A re-examination of twitching motility in Pseudomonas aeruginosa
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Twitching motility is a form of solid surface translocation which occurs in a wide range of bacteria and which is dependent on the presence of functional type IV fimbriae or pili. A detailed examination of twitching motility in Pseudomonas aeruginosa under optimal conditions in vitro was carried out. Under these conditions (at the smooth surface formed between semi-solid growth media and plastic or glass surfaces) twitching motility is extremely rapid, leading to an overall radial rate of colony expansion of 0.6 mm h⁻¹ or greater. The zones of colony expansion due to twitching motility are very thin and are best visualized by staining. These zones exhibit concentric rings in which there is a high density of microcolonies, which may reflect periods of expansion and consolidation/cell division. Video microscopic analysis showed that twitching motility involves the initial formation of large projections or rafts of aggregated cells which move away from the colony edge. Behind the rafts, individual cells move rapidly up and down trails which thin and branch out, ultimately forming a fine lattice-like network of cells. The bacteria in the lattice network then appear to settle and divide to fill out the colonized space. Our observations redefine twitching motility as a rapid, highly organized mechanism of bacterial translocation by which P. aeruginosa can disperse itself over large areas to colonize new territories. It is also now clear, both morphologically and genetically, that twitching motility and social gliding motility, such as occurs in Myxococcus xanthus, are essentially the same process.

INTRODUCTION

The term twitching motility was first coined by Lautrop (1961) to describe flagellar-independent surface motility in Acinetobacter calcoaceticus. Similar motility has been subsequently described in a wide range of other bacteria, including Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Neisseria gonorrhoeae, Neisseria meningitidis and other Neisseriaceae, various Moraxella species, Dichelobacter nodosus, Branhamella catarrhalis, Suttonella indologenes, Alteromonas putrefaciens, Pasteurella multocida, Xanthomonas maltophilia, Kingella denitrificans and many others (Mattick et al., 1993).

Henrichsen (1972) defined twitching motility as a kind of surface translocation that produced spreading zones on solid media, in which the colony edge exhibited varying micromorphological patterns, with cell movement being ‘intermittent and jerky’ and ‘predominantly singly, although smaller moving aggregates occur’. In some cases, such as N. gonorrhoeae and N. meningitidis, the twitching zones on agar are so small as to be almost invisible (Henrichsen, 1975), suggesting that the activity of twitching motility is variable in different species and/or that the culture conditions in vitro are suboptimal for this process. He also concluded that twitching motility on agar is dependent on a surface film of liquid (and accompanying humidity), as well as the presence of polar fimbriae, later termed type IV fimbriae (or pili) (Henrichsen & Blom, 1975; Henrichsen, 1983; Ottow, 1975; Mattick et al., 1987).

Bradley carried out detailed microscopic studies of wild-type P. aeruginosa in comparison to non-fimbriated and hyperfimbriated mutants which lack twitching motility. He confirmed that fimbriae are required for twitching

Abbreviations: Tc, tetracycline; TTC, 2,3,5-tetraphenyltetrazolium chloride or tetrazolium red.
motility and concluded that the mechanical basis of this process is fimbrial extension and retraction (Bradley, 1980), a hypothesis which has been broadly accepted in the absence of further information. Type IV fimbriae are filaments of about 6 nm in diameter which range up to several micrometres in length. They occur at one or both poles of the bacterium and are primarily composed of a small structural subunit (pilin or PilA in P. aeruginosa) with a characteristic highly conserved and highly hydrophobic amino-terminal region, which forms the core of the helical structure, whose outer face is comprised of the more hydrophilic and more variable domains of the subunit (Dalrymple & Mattick, 1987; Parge et al., 1995; Forest & Tainer, 1997). The superficial similarity of these structures to the peritrichous type I fimbriae found in Escherichia coli led many investigators to assume that the (primary) function of these organelles is attachment. There is substantial experimental evidence for adhesive properties of type IV fimbriae and reduced cellular adhesion in their absence, but experimental evidence for adhesive properties of type IV fimbriae in adhesion and twitching motility have been studied independently.

In recent years P. aeruginosa has been used as the primary model for studying fimbrial biology, due to its ease of culture and advanced genetics. Characterization of P. aeruginosa mutants which lack twitching motility has so far identified over 30 genes involved in fimbrial biogenesis and function, many of which have homology to other gene/protein sets involved in protein secretion and DNA uptake in various bacteria (Farinha et al., 1994; Rothbard et al., 1985; Ruehl et al., 1993; Chi et al., 1991). By and large, however, the role(s) of type IV fimbriae in adhesion and twitching motility have been studied independently. Despite considerable knowledge of the molecular genetics of type IV fimbriae, twitching motility as a process per se has not been carefully examined since the studies of Henrichsen and Bradley some 15–25 years ago. On the surface of agar plates twitching zones are small, presenting as narrow ‘ground-glass’ type edges around colonies which also appear rough (Fig. 1a). However, in recent years it has become clear that some species, notably Moraxella bovis (McMichael, 1992) and P. aeruginosa (Darzins, 1993; Alm & Mattick, 1995), exhibit very active twitching motility at the interstitial surface between agar and plastic or glass, leading to spreading zones approaching 2 cm in diameter after overnight growth (Fig. 1c). Here we have used video microscopic techniques to re-examine this process in P. aeruginosa under culture conditions which promote very active twitching motility. The results show that twitching motility is a highly organized process which permits rapid colonization of surfaces. Our observations also indicate that twitching motility and the social component of gliding motility (as occurs in the myxobacteria) are equivalent.

**METHODS**

**Bacterial strains and media.** The P. aeruginosa strains used in this study were PAK (D. Bradley, Memorial University of Newfoundland, St John’s, Canada) and PAKpilA::Tc (formally strain AWK described by Watson et al., 1996b). P. aeruginosa was maintained on LB medium (Sambrook et al., 1989) solidified with 1.5% agar. Subsurface twitching assays were performed using LB medium solidified with 1% agar. Light microscopy was performed using nutrient medium (g l\(^{-1}\): tryptone, 4; yeast extract, 2; NaCl, 2) solidified with 8 g Gel-Gro l\(^{-1}\) (ICN) for greater optical clarity. Tetrazolium red (2,3,5-tetraphenyltetrazolium chloride, TTC) was used at a concentration of 0.05%.

**Subsurface twitching assay.** The P. aeruginosa colony to be tested was stab-inoculated through the agar to the underlying Petri dish. After incubation at 30 or 37 °C for the specified times, the zone of motility at the agar/Petri dish interface was measured. To aid visualization, either the vital dye TTC was added to the medium prior to plate pouring, or the agar was compressed after incubation and stained using 0.05% Coomassie brilliant blue R250 stain (40% methanol, 10% acetic acid) as described previously (Alm & Mattick, 1995).

**Light microscopy.** Sterile microscope slides were submerged in molten Gel-Gro medium to obtain a thin layer of medium coating the slide. The slides were allowed to set in a horizontal position and air-dried briefly prior to use. The slides were then inoculated with a small loop-full of bacteria taken from an overnight plate culture. A sterile glass coverslip was placed over the point of inoculation and the slide transferred to a large Petri dish containing a moist tissue and sealed with Nescofilm (BANDO) to maintain humid conditions. Incubation times ranged from 2 to 6 h at 37 °C.

Slate cultures were examined using a Zeiss microscope Axioskop 50 with Nomarski facilities at 200–400× magnification. Video microscopy was performed in a room heated to 30 °C. Video images were recorded over a period of 2–4 h.
at speeds of either 1 field per 3·22 s, 1 field per 0·66 s or real time (1 field per 1/50 s) using a JVC TK-Cl38IEG video camera connected to a Sanyo TLS-S2500P time-lapse video recorder. Video images were edited and converted to Quicktime movies using Avid Videofoesh version 3.0 and can be viewed at http://www.cmcb.uq.edu.au/cmcb/PUBS/twitch.html

Western analysis. Colony Western analysis was performed using the method described by McMichael (1992). An LB agar plate was stab-inoculated and incubated overnight at 37 °C. Following inoculation the agar was divided into quarters and one quarter was removed from the Petri dish, inverted and placed onto Hybond-C nitrocellulose membrane (Amersham). Several layers of blotting paper were placed on top of the membrane with a small weight. After 10 min, the nitrocellulose was removed and rinsed in PBS. The membrane was blocked in 5 % skim milk powder in PBS overnight and then exposed to a 1:10000 dilution of goat anti-rabbit immunoglobulin G powder in PBS. The proteins were detected using the SuperSignal chemiluminescence kit (Pierce) and exposed to X-ray film.

RESULTS

Colony expansion due to twitching motility

Fig. 1. shows the appearance of colonies of wild-type and a mutant of the fimbrial subunit gene (pilA) of P. aeruginosa on the surface of an agar plate cultured in a humid environment, as well as at the interstitial surface between the agar and the plastic at the bottom of the plate. Wild-type colonies on the surface form flat, spreading colonies with a characteristic ‘rough’ appearance and a small twitching zone consisting of a very thin layer of cells (Fig. 1a). In contrast strains which are incapable of twitching motility (e.g. PAK::Tc strain (Fig. 1b)) produce smooth domed colonies (Fig. 1b).

When wild-type P. aeruginosa cells are stabbed through an agar layer to the underlying plastic of the Petri dish, colony expansion due to twitching motility occurs very rapidly and is seen as a faint halo at the interstitial surface between the agar and the plastic (Fig. 1c). This does not occur in the PAK::Tc strain (Fig. 1d) or in any mutants lacking twitching motility (Darzins, 1993; Alm & Mattick, 1997). This halo consists of essentially a single layer of cells moving out from the colony and is much more easily visualized by staining with Coomassie blue or the vital dye TTC (Fig. 2a, b). TTC has been used by others to examine swimming motility in E. coli and gliding motility in M. xanthus (Berg & Turner, 1995; Shi et al., 1996). TTC is a clear compound which is reduced to form a large insoluble red formazan crystal when taken up by the cell and has the advantage that, unlike Coomassie blue, it can be used to stain cells in situ.

Both Coomassie blue and TTC staining reveal a series of concentric rings in the twitching zone. Microscopic examination of the TTC stained zones revealed that the darker regions corresponded to areas of closely clustered microcolonies, whereas the lighter regions are comprised of a uniform layer of cells (data not shown). These microcolonies are reminiscent of those observed in the development of biofilms on plastic, which are also dependent on the presence of functional type IV fimbriae (O’Toole & Kolter, 1998). The appearance of the P. aeruginosa colony expansion zone of regions of interspersed high and low cell densities suggests that colony expansion by twitching motility may involve some type of periodicity, which was confirmed by examining the kinetics of the process (see below). The denser TTC-stained rings containing microcolonies do not appear until later in the incubation period, so we are unsure about how their position precisely relates to the periodicity of twitching motility.

We have calculated the overall rate of radial colony expansion at the interstitial surface between the LB agar and the plastic Petri dish to be about 0·6 mm h⁻¹ by measuring the differences in the diameter of the colonies over a 26 h period with incubation at 37 °C. We also followed the kinetics of this expansion process more closely by measuring the diameter of the twitching zone every 30 min for a 12 h period with incubation at 30 °C (Fig. 2c). These data suggest that twitching involves phases of motility interspersed with phases of cellular growth and division (consolidation), consistent with the periodicity implied by the patterns observed in Coomassie blue and TTC staining of the twitching zone (Fig. 2a, b).

To determine whether the fimbriae are expressed only in the twitching zone or across the colony as a whole, we performed a Western blot of a mature colony at the agar/plastic interface using an antibody which detects PilA (Fig. 2d, e). The results show that the fimbriae are expressed only in the outermost part of the zone, wherein twitching motility is actively taking place. This is also evidence of differentiation within the colony as a whole, indicating that both the production of fimbriae and presumably also the rate and direction of cellular motion, are being regulated by local environmental factors.

Colony expansion due to twitching motility at the surface of agar is significantly (about 10-fold) slower than that observed at the agar/plastic interface (cf. Fig. 1a and c). To investigate if this might be due to differences in oxygen tension experienced in these environments we compared colony expansion both at the surface and beneath the agar under aerobic and microaerophilic conditions (the latter using a sealed chamber from which the oxygen had been reduced by burning a candle until extinguished), ensuring high humidity was maintained. We also assayed the interstitial twitching zone sizes at different volumes of agar (5–40 ml). Neither approach demonstrated any significant effect on twitching motility (data not shown), indicating that differences in oxygen tension do not account for the observed rate differences. However, a halo of twitching motility identical to that observed at the interstitial surface between the agar and Petri dish
can be formed on the surface of the agar by placing a glass coverslip over the top of cells which have been inoculated on an agar surface. This approach was used to study the micromorphological details of twitching motility by video microscopy (see below). We then examined whether twitching motility requires an abiotic surface or simply any solid surface above and below the cells (such as an agar–agar interface). We repeated the experiment with a thin agar slab in place of a glass coverslip and found that these conditions also promoted twitching motility, albeit not as actively as that observed at the agar–plastic interface at the bottom of a Petri dish. These observations suggest that twitching motility in *P. aeruginosa* is favoured at interstitial surfaces, including agar–plastic/glass or agar–agar surfaces. However, to our surprise, during the course of these experiments we also found that active twitching motility occurred (at the same rate as at an agar–plastic interface) over the surface of agar that had been set against the plastic on the bottom of the plate and then inverted and exposed to the air (i.e. without an agar or glass cover). These twitching zones at the agar–air interface were identical to those observed at the agar–plastic interface, including the formation of concentric rings and the very fine outermost edge where twitching is actively taking place (see Figs 1c and 2a, b, d). This observation indicates that the requirements for twitching motility are not an interstitial surface *per se* but simply rather a smooth surface across which the bacteria can freely move. Presumably the very limited twitching motility normally observed on the surface of agar plates relates to the uneven or rough surface produced during the setting of the agar at the air interface, whereas the agar surface formed on the bottom against the plastic is very even. These observations indicate that active twitching motility can occur both at interstitial surfaces and on (smooth) biotic or abiotic surfaces exposed to air or liquid, consistent with the role of twitching motility in biofilm formation and what might be expected to occur during infection of epithelial surfaces. The difficulty in obtaining active twitching motility on the dried surface of conventional plates may also apply to other bacteria.
Twitching motility in *P. aeruginosa*

Fig. 3. Light microscopy of zones of twitching motility. (a) and (b) show typical colony expansion zones obtained at the interstitial surface between the glass coverslip and Gel-Gro medium for PAK (twitching) and PAK*pilA::Tc R* (non-twitching) respectively. Micrographs were taken after 4–6 h incubation at 37 °C. Bars, 50 µm. 'c' indicates the colony; 'm' indicates the uncolonized medium; the arrow indicates the radial direction of colony expansion.

On this basis we suggest that the use of smooth agar surfaces (inverted after setting) may also allow twitching motility to be observed more easily in other type IV fimbriate species, such as *N. gonorrhoeae* and *N. meningitidis*, where thus far it has been very difficult to observe this process in vitro. We are currently examining this possibility.

**Microscopic analysis of the twitching zone**

We have developed a system for light microscopic analysis of twitching motility by adapting the method originally developed for this purpose by Darzins (1993), wherein cells were point-inoculated onto an agar block and covered by a glass slide, by replacing the agar with a thin layer of gellan gum (Gel-Gro) that gives much greater optical clarity. Under these conditions twitching motility is active and produces zones comparable to that observed on LB agar at the agar–plastic interface, as discussed above. Fig. 3(a) shows a typical micrograph of a twitching zone under glass, in comparison with that observed with a *pilA* mutant (Fig. 3b). The micro-morphological pattern of the twitching zone under glass differs substantially from that observed at the outermost edge of a surface-grown colony where, historically, twitching motility has been examined (see Bradley, 1980; Henrichsen, 1972, 1983), but which we now know to be a suboptimal environment for the process.

The twitching motility zone under optimal conditions is comprised of three major micromorphological patterns (Fig. 3a). The leading edge of the twitching zone exhibits large rafts of 10–50 cells moving away from the colony edge. These motile rafts are characteristic of twitching motility and have been described before (Darzins, 1993; Bradley, 1980; Henrichsen, 1972). However, our results reveal that twitching motility can display far greater complexity than has been previously described. Behind these rafts a strikingly intricate lattice-work pattern is formed comprised of structures consisting of only 1–5 cells in width. Closer to the colony, the spaces between the lattice are filled in, presumably due to cell division. It is also worth noting that the twitching zone is formed essentially of a single layer of cells. This is not
due to physical constraints as the centre of the colony itself and the microcolonies within the twitching zone are clearly capable of forming three-dimensional cell aggregates at the surface between agar or Gel-Gro and plastic or glass surfaces. Mutants which lack fimbriae do not exhibit raft or lattice formation (Fig. 3b). On a conventional agar surface (without a glass coverslip) (Fig. 1a) (see also Bradley, 1980; Henrichsen, 1972, 1983), wild-type colonies do exhibit aggregates of cells protruding from the colony edge, but lack the highly structured lattice-work observed under conditions (smooth surfaces) which promote active twitching motility (see above).

**Time-lapse video microscopy**

Time-lapse video microscopy confirmed that the initial stages of twitching motility involve the formation of large rafts of aggregated cells moving away from the colony edge (Fig. 4). These rafts move unidirectionally...
following the long axis of the cells which are in tight cell–cell contact and generally move radially outward, although they do meander. Occasionally within these rafts individual cells can be seen to reverse direction and move back towards the colony, against the overall forward motion of the raft. Behind the rafts groups of cells appear to stretch out and break up into smaller aggregates which move off in different directions and connect with other groups (Fig. 4). This ultimately leads to the formation of the fine lattice-like network observed in the twitching zone (Figs 3a and 4). The leading aggregates appear to lay down ‘trails’, along which following cells move rapidly up and down as individual units or small groups, often reversing direction but always following the long axis of the cell (Fig. 4). These ‘trails’ are not visible but their presence is inferred by the observation that following cells tend to follow pathways that have been previously traversed by other cells. Once the lattice has been formed, the bacteria within mature sections appear to settle and divide to fill out the colonized space (Fig. 3a).

The movement of the cells within the twitching zone is interesting. Individual cells appear to align with others along their long axis, apparently in intimate contact, and to slide by each other, either singly or in small groups. This is particularly noticeable and most active during the lattice formation, whereas the larger groups of cells in the leading rafts tend to move more in unison, although individual cells do appear to move around within the raft. Nonetheless, individual cells moving within the trails/lattice network move more rapidly than those in the rafts. In addition, reversal of movement also occurs more often in these trails than in the rafts.

Careful examination of numerous time-lapse recordings of twitching motility indicated that the movement involves cell–cell contact. Under the conditions used here, a single cell was never observed moving when well separated from other cells. Occasionally, an individual cell will move from one cluster to another but always when the clusters are in close proximity. Such a cell at first moves end on towards other cells until it touches another cell with its pole. It then immediately snaps into an adjacent position alongside the other cell (Fig. 5). This ‘snapping’ behaviour is very rapid and accounts for the jerky ‘twitching’ which is characteristically seen when this motility is observed without time-lapse (Bradley, 1980; Henrichsen, 1972, 1983). Individual cells are also seen frequently to move quickly along the outside of an existing trail of cells. The cells in the network appear to be in a generally constant state of movement, but individual cells can be stationary for a period and then suddenly move. All movement involves at least one cell contacting another with its pole, consistent with the polar location of the fimbriae. The impression is that the cells are pulling and pushing against each other.

We have calculated the rates of cell movement during twitching motility by analysis of our time-lapse video recordings. Under these conditions (Gel-Gro nutrient medium at 30 °C) the leading edge rafts can move at speeds of up to 6 µm min⁻¹, but can also temporarily stop, and normally move at a rate of about 3–4 µm min⁻¹, consistent with macroscopic measurements of the radial expansion of the colony as a whole under these same conditions, which was measured to be 0·16 mm h⁻¹ over 16 h (about 2·6 µm min⁻¹ – see also below). The rate of colony expansion due to cell division in the absence of twitching motility (calculated from analysis of time-lapse recordings of the pilA mutant) was only 0·1 µm min⁻¹, i.e. only a few percent of that mediated by twitching motility in the wild-type. Within the developing lattice behind the outgoing rafts, individual cells appeared to be more active and were measured to move up and down the trails at speeds of about 5–10 µm min⁻¹.

We have also observed that the rate of twitching motility is dependent on growth conditions. Using LB agar we have observed twitching-motility-mediated radial colony expansion rates of around 0·5–0·6 mm h⁻¹ (8–10 µm min⁻¹) at 30–37 °C, which appears to be a consequence of the higher nutrient levels in this agar than of the Gel-Gro nutrient medium used for microscopic studies. Twitching motility is stimulated (further) by higher levels of tryptone and yeast extract, but not glucose (data not shown), and we are currently attempting to identify the particular components which are responsible for this stimulation.

**DISCUSSION**

Our results indicate that under appropriate conditions twitching motility in *P. aeruginosa* manifests as a rapid, highly organized mechanism of bacterial solid surface translocation. This description differs significantly from
the picture presented by earlier studies of twitching motility which essentially stated that twitching motility is a relatively slow, disorganized mode of translocation in which cells move predominantly singly in an intermittent and jerky fashion (Henrichsen, 1972). Notably, these and other early studies examined twitching motility at the outer edge of the colony grown on an agar surface solidified and dried in air, which is clearly suboptimal for observing this process in vitro.

Conditions which appear to promote twitching motility in P. aeruginosa are growth of the cells on smooth solid or semi-solid surfaces, such as agar set against plastic. Type IV fimbriae are expressed only in the outer active twitching zone of expanding colonies (Fig. 2d, e), implying that type IV fimbriae are involved in both adhesion to and movement across such surfaces, consistent with the known properties of these organelles. Type IV fimbriae and twitching motility have also recently been shown to be required for biofilm formation by P. aeruginosa on abiotic surfaces (O’Toole & Kolter, 1998) and to be associated with the formation of microcolonies on these surfaces. Our observation of microcolonies within the twitching motility zone on agar culture is consistent with this and suggests that we are observing the rapid formation by twitching motility of a biofilm on the agar or Petri dish surface. Biofilm formation is also important in P. aeruginosa infections particularly in the lungs of cystic fibrosis patients where this pathogen grows as microcolonies embedded in the exopolysaccharide alginate (Govan & Deretic, 1996).

Twitching motility and alginate production have been shown to be coordinately (and probably reciprocally) regulated through AlgR (Whitchurch et al., 1996). Twitching motility therefore appears to be a key component of biofilm formation on both abiotic and biotic surfaces. In support of this, there is evidence to suggest that twitching motility plays a significant role in the colonization of epithelial surfaces in animals and the subsequent spread of infection as mutants which retain type IV fimbriae, but have lost twitching motility, have reduced infectivity (Hazlett et al., 1991; Comolli et al., 1999).

Our observations indicate that twitching motility shares many points of similarity with another mechanism of bacterial translocation known as gliding motility and is almost certainly synonymous with it. In Henrichsen’s 1972 review, in which he surveyed and attempted to classify the various forms of bacterial surface translocation that had been reported in the literature up until that time, he states (in reference to gliding motility in a range of bacteria, notably fruiting and non-fruiting myxobacteria, such as Myxococcus and Cytophaga spp.) that, ‘Under conditions optimal for gliding, the colonies will be seen as “completely flat, rapidly spreading almost invisible swarms” and as a spreading, rhizoid growth with a honeycomb appearance. Movement takes place mainly in “spearheads” (i.e. spearhead-shaped cell aggregates at the edge of the colony), single isolated cells very rarely being motile, and the picture is one of a “changing dispersed border” with interlacing bands being continuously rearranged.’ A similar description is given elsewhere in the same review: ‘The cells are arranged in a loose pattern of interlacing bands of rafts and cells…Groups of cells resembling spearheads are seen projecting outwards. The locomotion, which is principally seen in the groups of cells, i.e. rafts and spearheads, and takes the direction of the longitudinal axis of the bacteria, gives rise to a constantly changing picture, steadily gliding groups of cells uniting or dividing…” (Henrichsen, 1972).

This is an exact description of what we have observed by video microscopy as type IV fimbriae-dependent twitching motility in P. aeruginosa at the agar/Gel-Gro–plastic/glass interface. Henrichsen also reported that gliding motility is confined to solid surfaces such as glass and agar, requires high humidity and that at least some species which exhibit gliding motility possess surface filaments of 4–8 nm, all of which are characteristic of twitching motility or type IV fimbriae. It therefore appears that Henrichsen’s conclusion that twitching and gliding motility are distinctive processes reflects artefactual differences observed in vitro in different species due to suboptimal environmental conditions, as well as perhaps in some cases species-specific idiosyncrasies in the process or its regulation and directional control. In fact, prior to Henrichsen’s review there had been some debate as to whether or not twitching motility and gliding motility were the same process (see Henrichsen, 1972 and references therein).

The conclusion that twitching and gliding motility are alternative names for essentially the same process is also supported by microscopic and molecular genetic studies of gliding motility in M. xanthus, wherein the process has been extensively studied over the past decade. Gliding motility in this species has been separated into two facets, namely ‘adventurous motility’, involving the movement of single cells away from the colony by an unknown mechanism, and ‘social motility’, which has many micromorphological similarities with our observations of twitching motility. Both twitching and social gliding motility appear to involve trail formation. In both cases, cells moving within large rafts do not reverse as frequently as cells moving in trails (Shi et al., 1996). Our observation that in twitching motility isolated cells are incapable of motility and that translocation only occurs when cells are in close proximity suggests that cell–cell interactions are required for motility, which is consistent with observations of social motility in M. xanthus A–S+ mutants (Hodgkin & Kaiser, 1979).

Furthermore, social gliding motility in M. xanthus has been recently shown to be dependent on type IV fimbriae, based on the discovery that several genes required for this process (pilA, pilS, pilR, pilB, pilC, pilD, pilT and pilQ) (Wu & Kaiser, 1995, 1997; Wu et al., 1999; Wall et al., 1999) are homologous to genes previously identified in P. aeruginosa as being required for fimbrial biogenesis and twitching motility (Alm & Mattick, 1997), consistent with earlier electron microscopic studies that indicated that pili or fimbriae were
important in social motility (Kaiser, 1979). At the same
time, studies of twitching-impaired mutants of \textit{P. aeruginosa} showed that this process was dependent on a set of genes (\textit{pilGHJKL}, \textit{chpAB}) encoding a chemotactic signal transduction system (Darzins, 1993, 1994, 1995; Alm & Mattick, 1997), similar to that involved in controlling flagellar-mediated swimming in enteric bacteria, but which is more complex and most closely related to an equivalent set of genes (\textit{frzABCEDEFG}) controlling social gliding motility in \textit{M. xanthus} (McBride et al., 1989; McCleary & Zusman, 1990).

The mechanism of twitching/gliding motility remains a mystery. The comparative molecular genetics, genomics and biochemistry of twitching/gliding motility in \textit{P. aeruginosa} and \textit{M. xanthus} adds a powerful new dimension to dissecting this process. Clearly, in both cases type IV fimbriae are involved, as is cell–cell contact involving at least one pole, where the fimbriae are located. In \textit{P. aeruginosa}, in most cases (except when cells intersect at an angle), the cells are aligned along their long axis and appear to slide by each other. Cell alignment also appears to be involved in \textit{M. xanthus} gliding motility and to be important in a process termed ‘stimulation’ whereby \textit{M. xanthus} \textit{tgl} mutants which lack type IV fimbriae and gliding motility can be rescued phenotypically in both respects by physical contact with \textit{tgl} cells (Rodriguez-Soto & Kaiser, 1997; Wall et al., 1998; Wall & Kaiser, 1998). Importantly, this stimulation involves \textit{Tgl}-mediated stimulation of fimbrial assembly from pre-existing pilin and to lead to a rate of fimbrial elongation in \textit{tgl} mutants that is similar to wild-type, which is broadly consistent with the conclusion from electron microscopic studies of \textit{P. aeruginosa} that type IV fimbriae can extend and retract (Bradley, 1972a, b, 1974). Comparison of proteins known to be involved in twitching motility in \textit{P. aeruginosa} to \textit{Tgl} indicates that \textit{PiIF} is significantly similar to \textit{Tgl} across the length of the protein and thus may serve an equivalent function in twitching motility as a trigger for contact-initiated fimbrial assembly/extension/retraction in this organism (Watson et al., 1996a; J. S. Mattick & C. B. Whitchurch, unpublished observations). This hypothesis is currently being tested.

The helical structure of the fimbrial strand strongly implies that the motive force for twitching/gliding motility is transduced by fimbrial rotation, either as part of the extension/retraction of the fimbrial strand \textit{per se} (Mattick & Alm, 1995) or by rotational forces applied externally to the cell or adjacent cells. In this context it is interesting to note that electron microscopic examination of cells within the colony of the twitching bacterium \textit{N. gonorrhoeae} has demonstrated that the type IV fimbriae of this bacterium wrap around the cells from which they emanate as thin, individual structures, but also form thick bundles which branch and subdivide to interconnect cells within the colony (Todd et al., 1984). It is our impression that the cells may be swivelling during twitching in \textit{P. aeruginosa}, but the micrographic resolution is insufficient to be confident about this. Whether twitching/gliding motility is induced by the fimbriae acting \textit{in cis} on their home cell or \textit{in trans} by pushing or pulling adjacent cells remains to be determined. In relation to the latter, our video microscopy of \textit{P. aeruginosa}, especially of isolated cells that are being reconnected to existing trails, would suggest that the cells are, if anything, being pulled rather than pushed.

Despite semantic differences and varying use of nomenclature, there now appears to be three systems of bacterial motility that are genetically and morphologically well-defined: (1) swimming motility, which occurs in fluid media and is mediated by reversible rotation of flagella; (2) swarming motility, which occurs on solid surfaces and is mediated by elongated and peritrichously hyperflagellated swarmer cells; and (3) twitching motility/social gliding motility which also occurs on solid surfaces and is mediated by type IV fimbriae. Interestingly, we have recently found that \textit{P. aeruginosa} is also capable of another form of motility which resembles swarming, and that this activity can occur in concert with twitching motility, although it is distinct from it (C. B. Whitchurch & J. S. Mattick, unpublished observations). Thus \textit{P. aeruginosa} appears to have the capacity for all three of these forms of motility, under different circumstances, further evidence of the versatility of this organism.
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