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In the presence of a suitable carbon source, whole cells and protoplasts of Saccharomyces cerevisiae synthesized glycerol as a compatible organic solute in response to increased external osmotic pressure. Boyle-van't Hoff plots showed that protoplasts, and non-turgid cells, exhibited a linear relationship between volume and the external osmotic pressure (i.e. they behaved as near-ideal osmometers), and that both protoplasts and cells have a component which is not osmotically responsive - the non-osmotic volume (NOV). Glycerol levels in whole cells and protoplasts were elevated by increased external osmotic pressure over a similar time-scale to the period of exponential cell growth, reaching a maximum value at 6-12 h and declining thereafter. This suggests that the restoration of turgor pressure in whole cells was not the sole regulator of glycerol accumulation. Stationary phase whole cells had negligible levels of intracellular glycerol after growth in a medium of raised osmotic pressure. However, intracellular trehalose synthesis in these cells began earlier and reached a higher maximum level than in basal medium. Once exponential growth had stopped, cell turgor and internal osmotic pressure decreased somewhat. These new, lower values may be determined by the extent of trehalose accumulation in stationary phase cells.

INTRODUCTION

The ability of organisms to grow under conditions of elevated osmotic pressure has received much attention with respect to general aspects of physiology and biochemistry (Brown, 1976, 1978; Jennings, 1983; Munns et al., 1983; Vreeland, 1987), food science (Onishi, 1963), and industrial potential (Ben-Amotz & Avron, 1983).

During growth, a walled cell will have an internal osmotic pressure greater than the external osmotic pressure. The consequent tendency for water to flow into the cell, leading to an increase in volume, is opposed by the stretched cell wall, generating a turgor pressure within. Since the elasticity of the cell wall varies in different organisms, the extension needed to generate a suitable turgor pressure may range widely for differing cell types (Nobel, 1983).

If the external osmotic pressure increases, water flows out, volume and turgor decrease and the cell shrinks until a new osmotic equilibrium is reached. Many algal cells treated in this way have been shown to recover their initial turgor (Cram, 1976). This is achieved by the accumulation of solutes, by either transport or biochemical interconversions, raising the internal osmotic pressure which leads to the flow of water into the cell and subsequent restoration of cell turgor. Such walled cells operate a system of turgor regulation.

In wall-less cells, the plasma membrane can sustain only a negligible hydrostatic pressure. Wall-less micro-organisms in a hypo-osmotic medium (such as a fresh water environment) regulate their volume using contractile vacuoles to expel excess fluid accumulated due to the osmotic imbalance between the cell interior and the external medium. In micro-organisms growing in a saline medium (such as a marine environment) the internal and external osmotic

Abbreviation: NOV, non-osmotic volume.
pressures are effectively equal, and contractile vacuoles are not required. In response to hyperosmotic treatment wall-less organisms may regulate their volume by the accumulation of solutes within the cell, accompanied by an osmotically driven inflow of water and an increase in cell volume at an essentially constant internal osmotic pressure. Thus wall-less cells show volume regulation (Cram, 1976; Reed, 1984).

Accordingly, cell osmotic relations involve these two parameters, i.e. cell volume and cell turgor pressure. Walled micro-organisms have a certain degree of elasticity, described by the volumetric elastic modulus (\(e\)), which governs the fractional change in volume for any given change in turgor (Nobel, 1983), and therefore changes in volume and in turgor pressure must be considered when looking at the osmotic adjustment made by such cells. The elastic modulus is an important parameter in cell water relations since it determines the degree of changes in cell turgor pressure and volume caused by a given change in external osmotic pressure (Dainty, 1972).

In most organisms that grow under conditions of high osmotic pressure, the internal osmotic pressure is generated largely by the intracellular accumulation of one or more low molecular mass organic solutes. Osmotic adjustment of intracellular organic solutes has been reported for a wide range of micro-organisms, including bacteria (Measures, 1975), cyanobacteria (Reed et al., 1984a, b; Mackay, et al., 1984), fungi (Brown & Simpson, 1972; Jennings, 1983; Gadd et al., 1984) and micro-algae (Hellebust, 1976).

Several studies have shown that osmotically stressed yeasts accumulate polyols, particularly glycerol and arabitol (Borowitzka & Brown, 1974; Gustafson, 1979; Jennings, 1984; Adler et al., 1985; Hocking, 1986). There are other carbohydrates which may make a considerable contribution to intracellular osmotic pressure in yeasts, but do not respond to changes in the osmolality of the external environment (Jennings, 1983). In contrast, compounds such as glycerol in Saccharomyces cerevisiae have an important role in osmotic adjustment since their intracellular concentration and absolute quantity varies with the external osmotic pressure. All known osmoresponse solutes (or osmolytes) fit, to varying degrees, the definition of a compatible solute proposed by Brown & Simpson (1972). Compatible solutes are low molecular mass, neutral compounds which may be accumulated to high intracellular concentrations while causing little enzyme inhibition. Not all organic compatible solutes are carbohydrates (Borowitzka, 1985); other classes include amino acids, tertiary sulphonium compounds and quaternary ammonium compounds (including betaines). Although the mechanisms of action of these compatible solutes have not been fully elucidated in all cases, Low (1985) has reviewed the current models for compatible solute function, noting that these compounds are preferentially excluded from the hydration spheres of proteins, promoting subunit assembly, stabilizing against denaturation and activating enzymes in the presence of denaturing solutes. Thus, Arakawa & Timasheff (1985) describe the protein-preferential hydration induced by certain neutral osmolytes upon lysozyme. Le Rudulier et al. (1984) discuss the molecular biology of the osm (osmotic tolerance) genes that protect bacteria such as Escherichia coli against osmotic stress and suggest that they may work in a similar manner with respect to drought resistance. Crowe et al. (1984a, b) have since shown that several carbohydrates are able to preserve membrane structure and function under conditions of desiccation.

Cells of S. cerevisiae accumulate osmotically significant quantities of glycerol in response to hyperosmotic shock (Edgley & Brown, 1983; Reed et al., 1987). However the regulatory processes involved in such osmotic adjustment are, as yet, unclear. Bearing in mind that osmotic adjustment in yeast cells must involve volume and turgor pressure changes, we have studied the effects of hyperosmotic media on volume change and osmolyte accumulation in whole cells and protoplasts of S. cerevisiae. Since protoplasts are wall-less and are effectively non-turgid, if the restoration of a positive turgor pressure was required to control osmolyte (glycerol) accumulation then one would expect a sustained increase in protoplast internal solute levels. In contrast, an osmolyte-accumulating mechanism controlled with respect to volume would be operable. A comparison of the osmotic responses of whole cells and protoplasts may therefore be useful in assessing the significance of turgor and/or volume regulation in yeasts.
**METHODS**

**Organisms, media and growth conditions.** *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (X2180-1B; Yeast Genetic Stock Center, Berkeley, Calif., USA), was maintained at 25 °C on MYGP agar, of composition (g l⁻¹): malt extract (Lab M) 3; yeast extract (Difco), 3; bacteriological peptone (Oxoid), 5; D-glucose, 10; agar (Lab M, no. 2), 15. The liquid basal medium (BM) had the following composition (g l⁻¹): D-glucose, 20·0; KH₂PO₄, 2·72; K₂HPO₄, 3·H₂O, 5·22; (NH₄)₂SO₄, 2·0; MgSO₄·7H₂O, 0·12; FeSO₄·7H₂O, 0·0022; ZnSO₄·7H₂O, 0·004; MnSO₄·4H₂O, 0·004; CuSO₄·5H₂O, 0·0004; yeast extract (Difco), 1·0.

Starter cultures were prepared by loop-inoculating 100 ml of culture medium (BM) with cells from an MYGP agar plate and incubating for 18 h at 25 °C on an orbital shaker (100 cycles min⁻¹). Exponentially growing starter cultures were used, after one further subculture (12 h), to inoculate experimental flasks. These were incubated at 25 °C, in MYGP broth (BM), with aeration. 10 ml of a 10-fold diluted cell suspension was added in a 250 ml Erlenmeyer flask containing 100 ml of BM. After 12 h, the cells were harvested by centrifugation (1200 g, 5 min). In both cases, samples were washed three times with 10 ml iso-osmotic NaCl solution and then immediately extracted in 80% (v/v) aqueous ethanol using 0·6 mg erythritol as an internal standard for monosaccharides/polyols and 0·6 mg sucrose as an internal standard for disaccharides. After overnight extraction, ethanol was evaporated off and the extracts were desiccated for at least 24 h prior to gas-liquid chromatography using trimethylsilyl-derivatized samples extracted in pyridine (Reed et al., 1984b). A glass column (2 m × 4 mm) of Chromosorb W (Phase Separations) coated with a stationary phase of 4% SE52 methylphenyl silicone gum (Pye Unicam) was fitted to a Varian 3700 gas-liquid chromatograph with flame ionization detection. A temperature programme from 140-280 °C at 20 °C min⁻¹, holding the initial temperature constant for 1 min and the final temperature constant for 6 min was used. Carbohydrates were identified and peak areas quantified using a Hewlett Packard HP3390A integrator.

**Isolation of yeast protoplasts.** Exponentially growing cells were harvested by centrifugation (1200 g, 5 min), washed twice with, and suspended in 10⁶ cells ml⁻¹ in, a solution comprising 100 mM-MES/KOH buffer (pH 6) in 21·8% (w/v) (1·2 M) sorbitol (PM) giving an osmotic pressure of 4·36 MPa. Novozym 234 (Novo Enzymes) was added at 10 mg ml⁻¹ (PM + Novozym) and the suspension incubated at 25 °C with stirring for 1 h (White & Gadd, 1987). This method was shown to be >99% efficient by counting with a haemocytometer the number of cells remaining after a 1 in 10 dilution with distilled water and comparing this to the number of cells/protoplasts after a 1 in 10 dilution with PM. Protoplasts were then harvested by low-speed centrifugation (250 g, 5 min), washed once with, and stored in, 20 mM-MES/KOH buffer (pH 6) in 21·8% sorbitol at 4 °C for no longer than 4 h.
A medium of similar osmotic pressure, but with added glucose (GPM) and mineral salts at BM concentrations was also used and the sorbitol level in this medium was reduced to 18.5% (w/v) (0.85 M), giving an osmotic pressure of 4.20 MPa.

Glycerol production by protoplasts. Protoplasts were prepared in PM + Novozym (1 h) and then incubated at 25 °C either in PM (pH 6) or in GPM (pH 6), the latter having glucose as a carbon source. As a control, whole cells were also incubated in the above media with the omission of Novozym 234 (i.e. PM and GPM). Protoplast samples (3 x 10^7-10^8) were harvested by low-speed centrifugation (250 g, 5 min) and analysed for low molecular mass carbohydrates as described above. Microscopic examinations were made of the protoplasts to check morphology and osmotic sensitivity throughout the time-course.

RESULTS

Osmotically induced volume changes in whole cells and protoplasts

The relationship between volume and external osmotic pressure for whole cells and protoplasts of \textit{S. cerevisiae} is shown in Fig. 1. With increasing external osmotic pressure whole cells did not behave as ideal osmometers until their cell turgor pressure had been dissipated at an external osmotic pressure of 2.48 MPa. At osmotic pressures above this value the cells showed osmometric behaviour, with a linear relationship between volume and the reciprocal of the external osmotic pressure. Protoplasts, lacking a cell wall, had no appreciable turgor pressure and showed osmometric behaviour over a wider range of external osmotic pressures. With increasing dilution, protoplast lysis was observed microscopically at an external osmotic pressure of approximately 1.29 MPa, presumably due to excessive volume increase caused by the inflow of water from the dilute medium. At lower osmolalities the protoplast volume decreased substantially, reaching 25 fl at 0.92 MPa (Fig. 1). Further reductions in external osmolality caused extensive lysis and satisfactory volume distributions could not be obtained using the Channelyzer.

The Boyle–van’t Hoff plots (Fig. 1) can be used to derive the non-osmotic volume (NOV), internal osmotic pressure \((\pi_i)\), and cell turgor pressure \((P_{cell})\) at any given external osmotic pressure \((\pi_e)\) and the volumetric elastic modulus \((\varepsilon)\). Linear regression analysis (correlation coefficient \(\geq 0.970\) in all cases) was used to calculate the NOV of both cell types as the intercept of the y-axis. Whole cells had a NOV of 48% of their initial volume in basal medium (BM); protoplasts had a NOV of 35% of the original protoplast volume in 1.2 M-sorbitol, pH 6.0 (PM).

Calculation of the internal osmotic pressure of cells at any given \(\pi_e\) uses the relationship \(P_{cell} = \pi_i - \pi_e\) (Dainty, 1976). Therefore, when \(P_{cell} = 0\), at high \(\pi_e\), \(\pi_i = \pi_e\). Having thus calculated a value for \(\pi_i\), at a volume where \(P_{cell} = 0\), the Boyle–van’t Hoff relationship \((\pi V = \text{constant})\) can then be applied, where \(V\) = the osmotically active volume, to calculate \(\pi_i\) where the cell has positive turgor pressure. Thus \(P_{cell}\) can be calculated for all points on the Boyle–van’t Hoff plot. Finally, \(\varepsilon\) can be calculated as

\[
\Delta P_{cell} = \varepsilon \frac{\Delta V}{V_r}
\]

where \(V\) is the total cell volume and \(V_r\) is a reference volume, usually the cell volume where \(P_{cell} = 0\) (see Dainty, 1976).

Tables 1 and 2 give values for cell volume, \(P_{cell}\) and \(\pi_i\), at given external osmotic pressures, for mid-exponential phase (11 h) and stationary phase (5 d) yeast cells respectively. In stationary phase cells in basal medium \((\pi_e = 0.72\ \text{MPa})\), with no requirement for positive turgor for growth, the turgor pressure was lower than in mid-exponential phase cells. Stationary phase cells also had a lower mean elastic modulus of 1.95 MPa, compared to mid-exponential cells (3.25 MPa). These values for \(\varepsilon\) were calculated over the range of \(\pi_e\) where \(P_{cell} > 0\). In both cases as \(\pi_e\) increased, cell volume and \(P_{cell}\) decreased while \(\pi_i\) increased, until, at an \(\pi_e\) of 2.48 MPa, \(P_{cell}\) was dissipated and \(\pi_i = \pi_e\).

The volumes of whole cells and protoplasts in a hyperosmotic medium, with and without added glucose (i.e. PM and GPM respectively), were monitored using the Coulter counter over 12 h. In all cases, the volumes after 1 h in a hyperosmotic medium were lower than the original
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Fig. 1. Boyle–van't Hoff plots for whole cells (●) and protoplasts (○) of *S. cerevisiae*. Mean values from three replicate determinations are shown; SD < 1 fl.

Fig. 2. Volume changes of *S. cerevisiae* whole cells (□, ■) and protoplasts (○, ●) during incubation in GPM (closed symbols) or PM (open symbols). Mean values from three replicate determinations are shown; SD < 1 fl.

Table 1. Changes in cell volume, turgor pressure (*P*<sub>cell</sub>) and internal osmotic pressure (*π<sub>i</sub>*) in relation to external osmotic pressure (*π<sub>e</sub>*), for mid-exponential phase cells of *S. cerevisiae* grown in BM.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><em>π&lt;sub&gt;e&lt;/sub&gt;</em> (MPa)</th>
<th>Total cell volume (fl)</th>
<th><em>P</em>&lt;sub&gt;cell&lt;/sub&gt; (MPa)</th>
<th><em>π&lt;sub&gt;i&lt;/sub&gt;</em> (MPa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>55.7</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>1.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>54.9</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>1.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>54.1</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>1.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>52.9</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>1.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>52.1</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>1.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>50.8</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>1.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>49.1</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>1.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.48</td>
<td>44.6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The NOV was 48% and the elastic modulus (e) was 3.25 MPa.

Table 2. Changes in cell volume, turgor pressure (*P*<sub>cell</sub>) and internal osmotic pressure (*π<sub>i</sub>*), for stationary phase cells of *S. cerevisiae* grown in BM.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><em>π&lt;sub&gt;e&lt;/sub&gt;</em> (MPa)</th>
<th>Total cell volume (fl)</th>
<th><em>P</em>&lt;sub&gt;cell&lt;/sub&gt; (MPa)</th>
<th><em>π&lt;sub&gt;i&lt;/sub&gt;</em> (MPa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>44.5</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>1.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>43.2</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>1.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>42.0</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>1.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>41.0</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>1.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>39.8</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>1.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>39.2</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>1.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>37.4</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>1.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.48</td>
<td>33.9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The NOV was 49% and the elastic modulus (e) was 1.95 MPa.
values. Without glucose, neither whole cells nor protoplasts increased their volume; protoplasts in a medium with no added glucose lysed after 7 h (Fig. 2). However, the volumes of protoplasts and cells were higher after 1 h in the medium with added glucose. Furthermore, whole cells showed a small increase in volume after 1 h, and protoplasts showed a sustained increase in volume after 4 h. After 21.5 h in GPM the protoplasts remained intact, and were still osmotically sensitive and thus had not regenerated a cell wall. These protoplasts were substantially larger (166 fl) than at 12 h and microscopic examination showed that they had large vacuoles which occupied most of their volume.

Glycerol production by protoplasts and whole cells

When incubated in PM neither protoplasts nor whole cells synthesized glycerol, nor any other low molecular mass carbohydrate. Fig. 3 (a, b) shows the accumulation of carbohydrates by protoplasts and whole cells respectively, when incubated in GPM. Maximum internal glycerol levels were reached in protoplasts and whole cells after 6 h in GPM, after which they decreased. Protoplasts appeared to produce more glycerol than whole cells. Low levels of sorbitol were detected within protoplasts and cells, presumably due to uptake from the medium. Maximum sorbitol levels were reached after 4 h in protoplasts and 6 h in cells; these levels did not exceed 10 fmol (cell/protoplast)⁻¹ and did not contribute to the overall internal osmotic pressure to the same extent as glycerol. Protoplasts did not synthesize trehalose during this experiment, whereas whole cells showed trehalose synthesis in GPM after 6 h.

Effect of different osmotica on glycerol production by whole cells

*S. cerevisiae* cells were inoculated from BM into BM + NaCl. Fig. 4 (a) shows the growth and cell volumes of these cells and of control cells inoculated into fresh basal medium; Fig. 4 (b) shows the corresponding intracellular glycerol and trehalose levels. In Figs 4–6 the carbohydrate concentrations are expressed in terms of the osmotically active volume of the cells. Growth was only marginally reduced in BM + NaCl; in BM the doubling time was 2.0 h and in BM + NaCl
the doubling time was 2.3 h. However, the addition of 2.28% (w/v) NaCl would have increased the osmotic pressure of the medium from 0.72 MPa to 2.48 MPa which would have dissipated cell turgor (Table 1). Thus the cells must have restored turgor, presumably by glycerol synthesis, before expansion growth could occur. The volume of these cells increased between 1 and 3 h after inoculation (the initial osmotically induced shrinkage, shown in Fig. 1, is not represented on this graph), and subsequently decreased during mid-exponential phase. In control cells a similar sequence occurred, although the cell volume generally remained higher during the exponential phase, declining in the stationary phase. Fig. 4(b) shows that glycerol was synthesized rapidly by exponentially growing cells in BM + NaCl and decreased at the end of exponential growth. Control cells transferred to BM did not synthesize significant amounts of glycerol. Cells grown in BM + NaCl showed synthesis of trehalose by 1 d, reaching a higher intracellular level than control cells in BM, which showed no trehalose synthesis until 2 d.

The results obtained when cells of S. cerevisiae were inoculated into medium with an osmotic pressure elevated to 2.48 MPa with D-sorbitol (BM + sorbitol) are shown in Fig. 5(a, b). The doubling time for these cells was 1.9 h, as compared to 2.0 h in BM (in Fig. 4a). Cell volume was increased at 1 h and 3 h after inoculation and then decreased, as in NaCl. Glycerol was synthesized to a level somewhat higher than that of NaCl-treated cells (Fig. 4b). However, synthesis was more rapid and the maximum internal concentration was reached after 6 h in BM + sorbitol (Fig. 5b) as compared to 12 h in NaCl (Fig. 4b). The glycerol level then dropped,
although not as rapidly as in NaCl, since low levels of glycerol were still detectable at 6 d.
Trehalose synthesis was observed at 1 d with higher levels for sorbitol-treated cells than those for
control cells, particularly towards the end of the experiment.

When exponential phase cells, grown for two previous subcultures in BM + NaCl, were
transferred to fresh medium of identical composition, a doubling time of 2·6 h was observed
(Fig. 6a). Cell volume changed over the first 12 h, in a similar manner to control cells (Fig. 4a),
and then decreased at the start of stationary phase. Since the cells were transferred from
BM + NaCl, the initial intracellular glycerol concentration was high. However, transfer to
fresh, iso-osmotic BM + NaCl medium resulted in a marked decrease in the internal glycerol
level at 1 h which then rapidly recovered and was maintained until the end of exponential
growth. Intracellular glycerol then decreased and was undetectable by 7 d. Intracellular
trehalose was observed at 24 h and increased during stationary phase to levels higher than those
reached by control cells in BM (Fig. 4b).

DISCUSSION

From the Boyle-van't Hoff plots (Fig. 1) it is clear that whole cells maintained a positive
turgor pressure when transferred to NaCl solutions of elevated osmotic pressure up to 2·48 MPa;
at this point cell turgor became zero and the cells behaved as osmometers. Arnold & Lacy (1977)
calculated that incipient plasmolysis ($P_{cell} = 0$) occurred in *S. cerevisiae* at a similar osmotic
pressure of 2·85 MPa, from changes in the Ficoll-accessible space of the cells with increasing
external osmotic pressure. In contrast, protoplasts showed osmometric behaviour over a wider
range of osmotic pressures, since they were not able to generate a significant turgor pressure.

Whole cells had a larger NOV than protoplasts in proportional and absolute terms (Fig. 1),
suggesting that some of this NOV may consist of cell wall material. The exact nature of the
remaining component of the NOV is not known; however, organelles and storage granules may
also contribute. Reed *et al.* (1987) calculated a NOV of 34% for *S. cerevisiae* cells using the data
of Arnold & Lacy (1977), in accordance with a value of 35% calculated for late-exponential
phase cells by Morris *et al.* (1986). The values for NOV of mid-exponential and stationary phase
cells shown in Tables 1 and 2 are somewhat higher. The work by Morris *et al.* (1986) used video
microscopy to reveal that shrinkage occurred within the first minute of exposure to a
hyperosmotic solution of NaCl at 20 °C, and that the cell volume then remained stable for up to
5 min. The changes in linear dimensions of cells of *S. cerevisiae* during shrinkage were not
isotropic; this increases the difficulties involved in volume calculations from this technique.
Such non-isotropic shrinkage does not significantly affect volume analysis using the Coulter
counter.

When whole cells were transferred to media of increasing osmotic pressure there was a
movement of water from the cells to the medium and hence a decrease in volume (Tables 1 and
2). While the internal osmotic pressure was higher than the external osmotic pressure, a positive
turgor pressure ($P_{cell}$) was maintained. In agreement with Morris *et al.* (1986) plasmolysis was not
observed in whole cells in extreme hyperosmotic media, when examined by light
microscopy; it is likely that the yeast cell wall shrinks with the protoplast when the cell turgor
pressure has been dissipated by elevated external osmotic pressure (i.e. >2·48 MPa). In BM the
internal osmotic pressure of exponentially growing whole cells was 1·53 MPa (Table 1) which
agrees with the value of 1·34 MPa obtained by Levin *et al.* (1979) and 1·20 MPa, calculated from
the data of Arnold & Lacy (1977) for yeast cells in distilled water; these cells are likely to have a
lower $\pi_c$ due to the leakage of certain internal solutes from the cells in distilled water (Wethered
*et al.*, 1985). While the values of Levin *et al.* (1979) and Arnold & Lacy (1977) will also be
equivalent to the cell turgor pressure, since $\pi_c = 0$, the turgor pressure obtained during the
present study for exponentially growing cells in BM ($\pi_c = 0·72$ MPa) was 0·81 MPa (Table 1), a
lower value than for yeast cells in distilled water. However, an increase in the turgidity of cells
would be expected when suspended in distilled water. In BM, stationary phase cells had a lower
$P_{cell}$ of 0·61 MPa. This is expected as stationary phase cells no longer require a critical $P_{cell}$ to
drive cell expansion. Cells growing exponentially in BM had an $\varepsilon$ of 3·25 MPa; a similar range of
values for $\varepsilon$ (2.5–3.0 MPa) has been calculated from a Boyle–van’t Hoff plot of the data of Arnold & Lacy (1977). Levin et al. (1979) derived a somewhat higher value for $\varepsilon$ of 4.7 MPa from the data of Conway & Armstrong (1961), indicating a less elastic cell wall than suggested by our data. Differences in strain history, media and the techniques used undoubtedly account for some of the variation in $\varepsilon$. The elastic modulus varied with culture age, with a higher value during exponential growth and decreasing in stationary phase. This is likely to be related to the lower turgor of stationary phase cells, and to changes in cell wall composition with age.

Yeast protoplasts are normally stored at 4 °C to inhibit metabolic activity which can lead to lysis (Indge, 1968). However, protoplasts can regenerate cell walls under suitable conditions and recommence growth (Nečas, 1979). Kotyk et al. (1985) compared the transport properties of S. cerevisiae protoplasts with intact cells and found that constitutive monosaccharide transport (e.g. D-xylose), as well as the inducible transport of D-galactose, were unaltered in protoplasts. They also showed that the utilization of glucose was not depressed, but protoplast proton-translocating capacity was impaired. The present study examined specific aspects of protoplast metabolism with respect to the high osmotic pressure of the medium necessary to compensate for cell wall removal (i.e. 4.20–4.36 MPa). Both whole cells and protoplasts produced glycerol internally in this medium if supplied with glucose. When glycerol was synthesized there was a concurrent increase in protoplast volume (Figs 2 and 3); no volume increase was seen in protoplasts incubated in the absence of glucose. Although protoplast glycerol levels decreased after 6 h (Fig. 3a) and thus appeared to be controlled by processes other than turgor regulation, protoplast volume did not show a similar change. After 21.5 h protoplasts incubated with glucose increased their volume, contained large vacuoles and remained osmotically fragile. These results indicate that S. cerevisiae protoplasts were able to accumulate osmotica in the presence of glucose and thus increase their volume. The decrease in intracellular glycerol after 6 h may be due to changes in glycerol metabolism, or the leakage of intracellular glycerol into the medium. In either case, other solutes must be involved in generating $\pi$, after 6 h; these may include sorbitol (Fig. 3) and/or inorganic ions from the external medium. These results contrast with the observations of Clint (1985) on Commelina communis guard cell protoplasts, which regulated their volume within narrow limits over a range of external osmotic pressures if incubated for several hours in the presence of added external KCl. It is also interesting to note that under these conditions whole cells of S. cerevisiae began to produce trehalose after 6 h in GPM; no trehalose synthesis was seen in protoplasts up to 12 h (Fig. 3). That whole cells began to produce trehalose after 6 h in GPM ($\pi_2 = 4.36$ MPa) indicates that increased osmotic stress may lead to early trehalose synthesis since trehalose was synthesized after 24 h by cells in BM + NaCl ($\pi_2 = 2.48$ MPa; Figs 4b and 6b) and by cells in BM + sorbitol ($\pi_2 = 2.48$ MPa; Fig. 5b) as compared to 48 h by cells in basal medium ($\pi_2 = 0.72$ MPa; Fig. 4b). In addition to this early synthesis trehalose reached a higher maximum level in cells grown in media with elevated osmotic pressure. This was most apparent in BM + sorbitol, although it is possible that the cells were able to utilize sorbitol to synthesize trehalose (see Quain & Bolton, 1987). The accumulation of trehalose may be associated with periods of reduced growth rate, and in S. cerevisiae only when glucose is exhausted are cells able to accumulate trehalose in significant amounts (see Panek, 1985; Gadd, 1988). Trehalose is known to have protective properties in desiccated cells (Panek, 1985; Gadd et al., 1987) and has been reported as an osmolyte in cyanobacteria (Reed et al., 1984b) and E. coli (Larsen et al., 1987).

In whole cells, zero turgor pressure was reached on transfer to a solution with an osmotic pressure of 2.48 MPa (Fig. 1). When transferred to BM + NaCl at this osmotic pressure whole cells of S. cerevisiae synthesized glycerol as their compatible organic solute. Brown et al. (1986) published data for glycerol accumulation in S. cerevisiae transferred to 8% (w/v) NaCl ($\pi_2 = 6.8$ MPa) showing that the osmotically induced response did not begin until 6 h after initial exposure and was preceded by a transient, smaller initial increase in glycerol. Our data show that glycerol was accumulated after 1 h in NaCl-containing medium and continued until the end of the exponential phase (Fig. 4b). However, Brown et al. (1986) used a synthetic medium containing 0.5% (w/v) glucose whereas BM + NaCl contained 2% (w/v) glucose. Also BM + NaCl had a lower external osmotic pressure of 2.48 MPa. André et al. (1988) have
demonstrated that increased levels of external NaCl lead to an increased lag phase prior to osmotically induced glycerol production in *Debaryomyces hansenii*; a similar phenomenon may occur in *S. cerevisiae*, accounting for the delay in glycerol synthesis observed by Brown *et al.* (1986).

Yagi (1988) has suggested that in *Zygosaccharomyces rouxii* a definite intracellular level of glycerol is required prior to initiation of growth in cells stressed using NaCl, KCl or MgCl₂. This was not observed with *S. cerevisiae* (Fig. 4a), since transfer of these cells to BM + NaCl with an osmotic pressure of 2-48 MPa did not cause a significant lag in growth. Fig. 4(a, b) shows that when whole cells were transferred from BM to BM + NaCl glycerol production began within 1 h, with an increase in cell number at 3 h. However, the cells continued to accumulate glycerol during growth with a maximum internal concentration of approximately 780 mM at 12 h. Data obtained from a Boyle–van’t Hoff plot of *S. cerevisiae* 12 h after inoculation from BM into BM + NaCl (πₑ = 2-48 MPa) gave a π of 3-11 MPa and a NOV of 47% (data not shown). Thus the maximum internal glycerol level accounted for 61.9% of the total internal osmotic pressure. A similar situation was seen for cells transferred to BM + sorbitol (Fig. 5a, b). The control for these two experiments (Fig. 4b) shows that only small levels of glycerol were accumulated in cells growing exponentially in BM (i.e. <100 mM). These data suggest that the relationship between glycerol production, turgor regulation and growth in *S. cerevisiae* may not be as straightforward as that proposed for *Z. rouxii* by Yagi (1988). The transfer of exponentially growing cells from BM + NaCl to fresh BM + NaCl caused a sudden decrease in intracellular glycerol levels at 1 h (Fig. 6b), which was restored to the initial value within 5 h. It may be that the loss of glycerol occurs during the lag phase, while the production of glycerol is concomitant with growth. Intracellular glycerol levels reached a similar maximum value, of 800–900 mM, 12 h after transfer from either BM or BM + NaCl to BM + NaCl and similarly decreased at the end of exponential phase. This investigation has demonstrated that the osmotic relations of *S. cerevisiae* are complex. Since the cell cycle (Nečas, 1979) and some basic aspects of cell metabolism (Kotyk *et al.*, 1985) are apparently not fundamentally modified in protoplasts, they provide an additional experimental system for elucidating such relations. Protoplasts regulate intracellular levels of glycerol in the absence of a cell wall and this suggests that the restoration of turgor pressure in whole cells is not the sole regulator of glycerol accumulation.
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