Electrotransformation of *Streptococcus pneumoniae*: evidence for restriction of DNA on entry
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Electrotransformation is a method generally used in biotechnology to introduce recombinant DNA into a wide range of bacteria. However, the mechanism of DNA entry is poorly understood. We report that in *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, a naturally transformable species, electrotransformation efficiently introduces a plasmid replicon. DNA is strongly restricted by the restriction-modification systems *DpnI* and *DpnII* which degrade methylated and non-methylated DNA, respectively, at GATC sequences. This suggests that in electrotransformation double-stranded DNA penetrates into these bacteria without a single-stranded DNA step in contrast to natural transformation. Single-stranded DNA by itself is able to electrotransform very weakly and linearized double-stranded plasmid DNA yields barely detectable levels of transformants.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Molecular genetics and biotechnology have undergone tremendous development as a result of the discovery of various methods that facilitate the exchange of genetic material. The first successful method was the natural transformation of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* by DNA (Avery *et al.*, 1944). However, remarkably few naturally transformable species have been described. There is a necessity to use recombinant DNA technology in a range of bacteria of economic significance. For bacteria lacking a natural mechanism for DNA uptake, techniques have been devised to introduce DNA. The most efficient of these is electrotransformation (see Chassy *et al.*, 1988, for a review). When exposed to high electric fields (up to 12 kV cm⁻¹) for very short periods (a few milliseconds), DNA penetrates bacterial cells and transformants can be obtained. Much work has been devoted to optimize electrotransformation in various species as a method for the construction of recombinant strains. Experiments to elucidate the mechanism of DNA entry have given divergent results in several systems (Klenchim *et al.*, 1991; Eynard *et al.*, 1992). Little effort has been devoted to understanding if there is any structural modification of the DNA during its penetration. This is in contrast with natural transformation, where considerable progress has been made in the analysis of the mechanism of DNA uptake. In the best studied naturally transformable species, *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, double-stranded (ds) DNA is bound to the cell and one strand penetrates while the other is degraded (Lacks, 1962). In this study we have investigated the process of DNA penetration during electrotransformation in this bacterium.

In *S. pneumoniae* a restriction system has been well characterized: *DpnI* strains do not methylate adenine in GATC sequences and restrict DNA when these sequences are methylated, whereas *DpnII* strains methylate adenine in this sequence and do not restrict non-methylated DNA (Vovis & Lacks, 1977). A strain deficient in restriction and methylation is also available (*Dpn0*) (Muckerman *et al.*, 1982). Using this panel of strains we have found that DNA is fully restricted when penetrating by electrotransformation in appropriate strains. This suggests that in contrast to natural transformation, dsDNA penetrates into the cells without an intermediate step where it is converted to a single strand. Single-stranded (ss) DNA was found to electrotransform very weakly; linearized plasmid DNA yielded barely detectable transformants.

**METHODS**

**Strains and plasmids.** Strain R800, a derivative of Avery's strain R36A, contains the *DpnI* restriction endonuclease. Strain 8R1 is Berheimer's strain MB264 containing the *DpnII* restriction endonuclease. Our laboratory strain, CP1000, derived from strain RX1 does not contain *DpnI* or *DpnII*.
(DpnI) (Muckerman et al., 1982). The plasmid used in this paper is pLS1 that replicates in *Escherichia coli* and S. pneumoniae (Stassi et al., 1981). It carries a resistance marker for tetracycline. Transformants were selected at a concentration of 1.5 μg tetracycline ml⁻¹ after incubation for 2 h at 37 °C for phenotypic expression.

**Electrotransformation.** Cells were grown in CAT complete medium (Morrison et al., 1983) at 37 °C and harvested in mid-exponential phase (OD₆₆₀ 0.3–0.4). They were washed twice and concentrated tenfold in electroporation medium (sucrose, 0.5 M; potassium phosphate, 7 mM, pH 7.5; magnesium chloride, 1 mM). A volume of 0.8 ml cell suspension was poured into a Bio-Rad cuvette. pLS1 plasmid DNA was added at a concentration of 1 μg ml⁻¹ and the mixture was kept at 0 °C for 1 min. The Bio-Rad apparatus was set at maximum power (6.25 kV cm⁻¹, 25 μF). A single pulse of current was given.

**RESULTS**

**Evidence for DNA restriction during electrotransformation**

To investigate whether DNA is restricted in the process of electrotransformation, we have used three pneumococcal strains: strain R800 produces the DpnI endonuclease that cleaves DNA at GATC sequences methylated at the adenine residue and does not produce the corresponding methylase; strain 8R1 produces the DpnII endonuclease that cleaves DNA at non-methylated GATC sequences and contains the corresponding methylase; and strain CP1000 is deficient in both restriction-modification systems (DpnI) (Muckerman et al., 1982). pLS1 DNA was prepared in each of these strains and used to electrotransform the others. Table 1 shows that the DpnI strain accepts all three kinds of plasmid DNA. When DNA was extracted from 8R1, a DpnII strain which methylates DNA, there were no transformants of the recipient DpnI strain that is able to cleave methylated GATC sequences. When plasmid DNA was prepared from the DpnI or DpnII strains, the frequency of transformation was much higher. Therefore, there appears to be a strong restriction of non-methylated DNA during electrotransformation. Reciprocal experiments were performed with electrotransformation of the DpnII strain. Transformants could only be obtained when DNA was prepared in the same strain.

**Table 1. Restriction of plasmid DNA during electrotransformation**

Plasmid pLS1 was extracted from strains that differ in their restriction-modification states: CP1000 (DpnI), endonuclease- methylase-; R800 (DpnII) endonuclease I° methylase; 8R1 (DpnII) endonuclease II° methylase. Electroporation was performed in a 0.4 cm cuvette at 2.5 kV with a capacity of 25 μF without the pulse controller. DNA was added at a concentration of 1 μg ml⁻¹. Frequencies shown are the ratio of transformants to surviving bacteria. The percentage survival after treatment is shown in parentheses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recipient strain</th>
<th>Frequency of transformation with plasmid DNA from:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CP1000 (non-methylated)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP1000 (DpnI)</td>
<td>5.1 × 10⁻⁴ (81)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R800 (DpnII)</td>
<td>2.0 × 10⁻³ (51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8R1 (DpnII)</td>
<td>&lt; 10⁻⁴ (81)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There was no transformation when DNA was extracted from both DpnI and DpnII strains which do not methylate DNA GATC sequences. Thus, DNA is restricted. This also shows that there is no methylase activity detectable in the DpnI strain as reported in experiments on phage restriction (Muckerman et al., 1982).

Similar experiments were performed using buffer of higher ionic strength to increase the intensity shock. Under these conditions survival was lower but the frequency of transformation was not improved and restriction was still a barrier.

Since such restriction enzymes act only on dsDNA and not on ssDNA (Cerritelli et al., 1989), these experiments suggest that DNA penetrates the cell as a double-stranded molecule. This is different from the situation in natural transformation where DNA becomes single-stranded inside pneumococcal cells. It was of interest to determine if denatured or other forms of DNA could transform cells.

**Electrotransformation by denatured DNA**

Preparations of plasmid pLS1 were boiled, chilled in liquid nitrogen and used for electroporation. When the DNA originated from the same strain as the recipient,
transformation, we used samples of the same boiled preparation to electrotransform the restricting strain not be detected with the restricting strains as expected circular form once, to test its ability to yield transformants. Very few transformants were obtained with the non-restrictive strains, whereas transformants could not be detected with the restricting strains as expected (Table 3).

Effect of linearization of DNA on electrotransformation

pLS1 DNA was linearized by BglII, which cuts the circular form once, to test its ability to yield transformants. Very few transformants were obtained with the non-restrictive strains, whereas transformants could not be detected with the restricting strains as expected (Table 3).

DISCUSSION

The results reported here demonstrate that DNA is strongly restricted when it penetrates pneumococcal cells by electrotransformation. The DNA is degraded by the DpnI and DpnII restriction endonucleases acting on GATC sequences in the DNA of several pneumococcal strains. Occurrence of a restriction barrier in electrotransformation has been reported for some bacteria such as Corynebacterium glutamicum, Brevibacterium flavum and E. coli (Miller et al., 1988; Bonamy et al., 1990; Vertès et al., 1993; Tauch et al., 1994), whereas in other bacteria such as Lactococcus lactis, Brevibacterium lactofermentum or Yersinia spp. the electrotransformed plasmids apparently can escape the restriction systems present (Langella & Chopin, 1989; Bonnassie et al., 1990; Conchas & Carniel, 1990; Cutrin et al., 1994; Vertès et al., 1993). This failure to degrade DNA could be explained by the inactivation of some restriction systems during the electric shock or by a mechanism that protects the entering plasmid DNA against enzymic degradation. It has been reported that uptake of DNA measured by its resistance to added DNases requires a few seconds, whereas the electric pulse only lasts a few milliseconds (Eynard et al., 1992). During this lapse of time some interaction between the DNA and the cell components in the cell envelope might occur. Restriction during electrotransformation could explain the negative or very poor results in attempts to electrotransform bacteria by plasmids produced by other strains or species. Isolation of restriction-negative mutants could improve the general efficiency of electrotransformation using restricted plasmids. In pneumococci it should be possible to easily isolate such mutants by counter selection since the DpnI or DpnII restriction systems are so efficient. Restriction-negative mutants have been obtained in Brevibacterium lactofermentum and these show improved electrotransformation efficiency (Bonnassie et al., 1990).

In S. pneumoniae the restriction of plasmid DNA suggests that DNA is double-stranded when it enters the cells during electrotransformation since this restriction system acts only on dsDNA (Cerritelli et al., 1989). This is quite different from the uptake of DNA in naturally competent cells where a ssDNA step is required (Lacks, 1962). An alternative hypothesis would be that DNA is separated by the electric treatment in single strands which penetrate the cell, reanneal and become susceptible to the restriction enzyme. This is unlikely since the electric field by itself does not modify the plasmid structure (data not shown).

The single-stranded fraction of boiled plasmid preparations is able to yield transformants but at a very reduced frequency. This was also reported for electrotransformation by single-stranded φX174 phage DNA (Taketo, 1988). This could result from reduced penetration, hypersensitivity to nucleases of ssDNA inside the cell or inability to resume replication. None of these possibilities can be excluded. Linear DNA yields very

---

**Table 3. Effect of linearization of DNA on electrotransformation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recipient strain</th>
<th>Frequency of transformation with plasmid DNA from:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CP1000 circular (non-methylated)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8R1 circular (methylated)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CP1000 linear (non-methylated)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8R1 linear (methylated)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R800 (DpnI)</td>
<td>2.4 x 10^-3 (81)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8R1 (DpnII)</td>
<td>&lt; 10^-8 (81)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4 x 10^-4 (74)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 x 10^-8 (22)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 10^-8 (37)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 x 10^-8 (53)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
few transformants. Similar observations were reported for electrottransformation of lactic Streptococci (Powell et al., 1988) and for calcium-dependent transformation of E. coli (Oishi & Coslay, 1972). This can be accounted for either by a failure to replicate linearized plasmid DNA or extensive degradation by DNases active on linear dsDNA but not on circular DNA. This latter hypothesis is supported by the improved transformation of linear DNA in recBCD-deficient E. coli mutants and might also apply to S. pneumoniae since this enzyme is active in this bacterium (Vovis, 1973). Further work on electroporation of linear DNA is in progress.
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