Synchronous cultures of *Escherichia coli* B were produced under various environmental conditions. Analysis of the cell number data permitted the characterization of the generation time distribution for these organisms and the estimation of the mother–daughter generation time correlation coefficients. For all growth conditions, the distribution of generation times was found to be symmetrical with a coefficient of variation of 0.22 ± 0.02. The mother–daughter generation time correlation coefficient was significantly negative at doubling times between 40 and 64 min. However, the results for a culture growing in succinate medium at 37 °C, which had a significantly greater generation time, yielded a correlation coefficient close to zero. Within the range of temperatures studied (26 to 37 °C), no significant effect on the correlation coefficient was observed.

**INTRODUCTION**

Considerable variability exists in the generation times of individual bacteria in a population. The nature of the distribution of these generation times has been the subject of a number of studies (Kelly & Rahn, 1932; Powell, 1955, 1956, 1958; Powell & Errington, 1963; Kubitschek, 1962, 1971; Schaechter et al., 1962). These workers observed the individual organisms directly and for *Escherichia coli*, for example, unequivocal conclusions as to the form of the generation time distribution could not be drawn. Considerable uncertainty also exists concerning the nature of the correlations between the generation times of related organisms, particularly those between mother and daughter cells (Powell, 1955, 1956; Powell & Errington, 1963; Kubitschek, 1962, 1966; Schaechter et al., 1962).

The resolution of the uncertainty surrounding the form of the generation time distribution and the parent–progeny correlations in generation time for *E. coli* is the subject of this paper. Our approach follows that of Harvey (1972a, b) through which the analysis of synchronous culture growth data permits the extraction of the generation time distribution and the correlations between the generation times of parent and progeny cells. The attraction of the method lies in the accuracy with which these statistical parameters may be obtained and in the comparative ease with which the dependence of these parameters on growth conditions may be studied. The latter effects would be prohibitively tedious to investigate by direct observation.

Synchronous cultures were produced by a modification of the density gradient centrifugation technique described by Mitchison & Vincent (1965). This technique gave reproducible results and excellent synchrony with a wide variety of growth conditions and media.
**METHODS**

*Bacteria and growth conditions.* Escherichia coli strain B (from the collection of the Department of Cell Biology, University of Auckland) was maintained on slopes of Difco nutrient agar. All cultures were grown in the modified M9 salts medium of Gudas & Pardee (1974), with glucose (0.4%, w/v), sucrose (0.5%, w/v), glycerol (0.5%, w/v) or sodium succinate (0.4%, w/v) added as carbon source. Glucose minimal medium was supplemented with methionine and histidine (both at 50 µg ml⁻¹) to provide faster growing cultures. Cultures were grown aerobically in an orbital incubator (Gallenkamp, London) in Erlenmeyer flasks with a volume at least five times that of the culture. The growth temperature was 37 °C for the various carbon sources. The temperature could be maintained within ±0.2 °C. Cultures in glucose medium were also grown at temperatures in the range 26 to 37 °C to obtain different growth rates. In our cultures, bacterial division rates and volume distributions remained invariant up to densities of about 2 × 10⁸ bacteria ml⁻¹. At higher densities, the mean cell volume decreased with time. Cell volume distributions were recorded at regular intervals during the growth of a synchronously dividing culture (Plank, 1978).

*Preparation of synchronous cultures.* An overnight culture was diluted into fresh medium (100 ml) containing the appropriate carbon source and grown for at least eight generations to a final concentration of 5 × 10⁷ and 2 × 10⁸ bacteria ml⁻¹. The method of Mitchison & Vincent (1965) was used to select synchronous sub-populations from the parental cultures. The parent culture was centrifuged at 7000 g for 5 min and the resulting pellet was resuspended in 0.5 to 1.5 ml growth medium and then layered on a 40 ml linear density gradient (Britten & Roberts, 1960) in a 23 × 110 mm glass centrifuge tube. [Gradients of sucrose, glucose, glycerol and Ficoll (Type 70; Sigma) were used depending on the carbon source in the growth medium.] Gradients were centrifuged for 6 to 18 min in a swinging bucket centrifuge (Super Minor; MSE, Crawley, Sussex) at 1500 to 2200 g, generally at room temperature. The duration and speed of centrifugation depended on the cell size, temperature and gradient material and was chosen to give a turbid band extending from about 3 cm below the meniscus to a depth of about 6 cm. A sample of the smallest organisms (0.5 to 1 ml, about 1 to 3% of those loaded) was removed from the upper region of the visible band and was inoculated into prewarmed conditioned growth medium (15 to 20 ml) to give 0.4 × 10⁷ to 1 × 10⁸ bacteria ml⁻¹. The conditioned medium was parent growth medium filtered to remove residual organisms.

To obtain asynchronous control cultures, bacteria remaining in the band were mixed and a small sample was inoculated into parent growth medium.

*Bacterial counts and volumes.* The numbers of bacteria were counted with a Coulter model ZBI particle counter fitted with a commercial 30 pm orifice (Coulter Electronics, Harpenden, Herts.). A pulse height analyser constructed in this laboratory (Rackham, 1977) was linked to the counter to provide volume distributions. Culture samples (0.2 ml) were removed with a micropipette and diluted 50-fold into 0.1 M-HCl for counting.

To maintain low background counts, all media and electrolyte solutions were prefiltered through 0.22 pm pore-size membrane filters (Millipore). Background counts were estimated for the synchronous and control cultures by performing the dilution and counting operations on the conditioned medium just prior to inoculation. Coincidence corrections become important at the higher count rates and these were applied according to the formula of Princen & Kwolek (1966). The equation derived by these authors was fitted to experimental data obtained over a wide range of count rates.

*Data analysis.* The general approach to the analysis of the data using numerical differentiation has been described previously (Harvey, 1972a, b). A numerical differentiation applied to the synchronous culture data will be strongly influenced by the sharp fluctuations in the data points. These are caused solely by experimental errors, since it is expected on physical grounds that in a system containing a large number of cells the concentration should vary smoothly with time. The technique of smoothing by digital filtering, used in this paper, has been described in detail by Harvey (1972b). The derivatives were calculated using a six-point numerical differentiation formula from the smoothed points representing the bacterial concentrations. The uncertainties attached to the parameter values (Table 1) were found as follows. The analysis of actual experimental data was simulated as closely as possible with noisy data generated analytically and bearing close resemblance to the former. Such data were produced by integration of a sum of Gaussian functions, the parameters of which had similar values to those found for particular experiments. In most cases, four Gaussian functions were summed to provide satisfactory data through the third generation. Each data point generated by the integration routine was multiplied by a random error, these errors being normally distributed with a mean of unity and a standard deviation of 0.015. The latter value was chosen since estimates of the experimental uncertainty associated with any one point arising as a result of sampling, diluting and counting errors were close to 1.5%. The smoothing, differentiation and non-linear least squares fitting of a sum of Gaussian functions was then carried out in the normal way. Twenty to thirty repetitions of this process from a random number generator and subsequent analysis yielded measures of the dispersion
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in each of the parameters sought. Details of the non-linear least squares routine are given in Plank (1978).

THEORY

Harvey (1972a) has presented a mathematical description of synchronous bacterial growth in which the time derivative of the total number of organisms in a synchronous culture is expressed as the sum of a series of functions related to the generation time distribution of individual organisms. That is,

$$\frac{dN(T)}{dT} = N_0 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} F_j(T)$$

where \(N(T)\) is the total number of organisms in the culture at time \(T\) and \(N_0\) is the initial number of first generation organisms. In equation (1),

$$F_j(T) = 2^{j-1} G_j(T)$$

where \(G_j(T)\) represents the distribution (normalized) of the times of division of the \(j\)th generation organisms with mean division time \(r_j\) and standard deviation \(\sigma_j\). Provided the initial organisms were collected without bias with respect to parent generation times, \(F_j(T)\) is just the generation time distribution \(f(T)\) of the organisms in the culture having mean and standard deviation \(r\) and \(\sigma\), respectively. In general,

$$2^{1-j} \int_0^{\infty} T F_j(T) dT = j r$$

and

$$2^{1-j} \int_0^{\infty} (T - j r) F_j(T) dT = \sigma_j^2 = j r^2 + 2 r^2 \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} (j-k) \rho^{2k}$$

In equation (4), \(\rho^{(1)}\) is the mother–daughter generation time correlation coefficient, \(\rho^{(2)}\) is the mother–granddaughter generation time correlation coefficient, and so on.

Harvey (1972a) considered the effects of imperfect synchronization at \(T = 0\) by imagining that new organisms are introduced over a period of time with a certain distribution having variance \(\sigma_0^2\). When the fraction of smallest organisms selected by the gradient centrifugation procedure exhibits some dispersion in cell ages we may account for this imperfect selection by the addition of \(\sigma_0^2\) to the variances of \(F_j(T)\). Explicitly,

$$\sigma_1^2 = \sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2$$

$$\sigma_2^2 = 2 \sigma^2 [1 + \rho^{(1)}] + \sigma_0^2$$

$$\sigma_3^2 = 3 \sigma^2 + 2 \sigma^2 [2 \rho^{(1)} + \rho^{(2)}] + \sigma_0^2$$

RESULTS

One of five different synchronous culture experiments carried out with E. coli B in glucose/salts medium at 37 °C is illustrated in Fig. 1 (a). The asynchronous control and the synchronous culture showed the same parallel trends in average growth rate. Since this strain of E. coli can utilize sucrose, gradients for glycerol- and succinate-grown bacteria were prepared from glycerol and Ficoll, respectively, to avoid a nutritional shift-up. Representative results for various carbon sources are illustrated in Fig. 1 (b, c, d). With glucose as the carbon source, synchronous cultures obtained at various temperatures between 26 and 37 °C had different doubling times; a typical example is shown in Fig. 1 (e).

Data analysis

Smoothing and differentiating the experimental concentration curve of experiment A (Fig. 2 a) yielded the results shown in Fig. 2 (b) in which digital filter cut-off wavelengths of seven, eight and nine data point spacings have been used. Over this range of cut-off wavelengths, there was little change in the derivative curves. Figure 2 (b) illustrates the typical form assumed by the functions \(F_i(T)\) defined by equation (1). It is apparent from the figure that there is considerable interference between the tails of the functions \(F_i(T)\). Thus, for obtaining estimates of the moments of the distributions, the straightforward summation procedure used by Harvey (1972b) will be subject to considerable errors. An alternative
Fig. 1. Logarithmic plots of cell concentration in synchronous cultures (●) and asynchronous control cultures (○) of E. coli B. The zero on the time scale corresponds to the time of inoculation of the sample from the gradient into the prewarmed conditioned medium. (a) Experiment E (see Table 1): glucose-grown bacteria at a density of $10^8$ ml$^{-1}$ were concentrated and banded (2100 g for 9 min) in a 5 to 30% (w/v) sucrose gradient (prepared in growth medium) at 37 °C and 1% of the cells loaded were recovered to yield the synchronous culture. (b) Experiment K: bacteria grown in glucose/salts medium supplemented with methionine and histidine were banded in a sucrose gradient at 2100 g for 6 min. (c) Experiment H: glycerol-grown bacteria were removed from a 10 to 50% (w/v) glycerol gradient after banding at 1500 g for 15 min. (d) Experiment J: succinate-grown bacteria were banded in a 5 to 15% (w/v) Ficoll gradient at 2100 g for 10 min. (e) Experiment N: bacteria grown at 30 °C in glucose/salts medium were banded in a sucrose gradient at 1500 g for 15 min.
Fig. 2. (a) Logarithmic plot of the bacterial concentration in a synchronous culture established by banding glucose-grown bacteria in a 5 to 30% (w/v) sucrose gradient at room temperature at 1500 g for 12 min (experiment A, see Table 1). (b) Derivative of the bacterial concentration shown in (a) extracted by digital filtering with cut-off wavelengths of seven (— —), eight (·····), and nine (———) data point spacings. The ordinate scales are in arbitrary units.

Fig. 3. Sum of three Gaussian functions (——) fitted to the data of Fig. 2(b) for a digital filtering cut-off wavelength of eight data point spacings. The ordinates are in arbitrary units and have been divided by two for times greater than 102 min.

Method was therefore desirable. Examination of Fig. 2(b) reveals the lack of any marked skewness in $F_1(T)$ or $F_2(T)$ and the Gaussian nature of these peaks immediately suggests fitting a sum of normal frequency functions to the curve. Although the non-truncated Gaussian distribution is defined over the whole real line, we can justify its use here because of the negligible values taken at negative times. The means and variances of the distributions $F_j(T)$ will then be given directly by the best fit of a sum of Gaussian functions to the derivative curve.

Figure 3 illustrates the result of fitting a sum of three Gaussian functions to the data
Table 1. Results of analysis of synchronous culture experiments with E. coli B in minimal media (average of five cut-off wavelengths)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expt</th>
<th>Carbon source</th>
<th>Growth temp. (°C)</th>
<th>Gradient*</th>
<th>Parameters of $F_\text{I}$ (in min)</th>
<th>Coefficient of variation, CV</th>
<th>Mother-daughter correlation coefficient, $\rho^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Glucose</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>$\tau_1$ 42.7±0.5</td>
<td>$\tau_2$ 82.3±0.5</td>
<td>$\tau_3$ 121.5±2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Glucose</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>Gu</td>
<td>$\tau_1$ 45.2±0.6</td>
<td>$\tau_2$ 85.2±0.6</td>
<td>$\tau_3$ 125.6±2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Glucose</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>$\tau_1$ 41.2±0.5</td>
<td>$\tau_2$ 82.3±0.5</td>
<td>$\tau_3$ 125.9±3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Glucose</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>$\tau_1$ 36.2±0.3</td>
<td>$\tau_2$ 74.8±0.5</td>
<td>$\tau_3$ 115.6±1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>Glucose</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>$\tau_1$ 47.3±0.5</td>
<td>$\tau_2$ 88.3±0.6</td>
<td>$\tau_3$ 131.5±0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>Sucrose</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>$\tau_1$ 39.8±0.5</td>
<td>$\tau_2$ 87.2±0.5</td>
<td>$\tau_3$ 131.1±0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>Sucrose</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>$\tau_1$ 41.2±0.5</td>
<td>$\tau_2$ 87.3±0.5</td>
<td>$\tau_3$ 132.4±3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>Glycerol</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>Gy</td>
<td>$\tau_1$ 52.9±0.5</td>
<td>$\tau_2$ 100.2±0.6</td>
<td>$\tau_3$ 145.0±2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Succinate</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>$\tau_1$ 79.6±0.5</td>
<td>$\tau_2$ 145.4±1.8</td>
<td>$\tau_3$ 235±20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J</td>
<td>Succinate</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>$\tau_1$ 78.7±1.5</td>
<td>$\tau_2$ 155±10</td>
<td>$\tau_3$ 248±20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>Glucose/Met/His</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>$\tau_1$ 35.3±0.7</td>
<td>$\tau_2$ 65.9±1.6</td>
<td>$\tau_3$ 109±8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>Glucose</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>$\tau_1$ 50.4±0.5</td>
<td>$\tau_2$ 95.6±0.6</td>
<td>$\tau_3$ 146±5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>Glucose</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>$\tau_1$ 31.5±0.5</td>
<td>$\tau_2$ 105.4±1.3</td>
<td>$\tau_3$ 161±10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>Glucose</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>$\tau_1$ 54.6±1.0</td>
<td>$\tau_2$ 118.7±1.5</td>
<td>$\tau_3$ 179±15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>Glucose</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>$\tau_1$ 96.6±0.8</td>
<td>$\tau_2$ 182.8±3.0</td>
<td>$\tau_3$ 276±20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Gradients were prepared with the following concentration ranges: sucrose (S) 5 to 30% (w/v); glucose (Gu) 5 to 30% (w/v); glycerol (Gy) 10 to 50% (w/v); Ficoll (F) 5 to 15% (w/v).
The dashed curve, on the other hand, pertains to a culture having the same underlying which no dependence exists between the generation times of mother cells and their progeny. The rapid loss of synchrony in this culture suggests that corroboration of this is provided in Fig. 4(a). The continuous curve represents a culture in which succinate is the carbon source. In experiment F3, the asynchronous control cultures for succinate medium (experiments F and G), a marked shortening of the first generation occurred presumably due to continued growth of the bacteria during centrifugation in the gradient. The asynchronous control cultures for succinate medium (experiments I and J) exhibited doubling times in the range 80 to 83 min. The significantly shorter interval for the second generation growth of experiment I suggests some perturbation of the growth pattern of these bacteria. Owing to the considerable overlap of the functions F3 and F2 in these experiments, some difficulty was experienced in estimating \( \sigma_3 \) and \( \sigma_4 \) in the case of experiment J by the curve fitting procedure. The smoothing and differentiation operations were very sensitive to small fluctuations in the data points in the overlap region. A similar situation existed in the analysis of the low temperature culture (experiment O). The variance of \( \sigma_3 \) was not well defined in experiments L and M because the data had been extended only a few points into \( F_3 \).

**DISCUSSION**

The results presented here strongly support a distribution of generation times of normal form. Over the range of growth rates studied (doubling times from 30 to 90 min) with growth temperatures between 26 and 37 °C, the normal curve provides a satisfactory fit to the generation time distribution data. For all growth rates and ambient conditions, the coefficient of variation of the generation time distribution for *E. coli* B is constant, within experimental error, and estimated to be 0.22 ± 0.02. With the exception of the extremes of slow growth (doubling times of 80 to 90 min) and rapid growth (doubling time of 30 min), the mother–daughter generation time correlation coefficient is essentially constant and significantly negative at all the other growth rates studied. The weighted mean value of this correlation coefficient for the glucose-grown cultures at 37 °C (doubling times close to 40 min) is \(-0.47 ± 0.06\). In contrast, a marked change occurs in the patterns of growth of the synchronous cultures in which succinate is the carbon source. In experiment J, synchrony rapidly weakened after the first generation of growth, and it was not possible to estimate the mother-daughter generation time correlation coefficient using the curve fitting procedure. The rapid loss of synchrony in this culture suggests that \( \rho^{(2)} \) has a value close to zero and corroboration of this is provided in Fig. 4(a). The continuous curve represents a culture in which no dependence exists between the generation times of mother cells and their progeny. The dashed curve, on the other hand, pertains to a culture having the same underlying
generation time distribution, in which the correlation coefficient between the generation times of mother and daughter cells has the value \(-0.4\). During the growth of the second generation cells, the continuous curve evidently follows the data more closely and a value for \(\rho^{(1)}\) close to zero is a reasonable assumption. A similar comparison is shown in Fig. 4(b) for the glucose-grown synchronous culture of experiment A for which the curve fitting procedure was used successfully. These curves show clearly the magnitude of the effects involved and illustrate the need for extreme precision in the estimation of cell concentrations.

In regard to the mother–granddaughter generation time correlation coefficient, it is difficult to see, using present counting techniques, how improved precision can be attained in the estimates reported here. As such, the nature of correlations extending over more than two generations must remain inaccessible using synchronous culture techniques. The difficulty in estimating \(\rho^{(2)}\) arises because it involves the difference between two nearly equal terms, each having an associated uncertainty of, generally, at least 10%.

The analysis by Harvey (1972b) of some results of Helmstetter (1969) also indicated a symmetrical generation time distribution for *E. coli* B/r with a mother–daughter generation time correlation coefficient of \(-0.40\). For this strain the coefficient of variation for the generation time distribution was 0.17. Helmstetter (1969) used the membrane elution procedure (Helmstetter & Cummings, 1964) to provide a synchronous culture. Shehata & Marr (1970) also used this technique to produce synchronous cultures of three different strains of *E. coli* growing in glucose minimal medium at 30 °C. These workers fitted curves to the cell number data by inspection and then extracted generation time distributions with coefficients of variation in the range 0.18 to 0.22 and which were not appreciably skewed.

Other workers have employed direct observation to investigate the shape and parameters of the generation time distribution. These experiments have generally indicated a marked positive skewness in the distribution of generation times and statistically significant values for \(\rho^{(1)}\) have been difficult to obtain. As has already been pointed out (Harvey, 1972b), the
difficulty in maintaining a uniform environment around cells during microscopic observation very likely leads to discrepancies between these results and those reported in this paper.
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