromosome with high homology to the nisA or nisF promoters.

DISCUSSION

Gene disruptions with polar effects have previously been reported for the nisA, nisB, nisT, nisC, nisI, nisP, nisR, nisF and nisE genes (Kuipers et al., 1993; Siegers & Entian, 1995; Siegers et al., 1996; Dodd et al., 1996; Ra et al., 1996; Qiao et al., 1996). However, correlating a phenotype to a specific locus using a mutant strain that contains a polar mutation, especially if the mutation is in a large operon like the biosynthetic operon expressed from the nisA promoter, is not without risk. To avoid possible ambiguities, in-frame deletions were constructed in the nisB, nisT and nisI genes. Attempts to obtain an in-frame deletion in the nisC gene were unsuccessful and resulted in a strain with this gene disrupted by plasmid integration. Nisin production had ceased in the strains with the in-frame mutations in nisB and nisT and could not be restored by addition of subinhibitory amounts of nisin. Transcription of the genes downstream of the mutated nisB or nisT genes was deduced to take place, because after nisin induction the product of the downstream nisl gene was detected in Western analysis using a NisI-specific antiserum. The fact that all nisin genes were transcribed after nisin induction and still no nisin was produced by the NZ9735 and NZ9743 strains conclusively demonstrates that NisB and NisT are essential for the biosynthesis of nisin. The putative structure of NisT and the recent results of Siegers et al. (1996) and Qiao & Saris (1996) suggest that NisT is involved in transport of precursor nisin and NisB in dehydration of the nisin precursor.

Analysis of the NZ9734 strain with the disrupted nisC gene showed that the plasmid insertion in nisC resulted in polar effects as judged from the fact that no NisI protein could be detected after nisin induction. Because no modified nisin precursor was secreted by strain NZ9734 upon nisin induction, as would be expected if NisC was not essential for the biosynthesis of nisin, it can be concluded that NisC is essential for nisin maturation.

Nisin induction of the knock-out strains (AnisB, AnisT, AnisC and Anisl) did not result in full restoration of transcription of the nisin operons. A lower transcription level of the nisin operons is likely to explain the lower immunity level of induced mutant strains compared to nisin-producing strains (Dodd et al., 1996). A wild-type level of transcription of the nisin operons might require gradually increasing nisin concentrations as in a nisin producer and such fine-tuning may not occur when nisin is externally added at a certain time point to mutant strains. The increase in immunity levels of the nisin mutant strains by nisin induction could also be a result of induction of nisin-inducible genes other than nisABTCIPRFEG. If such genes did exist, their promoter would most likely share sequence similarity with the nisin-inducible promoters in front of nisA and nisF (de Ruyter et al., 1996; Ra et al., 1996). The Southern blot analysis of DNA of a nisin-producing strain using a degenerate probe recognizing the conserved sequences of the nisA and nisF promoters (de Ruyter et al., 1996; Ra et al., 1996) suggests that a nisin-producing strain does not contain additional nisin-inducible promoters. Therefore, the increase in the level of nisin immunity of the nisin mutant strains observed after nisin induction is likely to be the sole result of the increased transcription of the two known nisin-inducible operons, i.e. nisABTCIP and nisFEG.

The NZ9747 strain with a deletion in the nisl gene did not produce the NisI protein but could still produce nisin. The lack of the NisI immunity protein affected the growth of the strain. It did not grow to as high cell densities as the parental strain and the maximum amount of nisin produced was also lower: approximately 20% of the highest amount that could be produced by the wild-type strain. The cells of strain NZ9747 could tolerate the amount of nisin that they produced themselves, probably due to the expression of the nisFEG genes, but the sensitivity to externally added nisin was approximately five times higher than in the wild-type. The nisin immunity level of strain NZ9747 showed that without the NisI lipoprotein the NisF, NisE and NisG immunity proteins can protect the cells to approximately 20% of the wild-type level. Duan et al. (1996) described from L. lactis a plasmid-encoded nisin...
resistance determinant consisting of only the nisRKFEG genes. The presence of this nisin resistance plasmid resulted in an immunity level of approximately 20% of a wild-type nisin producer. The nisin immunity level of strain NZ9747 corresponds well to the nisin resistance level of the nisin resistance plasmid containing the nisRKFEG genes. From these results some conclusions can be drawn concerning the question whether NisI cooperates with the NisFEG polypeptides or whether they represent separate immunity systems. Expressed to wild-type and higher levels without other nis-encoded genes NisI gives only 1–4% of the wild-type immunity level (Kuipers et al., 1993; Qiao et al., 1995). If NisI represents a non-cooperating immunity system, then the question arises why the immunity level of NZ9747 is only 20% of the wild-type level and is not in the range of >95% as would be expected if NisI formed an independent immunity system. Therefore, NisI clearly cooperates with some of the transposon-encoded polypeptides, probably with the NisFEG polypeptides. The observed 80% reduction of nisin immunity in the NisI-deficient strain can be partly explained by assuming that the efficiency of the immunity proteins is also influenced by the presence of functional complexes with other nis-encoded membrane proteins, e.g. NisB, NisP or NisT. This has been suggested by previous results of Kuipers et al. (1993) showing that expression of nisl resulted in at most 1–4% of wild-type immunity, whereas when nisl was expressed together with the nisABTC genes the nisin immunity level was higher, ranging between 8 and 20% of the wild-type level. Thus, full nisin immunity seems to require nisI production and fully induced nisl and nisFEG genes. This notion is further supported by recent studies showing that the production of antisense-nisEG or antisense-nisG RNA severely reduced the immunity levels in the L. lactis strain tested (Immonen & Saris, 1998).

The killing activity of nisin requires pore formation in the target cell. It has been speculated that Nisl as a lipoprotein could destabilize this pore formation (Entian & de Vos 1996; Saris et al., 1996) or assist the putative transport function of NisFEG. Recently Qiao (1996) has shown by circular dichroism (CD) spectroscopy and biomolecular interaction analysis (BIA) that purified Nisl does indeed have physical interactions with nisin. On the basis of sequence homology the nisFEG-encoded proteins belong to the family of ABC transporters (Siegers & Entian, 1995), which strongly suggests that nisin immunity is dependent on nisin translocation. Our present view of nisin immunity comprises cooperative interactions of Nisl with the putative NisFEG complex, in which translocation of nisin from the membrane to the cell exterior by NisFEG activity is mediated or facilitated by Nisl interactions with nisin.
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