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Binding-protein-dependent sugar transport has been investigated in *Agrobacterium radiobacter* and *A. tumefaciens*. *A. radiobacter* contained two high-affinity glucose-binding proteins (GBP1 and GBP2) that additionally bound D-galactose ($K_D$ 0.26 µM) and D-xylose ($K_D$ 0.04 µM) respectively and were involved in the transport of these sugars. Partial sequencing of GBP1 and GBP2 showed that GBP2 exhibited significant homology with both the arabinose-binding protein (ABP) and the galactose-binding protein (GalBP) from *Escherichia coli*, whereas GBP1 exhibited significant homology only with ABP. Antiserum raised against GBP1 cross-reacted with GBP1 but not with GBP2, and vice versa. Anti-GBP1 and anti-GBP2 also cross-reacted with proteins corresponding to GBP1 and GBP2 respectively in *A. tumefaciens*, but little or no cross-reaction was observed with selected members of the Enterobacteriaceae, Rhizobiaceae and Pseudomonadaceae families grown under glucose limitation. GBP1 was less strongly repressed than GBP2 following batch growth of *A. radiobacter* on various carbon sources. The growth of *A. radiobacter* for more than approximately 10 generations in continuous culture under galactose or xylose limitation ($D$ 0.045 h$^{-1}$) led to the emergence of new strains which exhibited increased rates of glucose/galactose or glucose/xylose uptake, and which respectively hyperproduced GBP1 (strain AR18a) or GBP2 (strain AR9a). Similarly, growth of *A. tumefaciens* for more than approximately 15 generations under glucose or galactose limitation produced new strains which exhibited increased rates of glucose/xylose or glucose/galactose uptake and which respectively hyperproduced proteins analogous to GBP2 (strain AT9) or GBP1 (strain AT18a). It is concluded that growth of *Agrobacterium* species under carbon-limited conditions leads to the predictable emergence of new strains which specifically hyperproduce the transport system for the limiting nutrient. The GBP1-dependent system of *A. radiobacter* is unique amongst these transport systems in that the mutations that lead to its hyperproduction under carbon limitation render it least susceptible to repression by excess glucose during ammonia limitation, with the result that succinoglucon exopolysaccharide is produced from glucose at an enhanced rate.

**INTRODUCTION**

*Agrobacterium radiobacter* NCIB 11883 produces copious amounts of a succinoglucon exopolysaccharide when growth is limited by the availability of an anabolic substrate such as nitrogen, phosphorus or sulphur. Succinoglucon synthesis occurs at maximum rate during growth in continuous culture at low dilution rate under glucose-sufficient, ammonia-limited conditions (Linton et al., 1987a, b).

Glucose is actively transported into *A. radiobacter* by two osmotic-shock-sensitive systems, each of which contains a periplasmic glucose-binding protein (GBP1 and GBP2) (Cornish et al., 1988a). These systems are analogous to the binding-protein-dependent systems that transport...
certain sugars, amino acids and inorganic ions into enteric bacteria (Ames, 1986; Henderson, 1986), and also to the binding-protein-dependent system that transports $\gamma$-butyrobetaine into Agrobacterium sp. (Nobile et al., 1988).

GBP1 and GBP2 are maximally produced by A. radiobacter during glucose-limited growth, as also is a third periplasmic protein (BP3) whose function is currently unknown. Prolonged growth of the wild-type organism under glucose limitation has led to the emergence of two new strains (AR18 and AR9), which hyperproduce GBP1 and GBP2 respectively and presumably arise by spontaneous mutation in response to severe nutrient starvation. The GBP1-dependent glucose transport system is less strongly repressed than the GBP2-dependent system during ammonia-limited growth, and this is reflected in a significantly higher rate of succinoglucan production by strain AR18 compared with the wild-type or strain AR9. Flux control analysis has confirmed that glucose transport is a major kinetic control point in the pathway of exopolysaccharide synthesis by A. radiobacter (Cornish et al., 1988b).

This paper describes some of the sugar-binding, structural and immunological properties of GBP1 and GBP2 from A. radiobacter, and shows that continuous culture can be used in a rational manner to select other novel strains of A. radiobacter (and its close relative A. tumefaciens) that predictably hyperproduce GBP1- or GBP2-dependent transport systems.

METHODS

Organisms. Agrobacterium radiobacter NCIB 11883, Xanthomonas campestris ATCC 13951 (Rye et al., 1988), Rhizobium leguminosarum T1105, Erwinia herbicola NCIB 12495 (Linton et al., 1988), Pseudomonas aeruginosa ATCC 10145 and Pseudomonas mendocina NCIB 11687 were obtained from Shell Research Ltd, Sittingbourne, Kent, UK. Escherichia coli K12 and Klebsiella pneumoniae M5a1 were provided by R. A. Cooper, Department of Biochemistry, University of Leicester, UK. A. tumefaciens T37 was obtained from J. Draper, Department of Botany, University of Leicester, UK. The isolation of Agrobacterium radiobacter strains AR9 and AR18 has been described previously (Cornish et al., 1988a). All of the organisms were stored in 20\% (v/v) glycerol at $-20^\circ$ C.

Growth conditions. Batch culture was done in 500 ml baffled flasks containing 150 ml minimal medium (pH 7-0) supplemented with glucose (5 g l$^{-1}$) (Linton et al., 1987a). Ammonium sulphate (3 g l$^{-1}$) was used as the nitrogen source for all organisms except X. campestris, where it was replaced by monosodium glutamate (6 g l$^{-1}$). Calcium carbonate (3 g l$^{-1}$) was added to the Erwinia medium to maintain the pH at approximately 7-0. The growth temperature was $30^\circ$ C except for E. coli and X. campestris which were grown at $37^\circ$ C and $28^\circ$ C respectively. The cultures were aerated by shaking, and cells were harvested during the exponential growth phase.

For glucose-limited fed-batch culture, exponentially growing cultures were harvested, washed in glucose-free growth medium and then used to inoculate 150 ml of the same medium (initial cell density 0·1 g dry wt 1-l$^{-1}$). Sterile glucose solution (1·9 g l$^{-1}$) was continuously pumped into the medium at a low rate to give an initial specific growth rate of approximately 0·1 h$^{-1}$ (0·05 h$^{-1}$ for X. campestris). Cells were harvested when the cell density of the culture had increased by approximately fourfold.

Continuous cultures ($D 0·045$ h$^{-1}$) of A. radiobacter and A. tumefaciens were grown at $30^\circ$ C under carbon limitation as described previously (Cornish et al., 1988a). In order to achieve ammonia-limited growth the input concentration of the carbon source was increased from 1·9 to 16 g l$^{-1}$ and the concentration of ammonium sulphate was reduced from 3 to 0·5 g l$^{-1}$.

Single-colony analysis. Samples were withdrawn from the chemostat, streaked out on glucose-minimal agar and incubated at 30 °C for 48 h. Twenty-four colonies were then picked off and grown individually in 3 ml minimal medium containing 1 g glucose l$^{-1}$. The cells were harvested after growth had finished and their protein profiles were analysed using SDS-PAGE.

Preparation of antisera. GBP1 and GBP2 were purified by FPLC from shock fluids prepared from A. radiobacter which had been grown under glucose limitation ($D 0·045$ h$^{-1}$; Cornish et al., 1988a). Minor impurities were subsequently removed using preparative SDS-PAGE followed by electro-elution. The pure proteins (300 $\mu$g) were injected into New Zealand White rabbits at fortnightly intervals for 2 months using Freund's complete adjuvant for the first injection and incomplete adjuvant thereafter. Blood samples (10 ml) were taken 2 weeks after each injection, erythrocytes were removed by centrifugation and the resultant serum was stored at $-20^\circ$ C.

SDS-PAGE and Western blotting. Discontinuous slab-gel electrophoresis of proteins was done using 12·5 $\%$ (w/v) polyacrylamide gels. Suspensions of bacteria were boiled for 4 min in dissolving buffer (Laemmli, 1970) and a volume containing approximately 20 $\mu$g protein was loaded into each gel track. Gels were run in duplicate so that one was stained for proteins using Kenacid Blue whilst the contents of the other were transferred onto nitrocellulose sheets using an LKB Novablot apparatus operated according to the manufacturer's instructions. The following proteins were used as $M_{r}$ standards: a-lactalbumin, ($M_{r}$ 14200); trypsin inhibitor (20100);
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trypsinogen (24000); carbonic anhydrase (29000); glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (36000); egg albumin (45000); and bovine albumin (66000). The \( M_r \) standards were located on the nitrocellulose sheets using Fonceau’s Red stain which was subsequently washed off. Immunological staining for proteins that cross-reacted with antiserum raised to GBP1 or GBP2 was done using conventional procedures (Towbin et al., 1979).

\textit{Amino acid sequencing.} N-Terminal amino acid sequencing was done using an Applied Biosystems model 470 gas-phase sequencer. Samples of the pure proteins (approximately 1 nmol) were sequenced after blotting onto a polyvinylidene difluoride disc. GBP2 sequenced readily, but the N-terminus of GBP1 was apparently blocked.

This latter problem was overcome by cleaving the protein into several fragments using staphylococcal V8 protease. GBP1 (220 \( \mu \)g) was eluted from a Mono-Q anion exchange column in 0.8 ml 20 mm-bis-Tris, pH 6.8, 140 mm-KCl. A sample of the column eluate (220 \( \mu \)g in 0.8 ml) was boiled for 2 min in the presence of 0.1% SDS, cooled and then digested with V8 protease (16 \( \mu \)g) for 2 h at 37 \( ^\circ \)C. SDS-PAGE sample buffer (150 \( \mu \)l) was then added to the reaction mixture which was boiled for 2 min. Proteolytic fragments were separated using SDS-PAGE and transferred electrophoretically on to a PVDF (polyvinylidene difluoride) membrane using the method of Matsudaira (1987), except that the buffer was 50 mm-Tris/glycine pH 10.0, containing 10% (v/v) methanol. The region of the membrane that contained a major polypeptide fragment of \( M_r \) 28000 was then excised and sequenced.

\textit{Other methods.} Uptake of sugars by washed cells, and association of sugars with pure binding proteins, were investigated as described previously (Cornish \textit{et al.}, 1988a). Succinoglucon concentrations were determined gravimetrically (Cornish \textit{et al.}, 1988b).

\textit{Presentation of data.} Where appropriate data are given as the mean \( \pm \) SEM with the number of independent determinations given in parentheses.

\textit{Chemicals.} Radiochemicals were purchased from Amersham. D-Glucose (AnalaR) was obtained from Fisons. D-Galactose (\(<0.01\% D\text{-glucose}\) and D-xylose were purchased from Sigma. All other reagents were obtained from Fisons and were of the highest grade available.

\section*{RESULTS}

\textit{Further characterization of periplasmic, glucose-binding proteins produced by A. radiobacter}

Binding studies carried out by exposing pure GBP1 and GBP2 to equilibrium dialysis in the presence of radiolabelled sugars showed that GBP1 bound D-glucose and D-galactose much more tightly than D-xylose, whereas GBP2 bound D-glucose and D-xylose much more tightly than D-galactose (Table 1). These results confirmed the earlier suggestion (Cornish \textit{et al.}, 1988a) that the absence of C-6 strongly reduced the strength of binding to GBP1 but not to GBP2 (D-xylose cf. D-glucose and D-galactose), whereas the presence of an axial hydroxyl group at C-4 of the pyranose ring substantially diminished the strength of binding to GBP2 but not to GBP1 (D-galactose cf. D-glucose and D-xylose). The results of these \textit{in vitro} binding studies also raised the possibility that GBP1 and GBP2 might be involved in the transport of other sugars \textit{in vivo}, particularly during carbon-limited growth (see below). None of the sugars tested bound to BP3.

Attempts were made to determine the N-terminal amino acid sequences of GBP1 and GBP2 in order to establish whether they showed any homology with periplasmic binding-proteins from \textit{E. coli}. The N-terminal sequence of GBP2 posed no problems, but preliminary investigations with GBP1 indicated that the N-terminus was blocked; this has also been reported for the periplasmic \( \gamma \)-butyrobetaine-binding protein from \textit{Agrobacterium} sp. (Nobile \textit{et al.}, 1988). However, it subsequently proved possible to generate proteolytic fragments from GBP1 using

\begin{table}
\centering
\caption{Binding of D-glucose, D-galactose and D-xylose to pure GBP1 and GBP2 from \textit{A. radiobacter}}

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline
\textbf{Sugar} & \textbf{GBP1} & \textbf{GBP2} \\
\hline
D-Glucose & 0.23 & 0.07 \\
D-Galactose & 0.26 & 480 \\
D-Xylose & 70 & 0.04 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}
staphylococcal V8 protease digestion, and one of these fragments (M, 28000) was then sequenced.

Argos et al. (1981) used a series of algorithms to align the sequences of the L-arabinose- and D-galactose-binding proteins of E. coli so as to maximize the extent of homology between the two proteins over their entire length. By aligning these sequences with those of GBP1 and GBP2, it became clear that the N-terminal sequence of the GBP1 fragment exhibited significant homology with an internal region of the arabinose-binding protein of E. coli (Fig. 1a). Similarly, the N-terminus of GBP2 shared significant regions of homology with both these E. coli proteins, particularly with the arabinose-binding protein (Fig. 1b). Two amino acid residues that have been implicated in the binding of arabinose and galactose (Argos et al., 1981; Henderson, 1986) were strongly conserved in GBP1 and GBP2; viz. an aspartate residue (D) in the M, 28000 fragment of GBP1 (which aligned well with asp89 of the arabinose-binding protein) and the glutamate residue (E) glu14 in GBP2 (which corresponded to glu14 of the arabinose-binding protein and to asp14 of the galactose-binding protein). Although no lysine residue (K) was present in GBP2 equivalent to the important lys10 and lys11 residues of the arabinose- and galactose-binding proteins respectively, gln13 in GBP2 corresponded quite well with the important gln11 residue in the arabinose-binding protein.

These limited sequence data therefore indicated that both GBP1 and GBP2 from A. radiobacter possess some features in common with the arabinose- (and galactose-) binding proteins of E. coli. However, it should be noted that antiserum raised against GBP1 did not cross-react with GBP2 and vice versa (see below), thus indicating that the two glucose-binding proteins have few, if any, common epitopes.

**Glucose transport by A. radiobacter AR9 and AR18 following batch growth on different carbon sources**

The glucose uptake rates of washed cells of A. radiobacter AR18 and AR9 were measured following growth in batch culture on different carbon sources (Table 2). The rates exhibited by strain AR18 varied by approximately threefold (glucose < sucrose/galactose/xylose < succinate < fructose < maltose < glycerol < gluconate < lactose), the rate following growth on lactose being close to that exhibited by cells grown under glucose limitation in continuous culture (D = 0.045 h⁻¹). SDS-PAGE of cell samples, followed by protein staining using Kenacid Blue and by Western blotting using antibodies raised against GBP1 or GBP2 (Fig. 2), indicated that GBP2 was virtually absent from strain AR18 except following growth on gluconate or lactose (not shown), and that the variation in the glucose uptake rate therefore principally reflected the variable repression of GBP1 during growth on different substrates.

The glucose uptake rates exhibited by strain AR9 also varied substantially depending on the carbon source, but in a different order to strain AR18 (succinate < xylose/glycerol/fructose <
Fig. 2. Production of GBP1 and GBP2 by *A. radiobacter* AR18 during batch growth on different carbon sources as revealed by SDS-PAGE and Western blotting. Strain AR18 was grown at \( \mu_{\text{max}} \) in batch culture on a minimal medium containing different carbon sources. Cellular proteins were separated using SDS-PAGE and either stained with Kenacid Blue (a) or transferred onto nitrocellulose and then probed using antiserum raised against GBP1 (b) or GBP2 (c). Tracks: 1, \( M \), standards; 2, glucose; 3, galactose; 4, xylose; 5, sucrose; 6, succinate; 7, maltose; 8, fructose; 9, glycerol; 10, gluconate.

Table 2. *Glucose uptake by washed cells of A. radiobacter AR18 and AR9 following growth in batch culture on various carbon sources*  

Cells were harvested during the exponential growth phase, and prepared and assayed for glucose uptake as described in Methods. The two strains grew at equal rates and exhibited \( \mu_{\text{max}} \) values of 0.35 \pm 0.05 h\(^{-1}\) except for growth on lactose (0.43 h\(^{-1}\)) and glycerol (0.27 h\(^{-1}\)).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Growth substrate</th>
<th>Glucose uptake rate [nmol min(^{-1}) (mg cells(^{-1}))]</th>
<th>Relative rate (AR18/AR9)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Glucose</td>
<td>22 \pm 1 (5)</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sucrose</td>
<td>31 \pm 3 (3)</td>
<td>1.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galactose</td>
<td>32 \pm 5 (3)</td>
<td>1.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xylose</td>
<td>33 \pm 6 (3)</td>
<td>1.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Succinate</td>
<td>36 \pm 1 (3)</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fructose</td>
<td>41 \pm 5 (3)</td>
<td>1.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maltose</td>
<td>45 \pm 2 (3)</td>
<td>1.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycerol</td>
<td>51 \pm 1 (3)</td>
<td>2.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gluconate</td>
<td>62 \pm 6 (3)</td>
<td>2.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactose</td>
<td>67 \pm 6 (3)</td>
<td>2.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose-limitation ((D\ 0.045\ h^{-1}))</td>
<td>69 \pm 3 (11)</td>
<td>1.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In all cases, the glucose uptake rate was equal to or less than the rate exhibited by strain AR18 following growth on the same carbon source. The rate was particularly low following growth on succinate, and even on lactose was well below that exhibited by cells grown under glucose limitation in continuous culture. SDS-PAGE and Western blotting (Fig. 3) indicated that both GBP1 and GBP2 were present in...
strain AR9. The concentrations of both proteins varied with the carbon source, the concentration of GBP2 being higher than that of GBP1 except following growth on galactose or maltose (the apparently very high concentration of GBP2 following growth on fructose was not reflected in the Western blot, and subsequent experiments showed that although it was a periplasmic protein it was not GBP2). It is likely, therefore, that the variation in the glucose uptake rate of strain AR9 generally reflected the combined repression of GBP1 and GBP2, but principally that of GBP2. However, this was clearly not the case with cells grown on maltose, thus raising the possibility that the maltose uptake system may also transport glucose. These results lend further support to the view that the expression of GBP1 by *A. radiobacter* is less strongly regulated than the expression of GBP2 (Cornish et al., 1988a).

**Galactose and xylose transport by *A. radiobacter* AR18 and AR9 following batch growth on glucose**

The rates of glucose, galactose and xylose uptake by washed cells of *A. radiobacter* AR18 and AR9 were measured following growth in batch culture with glucose as the carbon source. No significant differences in the rates of glucose uptake were observed between the two strains (see Table 2). However, the rate of galactose uptake was very much faster in strain AR18 than in strain AR9 [19 cf. 8 nmol min⁻¹ (mg cells)⁻¹], which was commensurate with the observations that galactose was bound much more strongly by GBP1 than by GBP2, that the $K_D$ of GBP2 for galactose was much higher than the concentration of galactose in the uptake assay, and that GBP1 was present at a much higher concentration in strain AR18 than in strain AR9 (see Table 1, Figs 1 and 2; also Cornish et al., 1988a). In contrast, the rate of xylose uptake by the two strains was essentially the same [16 cf. 15 nmol min⁻¹ (mg cells)⁻¹]. This was a somewhat unexpected result in view of the observations that GBP2 found xylose much more strongly than GBP1 and was also present at a much higher concentration in strain AR9 than in strain AR18. However, it appears that these properties were offset by the fact that the $K_D$ of GBP1 for xylose, although high, was substantially lower than the concentration of xylose in the assay, and that strain AR18 contained a substantial amount of GBP1.
Fig. 4. Hyperproduction of GBP1 and GBP2 following prolonged growth of wild-type A. radiobacter in continuous culture (D 0.045 h⁻¹) under galactose and xylose limitation. Cell samples were taken at intervals during growth under galactose limitation (a) or xylose limitation (b) and protein profiles were analysed using SDS-PAGE. Tracks: 1, M, standards; 2–6, cell samples.

Selection of new strains of A. radiobacter that hyperproduce GBP1 or GBP2 following prolonged growth in continuous culture (D 0.045 h⁻¹) under galactose or xylose limitation

It was noted previously that A. radiobacter responded to the strong selective pressures imposed during growth under glucose limitation for approximately 20 generations by simultaneously segregating into two strains that hyperproduce either GBP1 (strain AR18) or GBP2 (strain AR9), but not both (Cornish et al., 1988a). Furthermore, the studies reported above indicated that GBP1 and GBP2 also exhibited high affinities for galactose and xylose respectively and hence may be specifically involved in the transport of these substrates during growth under galactose and xylose limitation. These possibilities were tested by growing the parent strain of A. radiobacter in continuous culture (D 0.045 h⁻¹) for a prolonged period under either galactose or xylose limitation, the expectation being that only those binding proteins which were specifically involved in taking up the growth-limiting substrate would become hyperproduced.

Glucose and galactose uptake rates of washed cells increased substantially during growth under galactose limitation, as also did the concentration of GBP1 but not GBP2 (Fig. 4a). Single-colony analysis done at the end of the selection period showed that the culture was homogeneous, and that it also hyperproduced GBP1 during batch growth on glucose. It therefore resembled strain AR18 that had previously been selected by growth under glucose limitation (Cornish et al., 1988a); however, as this culture was isolated following growth under galactose limitation it was subsequently called strain AR18a.

Similarly, the glucose uptake rate of washed cells increased substantially during growth under xylose limitation, as also did the concentration of GBP2 but not GBP1 (Fig. 4b). These changes were accompanied by a slight increase in the xylose uptake rate. This culture was similar to
strain AR9, but as it was isolated during growth under galactose rather than glucose limitation it was called strain AR9a; it was not subjected to colony analysis.

The results of these in vivo selection experiments are consistent with the proposal that GBP1 is involved in the transport of D-glucose and D-galactose, whereas GBP2 is involved in the transport of D-glucose and D-xylose. In order to test this hypothesis further, A. radiobacter strains AR9 and AR18 were grown for more than 20 generations under galactose limitation and xylose limitation respectively; under these conditions the production of large amounts of superfluous transport proteins (GBP2 in strain AR9, and GBP1 in strain AR18) would be expected to be disadvantageous, and the capacity to hyperproduce them ought therefore to be lost.

As predicted, the phenotype of strain AR9 changed dramatically during growth in galactose-limited continuous culture (D 0.045 h⁻¹) (Fig. 5a). Although significant amounts of GBP2 were produced initially, indicating that this glucose transport system is induced by galactose as well as by glucose, GBP2 was eventually replaced by GBP1. Single-colony analysis at the end of the selection period revealed that the culture was homogeneous. The new strain thus resembled strains AR18 and AR18a, and this conclusion was reinforced when it was shown to produce more GBP1 than GBP2 during batch growth on glucose.

Conversely, when strain AR18 was grown under xylose limitation, although the concentration of GBP1 remained relatively high the concentration of GBP2 increased significantly and eventually exceeded that of GBP1 (Fig. 5b). Single-colony analysis at the end of the selection period revealed that the culture was again homogeneous. The new strain thus showed some similarity to strain AR9; however, unlike strain AR9, it produced more GBP1 than GBP2 during batch growth on glucose (indicating that excess glucose continued to repress GBP2 much more strongly than GBP1). As growth under xylose limitation did not lead to a rapid loss of GBP1, it seems likely either that GBP1 has a role in xylose transport (not withstanding the high Kᵦ value of this protein for D-xylose in vitro) or, more plausibly, that the mutations needed to switch off hyperproduction of GBP1 occur relatively infrequently.

It should be noted that all of these selected cultures produced similar amounts of BP3 during carbon-limited growth (after allowing for slight variations in the gel loadings), irrespective of the nature of the carbon source (glucose, galactose or xylose) and the duration of the selection period.
Fig. 6. Screening of various Gram-negative bacteria for proteins capable of cross-reacting with antisera raised against GBP1 and GBP2 from *A. radiobacter*. Bacteria were grown in glucose-limited fed-batch culture (μ 0·10 h⁻¹), except for *A. radiobacter* and *A. tumefaciens* which were grown in glucose-limited continuous culture (D 0·045 h⁻¹). Cell proteins were separated using SDS-PAGE, then either stained with Kenacid Blue (a) or transferred to nitrocellulose and probed with antiserum raised against GBP1 (b) or GBP2 (c). Tracks: 1, M, standards; 2, *A. radiobacter*; 3, *E. herbicola*; 4, *E. coli*; 5, *K. pneumoniae*; 6, *X. campestris*; 7, *R. leguminosarum*; 8, *A. tumefaciens*.

**Screening of various Gram-negative bacteria for proteins capable of reacting with antisera prepared against GBP1 and GBP2**

In order to establish whether proteins similar to GBP1 and GBP2 are produced by other Gram-negative bacteria, organisms representative of the Rhizobiaceae, Enterobacteriaceae and Pseudomonadaceae families were grown on minimal media in glucose-limited fed-batch culture (μ 0·10 h⁻¹) or continuous culture (D 0·045 h⁻¹) in order to derepress glucose transport. Cells were then screened using Western blotting for the presence of proteins that cross-reacted with antisera raised against GBP1 and GBP2 from *A. radiobacter*.

Anti-GBP1 and anti-GBP2 cross-reacted respectively with GBP1 (Mᵣ 36 500) and GBP2 (Mᵣ 33 500) produced by *A. radiobacter*, and also with proteins of similar Mᵣ to GBP1 and GBP2 produced by *A. tumefaciens* (Fig. 6). In contrast, both antibodies cross-reacted only weakly with single proteins produced by *E. coli* K12, *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and *Erwinia herbicola*. 
Anti-GBP1 also cross-reacted weakly with several proteins in *Xanthomonas campestris*, but only two of these (M, 28,000 and 40,000) were in the range expected for a sugar-binding protein; anti-GBP2 showed no cross-reaction with any proteins in this organism. Neither antibody cross-reacted with *Rhizobium leguminosarum*, *Ps. aeruginosa* or *Ps. mendocina* (not shown in Fig. 6).

**Adaptation of A. tumefaciens to prolonged growth under glucose and galactose limitation (D 0·045 h\(^{-1}\))**

As Western blotting indicated that *A. tumefaciens* produced two proteins that were similar to GBP1 and GBP2 from *A. radiobacter* in terms of their size and immunological cross-reactivity, the organism was grown for a prolonged period in continuous culture under glucose or galactose limitation (D 0·045 h\(^{-1}\)) in order to determine whether these proteins could also be hyperproduced.

The glucose uptake rate of washed cells increased significantly during growth under glucose limitation, as also did the concentration of the protein that reacted with anti-GBP2, but there was no evidence of any change in the concentration of the protein that cross-reacted with anti-GBP1 (Fig. 7a). Single-colony analysis done at the end of the selection period confirmed that the culture was homogeneous (subsequently called strain AT9) and that it produced more GBP2 than GBP1 during batch growth on glucose. *A. tumefaciens* therefore differs from *A. radiobacter* during glucose-limited growth in that it hyperproduces only a single glucose transport system, albeit one which involves a binding protein that is similar to (and possibly identical with) GBP2 from *A. radiobacter*.

The glucose uptake rate of washed cells increased strongly during growth under galactose limitation, as did the galactose uptake rate and the concentration of the protein that cross-reacted with anti-GBP1, whereas the concentration of the protein that cross-reacted with GBP2 was essentially unchanged (Fig. 7b). Single-colony analysis again indicated that the culture was homogeneous (subsequently called strain AT18a), and that it produced more GBP1 than GBP2 during batch growth on glucose. *A. tumefaciens* therefore behaves similarly to *A. radiobacter* during galactose-limited growth in that it hyperproduces a protein that is similar to (or even identical with) GBP1.

**Succinoglucon production by various strains of A. radiobacter and A. tumefaciens during ammonia-limited growth**

Previous work from this laboratory has shown that *A. radiobacter* AR18 (which hyperproduces GBP1 during glucose-limited growth) converted glucose into succinoglucon during ammonia-limited growth at a higher rate than either the parent strain or strain AR9 (which hyperproduces GBP2 during glucose-limited growth) (Cornish et al., 1988b). Similarly, the GBP1-hyperproducing strain of *A. radiobacter* (strain AR18a) that was isolated during the present work following prolonged growth under galactose limitation, also produced succinoglucon at a significantly higher rate than the parent strain or strain AR9 during ammonia-limited growth on glucose (Table 3). Interestingly, however, no increase was observed in the rate of succinoglucon production from galactose (e.g. *A. radiobacter* strain AR18a and the parent strain both exhibited a rate of 0·21 g h\(^{-1}\) (g dry wt\(^{-1}\)), which suggests that the overall rate of exopolysaccharide synthesis from galactose, unlike the rate from glucose, was not determined primarily by the activity of the transport system.

In contrast to *A. radiobacter*, both of the newly isolated strains of *A. tumefaciens* (strains AT18a and AT9) produced succinoglucon from glucose at approximately the same rate as the parent strain during growth under ammonia limitation. It must be concluded therefore, either that the GBP1-dependent system of *A. tumefaciens* is more strongly repressed than the corresponding system in *A. radiobacter* during ammonia limitation, or that the flux control coefficient for glucose transport on succinoglucon production is lower in *A. tumefaciens* than in *A. radiobacter* (i.e. the rate of conversion of glucose into succinoglucon is determined primarily by the activity of some enzyme other than the glucose transport system).
Fig. 7. Hyperproduction of putative sugar-binding proteins during prolonged growth of A. tumefaciens in continuous culture ($D_0$ 0.045 h$^{-1}$) under glucose and galactose limitation. Cell samples were taken at intervals during growth under glucose limitation (a) and galactose limitation (b), and protein profiles were analysed using SDS-PAGE. Proteins marked ‘GBP1’ and ‘GBP2’ reacted with antisera raised against GBP1 and GBP2 from A. radiobacter. (a) Tracks 1–5, cell samples; 6, $M$, standards. (b) Track 1, $M$, standards; 2–6, cell samples.

Table 3. Rates of production of succinoglucon from various strains of A. radiobacter and A. tumefaciens during growth on glucose in continuous culture ($D_0$ 0.045 h$^{-1}$) under ammonia limitation

Novel strains of A. radiobacter and A. tumefaciens were isolated by growing the wild-type organisms under prolonged glucose or galactose limitation ($D_0$ 0.045 h$^{-1}$) as described in the text.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organism</th>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Limitation for strain selection</th>
<th>Major glucose-binding protein</th>
<th>Rate of succinoglucon production [g h$^{-1}$ (g dry wt)$^{-1}$]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. radiobacter</td>
<td>Parent</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>GBP2</td>
<td>0.21 ± 0.01 (3)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AR9</td>
<td>Glucose</td>
<td>GBP2</td>
<td>0.21 ± 0.01 (9)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AR18</td>
<td>Glucose</td>
<td>GBP1</td>
<td>0.30 ± 0.01 (11)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AR18a</td>
<td>Galactose</td>
<td>GBP1</td>
<td>0.29 ± 0.01 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. tumefaciens</td>
<td>Parent</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>GBP2</td>
<td>0.18 ± 0.01 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AT9</td>
<td>Glucose</td>
<td>GBP2</td>
<td>0.18 ± 0.01 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AT18a</td>
<td>Galactose</td>
<td>GBP1</td>
<td>0.18 ± 0.01 (3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Data reported previously (Cornish et al., 1988b); included here for comparison.

**DISCUSSION**

Previous work in this laboratory has demonstrated that A. radiobacter produces two periplasmic binding-proteins (GBP1 and GBP2) that bind $D$-glucose with high affinity and are involved in the active transport of this sugar (Cornish et al., 1988a, b). We have now established through the use of equilibrium dialysis that GBP1 and GBP2 additionally bind $D$-galactose and...
D-xylose respectively, both with high affinity. Taken together with the continuous culture studies, these results suggest that GBP1 should be regarded as a glucose/galactose-binding protein, and GBP2 as a glucose/xylose-binding protein. The possibility that GBP1 and GBP2 may also be involved in the binding and transport of other structurally related sugars cannot at present be excluded.

The biochemical properties of the GBP1- and GBP2-dependent transport systems from *A. radiobacter* (energy-linked, high-affinity, osmotic-shock-sensitive) are similar to those of the binding-protein-dependent sugar transport systems of *E. coli* (e.g. the maltose, L-arabinose, D-galactose, D-xylose and D-ribose systems) (Ames, 1986; Henderson, 1986). However, the latter appear to differ from the two *A. radiobacter* systems in that they generally transport only a single sugar in vitro (the same sugar that usually induces the transport system), and the pure binding proteins tend to display a strong preference for the physiological substrate in vitro. The galactose-binding protein of *E. coli* is a notable exception, however, since it binds both D-galactose and D-glucose with high affinity in vitro (Boos, 1974) and therefore resembles GBP1 from *A. radiobacter*.

The limited amount of protein sequence data that is currently available for GBP1 and GBP2 indicates that they both show slight, but significant, homology with the arabinose- and galactose-binding proteins of *E. coli*. As the various sugar-binding proteins from *E. coli* show only limited sequence homology with each other, but generally have similar three-dimensional structures (Quiocho & Pfugrath, 1980), it is possible that the Agrobacterium and *E. coli* sugar-binding proteins are more closely related at the tertiary than the primary level.

The dual substrate-specificity of GBP1 and GBP2 allows the selection of novel strains of *A. radiobacter* that not only hyperproduce these two binding protein-dependent transport systems following prolonged growth under glucose limitation (strains AR18 and AR9 respectively; Cornish *et al.*, 1988a), but that also specifically hyperproduce the GBP1-dependent system following galactose limitation and the GBP2-dependent system following xylose limitation (strains AR18a and AR9a respectively). As this approach has proved successful with the analogous binding-protein-dependent systems that transport glucose and galactose in *A. tumefaciens*, it appears to be a very simple and reproducible method for identifying the binding protein for a particular sugar and for obtaining the protein in large amounts. Furthermore, there appears to be no a priori reason why it could not be used to hyperproduce other types of sugar transport systems, e.g. various proton-symport systems (including the lac system) and phosphoenolpyruvate-dependent phosphotransferase systems. Indeed, it has recently been shown through the use of metabolic control theory that strains of *E. coli* which overproduce P-galactoside permease have a selective advantage over the wild-type organism during growth under lactose-limitation (Dykhuizen *et al.*, 1987).

The spontaneous hyperproduction of these binding-protein-dependent transport systems during growth in continuous culture is presumably a genotypic response to prolonged starvation, followed by natural selection of the most-fit mutant strain. The molecular basis of this response is currently unknown, although it is clearly based on spontaneous mutations which lead either to gene amplification or to enhanced promoter activity. Attempts to reverse this process by selection against a hyperproducing strain have met with mixed success, as evidenced by the rapid response of strain AR9 to prolonged growth under galactose limitation compared with the sluggish response of strain AR18 to prolonged growth under xylose limitation.

The GBP1-dependent transport system of *A. radiobacter* is clearly less susceptible than the GBP2-dependent system to repression by carbon substrates, including glucose itself. As a result, *A. radiobacter* strains AR18 and AR18a synthesize succinoglucon from glucose at a faster rate than either the wild-type organism or strain AR9 during growth under ammonia limitation, thus supporting the view that glucose transport is a major kinetic control point for succinoglucon synthesis (see also Cornish *et al.*, 1988b). The key to increasing the rate of succinoglucon production by this organism even further is therefore to derepress the GBP1-dependent glucose uptake system during ammonia-limited growth towards the rate expressed by glucose-limited cultures. Eventually, of course, some other enzyme involved in glucose catabolism or succinoglucon biosynthesis will replace glucose transport as the major kinetic control point for
succinoglucon production, and no further increase in the rate of polymer production will be observed.

In contrast to the situation with *A. radiobacter*, neither of the strains of *A. tumefaciens* that hyperproduce the equivalent of the GBP1- and GBP2-dependent uptake systems during growth under carbon limitation (strains AT18a and AT9) show an increased rate of succinoglucon production from glucose under ammonia limitation. Whether this indicates a more complete repression of the GBP1-dependent system by excess carbon compared with *A. radiobacter*, or that glucose transport is not a major kinetic control point for succinoglucon synthesis in this organism, has yet to be determined. It does show, however, that even two very closely related polysaccharide-producing organisms do not behave identically. For this reason, it would be unwise to assume that the conclusions drawn from the work of *A. radiobacter* necessarily apply to the production of other bacterial extracellular polysaccharides.
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