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Expression of the mercury-resistance (mer) genes of the transposon Tn501 is positively and negatively controlled by the product of the merR gene. DNA sequence analysis has identified three open reading frames as potential candidates for this gene, one of which is oriented divergently with respect to the mercury-resistance genes. We have demonstrated that although RNA polymerase will bind to fragments containing the potential control regions for all three reading frames, only the control region for this divergent reading frame shows detectable promoter activity in vivo. Transcription of this reading frame is required for repression and induction of mer transcription. We have also shown that the Tn501 merR gene product negatively regulates its own synthesis, and have identified the start point of the transcript for this reading frame and for the mercury-inducible transcript of the mercury-resistance genes.

INTRODUCTION

Resistance to the toxic Hg(II) ion is widespread in bacteria, being detected in clinical isolates and in soil or water isolates from mercury-polluted areas (Summers & Silver, 1978; Robinson & Tuovinen, 1984; Silver & Misra, 1984). The resistance determinant is often plasmid-borne. In the majority of cases described, resistance is mediated by mercuric reductase, an FAD-containing protein which reduces Hg(II) to elemental mercury Hg(0), which is less toxic and is rapidly volatilized. Physical and genetic studies, most notably on the mercury-resistance plasmid R100, together with DNA sequence analysis of the closely related mercury-resistance genes of R100 and transposon Tn501, have suggested the involvement of several other proteins in the expression of the resistance phenotype (Foster et al., 1979; Ni’Bhriain et al., 1983; Misra et al., 1984, 1985; Barrineau et al., 1985). A model for the molecular basis of Hg(II) resistance has been proposed, involving periplasmic, membrane and cytoplasmic components, based on mutational studies in R100 and on the features of the polypeptides predicted from the DNA sequence data from Tn501 and R100 (Misra et al., 1984; Brown, 1985).

The mercury-resistance genes of R100 and Tn501 appear to be organized as single transcriptional units, the transcription of which is inducible by subtoxic amounts of Hg(II). The use of transposon mutagenesis and of Mu d(Ap' lac) transcriptional fusions in R100 has shown that a separately transcribed gene, merR, is responsible for this induction (Foster et al., 1979; Ni’Bhriain et al., 1983). The merR gene appears to be negatively autoregulated and to repress the transcription of the mer operon in the absence of Hg(II) (Ni’Bhriain et al., 1983). Mercury-resistance plasmids from different incompatibility groups are closely related, as shown by the fact that 10 out of 11 such plasmids from different isolates were found to be capable of complementing a merR mutant of R100 (Foster & Ginnity, 1985).
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Abbreviations: ORF, open reading frame; X-gal, 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl β-D-galactopyranoside.
DNA sequence analysis of the merR region of both Tn501 and R100 has revealed three open reading frames (ORFs) which could code for the MerR protein (Misra et al., 1984; Barrineau et al., 1985). Using the Tn501 sequence data to provide coordinates and numbering from the end of the left-hand inverted repeat sequence, these are from positions 232–414 and 195–374 on the top strand and positions 548–114 on the bottom strand (Fig. 1). These will be referred to as ORFs 1, 2 and 3 respectively. Inspection of the DNA sequence alone is insufficient to decide which of these ORFs codes for the MerR protein. Potential promoter sequences exist for all three ORFs, although none of the three ORFs possesses a good ribosome binding-site. The effector, Hg(II), is most likely to bind to proteins with sulphhydryl groups and all three ORFs contain codons for cysteine.

In order to study the regulation of transcription of Tn501 in more detail we have investigated the potential promoter regions. Initially we determined which restriction fragments were preferentially retained on nitrocellulose filters by purified RNA polymerase. Subsequently we cloned fragments of interest into a plasmid containing a promoterless lacZ gene and looked for expression of β-galactosidase. S1 nuclease mapping was used to detect transcripts and locate their start points. To determine the merR ORF, we cloned the merR region in various orientations with respect to the chloramphenicol acetyltransferase promoter in the plasmid pACYC184. We conclude that ORF3 is the strongest candidate for the merR gene. Transcription of the mer genes, but not of merR, is induced by Hg(II).

METHODS

Strains and plasmids. Plasmid transformations and β-galactosidase assays were done in a RecA− derivative of Escherichia coli CSH26 (Ara− Δ(lac-pro) Thi−; Miller, 1972), except for manipulations involving pUC9 or M13 derivatives, for which E. coli JM101 (Ara− Δ(lac-pro) Thi− F′traD36 ProAB+ lacI2 lacZAM15; Messing, 1979) was used. The plasmids used are listed in Table 1; the construction of plasmids for this work is described below.

Media and chemicals. Media components were from Difco. Chemicals were from Sigma or BDH and were AnalAr grade when available. Restriction endonucleases, other enzymes for DNA manipulations and EcoRI linker oligonucleotides were from Boehringer, BRL or Sigma, except for restriction endonucleases EcoRI and SalGI (gifts of S. E. Halford), restriction endonuclease HgiAI (a gift of D. Jacobs) and DNA ligase (a gift of L. Evans). Radiochemicals were from Amersham. All enzymes were used as recommended by manufacturers or donors. Antibiotics were used at 50 μg ml−1 except for tetracycline (15 μg ml−1) and chloramphenicol (30 μg ml−1). LB and supplemented minimal media were prepared as described by Miller (1972).

DNA preparations. Large-scale DNA preparations were made by lysis of overnight cultures, followed by isopycnic centrifugation in CsCl/ethidium bromide gradients (Clewell & Helsinki, 1969) and purification of the supercoiled plasmid DNA by 2-propanol extraction, dialysis, phenol extraction and ethanol precipitation. Small-scale DNA preparations were made from 2 ml overnight cultures as described by Ish-Horowicz & Burke (1981). All DNA preparations were stored in TE (10 mM-Tris/HCl, pH 8.0, 1 mM-EDTA) at 4 °C.

DNA manipulations. Restriction endonuclease digests were done as recommended by the suppliers. All other DNA manipulations were as described by Maniatis et al. (1982).

Electrophoresis and purification of DNA fragments. Restriction endonuclease digests and DNA samples were separated by electrophoresis in agarose (0.8–1.5%, w/v) containing 0.5 μg ethidium bromide ml−1, buffered with 90 mM-Tris/borate, 2 mM-EDTA at pH 8.3 (TBE), or in TBE-buffered polyacrylamide (3.5–8%, w/v). Fragments were purified from agarose by electroelution, as described by Maniatis et al. (1982), and from polyacrylamide by diffusion, as described by Maxam & Gilbert (1980). Fragments were further purified by phenol extraction and ethanol precipitation, after which samples were sufficiently pure for all subsequent manipulations.

DNA sequence analysis. This was done either by the chain-termination method (Sanger et al., 1977) in the vectors M13mp8 and M13mp9, or by the chemical method (Maxam & Gilbert, 1980).

RNA polymerase purification. RNA polymerase was purified from E. coli MRE600 (50 g) or from Pseudomonas aeruginosa PAO (12 g) by the method of Burgess & Jendrisak (1975), with the exception that in the latter preparation the cells were disrupted by sonication. RNA polymerase assays were as described by Burgess (1969).

Filter-binding studies with RNA polymerase. The methods used to detect DNA fragments that were retained by RNA polymerase on nitrocellulose filters were essentially those described by Jones et al. (1977). Microcellulose filters were treated by boiling for 10 min in distilled water and stored at 4 °C. DNA of either Tn501-containing plasmids or gel-purified EcoRI fragments of Tn501 was nick-translated by standard methods (Maniatis et al., 1982), digested with restriction enzymes, extracted with phenol and precipitated with ethanol before use. In the
Table 1. Plasmids used

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plasmid</th>
<th>Resistance*</th>
<th>Reference†</th>
<th>Hg phenotype‡</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pACYC184</td>
<td>Tc Cm</td>
<td>Chang &amp; Cohen (1978)</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pAC-EsEEl</td>
<td>Tc</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pAC-EsE</td>
<td>Tc</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pAC-EsE</td>
<td>Tc</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pAC-EH-1</td>
<td>Tc</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pAC-EH-3</td>
<td>Tc</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pAC-EZ-1</td>
<td>Tc</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pAC-EZ-10</td>
<td>Tc</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pDU1161</td>
<td>Ap Km Cm</td>
<td>Ni’Bhrain et al. (1983)</td>
<td>SS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pCI</td>
<td>Col(\text{m}m)</td>
<td>Ford (1981)</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pRZ5255</td>
<td>Km</td>
<td>Lambert &amp; Reznikoff (1985)</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pPL-lacUV5</td>
<td>Km</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pRZ-EB-3</td>
<td>Km</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pRZ-ES-3</td>
<td>Km</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pRZ-H-2</td>
<td>Km</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pRZ-H-12</td>
<td>Km</td>
<td></td>
<td>§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pRZ-H-18</td>
<td>Km</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pRZ-EHA-5</td>
<td>Km</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Ap, ampicillin; Cm, chloramphenicol; Km, kanamycin; Tc, tetracycline; Col\(\text{m}m\), immune to colicin.
† Plasmids for which no reference is given are described in this paper.
‡ Hg phenotype was determined by disc assay, as described by Foster et al. (1979). R, resistant; S, sensitive; SS, supersensitive.
§ Strains containing this plasmid showed a slightly elevated sensitivity to HgCl\(_2\), for reasons which are not fully understood.

filter-binding experiments, RNA polymerase was diluted slowly (in order to minimize denaturation) into a solution of 0.1 μg nick-translated DNA fragments in 10 mM-Tris/HCl, pH 7.9, 100 mM-KCl, 10 mM-MgCl\(_2\), 0.1 mM-DTT, 0.1 mM-EDTA, 50 μg bovine serum albumin ml\(^{-1}\) (nuclease-free). After 30 min incubation at 37 °C, heparin was added to 20 μg ml\(^{-1}\) and ribonucleoside triphosphates (as required) were added to final concentrations of 100 μM. The incubation was continued at 37 °C for a further 10 min and the samples were filtered through nitrocellulose filters (Sartorius, type SM 11305, pore size 0.45 μm). The filter was washed in 100 μl of 10 mM-Tris/HCl, pH 7.9, 10 mM-MgCl\(_2\), 0-1 mM-DTT, 0-1 mM-EDTA, containing either 50 mM-KCl (G-buffer) at 37 °C or 1 M-KCl (V-buffer) at 0 °C. The filtrate was collected, the filter was re-washed in the same buffer and the retained fragments were eluted in 100 μl of 20 mM-Tris/HCl, pH 7.9, 0.2% SDS. DNA was precipitated from the filtrate and the eluate with ethanol and analysed by PAGE.

β-Galactosidase assays. These were done as described by Miller (1972). When induction with HgCl\(_2\) was studied, overnight cultures were diluted 1:50 in fresh, prewarmed broth and grown for 60 min before the addition of HgCl\(_2\) solution.

Plasmid transformation. CaCl\(_2\)-treated cells were transformed as described by Dagert & Ehrlich (1979). pDU1161 was transferred between cells by conjugation. All pDU1161-containing strains were grown at 30 °C to prevent Mu (Ap\(\text{p}^+\)/lac) induction.

Plasmid constructions. Tn501 contains EcoRI sites in the inverted repeats at the ends of the transposon and one within the merA gene. The plasmid pJOE114 (Brown et al., 1983) was used as a source of the two EcoRI fragments which contain Tn501 sequences. To construct the plasmids pAC-EsEEl, pAC-EsE and pAC-EsE, these two EcoRI fragments were ligated with EcoRI-cut, alkaline-phosphatase-treated pACYC184. Plasmids with inserts were identified by their sensitivity to chloramphenicol, and restriction endonuclease digests were used to determine the size and orientation of the inserts. Plasmid pAC-EsEEl contains the two EcoRI fragments from Tn501 in the correct orientation to regenerate a functional merA gene; only this orientation of the two fragments produces a mercury-resistant phenotype (Brown et al., 1983). The direction of transcription of the mer genes in this plasmid is the same as that of the chloramphenicol acetyltransferase gene of pACYC184 (Stuber & Bujard, 1981). The plasmids pAC-EsE and pAC-EsE contain, respectively, the small and large EcoRI fragments from Tn501, in the same orientation as in pAC-EsEEl. These three plasmids (pAC-EsEEl, pAC-EsE and pAC-EsE) are reconstructions of the plasmids pSJF305, pSJF302 and pSJF304, described by Brown et al. (1983).

Plasmids containing potential merR coding sequences were made by converting either the HinfI site at position 556 or the HaeIII site at position 699 (see Fig. 5) into EcoRI sites by the attachment of the octanucleotide linker GGAATTCC and digestion with excess EcoRI. The fragments were then ligated into the EcoRI site in
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Fig. 1. Diagram of the first 750 bp of Tn501. The three ORFs identified as candidates for the merR gene are shown as thick lines together with their co-ordinates on the DNA sequence. Arrowheads show the potential directions of transcription. The start of the merT gene is also shown. The open boxes indicate the regions cloned in plasmids, as described in Methods. The ‘pRZ-’ series were derived from the promoter probe pRZ5255, which contains a promoterless lacZ gene; the arrowheads point towards the lacZ gene in each particular plasmid. The ‘PAC-’ series were derived from the vector pACYC184; the arrowheads show the direction of transcription of each cloned fragment from the plasmid-borne chloramphenicol acetyltransferase promoter.
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A plasmid (pPL-lacUV5) containing the lacUV5 promoter (Silverstone et al., 1970) was constructed by ligating into the EcoRI site in pRZ5255 an EcoRI fragment from the plasmid pPH66 (a gift from P. Hedge) which contains this promoter. A blue colony was picked from an X-gal-containing plate, and the presence of the EcoRI fragment was verified by restriction analysis.

Plasmid pIC1 was constructed in vitro by the transposition of Tn501 into the plasmid pMB8 (Ford, 1981). RNA preparation and S1 mapping. These were done by the methods described by Berk & Sharp (1977).

RESULTS

RNA polymerase binding studies

Initial experiments in which the binding of *E. coli* RNA polymerase to pIC1 DNA was examined showed that three strong RNA polymerase binding sites were present. These were all associated with the pMB8 sequences present in pIC1, and were therefore derived from the CoE1 plasmid. The Tn501 sequences had much lower affinity for RNA polymerase. This is consistent with the positive regulation of the mer region of Tn501. Subsequent experiments showed that the binding of RNA polymerase from *P. aeruginosa* to fragments of Tn501 DNA were qualitatively similar to that of the *E. coli* enzyme (Ford, 1981).

The results of experiments in which *P. aeruginosa* RNA polymerase was bound to HaeIII fragments from the small EcoRI fragment of Tn501 (nucleotides 13–2358) are shown in Fig. 2. The fragment corresponding to nucleotides 500–700 was retained on the filter after washing with V-buffer at 0 °C in the presence of both combinations of ribonucleoside triphosphates. There was no retention if the ribonucleotides were absent, and the retention was stronger in the presence of GTP, ATP and CTP than in the presence of GTP, ATP and UTP. If the filter was washed under milder conditions (G-buffer at 37 °C), this fragment was retained in the absence of ribonucleoside triphosphates, and other fragments were retained when the ribonucleotides were present. These data are consistent with the fragment 500–700 being the fragment on which RNA polymerase can initiate a transcript and form a stable complex with DNA. Binding to the other DNA fragments only occurs when the filter is washed under less severe conditions, and the fragments retained in these cases probably represent non-initiating but tight-binding complexes of RNA polymerase with DNA.

Construction and properties of lacZ transcriptional fusions to potential mer and merR promoters

Derivatives of pRZ5255 containing the entire control region of Tn501 were constructed as described in Methods and their structures were determined by restriction analysis. The plasmid pRZ-ES-3 contained an EcoRI–SalGI fragment from Tn501 (nucleotides 14–1885) and the plasmid pRZ-EB-3 (shown in Fig. 1) contained an EcoRI–Sau3AI fragment from Tn501 (nucleotides 14–712). In both cases these fragments spanned the mer control regions and were oriented such that transcription of the lacZ gene in pRZ5255 would be from the mer promoter. Strains containing these plasmids were assayed for β-galactosidase activity over a range of concentrations of HgCl₂. The results, shown in Fig. 3, show clear induction of β-galactosidase by HgCl₂.

There is a significant difference in the response of strains containing the two plasmids to the same amount of HgCl₂, despite that fact that transcription is presumably from the same promoter in each case. Although there will be an effect due to the different separations of the site of initiation of transcription and the lacZ gene, the different capacities of these strains for transporting Hg(II) into the cell is also likely to account for this result (see Discussion). For the studies which are described below on the other promoter-containing plasmids, 1 µg HgCl₂ ml⁻¹ was chosen as the standard concentration used for induction, except where the strains contained a plasmid conferring a high level of sensitivity to HgCl₂, where 0.1 µg ml⁻¹ was used.

In order to isolate the potential regulatory regions involved both in merR and mer transcription, the plasmids pRZ-H-2, pRZ-H-12 and pRZ-EHA-5 were constructed. Strains were then made that contained one of these plasmids with either pACYC184 or pAC-E₈E₄ also present and β-galactosidase assays were done with or without induction with HgCl₂ (Table 2).
Both pRZ-H-2 and pRZ-H-12 show low but measurable β-galactosidase activity when there is no Tn501 present in the cell. The introduction of Tn501 in trans on the plasmid pAC-E5E6 causes a marked decrease in the β-galactosidase activity. In the control strains containing the plasmid pPL-lacUV5 with either pACYC184 or pAC-E5E6, the decrease in the β-galactosidase activity in the presence of pAC-E5E6 is proportionally much smaller. This therefore suggests that the plasmids pRZ-H-2 and pRZ-H-12 contain promoters which are repressed by a product of Tn501, the most likely candidate being the merR gene product. In contrast, the plasmid pRZ-EHA-5, containing the potential control regions for the two possible reading frames ORF1 and ORF2 for merR on the top strand of Tn501, shows approximately the same level of activity as the promoter-less control plasmid pRZ5255, irrespective of the presence or absence of Tn501 in the same cell. The strain containing pRZ-H-2 and Tn501 shows clear stimulation of transcription when HgCl₂ is added to the growth medium 30 min before assay. This is consistent with this plasmid containing the promoter for transcription of the mer operon, as was predicted from the DNA sequence analysis of the fragment. The strain containing pRZ-H-12 and Tn501 shows no Hg(II) stimulation of transcription.

From the known sequence of the region of pRZ5255 into which the mer regulatory region was cloned (W. S. Reznikoff, personal communication), it was predicted that Hg(II) induction
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Fig. 3. Induction of $\beta$-galactosidase activity from the plasmids pRZ-ES-3 (□) and pRZ-EB-3 (○) over a range of HgCl$_2$ concentrations. Units of activity of $\beta$-galactosidase were determined and expressed as described by Miller (1972).

Table 2. Induction of $\beta$-galactosidase activity by Hg(II) from derivatives of pRZ5255 in the presence or absence of Tn501 in trans

Units of $\beta$-galactosidase activity were determined and expressed as described by Miller (1972). Values are means from at least two independent determinations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plasmid</th>
<th>Presumptive promoter(s)</th>
<th>Hg(II) (µg ml$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Complementing plasmid</th>
<th>pACYC184</th>
<th>pAC-E$_8$E$_L$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pRZ-H-2</td>
<td>mer</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>377.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pRZ-H-18</td>
<td>mer</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>524-4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pRZ-H-12</td>
<td>ORF3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>22.3</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pRZ-EHA-5</td>
<td>ORF1 and ORF2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pRZ5255</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pPL-lacUV5</td>
<td>lacUV5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>268.0</td>
<td>220.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>261.4</td>
<td>230.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND, Not determined.

would lead to the synthesis of a translational fusion product between the N-terminus of merT and the trpB-lacZ fusion present downstream. To investigate whether or not this had any significant effect on the $\beta$-galactosidase activity, the ORF of the merT fusion was changed by filling in the BamHI site; this put the merT N-terminus in frame with a translational stop codon 207 bp downstream from the N-terminal methionine codon of merT. Strains containing the new plasmid, designated pRZ-H-18, were assayed for $\beta$-galactosidase activity under the same conditions as the plasmids pRZ-H-2 and pRZ-H-12. Essentially the same pattern of $\beta$-galactosidase activity was seen with pRZ-H-18 as with pRZ-H-2, although the constitutive and repressed levels were slightly lower, and the induced level somewhat higher in pRZ-H-18 than in pRZ-H-2 (Table 2).
Table 3. Effects of merR-containing plasmids on levels of β-galactosidase synthesized from Tn501 and R100 mer promoters, and the Tn501 ORF3 promoter

Units of β-galactosidase activity were determined and expressed as described by Miller (1972). Values are means from at least two independent determinations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Promoter plasmid</th>
<th>Hg(II) (µg ml⁻¹)</th>
<th>Complementing plasmid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>pAC-EZ-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pRZ-H-2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>111.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pDU1161</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>56.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>684.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pRZ-H-12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND, Not determined.

Construction of and complementation by plasmids expressing the merR gene product

The availability of clones which detect Hg(I1)-induced transcription from the mer promoter provides a simple in vivo assay for the detection of plasmid clones which express the merR function. By constructing the appropriate clones, it should be possible to determine which of the three potential ORFs detected by DNA-sequencing codes for the merR gene product. Complementation tests could be carried out using pACYC184 and pRZ5255 derived plasmids in the same cell, as the two parental replicons are compatible (Chang & Cohen, 1978).

Initially, it was confirmed that the merR activity was located in the smaller EcoRI fragment from Tn501, by constructing plasmid clones pAC-E5 and pAC-E1 that contained either the small or large EcoRI fragments from Tn501. As expected, Hg(II)-dependent induction of the mer promoter in the plasmid pRZ-H-2 was seen only when the small EcoRI fragment was present in the same cell (results not shown). The plasmids pAC-EZ-1, pAC-EZ-10, pAC-EH-1 and pAC-EH-3 were then constructed as described in Methods and their effect on transcription from pRZ-H-2 in the presence or absence of Hg(II) was studied.

The results of these experiments (Table 3) provide strong support for the hypothesis that ORF3 is the merR gene. Thus, induction and repression of mer transcription is seen when nucleotides 14–700 of Tn501 are present, irrespective of their orientation (pAC-EZ-1 and pAC-EZ-10). This fragment contains all three ORFs and all their presumptive control regions. However, in the plasmids pAC-EH-1 and pAC-EH-3 (where cutting at the HinfI site immediately proximal to the ATG initiation codon of ORF3 has removed the control region of ORF3 but left the other two intact), induction and repression of mer transcription is seen only when the orientation of the EcoRI fragment is such that ORF3 can be transcribed from the chloramphenicol acetyltransferase promoter of pACYC184. Inspection of the known DNA sequence of the chloramphenicol acetyltransferase gene (Alton & Vapnek, 1979) shows that the plasmid pAC-EH-3 will contain a translational fusion between the N-terminus of the chloramphenicol acetyltransferase and the entire coding region for ORF3.

Two further experiments were done to see whether these four plasmids expressed the other functions ascribed to merR. First, their ability to inhibit transcription from the plasmid containing the proposed merR promoter (pRZ-H-12) was investigated. Repression was seen, except with pAC-EH-1 (Table 3), supporting the hypothesis that the merR gene product negatively autoregulates its own synthesis, as has been proposed for the R100 system (Ni Bhriain et al., 1983). Also, plasmid pAC-EZ-1 was less effective than plasmid pAC-EZ-10 at directing induction of mer transcription. This may be because in plasmid pAC-EZ-10, ORF3 could be transcribed both from its own promoter and the promoter for the chloramphenicol acetyltransferase gene, leading to higher intracellular levels of MerR protein. The lower levels of merR activity in strains containing pAC-EZ-1 may be a result both of lower transcription and possibly of impaired translation of the merR mRNA, since the opposite strand will also be transcribed from the chloramphenicol acetyltransferase promoter to produce ‘anti-sense’ mRNA, which is known to be capable of lowering translation efficiency (Pestka et al., 1984).
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Secondly, the abilities of the different plasmids to complement a merR mutant derived from R100 were tested. The plasmid used for these experiments was pDU1161, which contains a Tn5 insertion in the merR gene, and a Mu d(Ap'lac) transcriptional fusion in the merA gene (Ni'Bhriain et al., 1983). The relative levels of β-galactosidase expressed from this plasmid represent the relative amounts of transcription from the R100 mer operon promoter. The effects in trans of the Tn502-merR-containing plasmids (Table 3) show clear complementation of the R100 merR gene both for induction and repression, except in the plasmid where ORF3 is without a promoter.

Transcriptional mapping of the mer and merR promoters

RNA was prepared from CSH26 (RecA-) (pJOE114) which had been grown to mid-exponential phase and then induced for 30 min with 0.1 or 15 μg HgCl₂ ml⁻¹. RNA was also prepared from the same strain without induction. DNA fragments which contained the mer promoter or the potential promoters for ORFs 1, 2 or 3 were end-labelled, purified, strand-separated and sequenced by the chemical method, all as described by Maxam & Gilbert (1980), to identify each strand. The fragments used were the HinfI-AvaI fragment (positions 556-695) for the mer promoter, the HpaII fragment (positions 496-636) for the ORF3 promoter, and the EcoRI-HgiAI fragment (positions 14-276), which would contain the promoters for both ORFs 1 and 2. Hybrids made between the RNA preparations and the complementary strand from each fragment in turn were digested with nuclease S1 and analysed by denaturing PAGE. RNA preparations from induced and non-induced cells were analysed on the same gel. A chemical sequence analysis of the same fragment was run alongside the protected fragments so that the start point of transcription could be located on the DNA sequence.

The results of these S1 mapping experiments are shown in Fig. 4. A transcript is seen corresponding to ORF3 for merR (Fig. 4b, tracks 8-10). Comparison with the sequence shows that this initiates at position 576 ± 1. The presence or absence of Hg(II) has little or no effect on the levels of hybrid formed. Conversely, the transcript from the mer promoter is strongly induced by Hg(II) (Fig. 4a, tracks 2-4). This protected hybrid starts at position 591 ± 1. A series of bands representing shorter hybrids is also visible. These bands were seen reproducibly with different RNA preparations, different S1 stocks and different hybridization conditions (not shown), but their significance in vivo, if any, is not known. The possibility that they represent multiple start points for initiation of transcription is lessened by the fact that some of these points would be after the beginning of merT, the first gene in the mer operon. No transcript could be detected in the absence of Hg(II) induction, even after prolonged exposure of the autoradiograph.

No transcript could be detected corresponding to either of the ORFs 1 or 2, even when 50 μg RNA was used in the hybridization and the gel was autoradiographed for a long period (not shown). Attempts to detect transcripts by using single-stranded probes labelled by primer extension of an M13mp8 clone containing the EcoRI-HaeIII fragment (positions 14-226) were also unsuccessful. It therefore seems unlikely that ORFs 1 and 2 are transcribed in vivo from promoters within Tn501, although the possibility of very low levels of transcription cannot be completely eliminated.

DISCUSSION

Previous studies on the transposon Tn501 (Jackson & Summers, 1982; Brown et al., 1983) have demonstrated that the mer operon is expressed only in the presence of Hg(II). We have shown here that, as with R100, transcription of the mer genes of Tn501 is regulated both positively and negatively by the merR gene product, which also negatively regulates its own synthesis. Activator genes generally show negative autoregulation in E. coli (Raibaud & Schwarz, 1984). In most of the cases studied, the activator gene is orientated divergently with respect to the gene or genes which it regulates, for example araC (Wilcox et al., 1974), dsdC (McFall & Heinz, 1983) and lysR (Stragier & Patte, 1983).
Fig. 4. Transcriptional mapping of the mer and merR promoters. (a) Results of S1 nuclease digestion of hybrids formed after 8 h incubation at 45 °C between different RNA preparations and the bottom strand (see Fig. 1) of the HindI–Aval fragment containing the mer promoter. RNA preparations were from CSH26 (RecA-) (pJOE114) induced for 30 min with 15 μg HgCl₂ ml⁻¹ (track 2), 0.1 μg HgCl₂ ml⁻¹ (track 3), or not induced (track 4). Track 5 contains non-homologous RNA (prepared from Streptomyces lividans, a gift of M. Buttner). Track 1 is the T + C sequence of the DNA strand used in the hybridization. The amount of sample loaded in each of the tracks 2–5 is equivalent to 5 μg RNA in the initial hybridization mix. The arrowhead indicates the major protected fragment, corresponding to the initiation point of the mer transcript.

(b) Results of S1 nuclease digestion of hybrids formed after 8 h incubation at 45 °C between the RNA preparations used in (a) and the top strand of the HpaII fragment, containing the promoter for ORF3 (see Fig. 1). The RNA preparations used in tracks 8–11 correspond to those in tracks 2–5 in (a); the amount of sample loaded in these tracks is equivalent to 25 μg RNA in the original hybridization mix. Tracks 6 and 7 contain the G > A and T + C sequences of the HpaII fragment top strand. The double arrowhead indicates the protected fragment, corresponding to the presumed merR transcript.
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The DNA sequence of the Tn501 regulatory region revealed three ORFs which could code for the merR gene. We have shown that the divergent ORF is the most likely candidate for the merR gene. The most direct evidence for this was the demonstration that plasmids in which the control region for this merR ORF was deleted only expressed merR functions when a foreign promoter (the chloramphenicol acetyltransferase promoter) was in the correct position to transcribe this ORF. The predicted DNA sequence of this clone suggests that the merR gene product may be expressed as a fusion protein with the first 72 amino acids being derived from the chloramphenicol acetyltransferase coding sequence. The results in this paper show that if this fusion protein is the only product, then it has essentially the same properties as the wild-type MerR protein. However, the possibility that wild-type MerR protein is also produced by this plasmid by reinitiation of translation, and is responsible for the observed effects on transcription from the mer promoter, cannot be excluded. We have recently demonstrated that expression of the wild-type merR gene alone from a foreign promoter (the tacII promoter; de Boer et al., 1983) has identical effects on transcription from the mer and merR promoters to those described here (P. A. Lund, unpublished results).

Comparisons with studies on the plasmid R100 also support this conclusion. ORF1 in R100 has the potential to code for a protein of 120 amino acids. In Tn501, however, the corresponding ORF would code for a protein of only 59 amino acids. It seems unlikely that two proteins as similar in their function as the MerR proteins from Tn501 and R100 appear to be would differ in length to this extent. ORF2 would code for a protein of 40 amino acids in both Tn501 and R100. It is known from R100 that deletion of the region distal to the HincII site at position 518 in R100 (corresponding to position 489 in Tn501) eliminates the merR function as monitored by induction of transcription by Hg(II) (Ni'Bhriain et al., 1983). The HincII site is beyond the termination codon for ORF2, and consequently this ORF cannot code for the induction function. A recent study in R100 has shown directly that the divergent ORF is the most likely candidate for the merR gene (Foster & Brown, 1985).

It has been noted (Barrineau et al., 1985) that deletion of the 3'-end of ORF3 does not abolish induction of mer transcription. The deletion, made in the mer region cloned from R100, corresponded to deletion up to position 161 in Tn501, and would hence remove the 15 C-terminal amino acids from merR. This has been adduced as evidence that ORF3 is not the correct ORF for merR. We believe it is more likely that the induction function of merR is unaffected by the loss of the 15 C-terminal amino acids that this deletion would represent.

RNA polymerase binding data provided a preliminary idea of where on the Tn501 sequence the major promoter(s) were located. Such experiments are adequate to do this, but are not in themselves sufficient demonstration of the site of transcription promoters. Although only complexes which have initiated a transcript are stable to treatment at low temperature and high ionic strength (0 °C, V-buffer), Melançon et al. (1982) have shown that E. coli RNA polymerase can form complexes at sites which are not in vivo promoters, yet which are competent to initiate transcription in vitro. Although formation of stable complexes of this type can be avoided by using very short incubation times during the binding assay, this would also fail to detect the positively-regulated promoter present in Tn501 and could not be used here. Our RNA polymerase binding data are consistent with the DNA fragment from nucleotides 500 to 700 of Tn501 containing the promoter of the mer operon.

Under less stringent conditions for washing the filters (i.e. G-buffer, 37 °C), the fragments retained in the presence of triphosphates includes that from nucleotides 13 to 226 of Tn501, which would contain the regions required for transcription of ORFs 1 and 2. The retention of this and the other fragments may be due to the formation of non-initiating, tight-binding complexes at sequences homologous to those of promoters (Hawley & McClure, 1983) and cannot be taken as firm evidence for the existence of a genuine promoter in this fragment.

We have shown, by cloning a fragment of DNA containing the promoter for the mer genes upstream of a promoterless lacZ gene, that the high level of expression of the mer genes in the presence of Hg(II) is due to positive control mediated by the merR gene product. Strong induction of β-galactosidase is seen only in the presence of Hg(II) and the merR product (or the fusion polypeptide). S1 nuclease mapping demonstrated the presence of a Hg(II)-inducible transcript starting in this region. The absence of merR leads to a low level of expression of lacZ,
as was seen in \textit{merR} mutants of R100 (Ni’Bhriain et al., 1983). In the absence of Hg(II) and the presence of \textit{merR}, the level of \textit{lacZ} expression is further reduced, and no transcript could be detected by S1 mapping under these conditions, suggesting efficient repression of \textit{mer} transcription by the \textit{merR} product. A similar fragment from R100 (corresponding to positions 535–602 in Tn501) has previously been shown to confer Hg(II)-inducible tetracycline resistance in the presence of \textit{merR} when placed upstream of a promoterless tetracycline resistance gene (Bohlander et al., 1981).

The different levels of β-galactosidase synthesized from the \textit{mer} promoter cloned in the plasmids pRZ-ES-3 and pRZ-EB-3 in response to the same inducing levels of Hg(II) is probably due in part to the different abilities of these plasmids to mediate the transport of Hg(II) into the cell. pRZ-ES-3 contains the \textit{merT} and \textit{merP} genes, which have been implicated in the uptake of Hg(II) (Foster et al., 1979; Ni’Bhriain et al., 1983; Ni’Bhriain, 1985). Strains containing this plasmid are hypersensitive to the presence of Hg(II) in the growth medium, presumably because they can transport Hg(II) into the cell but cannot detoxify it. Strains containing pRZ-EB-3, which require higher levels of Hg(II) to show maximal induction of β-galactosidase, do not show
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this hypersensitivity, and this plasmid contains DNA coding for only the first 27 amino acids of merT.

A plasmid which directed a low but measurable amount of β-galactosidase synthesis was produced by cloning the control region for ORF3 upstream of a promoterless lacZ gene. This activity was considerably reduced when merR or the chloramphenicol acetyltransferase–merR fusion polypeptide were present in the same cell. This reduction was unaffected by the presence of Hg(II). Nuclease S1 mapping showed the presence of a low level of transcription from this promoter, which presumably represents the repressed level of merR transcription. We have not yet investigated the possibility of a transient derepression of merR transcription on the uptake of Hg(II), such as has been reported for transcription of the AraC protein on the uptake of arabinose (Ogden et al., 1980, Hahn & Schlief, 1983). Fragments of DNA upstream from ORFs 1 and 2 showed no detectable promoter activity. These data support the hypothesis that merR is divergently transcribed with respect to the mer genes and represses its own synthesis.

The studies described here on Tn501 promoters were done using multi-copy vectors. It has been shown that estimates of promoter strength using such vectors are open to error in that significant copy number variation can occur as a result of the presence of different promoters in derivatives of the same vector (Adams & Hatfield, 1984). Although this means that quantitative comparison of the promoters studied here with other cloned E. coli promoters is of dubious accuracy, it does not affect the main conclusions of this study. Induction studies are only over a short time-scale during which large fluctuations in copy number are unlikely to occur. Studies which showed repression of merR and mer transcription are internally controlled by comparisons between strains with either pACYC184 or pAC-E8E5 as the complementing plasmid. Also, studies on the R100 mer promoter produced the same results. The vector in this case is under stringent control for replication and hence not subject to copy number variation (Nisioka et al., 1969). The effects of copy number variation, as well as differential stability of mRNAs with different 3’ ends, may explain the different values for promoter strength of the derepressed mer promoters of R100 and Tn501 as assayed on pDU1161 and pRZ-H-2.

The DNA sequence in the regions involved in regulation reveals a number of features of interest which may be important in determining the interactions between regulatory proteins and DNA (Fig. 5). The control regions of Tn501 and R100 show almost complete sequence homology, which is as expected given the interchangeability of their merR gene products (Foster & Ginnity, 1985; this work). In both Tn501 and R100, the sequence TTGACT-N10-TAAGGT-N9 is found upstream of the site of initiation of transcription of the mer genes as mapped in Tn501; this is close to the consensus E. coli promoter sequence of TTGACA-N7,-TATAAT-N7,-start (Hawley & McClure, 1983). Although positively regulated promoters often deviate quite markedly from this sequence (Raibaud & Schwartz, 1984), the mer promoter is derepressed in the absence of the merR gene product and its similarity to the consensus promoter sequence is thus not surprising. There exists between the potential −35 and −10 sequences of the mer promoter a region of dyad symmetry (TCCGTAC-N5,-GTACGGA, positions 559–576) which is perfectly conserved between R100 and Tn501, and is a possible binding site for a repressor protein. Another region of hyphenated dyad symmetry (TTGGCG-N4,-CGCCAA) exists upstream from the −35 and −10 sequences at positions 505–520. In R100, the symmetrical sequence is reduced to TTGGC-N4,-GCCAA. Whether this sequence has any role in the control of transcription from the mer promoter is unknown although it could be a binding site for a positive regulatory protein. Further studies will be required to describe more fully the role of these different sequences in the regulation of mer transcription.

The control region for merR shows less homology between Tn501 and R100, and is a less good match with the consensus promoter sequence. The region upstream of the merR transcription initiation site contains the sequence TTGTCC-N18,-TAGCGT-N9,-start in Tn501 and TTGCCC-N18,-TAGCGT-N9,-start in R100 (assuming the start points of transcription are the same). The only obvious structure in the DNA around these regions that might be involved in regulation is the dyad symmetry between nucleotides 559 and 576, which overlaps the start point of merR transcription and may hence be involved in the autoregulation of this gene, as well as repression of the mer promoter.
Three regulatory proteins whose crystal structures have been wholly or partially solved (the products of the cro and cI genes of bacteriophage λ, and the cAMP-binding protein) have been shown to possess a common structural motif which is thought to be involved in interacting specifically with their DNA binding sites (Anderson et al., 1981; Pabo & Lewis, 1982; McKay & Steitz, 1981). This consists of a pair of alpha helices linked by a tight bend. Other regulatory proteins whose amino acid sequence is known are also predicted to possess this structure (Pabo & Sauer, 1984). Prediction of the secondary structure of the MerR protein using the method of McLachlan (1977) on the amino acid sequence predicted from ORF3 shows a high probability for a structure containing two alpha helices (residues 66–78 and 83–105 approx.) separated by a bend containing a glycine residue (Gly-79). A glycine residue is found at the bend between the known or predicted helices in 18 of the 21 regulatory proteins listed by Pabo & Sauer (1984). Alignment of the predicted MerR amino acid sequence with that of the 21 other regulatory proteins presented by Pabo & Sauer (1984), using this glycine residue as the reference point, shows a number of similarities between MerR and other regulatory proteins. Thus, if glycine is taken as position 0, aliphatic amino acids are generally found at positions −5, +6 and +9 (leucine, alanine and leucine respectively in MerR). However, there is an arginine at position −4 (relative to the glycine at position 0) which is occupied by an aliphatic residue in most other regulatory proteins. One of the four cysteine residues which may be involved in binding the presumptive effector, Hg(II), occurs at the beginning of the second alpha helical region of the protein (Cys-82). The functional significance of these observations should become clearer following a more detailed biochemical and genetic analysis of the MerR protein and its interactions with DNA.
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