Recombinant esterase from *Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis* in DNA and subunit recombinant vaccines partially protects mice against challenge
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Abstract

**Purpose.** We tested the efficacy of the esterase encoded by *cp1002_RS09720* from *Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis* in recombinant subunit and DNA caseous lymphadenitis (CLA) vaccines. This target was predicted as one of the best CLA vaccine candidates by mature epitope density analysis.

**Methodology.** Gene *cp1002_RS09720* was cloned into two different vectors (pAE for subunit vaccine and pTARGET for DNA vaccine). Four groups of 15 mice each were immunized with the recombinant esterase rCP09720 associated with aluminium hydroxide adjuvant (G1), pTARGET/cp09720 DNA vaccine (G2), a naked pTARGET (G3) or PBS as a negative control (G4). Immunization occurred in two doses intercalated by a 21 day interval. Twenty-one days after the last dose administration, animals were challenged with a virulent *C. pseudotuberculosis* MIC-6 strain.

**Results.** G1 showed high levels of IgG1 and IgG2a on days 21 and 42 post-immunization and a significant level of IFN-γ (**P**<0.05), suggesting a Th1 response. The protection levels obtained were 58.3 and 16.6 % for G1 and G2, respectively.

**Conclusion.** The subunit vaccine composed of the recombinant esterase rCP09720 and Al(OH)3 is a promising antigenic formulation for use against CLA.

INTRODUCTION

*Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis*, the aetiologic agent of caseous lymphadenitis (CLA) in sheep and goats, is a Gram-positive, aerobic and facultative intracellular bacteria that preferably infects macrophages [1]. This bacterium affects a wide variety of hosts, including small ruminants, cows, horses and wild animals [2]. It can even infect humans through the contamination of meat and milk, and the infection of veterinarians and farm practitioners [3]. It is a globally distributed chronic and subclinical disease, with high prevalence in developing countries [4], and it has been pointed out as one of the main causes of economic losses in Africa and South America [5]. CLA treatment is not effective because the bacterium is located inside encapsulated pyogranulomas and cannot be reached by antibiotics [6].

Vaccination is the most important approach for CLA control since animals are refractory to therapeutics, due to antimicrobials’ inability to reach the bacteria inside abscesses [7]. Several vaccination strategies have been proposed, including inactivated or attenuated *C. pseudotuberculosis* strains and native antigens from culture supernatants [5, 8–10]. One of the most studied vaccinal targets is phospholipase D (PLD), a major *C. pseudotuberculosis* virulence factor responsible for its dissemination in the host and demonstrated to provide partial protection when used as a vaccine in some animal models [11, 12]. Commercial CLA vaccines are based on attenuated strains of *C. pseudotuberculosis* and are not
able to fully protect susceptible animals, cannot be used in all host species, present low efficacy in goats, and are not licensed for use in many countries [7]. Additionally, side effects are associated with commercially available vaccines such as fever, malaise, reductions in milk production and distribution of lesions, being more intense in goats [7, 9].

*C. pseudotuberculosis* genome sequence analysis provided critical data necessary to elucidate the molecular and genetic basis of this bacterium’s virulence, and it is also useful for predicting new vaccine targets [13–16]. This approach allowed the development of new DNA and recombinant subunit vaccines based on recently discovered antigenic targets [17, 18]. A few recombinant antigens have been tested as immunogens against *C. pseudotuberculosis* infection, with limited success [18–20].

Esterase, encoded by the *cp1002_RS09720* gene, recently reannotated (old tag: *cp1002_1957*), was first predicted as a potential vaccine target in a pan-secretome study of *C. pseudotuberculosis* 1002 and C231 strains [21]. Through mature epitope density (MED) analysis, a computational strategy based on measuring epitope concentration in a mature protein, this protein was ranked as one of the best targets for use in CLA vaccines or diagnostic trials [21]. In fact, the recombinant esterase rCP09720 was used in an ELISA-based system with the objective of detecting the infection by *C. pseudotuberculosis* in sheep, presenting satisfactory levels of specificity and sensitivity [22]. Despite its importance, this target has never been used in vaccine formulations before. Here, we assessed the potential of the recombinant esterase as a DNA and subunit vaccine against *C. pseudotuberculosis* infection in a murine model. In addition, humoral and cellular immune responses induced by recombinant vaccines were characterized.

**METHODS**

**Strains and culture conditions**

The sequenced strains of *C. pseudotuberculosis* 1002 [23] and MIC-6 strains (already sequenced, currently at the annotation and assembly phases; personal communication by Vasco Azevedo), *Escherichia coli* TOP10 (Invitrogen) and *E. coli* BL21 Star (Invitrogen) cells were used in this study. *C. pseudotuberculosis* strains were cultivated in brain heart infusion (BHI) broth (Acumedia), supplemented with 0.5 % Tween 80 at 37 °C for 72h in a shaker at 200 r.p.m., or in BHI agar 1.5 % [24]. *E. coli* strains were grown in Luria–Bertani (LB) medium or LB agar 1.5% for 16h at 37 °C. When necessary, LB medium was supplemented with ampicillin at 100 µg ml⁻¹.

**Cloning of the *cp1002_RS09720* gene from *C. pseudotuberculosis***

Amplification of the *cp1002_RS09720* gene was performed as described previously [25] using primers F 5′ ACC ATG GGG CCT CGG GAC TGG CTG GGC 3′ and R 5′ CGG GAA TTC TTA CCA GGC GTT CAT AAC GT 3′, designed based on the *C. pseudotuberculosis* 1002 sequence (GenBank accession no. WP_013242814.1) using Vector NTI 10 software (Invitrogen). For PCR, 50 ng *C. pseudotuberculosis* strain 1002 genomic DNA was added to 10 µM of each primer and PCR Mastermix (Promega) in a final volume of 50 µl. PCR product was visualized in 1 % agarose gel stained with Blue Green (LGC Biotechnology). Gene *cp1002_RS09720* was cloned into pAE plasmid [26]. Thus, pAE vector and the *cp1002_RS09720* gene were digested with the restriction enzymes BamHI and EcoRI (Invitrogen), and ligation was performed using T4 DNA ligase (Invitrogen). The pAE/pCP09720 recombinant clone was characterized by restriction enzyme digestion and DNA sequencing.

For DNA vaccine construction, the *cp1002_RS09720* gene was amplified using the primers F 5′ ACC ATG GGG CCT CGG ACT GGC TGC GC and R 5′ CGG GAA TTC TTA CCA GGC GTT CAT AAC GT 3′. Primers were designed based on the *C. pseudotuberculosis* 1002 sequence (GenBank accession no. NC_017300.1) using Vector NTI 10 software (Invitrogen). The amplicon was inserted into the pTARGET vector (Promega) following the manufacturer’s instructions. Recombinant vectors were selected with 100 µg ml⁻¹ ampicillin and 20 µg ml⁻¹ X-Gal (Invitrogen). The recombinant vector was denominated pTARGET/pCP09720.

**Expression and purification of recombinant protein rCP09720**

The expression and purification of rCP09720 were performed as described previously [25]. Briefly, plasmid pAE/pCP09720 was inserted in *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) Star. IPTG (1 mM) was added to induce protein expression in an orbital shaker at 37 °C for 3h. Expression of rCP09720 was confirmed by Western blotting using a peroxidase conjugated monoclonal antibody against 6×His tag (Sigma). Nickel-affinity chromatography purification was performed on a sepharose column (HisTrap; GE Healthcare). The purity level was determined by 12 % SDS-PAGE, and protein concentration using a commercial kit based on bichoninic acid methodology (Pierce).

**In vitro transfection of Chinese hamster ovary cells with DNA vaccine**

Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cells were transfected with DNA from pTARGET/pCP09720 or the naked pTARGET to confirm in vitro protein expression. CHO cells were cultivated on polystyrene 96-well plates (Nunc) using Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (Invitrogen) medium as described previously [27]. After an 80 % confluence, cells were transfected using 1 µg pTARGET/pCP09720 or pTARGET and 1 µl Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen). After 48 h of incubation, protein expression was evaluated using immunofluorescence assay (IFA). Polyclonal antibodies directed against the rCP09720 protein (1 : 100) and rabbit anti-mouse antibody conjugated with fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC; Sigma-Aldrich) diluted at 1 : 80 were used for IFA.

**Experimental animals and ethical aspects**

B1ALB/c mice (6–8 weeks old) were obtained from the Central Animal Facility of the Federal University of Pelotas, where the immunization assay was also conducted. All mice were kept in
cages containing wood shavings, bedding, free access to water and a maintenance diet ad libitum in a 12 h light/dark cycle, at room temperature of 21±2°C. All experiments were performed in compliance with the procedures of the Brazilian College of Animal Experimentation (COBEA). The Ethics Committee on Animal Experimentation (CEEA) of Pelotas Federal University (UFPe) approved the project (approval number 2442). All efforts were made to maximize animals’ welfare.

**Vaccination and challenge**

BALB/c mice (6–8 weeks old) were divided into four groups of 15 mice each. Animals were immunized with two doses intercalated by 21 day intervals. Groups were distributed as follows: G1, subcutaneously (s.c.) inoculated with 50 µg of rCP09720 associated with Al(OH)₃ at 15%; G2, intramuscularly (i.m.) inoculated with 50 µg of DNA vaccine pTARGET; G3, i.m. inoculated with naked pTARGET; and G4, control, s.c. inoculated with PBS. Blood samples were collected by retro-orbital plexus puncture on days 0, 21 and 42 after the first immunization. Twelve mice per group were challenged intraperitoneally (i.p.) 21 days after a previous chequerboard titration.

**Assessment of humoral immune response**

IgG1 (related to a Th2 cellular response) and IgG2a (related to a Th1 cellular response) levels in individual mouse serum samples were determined by indirect ELISA, as described previously [29] with minor modifications. High binding polystyrene 96-well plates (Maxisorp; Nunc) were coated with 100 ng well⁻¹ of the rCP09720 recombinant protein (1 µg ml⁻¹) diluted in bicarbonate–carbonate buffer (pH 9.6) for 16 h at 4°C. After that, plates were washed three times with PBS containing 0.05% Tween 20 (PBS-T). Noncoated binding sites were blocked with 100 µl well⁻¹ of 5% skimmed milk diluted in PBS for 1 h at 37°C. Serum samples were diluted 1:100 in PBS-T and incubated for 1 h at 37°C, and washed three times with PBS-T. Then, 100 µl well⁻¹ of goat anti-mouse IgG1 or IgG2a (Sigma-Aldrich) was added (1:4000) for 1 h at 37°C and washed five times with PBS-T. Then, rabbit anti-goat IgG conjugated with horseradish peroxidase (Sigma-Aldrich) diluted in PBS-T (1:6000) was added. After incubation for 1 h at 37°C, plates were then washed five times with PBS-T. A colorimetric reaction was obtained using substrate-chromogen solution (o-phenylene-diamine dihydrochloride, OPD; Sigma-Aldrich) in 0.4 mg ml⁻¹ phosphate–citrate buffer containing 0.04% 30% hydrogen peroxide (pH 5.0). Plates were incubated at room temperature in the dark for 15 min. The reaction was stopped by adding 25 µl 4 N H₂SO₄. Absorbance values were obtained on an ELISA plate reader (Mindray) set at 450 nm. Each sample was tested in duplicate. The best dilutions for sera and conjugate and antigen concentration were achieved by a previous chequerboard titration.

**Assessment of cellular immune response**

Cellular immune response evaluation was performed as previously described by C. pseudotuberculosis research protocols in mice models [30], with some modifications. Briefly, at 21 days from the last immunization, three mice from groups G1, G2 and G4 were sacrificed, and the spleen removed for splenocyte isolation. Spleen cell suspensions obtained from each animal were placed in complete RPMI medium [RPMI 1640 medium (Gibco BRL) supplemented with 10% foetal bovine serum (Sigma–Aldrich), 100 IU ml⁻¹ penicillin and 100 µg ml⁻¹ streptomycin (LGC Bio)], counted in a Neubauer chamber and cell concentration was adjusted to 2×10⁶ cells ml⁻¹. Viability was assured by exclusion with Trypan Blue staining (Vetc). Splenocytes were cultivated in triplicate into 24-well plates (Techno Plastic Products) containing 2×10⁵ cells (100 µl) per well. Cells were stimulated with sterile PBS (negative control), 15 µg ml⁻¹ recombinant protein rCP09720 or 8 µg ml⁻¹ concanavalin A (ConA) mitogen (positive control). Plates were incubated with 5% CO₂ at 37°C for 72 h (maximum). Splenocytes were then collected and submitted to total RNA extraction with Trizol (Invitrogen), following the manufacturer’s recommendations. Synthesis of cDNA was performed with 1 µg total RNA using the High Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription kit (Applied Biosystems) according to the manufacturer’s recommended protocol. The cDNA was used for quantification of the expression profile of the cytokines IL-4, IL-10, IL-12 and IFN-γ by real-time PCR using the Stratagene Mx3005P Real-Time PCR System (Agilent Technologies, and Sybr Green reagent (Applied Biosystems). Primers sequences used were F 5’ CCAAGGTGCTTTGGCATA TTI 3’ and R 5’ ATCGAAAGCCGAAAGAGT 3’ for IL-4 [31] F 5’ TTTGAATTCTTCGGGAGAA 3’ and R 5’ ACAGGGGAGAAATCGATGACA 3’ for IL-10 [32] F 5’ AGACCAGCTTCTTCATCAGG 3’ and R 5’ CTTTCCGGTCCA GAGTTTACACCCCTCC 3’ for IL-12 [32] F 5’ GGCTGTCTTCAACTGACACCTC 3’ and R 5’ GACCGCTAATGTGTCGGGA 3’ for IL-10 [32] F 5’ CCAAGGTGCTTTGGCATA TTI 3’ and R 5’ ATCGAAAGCCGAAAGAGT 3’. The results were normalized using the GPDH gene using the primers F 5’ AAGCAGGCCCCCACTCAGC 3’, as described previously [33].

**Statistical analysis**

Data were expressed as the mean±SD and analysed using GraphPad Prism, version 5, for Windows (GraphPad Software). Statistical differences among groups for IgG1 and IgG2a levels and cytokine expression were determined using one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s post-test. Fisher’s test and a log-rank test were used to determine significant differences in mortality and survival rates, respectively. Data were considered statistically different when the P-value was 0.05 or less.

**RESULTS**

**Recombinant vaccines**

Recombinant esterase rCP09720 from C. pseudotuberculosis was successfully expressed in E. coli BL21 (DE3) Star strain. The resultant yield after purification and refolding was
6 mg l⁻¹ recombinant protein. Expression was confirmed by Western blot analysis using a monoclonal anti-6×His antibody (Fig. 1). The DNA vaccine and a control plasmid (pTARGET/cp09720 and pTARGET) were used to transfect CHO cells to assess in vitro CP1957 expression (Fig. 2). In vitro expression of the CP1957 protein was confirmed by IFA and the clone with more intense fluorescence was selected as the DNA vaccine.

**Humoral immune response induced in immunized mice**

Recombinant protein-based vaccine rCP09720/Al(OH)₃ (G1) induced the highest levels of IgG1 and IgG2a, as shown in Fig. 3. G1 was capable of inducing a significantly higher (P<0.05) production of IgG1 (Fig. 3a) and IgG2a (Fig. 3b) when compared to all other groups (G2, G3 and G4) on days 21 and 42 after immunization.

**Cellular immune response evaluation**

Cellular immune response was assessed by the transcription levels of genes that encode cytokines IL-4, IL-10, IL-12 and IFN-γ (Fig. 4). The results showed that G1 and G2 were able to induce significantly increased levels of IFN-γ expression when compared to the G3 and G4 control groups (P<0.05). However, G1 presented the highest levels of IFN-γ mRNA (Fig. 4a). No significant levels of IL-12, IL-10 and IL-4 were observed in the G1 and G2 groups when compared to the G4 control group, and no significant statistical differences were observed when G1 and G2 were compared (Figs 4b–d).

![Fig. 1. Western blot analysis using monoclonal anti-6×His antibody (1:4000) showing the purified rCP09720 of approximately 34 kDa used for vaccine preparation. 1, rCP09720 protein. 2, Full-Range Rainbow Molecular Weight Marker (GE Healthcare).](image1)

![Fig. 2. Indirect immunofluorescence of CHO cells transfected with (a) pTARGET control and (b) pTARGET/cp1957, using a polyclonal anti-rCP09720, revealed the in vitro expression of the CP09720 protein.](image2)

![Fig. 3. IgG1 (a) and IgG2a (b) levels induced by recombinant subunit and DNA vaccines developed with the target CP09720 of C. pseudotuberculosis. The measurement was performed by indirect ELISA at 0, 21 and 42 days post-immunization, and results were obtained in an ELISA reader set at 450 nm and expressed as optical density values. Results are presented as means and standard deviation (bars) for each experiment with 12 animals per group. Significant differences between IgG isotype production in different groups was calculated by employing one-way ANOVA followed by the Tukey post-test. *Result statistically different (P<0.05) in relation to negative control (G4).](image3)
Protective potential of recombinant esterase

Immunization with rCP09720/Al(OH)₃ (G1) partially protected animals against infection with *C. pseudotuberculosis* MIC-6 strain (*P* < 0.05), resulting in a protection rate of 58.3% (Fig. 5). As regards the DNA vaccine, G2 immunized with pTARGET/cp09720 showed a lower protection rate (16.6%, *P* > 0.05), despite the increased survival rate for G3 or G4. All the animals in the negative control groups (G3 and G4) died. No side effects, tissue damage or any modification of animals’ health conditions due to the vaccination protocol or even due to the vector herein used was observed.

**DISCUSSION**

To our knowledge, this is the first work describing the use of the *cp1002_RS09720* gene from *C. pseudotuberculosis* in recombinant subunit and DNA vaccines. The gene was recently described as an immunogenic target, with a high number of epitopes in the mature protein, by using the MED approach [22]. It is important to highlight that *cp1002_RS09720* is a highly conserved gene, presenting 100% identity among 31 sequenced strains of *C. pseudotuberculosis* (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi), which makes it an excellent target for vaccines in field conditions.

Here, the formulation based on rCP09720 associated with Al(OH)₃ was able to confer a significant protection level (*P* < 0.05) against challenge with the *C. pseudotuberculosis* MIC-6 virulent strain. The protective potential in G1 was associated with the highest levels of IgG1 and IgG2a, significant production of IFN-γ, and low biological levels of IL-4 and IL-10, a situation that points to an activation directed to the Th1 cellular immune profile. Aluminium hydroxide is the most used adjuvant for vaccine formulations. It is well known for its significant Th2 activation and induction of high levels of antibody production [34]. However, in some cases, aluminium hydroxide can drive a Th1 response in mice models, depending on the antigen employed in the vaccine’s design [35]. In this study, we could observe the last situation, since a significant increase in specific IgG1 production (associated with a Th2 response in mice) was observed [36]. Other adjuvants have been used in CLA vaccine formulation [3]. For example, levamisole, which is known for inducing a T helper type 1 response, was used in combination with a toxoid vaccine [37]. A study was carried...
out to examine the combined effect of vaccination with a commercial vaccine, and the results showed that the zinc-combined vaccine, as well as a washed antisep, were safe for ewes [38]. The muramyl dipeptide (MDP), an immune stimulant of the reticuloendothelial system, was used in association with low and high doses of crude bacterial antigens, cell wall and toxin. The authors concluded that vaccines composed of high doses of C. pseudotuberculosis toxin associated with MDP showed induction of protective immunity in alpacas challenged with a virulent C. pseudotuberculosis strain [39].

Despite the partial protection (58.3%) offered by the rCP09720/Al(OH)₃ vaccine formulation, it should be considered that a few studies have been conducted to develop a recombinant subunit vaccine for CLA and most showed lower and intermediate rates. The use of rHSP60 did not protect mice against a virulent C. pseudotuberculosis challenge [18, 40]. Only 44% of sheep immunized with genetically inactivated PLD were protected against CLA [11]. A recombinant serine protease of 40 kDa (rCP40) associated with saponin was able to protect 90% of challenged mice [20]. When rCP40 was associated with to Freund’s adjuvant, 100% of animals were protected after challenge. However 60% of animals immunized with the control Freund’s adjuvant survived [41].

The use of pTARGET/cp09720 as a DNA vaccine was not able to confer significant protection (16.6% protection rate, P>0.05) and to induce IgG1 or IgG2a production. However, immunization with pTARGET/cp09720 induced significant IFN-γ production (P<0.05), which can be associated with the rate of protection. DNA vaccine is known to induce a Th1 cellular response mainly by the induction of IFN-γ production by CD4+ Th1 lymphocytes and CD8+ cytotoxic T cells [36, 42].

Previous studies described DNA vaccines as less effective than conventional or protein subunit vaccines in protecting against infection when considering some specific pathogen models, probably due to the weak and short-term immunity elicited [1, 43]. So far, just two targets have been assessed in DNA vaccines against C. pseudotuberculosis [44]. hsp60 DNA vaccination in mice was not able to induce protection [18], but when a genetically detoxified phospholipase D (APLD) was targeted as a CTLA-4 fusion protein, vaccination provided a 70% protection level [44]. In our study, pTARGET/cp09720 was not able to induce a specific immune response nor a significant protection against CLA in mice, and maybe a technique aiming to target proteins to antigen-presenting cells could be capable of increasing the immune potential of DNA vaccines with rCP09720.

Subunit and DNA recombinant vaccines have been developed in an attempt to improve the efficacy of CLA vaccines. The route of administration is important when these kinds of vaccines are used. For example, de Rose et al. [45] tested and proved the superiority of i.m. in inducing a strong memory response and sterile immunity following challenge with C. pseudotuberculosis through the use of a plasmid encoding a PLD antigen linked to CTLA4-Ig. However, the s.c. route is the more utilized in all kinds of CLA vaccine (toxoid, bacterin, subunit recombinant and DNA vaccines) [3].

Several models of CLA vaccines have already been evaluated [3, 30]. The first kind of vaccine evaluated for CLA prevention was killed whole bacteria (bacterin). However, the protection level was only partial [46, 47]. The secreted toxoid of C. pseudotuberculosis (PLD) is another approach for CLA vaccines [48, 49]. Some studies have used a bacterin and toxoid combination [37, 50, 51]. A study of natural infection in goats using this kind of vaccine was not completely efficient and it was recommended only as a protective measure against CLA [50]. The strategy used in our study was also evaluated in other studies, but few antigens were assessed using this strategy and they resulted in variable protection levels [20, 40, 52].

The Th1 response is considered to be the protective immunity against C. pseudotuberculosis infection [44, 53]. Moreover, the major cytokine involved in the effector mechanisms of this immune response is IFN-γ. This cytokine enhances phagocytosis activity in macrophages and activates CD8+ cytotoxic cells to destroy infected cells [52, 54]. IL-12 also has an important role in this process because it can be produced by antigen-presenting cells and drive Th0 cells to differentiate into Th1 effector cells. In our study, recombinant esterase rCP09720 was clearly able to induce a Th1 response due to the significant IFN-γ production, high IgG2a levels and low biological levels of IL-10 and IL-4. However, this immune response was not able to confer...
full protection against the virulent bacteria. This points towards the following considerations. First, although the antigen activates an adequate cellular immune response, the response intensity was not sufficient to quell infection by an extremely virulent \textit{C. pseudotuberculosis} strain. Second, since the antigen can activate a Th1 response, we could manipulate the immune response through different antigen doses, adjuvants, route of administration and time of vaccination to induce more intense lymphocyte activation and cytokine and immunoglobulin production. Our group is searching for new vaccinal methodologies to enhance the protection conferred by the recombinant esterase.

In conclusion, the recombinant esterase \textit{rCP09720} associated with an Al(OH)$_3$ adjuvant protected 58.3\% of mice against \textit{C. pseudotuberculosis} virulent challenge. It induced a humoral response and an adequate, but not sufficient, Th1 response required for full protection. On the other hand, DNA vaccination with \textit{pTARGET/cp09720} showed 16.6\% protection in challenged mice. It induced IFN-\gamma production but failed to induce anti-\textit{rCP09720}-specific antibodies.
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