Detection of genetic variation in *Ustilago maydis* strains by probes derived from telomeric sequences
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Genetic variation using probes derived from telomeric sequences was analysed among several *Ustilago maydis* strains in an attempt to identify discriminative fingerprint patterns. Three groups of wild isolates from different geographical areas and one group of standard laboratory strains were examined. Analysis of the endmost restriction fragments (EFs) and of the endmost-associated restriction fragments (EAFs) of the chromosomes revealed group differences. Most of the EFs in two groups of strains showed a similar length whereas in the other two groups they were distributed in classes of different lengths. Furthermore, analysis of the EAFs permitted possible fingerprint patterns to be predicted for each group of strains based on the occurrence of amplified bands as well as the presence or absence of distinct bands which were shown to be present in terminal as well as in interstitial sites of the chromosome. The approach evaluated in this work yielded highly polymorphic fingerprint patterns and could be used to distinguish groups of fungal isolates; this approach may also be effective for other fungal systems.
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**INTRODUCTION**

The chromosome termini in eukaryotes are often rich in repeated DNA sequences (Zakian, 1989). Short sequences which are tandemly repeated, or large repeated sequences of the non-long terminal repeat retropon type are present at the chromosome end (Blackburn, 1994). The short DNA sequences are most commonly found and they are highly conserved among eukaryotes, whereas the non-long terminal repeat retropon type have only been described in Drosophila (Levis *et al.*, 1993). Repeated DNA sequences are also present adjacent to the chromosome end. These sequences, known as telomere-associated sequences (TAS), are not as conserved as the telomeric repeat and in most cases they are polymorphic on the genome and harbour a mixture of repetitive elements (Foote & Kemp, 1989; Brown *et al.*, 1990; Louis *et al.*, 1994; Farman & Leong, 1995).

We are interested in the organization of the *Ustilago maydis* genome. *U. maydis* is a basidiomycetous fungus that causes smut disease in maize (Banuett, 1992; Spellig *et al.*, 1994; Valverde *et al.*, 1995) and is an organism for which molecular genetic analysis has been well developed (Wang *et al.*, 1988; Fotheringham & Holloman, 1989; Gold *et al.*, 1994; Bölker *et al.*, 1995; Kamper *et al.*, 1995). We have previously characterized telomeric regions from this fungus (Guzmán & Sánchez, 1994); they show the sequence TTAGGG, tandemly repeated at least 37 times at the chromosome termini, which is identical to the telomeric repeats found in humans and other vertebrates as well as in some protozoa and moulds. Analysis of the TAS has revealed that the same segment was adjacent to the telomeric repeat in many or all of the chromosomes (Guzmn & Sánchez, 1994). In this study we have devised a new approach to analyse genetic variability in *U. maydis*. Fingerprints of *U. maydis* isolates collected from three different geographical areas and of two standard laboratory strains were obtained using probes from the telomeric and telomeric-associated regions of the chromosomes. This analysis permitted the identification of distinctive fingerprint patterns for each group of strains.

**Abbreviations:** TAS, telomere-associated sequences; TR, telomeric repeat; TR-p, TR-proximal; TR-d, TR-distal; EF, endmost restriction fragment; EAF, endmost-associated restriction fragment.
METHODS

U. maydis isolates. Strains used in this study are listed in Table 1. Teliospores were collected from smut galls of naturally infected maize (Valverde, 1992). Spore masses were lyophilized, stored at 4°C and sporidia were isolated as previously described (Thakur et al., 1989; Pope & McCarter, 1992). Teliospores were germinated on potato dextrose agar (PDA, Difco) at 28°C. Single haploid progenies were obtained by plating on PDA.

DNA isolation and Bal3 digestion. U. maydis DNA was prepared as follows. Sporidia were grown in 250 ml potato dextrose broth (PDB) in a 1 litre Erlenmeyer flask agitated in a reciprocal shaker (200 r.p.m.) for 24 h at 28°C. Cells were harvested by centrifugation and washed twice in a solution containing 100 mM Tris/HCl, pH 8.0, 5 mM EDTA and 200 mM Tris/HCl, pH 8.0, 100 mM EDTA, 1% Sarkosyl and 1 mg Proteinase K ml⁻¹ for 1 h at 50°C. The DNA was purified by several phenol/chloroform extractions, ethanol-precipitated and resuspended in TE (10 mM Tris/HCl, pH 8.0, 1 mM EDTA). Bal3 digestion of genomic DNA was performed as previously described (Guzmán & Sánchez, 1994).

Southern hybridization. DNA samples were digested to completion with PstI (Gibco-BRL). DNAs were size-fractionated by agarose gel electrophoresis on a 0.8% gel and transferred to a nylon membrane (Hybond-N, Amersham) as described by Sambrook et al. (1989). Hybridizations were carried out at 65°C in a solution containing 0.5 M Na₂HPO₄, pH 7.2, 7% SDS, 1 mM EDTA and 1% (w/v) BSA at a probe concentration of 10⁶ c.p.m. ml⁻¹ (Church & Gilbert, 1984). Membranes were washed with a solution containing 0.2 x SSPE/0.1% SDS at 65°C. For removal of the following treatment was repeated at least twice: a solution of 0.1% SDS/0.1 x SSPE was boiled and poured on the membrane and allowed to cool to room temperature (1 x SSPE is 0.15 M NaCl, 0.01 M sodium phosphate, 1 mM EDTA).

Source of probes. DNA segments containing telomeric DNA from U. maydis were used as probes. Three segments from the telomeric region were obtained after digestion with PstI and HincII and from enzymes recognizing restriction sequences at the polylinker of the vector pBSKS+ (KpnI and SacI, Stratagene); three clones in the pBSKS+ vector were generated which encompassed most of the region. The restriction sites and the location of the probes within the telomeric region are shown in Table 1.

Table 1. U. maydis strains

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Origin</th>
<th>Reference/source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FB1a1b1, FB2a262</td>
<td>Obtained from the same teliospore; standard laboratory strains</td>
<td>Banuett &amp; Herskowitz (1989)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I1, I2, I3, I4</td>
<td>Wild isolates, collected in Irapuato, GTO, Mexico</td>
<td>Valverde (1992)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1, P2</td>
<td>Wild isolates, collected in Pachuca, HGO, Mexico</td>
<td>Valverde (1992)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1, T2, T3, T4, T5</td>
<td>Wild isolates, collected in Toluca, MEX, Mexico</td>
<td>Valverde (1992)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Detection of genetic variation in U. maydis strains

In previous work, a segment of middle-repeated sequences adjacent to the telomeric repeat was inferred to be very similar in sequence in many if not all of the U. maydis chromosomes (Guzmán & Sánchez, 1994); this segment included a recognition site for the endonuclease PstI near the chromosome terminus. Based on this information and considering that the telomeric regions of the chromosomes are rich in repeated DNA sequences, we decided to explore the possibility of using these sequences to detect genetic variation among fungal isolates. We assumed that PstI would dissect the chromosome ends, generating an...
endmost restriction fragment (EF) that would include telomeric repeats (TRs), and an endmost-associated restriction fragment (EAF). This is schematically represented in Fig. 1. We reasoned that comparison of the hybridization pattern of PstI digests of genomic DNA using probes from EF or EAF might reveal variation among different isolates. Two probes from EF were used; one contained TRs and the other TR-proximal sequences (TR and TR-p, Fig. 1). Since the middle-repeated sequences adjacent to the TR were also predicted to be present in non-telomeric regions of the *U. maydis* genome (Guzmán & Sánchez, 1994), comparison of the hybridization pattern generated by these two probes would be useful to distinguish hybridization bands derived from telomeric regions from those of non-telomeric regions of the chromosome; DNA fragments recognized by both probes would suggest a telomeric location.

### Analysis of variation with probes encompassing the EF of the chromosomes

In an attempt to detect variation in EFs, we used the probes TR and TR-p, which encompass this region (Fig. 1). Southern blot analysis performed on 13 *U. maydis* strains using TR detected mostly smears as hybridization signals (Fig. 2a), a pattern that is expected for hybridization with telomeric probes (Richards & Ausubel, 1988). In the standard laboratory strains (group 1) and in one group of wild isolates (group 4) the bulk of hybridization signal was observed between 0.5 and 1 kb (Fig. 2a), indicating that the majority of the EFs lay in this size range (Table 2, column 2); in these two groups the predicted length of the EF is very similar. These results are in agreement with a previous observation of the length of *U. maydis* telomeres determined by *Sau3A*I digests (Guzmán & Sánchez, 1994). Hybridization with TR-p revealed a similar distribution as with TR, implying that both probes are detecting EFs.

Groups 2 and 3 showed a different fingerprint pattern from the previous groups of strains. A single major smear was not detected with the TR probe (Table 2, column 2); instead the smear was distributed in various regions in a broad range of sizes and distinct bands in the high molecular mass range of the gel were observed (Fig. 2a; lanes 3–8). These high molecular mass bands were also detected with TR-p, indicating that both probes were identifying EF sequences. The hybridization signals of the putative telomeric fragments were less intense with TR-p (Fig. 2b, lanes 3–8, from 3 to 12 kb) than with TR (Fig. 2a; lanes 3–8); this observation, and the fact that differences in the size of the EF occurred, suggests that the TR-p sequences are not as homologous in groups 2 and 3 as they are in groups 1 and 4.

The TR-p probe also revealed polymorphic fingerprints of distinct bands which were not detected when the blot was hybridized with TR (Table 2, column 3). Most of these bands, which were smaller than 3 kb in length, may correspond to TR-p-related sequences present in non-telomeric regions of the chromosome. Interestingly, group 1 displayed a band which was unique to this group of strains (Table 2, column 4). Other sets of bands, as shown in Fig. 2(b), were shared by several strains in a non-clonal distribution and with different intensities, for example the 1.5 kb band present in all isolates from groups 1 and 2 and in isolates P1, T1 and T2, and the bands common to all of the strains, such as the 2.6 kb band.
Table 2. Summary of fingerprint differences

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>No. of major smears (probe TR)*</th>
<th>No. of non-telomeric bands (probe TR-p)¶</th>
<th>Bands unique to group (probe TR-p)‡</th>
<th>No. of bands</th>
<th>Presence of amplified bands &lt; 1 kb</th>
<th>Bands unique to group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 (~ 0.95 kb)¶§</td>
<td>8–10</td>
<td>1 (~ 2.9 kb)</td>
<td>14–15</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>1 (~ 1 kb)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3–5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>13–18</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4–6</td>
<td>3–5</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>17–20</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1 (~ 0.61 kb)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1 (~ 0.83 kb)¶§</td>
<td>4–10</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>20–26</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>1 (~ 0.71 kb)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Data from Fig. 2(a).  † Data from Fig. 2(b).  ‡ Data from Fig. 3.
§ Mean of EF lengths, determined according to Harley et al. (1990).

Fig. 3. Fingerprint analysis with probes encompassing the EAFs of *U. maydis* chromosomes. The blot described in Fig. 2 was hybridized with the TR-d probe (Fig. 1). Before hybridization, the removal of the previous probe was verified by exposure of the membrane to X-ray film. Arrows point to a 1.0 kb band present in group 1, a 0.61 kb band in group 3 and a 0.71 kb band in group 4; the arrow with a circle points a 0.79 kb band common to all of the strains which may be amplified in groups 1 and 4. Size standards as in fig. 2.

Analysis of variation with a probe encompassing the EAF of the chromosomes

Variation in the EAF was assessed by using the TR-distal fragment flanking the *PstI* site toward the centromere as a probe (Fig. 1). Since the middle-repeated sequences adjacent to the TR were probably also present in non-telomeric regions of the genome (Guzmán & Sánchez, 1994), a complex fingerprint pattern was expected to be obtained. Most of the hybridizing signals detected in all of the strains by the TR-d probe were distinct bands and not smears, demonstrating that this probe was not hybridizing to EAFs (Fig. 3). Interestingly, all the strains showed a broad range of hybridizing bands, indicating that their genomes contained sequences homologous to TR-d.

The number of bands hybridizing to TR-d was higher than that detected with TR-p, with group 4 displaying the highest number of hybridizing bands (Table 2, compare columns 3 and 5). Comparison of the hybridizing signals revealed possible single differences for all of the groups. Strains in groups 1 and 4 showed amplified signals in the low molecular mass region that were not present in the other two groups (Table 2, column 6). One such signal in group 1 and another in group 4 may be distinctive for each of these two groups (Table 2, column 7). Strains from groups 2 and 3 displayed similar fingerprint patterns; for example, I4 and P1 were very similar (Fig. 3, lanes 6 and 7), but they could still be differentiated. These two groups of strains could be distinguished by the presence of a 0.61 kb band in the two isolates from group 3 that was not present in any of the isolates from group 2 (Fig. 3, lanes 3–8). This band may be characteristic of group 3 (Table 2, column 7); if it is detected in groups 1 and 4 it could appear but among the amplified bands. The rest of the bands from the blot shown in Fig. 3 were seemingly random and could not be associated with the origin of the strains.

Polymorphisms occur at terminal and interstitial regions of the chromosome

The three probes of telomeric origin revealed highly polymorphic fingerprint patterns in *U. maydis* strains. The DNA sequences detected by these probes are thought to be mainly telomeric but they may also include interstitial regions of the chromosomes (Guzmán & Sánchez, 1994). In an attempt to distinguish between telomeric and interstitial polymorphisms, sensitivity of telomeric sequences to exonuclease treatment was used. In this way, the proportion of TR- and TR-d-related DNA sequences...
which are $Ba31$-sensitive and $Ba31$-insensitive is established.

DNA from one strain from each of the groups was digested for progressively increasing lengths of time with the exonuclease $Ba31$ followed by digestion with $PstI$. Samples were separated by electrophoresis on agarose gels, transferred to membranes and hybridized sequentially to TR, TR-d and $U.\ maydis$ ribosomal DNA probes (Fig. 4). TR revealed smears which disappeared within 10 min in strains from groups 2 and 3 (Fig. 4b, c, left-hand panels) and after 30 min in strains from groups 1 and 4 (Fig. 4a, d, left-hand panels). These results indicate that the TR sequence $TTAGGG$ was present at the chromosome termini in these four strains. Analysis of the hybridization with TR-d (Fig. 4a-d, central panels) showed that the proportion of hybridizing fragments varies from one strain to another and that these TAS are present both at chromosome termini and at interstitial sites. The strain from group 1 showed at least six fragments which were present after 60 min of exonuclease treatment (Fig. 4a, central panel); strains from groups 3 and 4 showed about two $Ba31$-insensitive fragments (Fig. 4c, d, central panels) and strain from group 2 did not show $Ba31$-insensitive fragments after 60 min incubation (Fig. 4b, central panel). The non-telomeric repeated probe revealed a very similar pattern of hybridization during $Ba31$ treatments in all four groups (Fig. 4a-d, right-hand panels).

This analysis of $Ba31$ sensitivity indicated that the polymorphisms inferred in Fig. 3 occurred at chromosome termini and at interstitial sites. A distinctive fragment from group 1 was $Ba31$ insensitive (compare Fig. 3, lanes 1 and 2 with Fig. 4a, middle panel), indicating that it could be located at an interstitial site, whereas fragments from groups 3 and 4 were sensitive to the exonuclease, implying that they may be located at the chromosome termini (compare Fig. 3, lanes 7 and 8 with Fig. 4c, middle panel; Fig. 3, lanes 9–12 with Fig. 4d, middle panel).

Conclusions

Probes from the telomeric region of the chromosomes yielded highly polymorphic fingerprint patterns in 13 strains of $U.\ maydis$. With the genetic dissection of the telomeric region performed in this work distinctive fingerprint patterns for each group of strains were observed; polymorphism was detected within TAS and interstitial sites of the chromosome. The main differences were in the pattern of EFs, the presence of amplified bands among the EAFs and the presence or absence of distinct bands.

The approach devised to assess genetic variation tested in this work is suitable for studying the population genetics of $U.\ maydis$ and may be a good general scheme for other fungal systems.
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