Dipeptidyl aminopeptidase processing and biosynthesis of alkaline extracellular protease from *Yarrowia lipolytica*
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Alkaline extracellular protease (AEP) from *Yarrowia lipolytica* is synthesized as a precursor with a 157 aa prepro-region. Signal peptide cleavage was shown to occur after Ala\textsubscript{15} by N-terminal amino acid radiosequencing of the largest intracellular AEP precursor. AEP proteolytic activity was not required for AEP processing. After a change of the putative active site Ser to Ala\textsubscript{15}, inactive AEP with the same mobility on SDS-PAGE as wild-type mature AEP was secreted. The role of dipeptidyl aminopeptidase (DPAPase) activity in AEP processing was also investigated. Mutations early in the -X-Ala\textsubscript{15} and -X-Pro\textsubscript{17} dipeptide stretch (Pro\textsubscript{17} to Met which should prevent DPAPase processing and Ala\textsubscript{15} to Val which should allow removal of only the first dipeptide) did not prevent synthesis of active mature AEP nor did use of the DPAPase inhibitor Pro bororoPro. Deletion of the entire dipeptide stretch (Ala\textsubscript{15} to Pro\textsubscript{17}) resulted in intracellular accumulation of an AEP precursor, which surprisingly was not glycosylated, and little or no secretion of AEP-related polypeptides. Expression of AEP in wild-type and *dpp1 dap2* *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* strains (lacking both the Golgi and vacuolar DPAPases) resulted in secretion of only mature AEP and no AEP precursors. Transit times and levels of AEP secretion were similar for both strains. These results indicate that the KEX2-like cleavage after Lys\textsubscript{152}-Arg\textsubscript{157}, which yields mature active AEP can occur in the absence of DPAPase processing and that DPAPase processing is not necessary for secretion of mature active AEP.
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INTRODUCTION

The dimorphic yeast *Yarrowia lipolytica* secretes high levels of a 32 kDa subtilisin-like alkaline extracellular protease (AEP) (Tobe *et al.*, 1976; Ogrydziak & Scharf, 1982; Matoba *et al.*, 1988). AEP has been used as a reporter molecule for *in vivo* studies of functions of the signal recognition particle of *Y. lipolytica* (He *et al.*, 1992; Yaver *et al.*, 1992), and the XPR2 gene (encoding AEP) promoter and prepro-region have been used for heterologous protein secretion. AEP is synthesized with a 157 aa prepro-region that begins with a signal sequence followed by a stretch of nine X-Ala, X-Pro dipeptides (Fig. 1). The prepro-region contains a consensus sequence for N-linked glycosylation and ends with a Lys-Arg pair of basic amino acids (Davidow *et al.*, 1987; Matoba *et al.*, 1988; Nicaud *et al.*, 1989). Removal of the signal peptide and addition of 2 kDa of N-linked carbohydrate in the pro-region are thought to occur as prepro-AEP is translocated across the ER membrane yielding a 55 kDa AEP precursor (Matoba *et al.*, 1988; Fabre *et al.*, 1991). Removal of the X-Ala, X-Pro dipeptides by dipeptidyl aminopeptidase (DPAPase) activity, which yields a 52 kDa precursor, and cleavage after Lys-Arg at the end of the pro-region by the KEX2-like XPR6 processing endoprotease, which yields 32 kDa mature AEP, presumably occur in the late Golgi (Matoba *et al.*, 1988; Matoba & Ogrydziak, 1989; Fabre *et al.*, 1991; Enderlin & Ogrydziak, 1993; Lopez *et al.*, 1994).
The role of the nine X-Ala, X-Pro dipeptides (dipeptide stretch) in AEP processing and secretion is not clear. The location of the dipeptide stretch in AEP (over 100 aa upstream of the mature polypeptide) is unusual in that in other cases, such as honey bee prepro mellitin and prepro-α-factor, the dipeptides are located directly upstream of the mature polypeptide and their removal results in activation of the polypeptide (Kreil, 1990). The lack of activity of the 52 kDa AEP precursor secreted by xpr6 mutants indicates that removal of the dipeptide stretch does not result in AEP activation (Matoba & Ogrydziak, 1989). We speculated that XPR6 processing, which does result in AEP activation, was inhibited until the dipeptide stretch was removed and that this provided a mechanism for preventing premature activation of AEP in the secretory pathway (Matoba & Ogrydziak, 1989).

The pro-region seems to serve several functions. Its removal is necessary for enzyme activity. The pro-domain may also function as an internal chaperone to promote AEP folding to secretion-compatible structures and as a template for the final folding involved in AEP activation. Three different deletions of the AEP pro-region (removal from the end of the dipeptide stretch to Asp128, removal of all the pro-region after the dipeptide stretch removal of the region between Lys-Arg59 and the end of the pro-region) resulted in intracellular accumulation of AEP precursors of the predicted size but no AEP activity or AEP-related proteins were secreted (Fabre et al., 1991). These AEP precursors were translocated into the ER but did not undergo DPAPase or Xpr6p processing, suggesting they had not reached the late Golgi (Fabre et al., 1991). Supplying the AEP prepro-region in trans resulted in significant but slower secretion of partially active AEP from the deletion constructs (Fabre et al., 1992).

N-Linked glycosylation in the pro-region is needed for AEP secretion at higher temperatures (Fabre et al., 1991). After elimination of the N-linked glycosylation site at Asn189, AEP was secreted at 18 °C but not at 28 °C. A 53 kDa intracellular AEP precursor was detected at 28 °C but not at 18 °C, suggesting that misfolding was occurring at the higher temperature and that the misfolded protein was retained in the secretory pathway somewhere before the late Golgi.

Because of the high levels of secretion of AEP, the XPR2 promoter and parts of the prepro-region have been used to secrete foreign proteins in Y. lipolytica, including prochymosin (Franke et al., 1988), Saccharomyces cerevisiae invertase (Nicaud et al., 1989), porcine α1-interferon (Heslot et al., 1989), hepatitis B virus middle surface antigen (Hamsa & Chatterjee, 1994) and bovine β-lactoglobulin (M. Huang & D. M. Ogrydziak, unpublished results). Interestingly, of six XPR2-human blood coagulation factor XIIIa fusion constructs containing increasing portions of the AEP prepro-region, only the one containing the signal peptide and dipeptide stretch secreted human blood coagulation factor XIIIa (Tharaud et al., 1992). Improved understanding of the role of the dipeptide stretch will facilitate production of commercially desirable heterologous proteins by Y. lipolytica.

In this study we have examined several aspects of AEP processing and secretion. We determined the site of signal peptide cleavage and that AEP does not undergo autacatalytic processing during biosynthesis. We were particularly interested in determining the role of the dipeptide stretch and DPAPase processing in AEP maturation. These were investigated by altering the dipeptide stretch, using Pro-boroPro to inhibit DPAPase processing, by deleting the dipeptide stretch and by expressing AEP in S. cerevisiae strains with and without DPAPase activity. The two major processing events carried out by DPAPase and XPR6 seem to occur independently.

**METHODS**

**Materials.** The Random Primed DNA Labelling Kit and proteinase K were purchased from Boehringer Mannheim. L-[4,5-3H]Leu (4.44 × 10^18–7.03 × 10^18 Bq mmol^-1) and L-[35S]Met (2.96 × 10^13 Bq mmol^-1) were purchased from Amersham. T4 DNA polymerase and T4 polynucleotide kinase were from New England Biolabs. Restriction enzymes and T4 DNA ligase were purchased from all the above suppliers and from BRL and Stratagene. Oligonucleotides were purchased from Operon Technologies Inc. Galactose and Triton X-100 were from Sigma and bovine serum albumin, fraction V from USB, PMSF, casein (Hammersten), polyproylene glycol, DMSO, Triton X-100, EDTA, Protein A-Sepharose 4 Fast Flow, endoglycosidase H and 5-fluoroorotic acid (FOA) were obtained from the same sources as described previously (Yaver et al., 1992). AEP antisem was prepared as described previously (Matoba et al., 1988).

**Media.** LB, 2XYT, H medium and glucose-minimal medium were used for growing Escherichia coli and in vitro mutagenesis (Sambrook et al., 1989; Bio-Rad Mut-A-Gene M13 In vitro
Mutagenesis Kit manual). \textit{Y. lipolytica} was maintained on YM or YM' (Lee \& Ogrydziak, 1977) and \textit{S. cerevisiae} on YPD (Sherman et al., 1986). \textit{Y. lipolytica} competent cells were prepared in YPDC medium. The selective medium for transformants was YLT [SM (Sherman et al., 1986) plus 0-087% drop out mixtures] lacking a specific amino acid or base. YPGal is YPD with 2% (w/v) galactose substituted for 2% (w/v) glucose. GYX, GPP* and GC media were used for growing and labelling \textit{Y. lipolytica} cells (Matoba et al., 1988; Yaver et al., 1992).

**Strains.** \textit{E. coli} MV1190 and CJ236 were used for \textit{in vitro} mutagenesis (Bio-Rad Muta-Gene M13 \textit{In vitro Mutagenesis} Kit manual) and JM105 was used for DNA manipulations (Sambrook et al., 1989). Yeast strains used or constructed for this study are described in Table 1. SMY1 and SMY2 contain deletions of \textit{URA3} and of both \textit{URA3} and \textit{XPR2}, respectively, in a wild-type (CX161-1B \textit{Aadel} background). A 0-4 kb EcoRV fragment from \textit{URA3} in pLMR41 was deleted to construct pLMR105 (ura3-\textit{Δ}E flanked by SalI sites in pUC13). The \textit{NcoI} site in \textit{ura3-ΔE} in pLMR105 was destroyed by addition of \textit{BamHI} linkers to form pLMR116. Filling in the \textit{BamHI} site of pLMR107 containing the \textit{BamHI}/SalI 4-3 kb \textit{ADE1} fragment in pBR322 yielded pLMR115. The 1-3 kb SalI fragment from pLMR116 containing the modified \textit{ura3-ΔE} was integrated into the \textit{SalI} site at the end of the \textit{ADE1} gene in pLMR115 to yield pLMR112. pLMR112 was linearized by cutting at the now unique \textit{BamHI} site to target to \textit{URA3}, transformed into CX161-1B and \textit{Aadem} colonies selected. SMY1 was obtained by selecting for \textit{Ade-} \textit{Ura+} loop-outs using 5-FOA. The presence of the \textit{ura3-ΔE} construct was confirmed by Southern blotting. To construct SMY2, a 0-15 kb \textit{Apal} fragment was deleted from XPR2 in pLMR100 (URA3 in SalI and XPR2 in EcoRI/HindIII sites of pBR322) to form pLMR102. pLMR102 was linearized with \textit{Cal} to target at \textit{XPR2}, transformed into SMY1 and \textit{Ura+} colonies selected. SMY2 was obtained by selecting for \textit{Xpr-} \textit{Ura+} loop-outs using 5-FOA. The presence of the \textit{xpr2-ΔA} construct was confirmed by Southern blotting.

**In vitro mutagenesis.** The Bio-Rad Muta-Gene M13 \textit{In vitro Mutagenesis} Kit, based on methods described by Kunkel et al. (1987), was used for site-directed mutagenesis. Construction of P17M has been described previously (Yaver et al., 1992). For SMA19V, primer 5' CTCCCGTGGTCGCCGCTTCGC 3' was used to convert \textit{Ala}$_{19}$ (GCC) to \textit{Val}$_{19}$ (GTC). For SMADP, primer 5' TACCTGCGGTCTTCTGCCAAGGTTCCCTGCGCCTGCTCCA 3' was used to remove the -X-Ala$_{-2}$-X-Pro- dipeptide stretch from Ala$_{19}$ to Pro$_{21}$ and to insert CAGTTT encoding Gin-Val. For SMS397A, primer 5' CTCCGGTTACCGCAATTGCGCT 3' was used to change Ser$_{297}$ (TCC) to Ala$_{297}$ (GCC).

Site-directed mutagenesis was done in M13mp18 containing the 1-7 kb \textit{SphI}/Xbal \textit{XPR2} fragment. The mutagenized \textit{SphI}/Xbal fragment was cloned into \textit{SphI}-Xbal-digested pLMR100. The plasmids containing the mutations were cut with \textit{MluI} to target upstream of \textit{xpr2-ΔA} in SMY2. \textit{Ura+} transformants were plated on 5-FOA medium to select for \textit{Ura+} loop-outs. Replacement of \textit{xpr2-ΔA} by the mutagenized version of \textit{XPR2} was confirmed by Southern blotting.

**Pro tease assays.** Skim milk (SKM) plates for detection of production of AEP by \textit{Y. lipolytica} were prepared as described previously (Ogrydziak \& Mortimer, 1977; Enderlin \& Ogrydziak, 1993). SKM plates for detection of production of AEP by \textit{S. cerevisiae} were prepared in a similar manner except for the addition of 0-1% peptone, 50 mg His and Lys $1^{-1}$, 100 mg Leu $1^{-1}$, and 1% (w/v) either galactose or glucose. Extra-cellular protease activity secreted by \textit{S. cerevisiae} strains was measured using the \textit{A$_{590}$} casein hydrolysis method (Ogrydziak \& Scharf, 1982).

**Pulse-chase immunoprecipitation.** Pulse-chase labelling with [$\text{H}]$Leu, disruption of cells, immunoprecipitation of cell extracts with AEP antibody, SDS-PAGE of immunoprecipitates on 10-15% (w/v) gradient gels and fluorography was based on previously described procedures (Matoba et al., 1988).

**Table 1. Yeast strains used in this study**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>\textit{S. cerevisiae}</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INV Scl</td>
<td>a his3-\textit{Δ} leu2 trp1-289 ura3-52</td>
<td>Invitrogen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>614</td>
<td>a leu2,112 ura3-52 his3-\textit{Δ}200 lys2-801 pep4-3 can1'</td>
<td>T. Stevens, University of Oregon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1308</td>
<td>a leu2,112 ura3-52 his3-\textit{Δ}200 lys2-801 dpplA::LEU2 dap2Δ::HIS3 pep4-3 can1'</td>
<td>T. Stevens, University of Oregon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\textit{Y. lipolytica}</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CX161-1B</td>
<td>A adel</td>
<td>Yaver et al. (1992)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P17M</td>
<td>A adel ura3-\textit{Δ}E XPR2' (P17M AEP)</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMY1</td>
<td>A adel ura3-\textit{Δ}E</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMY2</td>
<td>A adel ura3-\textit{Δ}E xpr2-\textit{Δ}A</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMA19V</td>
<td>A adel ura3-\textit{Δ}E XPR2' (A19V AEP)</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMS397A</td>
<td>A adel ura3-\textit{Δ}E XPR2' (S397 AEP)</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMADP</td>
<td>A adel ura3-\textit{Δ}E XPR2' (ADP AEP)</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DO613</td>
<td>A adel xpr6-13</td>
<td>Enderlin et al. (1993)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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microcentrifuge. The supernatant was then precipitated with TCA (10% final concentration).

Extracellular AEP was immunoprecipitated essentially as described by Harding et al. (1993) using 5 μL AEP antibody and a 40% (v/v) slurry of Protein A-Sepharose. Immunocomplexes were washed twice with Tween-20 immunoprecipitation buffer and solubilized in 50 μL Laemmli sample buffer. Samples were resolved on a 10% (w/v) SDS-PAGE gel. Quantification was done with phosphorimager analysis using the Fuji FUIJX BAS1000 Bioimaging Analyzer (Fuji Medical Systems).

Endoglycosidase H digestion. Immunoprecipitated samples were digested with endoglycosidase H as described previously by Yaver et al. (1992). Radiosequencing. Radiolabelled cell extracts were prepared as described for pulse–chase immunoprecipitation with the following modifications: 40 ml cells were labelled with 9.25 × 10^6 Bq ^[3]H]Leu and 3.7 × 10^6 Bq ^[35]S]Met. Six millilitre samples were taken at 2 and 4 min and cell extracts immunoprecipitated. The immunoprecipitates were combined and run on a 10–15% (w/v) SDS-PAGE gel (Hunkapiller et al., 1983). The gel was dried, the position of the 55 kDa band located by autoradiography and the band cut out. The labelled polypeptide was electroeluted in Tris/glycine buffer (0.5 × Laemmli running buffer) in a Sample Concentrator Model 1750 (ISCO), the sample electrodialysed in 10 mM NH₄HCO₃, 0.02% SDS buffer and lyophilized. The sample was resuspended, 5 nmol apomyoglobin added and automated Edman degradation and counting of radioactivity in each cycle were done as described previously (Yaver et al., 1992).

DPAPase inhibitor. The DPAPase inhibitor Pro-boroPro, where boroPro is the a-amino boronic acid analogue of proline, was obtained from W. W. Bachovchin (Tufts University, Boston, MA, USA) (Fientke et al., 1991). Pro-boroPro was added at a final concentration of 0.2 mM when cells were transferred to GC medium as in a standard pulse–chase immunoprecipitation experiment (Matoba et al., 1988). After 20 min, PMSF was added (2 mM final concentration). After an additional 10 min the cells were labelled for 60 s, the chase added and extracellular samples taken after 10 and 20 min were TCA-precipitated. Samples were resuspended in Laemmli sample buffer.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Signal peptide cleavage site**

AEP is cleaved at several sites within the N-terminal prepropeptide, but the location and function of some of these processing events has not been determined. Most likely the largest AEP precursor (55 kDa) detected in cell extracts in pulse–chase immunoprecipitation experiments lacks the signal peptide (Matoba et al., 1988; Fabre et al., 1991). The predicted site of cleavage is after Ala₁₅ (S value is 7.0) (von Heijne, 1986) and this has been shown to be the cleavage site in the P17M version of XPR2 (Yaver et al., 1992). To determine if this was also the cleavage site for wild-type AEP, N-terminal amino acid radiosequencing of immunoprecipitated ^[3]H]Leu- and ^[35]S]Met-labelled 55 kDa AEP precursor was done. Leu is predicted at positions 3 and 10 and Met at position 1 for an AEP precursor still containing the signal peptide, and only Leu at position 3 and no Met for a precursor cleaved after Ala₁₅. The highest ^[3]H counts were in cycle 3 with only background ^[3]H counts in cycle 10 (Fig. 2) and ^[35]S counts in all cycles including cycle 1 were at background (not shown), suggesting that the wild-type 55 kDa AEP precursor lacks the signal peptide.

**Role of AEP activity in AEP processing**

Based on sequence homology, AEP is a subtilisin-like protease with Ser₉₇ as part of the active site triad (Davidow et al., 1987). Two putative intracellular precursors of 44 and 36 kDa have been seen in many pulse–chase immunoprecipitation experiments (Matoba et al., 1988). The 55 kDa AEP precursor lacks the signal peptide and no Met for a precursor cleaved after Ala₁₅. The highest ^[3]H counts were in cycle 3 with only background ^[3]H counts in cycle 10 (Fig. 2) and ^[35]S counts in all cycles including cycle 1 were at background (not shown), suggesting that the wild-type 55 kDa AEP precursor lacks the signal peptide.

**Fig. 2.** The 55 kDa AEP precursor lacks the signal peptide and cleavage occurs at the predicted site after Ala₁₅. Radiosequencing of 55 kDa AEP precursor; ^[3]H]Leu counts per cycle. The upper sequence starts at Met₁ and the lower at Ala₁₅, the first amino acid after the predicted signal peptide cleavage site.
et al., 1988). The 44 kDa polypeptide contains about 2 kDa of N-linked carbohydrate and is of the appropriate size to result from cleavage after Lys\textsubscript{350}-Arg\textsubscript{360} (Matoba et al., 1988). The 36 kDa polypeptide contains no N-linked carbohydrate and there is no potential dibasic cleavage site appropriately located downstream of the glycosylation site at Asn\textsubscript{323} (Matoba et al., 1988). The possibility that AEP activity was needed for AEP processing and was involved in producing one or more of these precursors was investigated by changing Ser\textsubscript{397} to Ala (S397A). As for SMY2, a strain containing a deletion of the XPR2 gene which encodes AEP, SMS397A, produced no zone of clearing on a SKM plate (Fig. 3). Processing of AEP in pulse-chase immunoprecipitation experiments was similar to wild-type except that less mature AEP was secreted and little or none of the 44 and 36 kDa precursors was present (Fig. 4a). The production of mature AEP implies that AEP activity was not necessary for processing of AEP precursors. The absence of the 44 and 36 kDa precursors suggests that they may be degradation products produced by AEP activity during sample preparation and not in vivo AEP processing intermediates.

The fact that no labelled AEP precursor accumulated in the extracellular medium when PMSE, which inhibits AEP activity, was included during labelling of wild-type cells is also consistent with AEP activity not being needed for AEP processing (Matoba et al., 1988). It also suggests that AEP activity is not necessary for extracellular processing of AEP, i.e. no AEP precursor is secreted which is quickly proteolytically processed by AEP activity.

\begin{figure}
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{fig3}
\caption{Production of AEP activity on SKM plates by Y. lipolytica strains. Cells growing exponentially in YPD at 23 °C were diluted and 10⁵ cells in 10 µl inoculated onto plates which were incubated for 40 h at 23 °C. Secretion of active AEP is detected as a halo.}
\end{figure}

The role of the dipeptide stretch was also investigated by deleting the region encoding the dipeptides. In SMADP the nine dipeptides starting with Ala\textsubscript{4} were deleted and Gln-Val inserted (Fig. 1b). Based on S values (von Heijne, 1986), signal peptide cleavage is much more likely to occur after Ala\textsubscript{19} as in wild-type if Ala\textsubscript{15} is followed by Gln-Val than if it was followed by Glu-Gly as would occur without the insertion. If the dipeptide stretch functions to delay AEP activation by the XPR6 processing endoprotease, then AEP might be activated prematurely in SMADP and the cells might be sick or unviable. In pulse-chase immunoprecipitation experiments with SMADP, only one AEP precursor (ca 51 kDa) was detected intracellularly (Fig. 4c). No mature AEP or polypeptides in the 50–55 kDa range were detected extracellularly. The material at 65 kDa is most likely also secreted by other strains but not detected because the fluorographs were only exposed for 3 d as opposed to 15 d for SMADP. There was very weak clearing on the skimmed milk plate (Fig. 3), indicating that a very low level of AEP activity was secreted. These results suggest that most of the ADP precursor had never reached the late Golgi where XPR6 processing presumably occurs. We suspected that it was misfolded and retained in the ER. Surprisingly, the mobility of the ADP AEP precursor did not change after treatment with endoglycosidase H, indicating that, unlike other translocated AEP precursors, it did not contain N-linked carbohydrate (Fig. 5a). Folding and glycosylation reactions can compete in vivo and, in some cases, slowing folding can increase the level of glycosylation (Holst et al., 1996). In this case, we suspect altered folding makes the normal N-linked glycosylation site (Asn\textsubscript{123} in the wild-type pro-region) unavailable.

\section*{Role of -X-Ala-, -X-Pro- dipeptide stretch in AEP processing}

For DPAPase to remove a dipeptide, it requires a free N terminus and either Ala or Pro as the second amino acid (Kreil, 1990). The P17M and A19V mutations were constructed either to completely prevent DPAPase processing (P17M) or to allow removal of only the Ala\textsubscript{14}-Pro\textsubscript{17} dipeptide (A19V) (Fig. 1b). If removal of the dipeptide stretch is needed for KEX2-like processing to occur, then it would be predicted that an AEP precursor(s) of molecular mass identical (P17M) or very similar (A19V) to the wild-type 55 kDa AEP precursor would be secreted. For P17M, the unexpected result of post-translational translocation was obtained (Yaver et al., 1992). Also production of AEP activity was reduced compared to wild-type (Fig. 3). For strain SMA19V, production of AEP activity (SKM zones) (Fig. 3) and AEP processing and secretion kinetics (Fig. 4b) were very much like wild-type (Matoba et al., 1988; see Figs 1 and 5). The A19V 55 kDa AEP precursor had mobility on SDS-PAGE and N-linked carbohydrate content indistinguishable from the wild-type 55 kDa AEP precursor (data not shown). As expected, the 52 kDa precursor, a product of DPAPase processing, was not detected.
Fig. 4. (a) Mature AEP is secreted by SMS397A. (b) SMA19V behaves much like wild-type, except that no 52 kDa AEP precursor was detected. (c) For SMΔDP only a 51 kDa AEP precursor is detected which is not processed further, and little or no mature AEP is secreted. Pulse-chase immunoprecipitation was done by labelling each of the strains with [3H]Leu for 45 s. Cell extracts were immunoprecipitated and supernatant samples TCA-precipitated. The precipitates were analysed by SDS-PAGE and fluorography. The position of mature AEP (mAEP) and estimated molecular masses of AEP precursors and pro-region, and of some secreted proteins are indicated. The time after chase (min) is shown above each lane.

The deletion of 16 aa could account for an approximately 2 kDa decrease in size. Because both a 55 kDa translocated AEP precursor lacking both the 16 aa and the 2 kDa of N-linked carbohydrate and a 53 kDa untranslocated (and therefore unglycosylated) AEP precursor lacking the 16 aa would be expected to have mobilities similar to that of the ΔDP AEP precursor, a protease protection experiment was done to determine if the SMΔDP AEP precursor was translocated. The SMΔDP AEP precursor was not degraded by proteinase K in the absence of Triton X-100 (Fig. 5b, lane 2) but it was in its presence (Fig. 5b, lane 3), suggesting that the precursor had been translocated into a membrane-enclosed compartment. These results suggest that the dipeptide stretch is necessary for folding into a form competent for translocation out of the ER.
Yarrowia lipolytica AEP processing

Fig. 5. (a) The SMΔDP 51 kDa AEP precursor does not contain N-linked carbohydrate. 3H-Labelled immunoprecipitated intracellular samples from wild-type (lanes 1–4) and SMΔDP (lanes 5–8) were taken either 3 min (lanes 1, 2, 5 and 6) or 5 min (lanes 3, 4, 7 and 8) after addition of the chase. Samples in lanes 2, 4, 6 and 8 were incubated with endoglycosidase H. (b) The SMΔDP 51 kDa AEP precursor is enclosed in a membrane-bound compartment. Spheroplasts were prepared, lysed and either subjected to a mock protease treatment (lane 1) or incubated with proteinase K in the absence (lane 2) or presence (lane 3) of Triton X-100. Lane 4, Triton X-100 with no proteinase K. After Western blotting, the SMΔDP 51 kDa AEP precursor was visualized using antiserum to AEP and chemiluminescence.

Fig. 6. AEP is processed and mature AEP secreted in the presence of Pro-boroPro. Cells were labelled with [3H]Leu either in the presence (lanes 1, 2, 5, 6, 9 and 10) or absence (lanes 3, 4, 7, 8, 11 and 12) of Pro-boroPro. Supernatant samples were collected 10 min (lanes 1, 3, 5, 7, 9 and 11) and 20 min (lanes 2, 4, 6, 8, 10 and 12) after the start of labelling and TCA-precipitated. Twenty microlitres of resuspended sample (equivalent to 60 µl extracellular medium) were applied per lane. Lanes: 1–4, wild-type (CX161-1B) samples; 5–8, DO613; 9–12, P17M. The positions of the 55 and 52 kDa AEP precursors, mature AEP (mAEP) and the 20 kDa AEP pro-region are indicated. The filled arrowhead and circle indicate putative AEP propeptide fragments which possibly differ in the number of dipeptides retained.

Role of DPAPase activity in AEP processing

Results with strain A19V suggest that removal of the dipeptide stretch is not needed for AEP processing by Xpr6p. To eliminate the possibility that the A19V mutation caused an altered conformation of AEP, we examined the susceptibility to Xpr6p processing of wild-type protein using the DPAPase inhibitor Pro-boroPro (Flentke et al., 1991). If removal of the dipeptide stretch is required for XPR6 processing, then inhibition of
before labelling to stabilize the secreted AEP propeptide (Matoba & Ogrydziak, 1989).

Although it is not known which intracellular compartments of the secretory pathway are accessible to this inhibitor, results with strain DO613 indicate that Pro-boroPro did inhibit DPAPase activity in vivo (Fig. 6, lanes 5–8). xpr6-13 is a leaky mutation in the gene encoding XPR6, the KEX2-like processing endoprotease responsible for the cleavage after Lys-Arg at the end of the AEP propeptide (Enderlin & Ogrydziak, 1993). Strain DO613 secretes a 52 kDa AEP precursor which has undergone DPAPase processing (Matoba & Ogrydziak, 1989). As expected if the dipeptide stretch was not removed in the presence of Pro-boroPro, the larger 55 kDa AEP precursor was secreted (Fig. 5, lanes 5–6).

Even when DPAPase activity was inhibited by Pro-boroPro, there was no evidence for secretion of a 55 kDa AEP precursor by the wild-type, providing additional evidence that removal of the dipeptide stretch was not necessary for KEX2-like processing of AEP (Fig. 6, lanes 1–2).

**Secreted AEP propeptide**

Several results are consistent with the 19–20 kDa doublet secreted by wild-type being the AEP propeptide: (i) its secretion kinetics were similar to AEP; (ii) it is near the expected size; (iii) like the 55 and 52 kDa AEP precursors, it contains about 2 kDa of N-linked carbohydrate; and (iv) it lacks Trp, Met and Cys as predicted for the AEP propeptide (Matoba et al., 1988). Originally, we proposed that the 19–20 kDa doublet contained the intact propeptide with the 20 kDa form retaining some dipeptides and the 19 kDa form devoid of dipeptides (Matoba et al., 1988). Results obtained for SMA19V and P17M and for all strains tested with Pro-boroPro are most consistent with both the 19 and 20 kDa bands being derived from the AEP propeptide. One interpretation is that since the mobility of the major secreted form of the AEP propeptide is unaffected in P17M and by treatment with Pro-boroPro, in all cases it contains the dipeptide stretch. For DO613, Pro-boroPro inhibition resulted in accumulation of a precursor larger than the 52 kDa precursor which lacks most of the dipeptides (Matoba & Ogrydziak, 1989). If this mobility difference reflects the extent of removal of the dipeptide stretch, then the major secreted propeptide synthesized in the presence of Pro-boroPro (Fig. 6, lanes 5–6) should be larger than in its absence (Fig. 6, lanes 7–8). In fact, they have similar mobilities and this is consistent with the possibility that the 20 kDa bands contain little or none of the dipeptide stretch. In the 13–17 kDa region a larger band is found in the Pro-boroPro-treated sample (Fig. 6, lanes 5–6) compared to the untreated sample (Fig. 6, lanes 7–8), suggesting that these bands differ in the number of -X-Ala-, -X-Pro- dipeptides they contain. Since these bands were precipitated by TCA and not by antibodies to the AEP pro-region, however, it is not certain that they are AEP-related. The first model in which the 20 kDa propeptides contain the dipeptide stretch...
The immunoprecipitation experiments suggested that the 55 kDa precursor is a better substrate than the propeptide for DPAPase processing and if for wild-type AEP, Xpr6p cleavage occurs first and the propeptide is not processed by DPAPase.

**Processing of AEP in S. cerevisiae**

Mutant strains lacking DPAPase activity are not available for *Y. lipolytica*. To determine if DPAPase processing was necessary prior to Kex2p cleavage without relying on the chemical inhibitor Pro-boroPro, we expressed AEP in *S. cerevisiae*. *S. cerevisiae* INV Sc1 transformed with pIMR88 produced small zones of clearing on Gal-SKM plates. Western blots of supernatant medium from YPGal-grown cells probed with AEP antibody revealed a single band of the same mobility as mature AEP (data not shown).

To examine the role of DPAPase activity in AEP processing, the multicycopy 2µ plasmids pIMR88 (wild-type XPR2) and pIMR89 (xpr2 with the S397A mutation) were transformed into *S. cerevisiae* strain 1308 containing disrupted copies of both DPP1 (STEI3, encoding the Golgi DPAP A) and DAP2 (encoding vacuolar DPAP B), and the related strain 614, wild-type for both DPAPase activities. No zones of clearing were detected for any of the transformants grown on Glu-SKM plates. On Gal-SKM plates, clearing was detected for both 614 and 1308 with pIMR88 but not with pIMR89, suggesting that, at least in *S. cerevisiae*, DPAPase processing is not required for production of AEP activity (data not shown). Clearing was only detected around areas of heavy growth and not around single colonies, and zones of clearing were significantly larger for cultures incubated at 23°C than at 30°C.

To determine if a kinetic defect in AEP secretion was occurring in the DPAP mutant strain, radiolabelled pulse-chase immunoprecipitations were performed. Cells were labelled for 2-5 min, the label chased and samples of the extracellular medium taken at various times and immunoprecipitated with AEP antibody. The major band detected migrates with the same mobility on SDS-PAGE as mature AEP produced by *Y. lipolytica* (Fig. 7a). There was no delay in appearance of mature AEP in 1308(pIMR88) versus 614(pIMR88) (Fig. 7b) and no evidence for secretion of AEP precursors in either strain (Fig. 7a). Therefore, it seems that a lack of DPAPase activity does not affect transit time or delay KEX2 processing of AEP. Preliminary results of immunoprecipitation of labelled cell extracts reveal that, unlike the case for *Y. lipolytica*, in *S. cerevisiae* AEP precursor(s) of about 55 kDa are still cell-associated 15–30 min after the start of the chase (data not shown). If AEP precursors were trapped in the cell wall, some leakage into the extracellular medium might be expected. This was not found, suggesting that the AEP precursor(s) are intracellular and that their movement through the secretory pathway is slowed in *S. cerevisiae* whether or not DPAPase activity is present.

The immunoprecipitation experiments suggested that the levels of AEP secreted by the *S. cerevisiae* strains were comparable. This was confirmed by assaying AEP activity of the supernatant medium from 614(pIMR88) and 1308(pIMR88) grown in synthetic minimal medium containing 0.1% bovine serum albumin and either 2% glucose or 4% galactose. As expected no AEP activity was detected during growth on glucose. With galactose the growth rates were similar and AEP activity was almost identical — 5.1±0.4 U (mg dry wt cells)^-1^ for 614(pIMR88) and 5.3±0.2 U (mg dry wt cells)^-1^ for 1308(pIMR88) (means ± SEM, n=4). In GPP medium *Y. lipolytica* produces 135 U (mg dry wt cells)^-1^ (Ogrydziak & Schaff, 1982). Thus, much less AEP was secreted by *S. cerevisiae* strains with multiple copies of XPR2 than by a *Y. lipolytica* strain with a single copy. These results, however, confirm that DPAPase processing is not required for maturation and secretion of the enzyme.

We have investigated the role of the AEP propeptide and DPAPase processing on the biosynthesis of this secreted protein. Mutations that block removal of the dipeptide stretch, inhibition of DPAPase activity or use of strains that lack DPAPase activity all allowed secretion of mature-sized AEP. Removal of the dipeptide stretch is apparently not a prerequisite for proteolytic processing by Xpr6p which generates the mature AEP protein, even though DPAPase processing of AEP normally occurs *in vivo* (Matoba et al., 1989). If only the conformation of this region was important, however, it is unclear why the amino acid sequence has been constrained to maintain this stretch of amino acids as a substrate for DPAPase processing. The dipeptide stretch of AEP does appear to be needed for proper folding and transit. Constructs lacking this part of the propeptide are not able to exit from the ER and are not properly glycosylated. The dipeptide stretch may interact with machinery in the ER lumen such as chaperones to allow correct folding. Alternatively, it may be involved in transit out of the ER through interactions with proteins involved in vesicle packaging and cargo selection.

These data support previous studies on the importance of the dipeptide stretch for secretion of heterologous proteins (Tharaud et al., 1992). In addition, these results show that studies in non-conventional yeasts can take advantage of the well-developed cell biology and genetics (especially the availability of mutants) of *S. cerevisiae*.
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