Macrolide and tetracycline resistance in clinical strains of *Streptococcus agalactiae* isolated in Tunisia
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Between 2007 and 2009, 226 clinical strains of *Streptococcus agalactiae*, recovered from female genital specimens and from gastric fluid or ear specimens from infected newborns, were isolated at the Laboratory of Microbiology of Charles Nicolle Hospital of Tunis. They were investigated to determine the prevalence of antibiotic resistance and to characterize the mechanisms of resistance to macrolide and tetracycline. All strains were susceptible to penicillin, ampicillin and quinupristin–dalfopristin. They were resistant to chloramphenicol (3.1 %), rifampicin (19.1 %), erythromycin (40 %) and tetracycline (97.3 %); 3.1 % were highly resistant to streptomycin and 1.3 % to gentamicin. Among the erythromycin-resistant isolates, 78.7 % showed a constitutive macrolide–lincosamide–streptogramin B (MLSB) phenotype with high-level resistance to macrolides and clindamycin (MICso > 256 \( \mu \)g ml\(^{-1}\)), 10 % showed an inducible MLSB phenotype with high MICs of macrolides (MICso > 256 \( \mu \)g ml\(^{-1}\)) and low MICs of clindamycin (MICso = 8 \( \mu \)g ml\(^{-1}\)) and 2.2 % showed an M phenotype with a low erythromycin-resistance level (MIC range = 12–32 \( \mu \)g ml\(^{-1}\)) and low MICs of clindamycin (MIC range: 0.75–1 \( \mu \)g ml\(^{-1}\)). All strains were susceptible to quinupristin–dalfopristin and linezolid (MICso: 0.75 \( \mu \)g ml\(^{-1}\) for each). MLSB phenotypes were genotypically confirmed by the presence of the erm(B) gene and the M phenotype by the mef(A) gene. Resistance to tetracycline was mainly due to the tet(M) gene (93.1 %) encoding a ribosome protection mechanism. This determinant is commonly associated with the conjugative transposon Tn916 (\( P \leq 0.0002 \)). tet(O) and tet(T) existed in a minority (2.2 % and 0.4 %, respectively). The efflux mechanism presented by tet(L) was less frequently present (4.5 %). No significant association was found between erm(B) and tet(M) genes.

INTRODUCTION

*Streptococcus agalactiae* [also designated group B *Streptococcus* (GBS)], although a normal commensal of the gastrointestinal and genitourinary tracts, is one of the leading causes of morbidity and mortality among newborns (Reid, 1975; Collins *et al*., 1998). Neonatal infection generally results from vertical transmission of GBS from colonized mothers to their infants (Schrag *et al*., 2000).

Penicillin and ampicillin are the drugs of choice for prevention or treatment of *S. agalactiae* infections (Andrews *et al*., 2000), and macrolides and related drugs are the recommended alternatives for patients who are allergic to \( \beta \)-lactam agents. GBS is considered to be susceptible to \( \beta \)-lactam antimicrobial agents, but the emergence of strains resistant to macrolides and tetracycline has increased in recent years in several countries (Betriu *et al*., 2004; Bingen *et al*., 2004; Sahnoune *et al*., 2007; Lin *et al*., 2000; Morales *et al*., 1999).

Erythromycin resistance in GBS is conferred either by methylases that modify the ribosomal target of macrolides, or by active drug efflux. Ribosomal modification encoded by *erm* genes (ermB or *ermA*) results in cross-resistance to macrolide–lincosamide–streptogramin B (MLS\( B_0 \)). The phenotypic expression of MLS\( B_0 \) resistance can be inducible (iMLS\( B_0 \)) or constitutive (cMLS\( B_0 \)) (Roberts *et al*., 1999). Macrolide efflux is mediated by a membrane-bound protein encoded by the mef(A) gene, which confers resistance only to 14- and 15-membered ring macrolides, resulting in the M phenotype of resistance (Clancy *et al*., 1996).

Tetracycline resistance is due to acquisition of two mechanisms: an efflux-mediated mechanism encoded by tet(K) or tet(L) genes; and ribosomal protection proteins, which protect the ribosome from the action of tetracycline, mediated by tet(M), tet(O), tet(S), tet(P), tet(Q) and tet(U) genes (Chopra & Roberts, 2001). Tetracycline-resistance genes are often found on mobile genetic elements that carry macrolide-resistance genes, so co-occurrence of resistance to both classes of drugs can be observed.

The aim of this study was to assess the prevalence of antibiotic resistance in GBS isolated at Charles Nicolle...
hospital of Tunis (a 1000-bed university hospital) from January 2007 to December 2009 and to characterize the molecular mechanisms of macrolide and tetracycline resistance.

METHODS

Bacterial strains. Between 2007 and 2009, a total of 226 clinical strains of GBS isolated at the Laboratory of Microbiology of Charles Nicolle Hospital of Tunis were investigated. They were recovered from vaginal swabs (n=120) and from gastric fluid or ear specimens from infected newborns (n=106).

All GBS strains were grown on blood agar plates incubated in 5% CO2 at 37°C overnight. They were identified by their colony morphology, β-haemolysis, absence of catalase, group B latex agglutination (bioMérieux) and the API Rapid ID32 STREP system (bioMérieux).

Antimicrobial susceptibility testing. Antimicrobial susceptibility testing was done by the disc diffusion method on Mueller–Hinton agar supplemented with 5% defibrinated horse blood according to the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute criteria (CLSI, 2006).

MIC determination. MICs of macrolides (erythromycin, clarithromycin, azithromycin), lincosamides (clindamycin), streptogramin (quinupristin–dalfopristin) and oxazolidinone (linezolid) were determined by the double disc diffusion method using erythromycin (15 μg) and clindamycin (2 μg) discs as described elsewhere (Seppälä et al., 1993).

MIC ranges, MIC50 and MIC90 of erythromycin, clari- thromycin, azithromycin, clindamycin, quinupristin- dalfopristin and linezolid are summarized in Table 1.

Detection of macrolide- and tetracycline-resistance genes. The erm(B), erm(A) and mef(A) macrolide-resistance genes were detected by multiplex PCR (Lopardo et al., 2003). DNA was extracted using Instagene Matrix (Bio-Rad Laboratories) and 10 μl DNA was added to 40 μl PCR mixture containing 2.5 U GoTaq DNA polymerase (Promega), 1.5 mM MgCl2, 0.2 mM of each deoxynucleoside triphosphate and primers. Amplification was carried out in a Perkin-Elmer 2400 GeneAmp thermal cycler. Conditions for amplification were as follows: initial denaturation at 95°C for 3 min, followed by 35 cycles of denaturation at 95 °C for 1 min, primer annealing at 57°C for 1 min and extension at 72°C for 1 min, with a final elongation step at 72°C for 5 min.

The tet(M), tet(O), tet(S), tet(T), tet(L) and tet(K) tetracycline-resistance determinants and the int-Tn gene, encoding the integrase of Tn916, were determined by single PCRs (Poyart et al., 2003). Each PCR was performed by adding 5 μl chromosomal template DNA to a 45 μl PCR mixture that included 2.5 U GoTaq DNA polymerase (Promega), 1.5 mM MgCl2, 0.2 mM of each deoxynucleoside triphosphate and 0.4 μM of each primer. Amplification was performed in a Perkin-Elmer 2400 GeneAmp thermal cycler. The cycling conditions consisted of an initial denaturation at 95 °C for 5 min, followed by 30 cycles of 95 °C for 30 s, 55 °C for 1 min and 72 °C for 45 s. A final elongation step was performed at 72 °C for 7 min.

PCR products were resolved by electrophoresis on 1% agarose gels.

Statistical analysis. Pearson’s χ² test was used to assess inter-group significance. Statistical significance was set at P-value ≤ 0.05.

RESULTS

Antibiotic susceptibility

The susceptibility analysis showed that all isolates were fully susceptible to penicillin, ampicillin and pristinamycin; 3.1% and 19.1% of isolates were resistant to chloramphenicol and rifampicin, respectively; and 3.1% were highly resistant to streptomycin and 1.3% to gentamicin.

Forty per cent (n=90) of isolates were resistant to erythromycin and 38.4% (n=87) to clindamycin. Among the 90 erythromycin-resistant isolates, 79, 9 and 2 strains expressed the cMLSβ, iMLSβ and M phenotypes, respectively. All the erythromycin-resistant strains were concomitantly resistant to tetracycline.

MIC ranges, MIC50 and MIC90 of erythromycin, clarithromycin, azithromycin, clindamycin, quinupristin–dalfopristin and linezolid are summarized in Table 1.

S. agalactiae showed a high rate of resistance to tetracycline (97.3%; n=220).

Among the 220 tetracycline-resistant isolates, tet(M) was the most frequently found determinant (n=205; 93.1%), followed by tet(L) (n=10; 4.5%), tet(O) (n=5; 2.2%) and tet(T) (1 strain; 0.4%). Four isolates harbouring the tet(O) gene also presented tet(M), while all tet(L)-positive isolates also possessed the tet(M) gene simultaneously. Neither the tet(K) nor tet(S) determinant was detected in the present study. Fourteen isolates did not harbour any of the tetracycline-resistance genes tested.

The statistical analysis revealed that there was no significant association between erm(B) and tet(M) genes.

Eighty-five per cent of tetracycline-resistant isolates harboured the int-Tn gene, encoding the integrase, and a significant correlation was found between the presence of the tet(M) gene and the int-Tn gene (P≤0.0002).

DISCUSSION

Penicillin is the first-line agent recommended for prophylaxis and treatment of GBS diseases. Our study confirms the uniform susceptibility to penicillin, to which resistance is rarely described (Hsueh et al., 2001). Macrolides are the recommended alternatives for penicillin hypersensitive patients, while resistance to macrolides has increased during the last decade in several countries with some geographical variations. In our hospital, 40% of GBS were resistant to erythromycin. This rate of resistance can be compared to data from Taiwan (43–46%) and the USA (54%) (Hsueh et al., 2001; DiPersio & DiPersio, 2006), but it is higher than rates reported in recent Arabian studies (0.7% in Kuwait and 13.15% in Egypt (Al-Sweih et al.,...
The efflux mechanism presented by \textit{tet}(L) was less frequently present (4.5 %).

Fourteen isolates did not contain any of the tested tetracycline-resistance genes. It is possible that these isolates carried another \textit{tet} determinant.

Our study confirms a significant association between the \textit{tet}(M) gene and the conjugative transposon \textit{Tn}916. Resistance to tetracyclines in \textit{S. agalactiae} is assumed to be mainly due to the presence of conjugative transposons. \textit{tet}(M) can be transferred via \textit{Tn}916-related transposons (Flannagan \textit{et al.}, 1994), which may account for its spread under selective pressure.
Conclusions

To our knowledge, this is the first report to describe the distribution of phenotypic and genotypic characteristics of macrolide and tetracycline resistance among *S. agalactiae* strains in Tunisia.

Erythromycin resistance is relatively high in our country, and target site modification encoded by the *erm*(B) gene was the most predominant mechanism. Thus, antibiotic treatment for patients allergic to penicillin must be guided by macrolide susceptibility testing.

The high level of tetracycline resistance observed in this study was mainly due to the presence of the *tet*(M) gene encoding ribosomal protection proteins. *tet*(M) was commonly associated with the presence of the conjugative transposon Tn916.

Continued surveillance of the genetic backgrounds of antimicrobial-resistant GBS strains and more controlled use of these antibiotics in Tunisia are needed.
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