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*Mycoplasma mycoides* subsp. *mycoides* (PG1 and strain Y) proteins were solubilized in Triton X-100, and the antigenic proteins were precipitated from this complex mixture by addition of antiserum and then separated by two-dimensional gel electrophoresis. Of the 300 proteins solubilized, about 10 were precipitated. Proteins of PG1, a slow-growing, small colony (SC) strain, were precipitated by antiserum to PG1 and by antiserum to strain Y, a fast-growing, large colony (LC) strain. Similarly, strain Y proteins were precipitated by antiserum to PG1 and by antiserum to strain Y. The few proteins precipitated in this way gave similar patterns after two-dimensional gel electrophoresis indicating that many of the dominant protein antigens of PG1 and strain Y are shared. Antiserum to *Mycoplasma mycoides* subsp. *capri* (PG3) also precipitated some proteins of strain Y. Antiserum to *Mycoplasma gallisepticum* gave no reaction with any *M. mycoides* antigens. It was concluded that, in addition to the polysaccharide antigens, there are proteins in *M. mycoides* that are antigenic and that some of these are found in both the SC and LC strains of subsp. *mycoides* and also in subsp. *capri*.

INTRODUCTION

*Mycoplasma mycoides* subsp. *mycoides* may be conveniently subdivided into two types: a slow-growing, small colony (SC) type of which PG1 is representative, and a faster-growing, large colony (LC) type of which strain Y is typical (Cottew & Yeats, 1978). PG1 and strain Y are antigenically related (Laws, 1956; Hudson et al., 1967; Al-Aubaidi et al., 1972), the major antigen being galactan (Buttery, 1970), and this distinguishes the subsp. *mycoides* from subsp. *capri* which synthesizes a glucan (Jones et al., 1965).

The electrophoretic patterns of cell proteins have been used in taxonomic studies of mycoplasmas (Razin, 1968; Rodwell & Rodwell, 1978) and it is clear that PG1, strain Y and *Mycoplasma mycoides* subsp. *capri* (PG3) have some proteins in common but also many differences. It was therefore of interest to investigate whether proteins of *M. mycoides* subsp. *mycoides* elicit antibody production after injection of killed cells into rabbits and, if so, to determine which of these proteins were common to PG1 and strain Y.

The technique of high-resolution two-dimensional gel electrophoresis, with separation in the first dimension by isoelectric focusing and in the second dimension by polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis in the presence of sodium dodecyl sulphate, has been adapted in this laboratory for the separation of mycoplasma proteins (Archer et al., 1978). This technique has been employed by others to separate protein antigens precipitated from complex mixtures of proteins by antibodies (Ghangas & Milman, 1977; Jones, 1977). In the work described here, mycoplasma proteins were solubilized by the detergent Triton X-100 and...
then the antigenic proteins were precipitated from this mixture of proteins by antisera against a number of different mycoplasmas. The precipitated proteins were then separated by two-dimensional gel electrophoresis.

METHODS

Organisms and growth. Two Mycoplasma mycoides subsp. mycoides strains (PG1 and Y) and M. mycoides subsp. capri (PG3) were used in this work. PG1 and PG3 are the type strains of M. mycoides subsp. mycoides and subsp. capri, respectively. The M. mycoides subsp. mycoides strain Y2a variant (Rodwell et al., 1972) of the original strain Y isolate (Laws, 1956) was used throughout, and will be referred to as strain Y. These organisms were grown in medium containing [U-14C]protein hydrolysate (2-5 μCi ml⁻¹; The Radiochemical Centre, Amersham) as described previously (Rodwell & Rodwell, 1978).

Mycoplasma gallisepticum strain 89 LDAS, from G. Cottew in this laboratory, was grown in a medium containing: PPLO broth (Difco), 2-1 % (w/v); Albini yeast autolysate (Pfizer), 0-6 % (w/v); DNA (calf thymus), 0-002 % (w/v); yeast extract (Herscherchée, 1963), 10 % (v/v); sodium N-2-hydroxyethylpiperazine-N-2-ethanesulphonate, 0-05 M; horse serum, 20 % (v/v); glucose, 0-5 % (w/v); pH 7-8. Cells were harvested in the late-exponential phase of growth as determined by absorbance at 650 nm.

Solubilization in Triton X-100. Cells were harvested by centrifuging at 17 300 g for 15 min in a Sorvall RC2-B centrifuge and washed twice in phosphate-buffered saline (12 mM-sodium phosphate, 0-145 M-sodium chloride, pH 7-0; PBS). They were resuspended in PBS containing 1 % (w/v) Triton X-100 at a final cell protein concentration of 1 mg ml⁻¹ and incubated for 15 min at 20 °C. Insoluble material was then removed by centrifuging at 27 000 g for 30 min. The Triton X-100 extracts were stored at 4 °C and used within 1 week. After this period some non-specific agglutination of solubilized material occurred.

Immunoprecipitation of solubilized proteins. All antisera used in this work were very kindly donated by G. Cottew. The antisera were from rabbits injected repeatedly with suspensions of thiomersalate-killed cells (Hudson et al., 1967). Precipitation of solubilized protein antigens was tested initially on Ouchterlony double-diffusion gels [agar, 2 % (w/v), 1 mm thick on microscope slides]. Triton X-100 extracts and antisera were placed in appropriate wells and precipitin lines were allowed to form over a period of 16 h at 20 °C.

The immunoprecipitation reaction for analysis by two-dimensional gel electrophoresis was performed by mixing the Triton X-100 extract (normally 0.1 ml) with the serum (normally 0.2 ml). Precipitation was evident soon after mixing, but incubation was continued for 16 h at 4 °C to allow complete precipitation and aggregation. The precipitate was then pelleted by centrifuging at 17 300 g for 15 min. The Triton X-100 extracts were stored at 4 °C and used within 1 week. After this period some non-specific agglutination of solubilized material occurred.

RESULTS

Ouchterlony double-diffusion

The precipitation of solubilized antigens by antisera on double-diffusion gels is shown in Fig. 1. Two distinct types of precipitation lines are evident: strong, broad lines and weaker, but sharp lines. This suggests immunoprecipitation of different types of antigen, and the Triton X-100 extracts used in this work could contain a number of possible antigens, including glycolipid, protein and polysaccharide (Buttery & Plackett, 1960). Triton X-100 alone did not produce any precipitin lines with any of the sera used. Both types of precipitin line are produced by the interaction of either strain Y or PG1 antigens with antisera to strain Y and to PG1, and by PG3 antigens with antisera to PG3. Only weak, sharp lines are produced by the interaction of either strain Y or PG1 antigens with antisera to PG3, and by PG3 antigens with antisera to PG1 and strain Y. As would be expected, antisera to M. gallisepticum strain 89 LDAS did not precipitate any M. mycoides antigens.
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Fig. 1. Double-diffusion precipitation. Mycoplasma antigens solubilized in Triton X-100 and antisera to mycoplasmas were placed in the indicated wells of an agar slide. Precipitin lines formed after a few hours. Antigens: 1, strain Y; 2, PG1; 3, PG3. Antisera: a, strain Y; b, PG1; c, PG3; d, *M. gallisepticum* (strain 89 LDAS).

Table 1. Immunoprecipitation of solubilized mycoplasma antigens

Antigens were precipitated from *M. mycoides* subsp. *mycoides* (PG1 and Y), *M. mycoides* subsp. *capri* (PG3) and *M. gallisepticum* (89 LDAS) cells solubilized in Triton X-100 by the addition of rabbit antiserum to these mycoplasmas.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antigen</th>
<th>Y</th>
<th>PG1</th>
<th>PG3</th>
<th>89 LDAS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PG1</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PG3</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89 LDAS</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-, No precipitation; +++, ++++, ++++; increasing precipitation; ±, faint precipitation.

**Immunoprecipitation of solubilized protein antigens**

The precipitation of solubilized protein antigens by different antisera is summarized in Table 1. The extent of precipitation, which includes precipitated polysaccharide and protein antigens, was judged visually using dark-ground illumination. These results confirmed those obtained in the double-diffusion tests. *Mycoplasma* strain Y antigens were precipitated by antisera to Y, PG1 and PG3. *Mycoplasma* PG1 antigens were precipitated by antisera to Y and PG1 and there was a slight reaction with antiserum to PG3 though this did not give sufficient precipitation for analysis by two-dimensional gel electrophoresis using routine quantities of antigen and antiserum. However, PG1 and PG3 do have some similarities in protein pattern (Rodwell & Rodwell, 1978) and some of these common proteins are probably antigenic. *Mycoplasma gallisepticum* was included in this series of reactions as a control. There was no reaction between *M. mycoides* and antiserum to *M. gallisepticum*.

The two-dimensional gel electrophoretic patterns of the proteins of strain Y and PG1 are shown in Figs. 2 and 3, respectively. The Triton X-100 extracts of strain Y and PG1 showed some similarities of pattern but many differences, as would be expected (Rodwell & Rodwell, 1978). The proteins of strain Y precipitated by antisera to strain Y or PG1 are shown in Figs 2(a) and 2(b), respectively, and the proteins of PG1 precipitated by antisera to strain Y or PG1 are shown in Figs 3(a) and 3(b). The protein patterns may be compared by fixing the coordinates of individual spots using the scales in the figures. In both figures, separation was by isoelectric focusing in the first (horizontal) dimension and by polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis in the second (vertical) dimension. Protein A (mol. wt 85000), a major membrane-associated protein of LC strains of subsp. *mycoides* (Rodwell et al., 1975), was shown to elicit antibody formation and was only precipitated from
Fig. 2. Immunoprecipitation of strain Y proteins. Two-dimensional gel electrophoretograms of strain Y proteins precipitated by antisera to strain Y (a) and PG1 (b). The arrow in (a) indicates protein A (see text). All proteins were labelled with $^{14}$C and detected by fluorography. Separation in the first (horizontal) dimension was by isoelectric focusing and in the second (vertical) dimension by polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. The scales are in mm.

solubilized strain Y antigens by antiserum to strain Y. Protein A (coordinates $58 \times 26$) is arrowed in Fig. 2(a), and although a very small spot does appear at the same coordinates in Fig. 2(b), this is probably due to incomplete removal by washing of unprecipitated proteins from the immunoprecipitate.

Fig. 3. Immunoprecipitation of PG1 proteins. Two-dimensional gel electrophoretograms of PG1 proteins precipitated by antisera to strain Y (a) and PG1 (b). Other details as in Fig. 2.
Of the radioactivity in the solubilized sample of strain Y antigen, 16% was precipitated by antiserum to strain Y, 12% by antiserum to PG1 and 13% by antiserum to PG3. Of the radioactivity in the solubilized sample of PG1 antigen, 13% was precipitated by antiserum to strain Y or PG1. Some multiple-spotting due to induced charge heterogeneity is present in the gels of precipitated proteins, but this is not a problem and could be reduced by shortening the period of immunoprecipitation. The procedure for solubilizing the immune complexes would dissociate the antigens from the antibodies so it is not considered possible that the immune complexes themselves are an additional source of multiple-spotting. It would be desirable to analyse by two-dimensional electrophoresis those proteins which are not precipitated, in order to show whether proteins were completely removed from the Triton X-100 extract by immunoprecipitation. However, an excessive amount of protein, which necessarily includes the large amount of serum protein, needs to be applied to the gel in order to ensure sufficient radioactivity for detection of the labelled proteins by fluorography. In M. gallisepticum, as with M. mycoides, only a few proteins were immunoprecipitated, and the major protein antigens were shown to be completely removed from the solubilized extract.

Proteins of strain Y precipitated by antiserum to PG3 gave a gel pattern similar to the central region of Figs. 2 and 3, with major spots at the coordinates 58 × 50, 52 × 56 and 33 × 70 (results not shown).

**Discussion**

The major antigenic component of M. mycoides subsp. mycoides is a galactan (Buttery, 1970) and that of M. mycoides subsp. capri is a glucan (Jones et al., 1965). It is well-established that strains of subsp. mycoides may be quite distinct in many respects, such as growth rate and colony size on agar (Cottew & Yeats, 1978) or their ability to grow in the ρ-form (Rodwell et al., 1973), are related serologically (Hudson et al., 1967). Subspp. mycoides and capri are unrelated serologically as judged by metabolism inhibition tests, but cross-reactions do occur in double-diffusion and growth precipitation tests (Al-Aubaidi et al., 1972; Ernö & Jurmanová, 1973). As the polysaccharide components of M. mycoides are such strong antigens, the antigenic nature of the cell proteins has received little attention, although Hudson et al. (1967) did report a heat-labile antigen in strain Y which points to the presence of a protein antigen of some importance. Proteins are known to be the major cell antigens in many other mycoplasmas (Kahane & Razin, 1969; Razin et al., 1972). The work described in this paper shows that strain Y and PG1 are related serologically by their antigenic proteins and that PG3 has protein antigens in common with strain Y. Certainly, PG3 is closer to strain Y than PG1 in its growth characteristics and propensity for growth in the ρ-form (Rodwell et al., 1973).

Only a few of the cell proteins gave rise to antibodies after injection of thiomersalate-killed cells into rabbits. It is not known what determines the antigenicity of individual proteins, whether it be a specific hapten or configuration, or simply availability for interaction in the immune system. Antigenic differences among mycoplasma proteins have been observed between different fractions of solubilized Acholeplasma laidlawii proteins separated by gel filtration (Ne'eman et al., 1972) and by crossed immunoelectrophoresis of solubilized mycoplasma proteins (Thirkill & Kenny, 1974; Johansson & Hjertén, 1974). Protein A, a major membrane-associated protein of LC strains of subsp. mycoides (Rodwell et al., 1975) was shown to be antigenically important but antibody was not formed against protein B, which is the only protein of the ρ-fibre (Rodwell et al., 1975).

The phylogenetic relationships between strains of subspp. mycoides and capri have been studied by two-dimensional gel electrophoresis of cell proteins (Rodwell & Rodwell, 1978). With this method, proteins with regions of conserved sequence but containing one or more polar mutations would be classed as unrelated whereas immunoprecipitation of solubilized proteins recognizes conserved sequences if these are antigenic. DNA hybridization studies
have been used in taxonomic studies of *M. mycoides* strains (Askaa et al., 1978). Regions of homologous DNA sequences are recognized by this technique so it is not unexpected that Askaa et al. (1978) found a much higher relatedness between PG1 and PG3 than did Rodwell & Rodwell (1978) by comparing the cell proteins. Neither method of gaining insight into the genotype gives a wholly accurate representation of the phenotype and a combination of a number of different procedures must continue to be used in taxonomic studies. Immunoprecipitation of mycoplasma proteins may be a useful addition to the range of tests.
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