Enhancement of the cytotoxic activity of *Clostridium difficile* toxin A by surface-associated antigens
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Cell-surface antigens of *Clostridium difficile* and LPS from *Escherichia coli* were investigated for modulating effects on the activity of *C. difficile* toxin A on Vero and Caco2 cells. The antigens of *C. difficile* tested comprised: (i) an EDTA extract, which contained several major and minor cell-surface proteins and the membrane-associated lipocarbohydrate (LC); (ii) a guanidine hydrochloride extract, which mainly contained the surface-layer proteins; (iii) an aqueous phenol-extracted, protein-free LC. On their own, none of the antigens had a detrimental effect on the cells, with the EDTA extract and LC having a marginally protective effect. When these antigens were added to suboptimal levels of toxin A, there was significant enhancement of its cytotoxicity by the EDTA and LC preparations on both cell types. LPS showed some enhancement of the effect of toxin on Vero cells at the lowest levels of toxin investigated. It was concluded that this effect seen in vitro may have a role to play in the colon during infection with *C. difficile*.

INTRODUCTION

The major virulence factors of most strains of pathogenic *Clostridium difficile* are accepted to be the two large clostridial toxins: toxin A (TcdA, an enterotoxin) and toxin B (TcdB, a cytoxin), although certain other cell-associated molecules may have a secondary role in virulence, such as promoting adhesion or evading the immune response (Poxton et al., 2001). Although there is a suggestion that the amount of toxins produced is related to virulence—mainly through the observations that the hypervirulent ribotype 027 strains produce more toxin than other types (Warny et al., 2005)—there is not a strict correlation between the toxigenicity of the strain (the amount of toxin produced in vitro) and the degree of disease (Borriello et al., 1987). The absence of both toxins leads to avirulence of the strain. Strains belonging to the A−B− phenotype, which only produce toxin B, are as virulent as those producing both toxins (Drudy et al., 2007). The ADP-ribosylating binary toxin CDT (cytotoxic distending toxin), found in a minority of strains of *C. difficile*, can exert an effect on the cytoskeleton, but has no proven role in pathogenesis (Geric et al., 2006). Other virulence factors, in particular putative adhesins, have been considered and, although the role of these factors is not fully understood, it is believed that they may contribute to pathogenesis (Péchiné et al., 2007). However, as far as we are aware, such components, either those belonging to *C. difficile* cells themselves or other bacterial components found in the colonic lumen, have not been considered as agents capable of modulating the action of toxins.

The aim of this study was to test the hypothesis that the cytotoxic effect of the *C. difficile* toxins can be enhanced by the presence of antigens associated with the cell surface of *C. difficile* and by LPS, a molecule likely to be found at high concentrations in the gastrointestinal tract. Two different cell lines were selected to test the activity of purified toxin A: the commonly used toxin-sensitive Vero cells and differentiating Caco2 cells.

METHODS

Production of crude toxin by dialysis culture. An overnight culture (1 ml) of a toxigenic strain of *C. difficile* (strain MPRL338a) was used to inoculate a sterilized, pre-reduced dialysis bag, containing before sterilization approximately 50 ml 0.85% NaCl, suspended in 500 ml proteose peptone yeast extract (PPY) medium (Deacon et al., 1978), in a stoppered, vented 1 l conical flask. After 4 days of incubation at 37 °C in an anaerobic atmosphere (10 % H₂, 10 % CO₂, 80 % N₂) in a DWS Mark III workstation (Don Whitley Scientific), the contents of the dialysis bag were harvested (10 000 g, 15 min) and the supernatant was dialysed overnight against Tris-buffered saline [TBS: 0.05 M Tris/HCl (pH 7.0), 0.15 M NaCl] at 4 °C. This supernatant, which contained the extracellular products but none of the culture medium components, was filter-sterilized and stored at 4 °C.

Purification of toxin A. This purification was based on methods developed by Krivan & Wilkins (1987) and Kamiya et al. (1989),
which utilize the binding of toxin A to bovine thyroglobulin at 4 °C and its elution at 37 °C.

Cyanogen bromide-activated Sepharose gel (4.4 g; Amersham Biosciences) was washed thoroughly in 1 mM HCl to remove any additives present. It was then allowed to react overnight (4 °C) with 60 ml 5 g bovine thyroglobulin 1−1 (Sigma) in 0.1 M MOPS buffer (pH 7.0) that had previously been centrifuged (8000 g, 10 min) and filtered (0.22 μm) to remove insoluble particles. The mixture was blocked with 40 ml 0.1 M ethanolamine for 30 min (4 °C) and then washed with 0.1 M MOPS buffer (pH 7.0).

The coupled beads were packed into a column (ClO; Amersham Biosciences) and washed at 37 °C with 120 ml pre-warmed basic buffer [0.1 M glycine/NaOH (pH 10.0), 0.5 M NaCl] and 120 ml pre-warmed acidic buffer [0.1 M glycine/HCl (pH 2.0), 0.5 M NaCl]. The column was then equilibrated at 4 °C with 120 ml TBS. C. difficile culture filtrate (100 ml at 4 °C) was added. The column was washed with 25 ml TBS at 4 °C and toxin A was eluted with 50 ml TBS at 37 °C. The absorbance of the fractions was monitored at 280 nm, and fractions were separated by SDS-PAGE to check purity and tested for toxin activity with a Techlab kit for C. difficile toxin A/B detection.

Cytotoxicity assays were also carried out in Vero and Caco2 cells. Fractions containing toxin were pooled, filter-sterilized, assayed for total protein by the Bradford method (Bio-Rad) and kept at 4 °C. For reuse, the column was washed with 60 ml acidic buffer at room temperature and equilibrated with 60 ml TBS at 4 °C.

Cell culture. The Vero cell line is a well-established cell line originally obtained from kidney epithelium of the African green monkey, and has been used extensively for testing the cytotoxicity of C. difficile. The Caco2 lineage was obtained from a human colon cancer and is has been used extensively for testing the cytotoxicity of SLPs, SLPs from whole cells were extracted using 5 M guanidine hydrochloride (GHCl) using the method described by McCoubrey & Poxton (2001). A 1% inoculum of a C. difficile overnight PPY culture was placed into 4 ml PPY and incubated anaerobically at 37 °C overnight. The overnight culture was harvested (3000 g, 20 min) and washed twice in the same volume of PBS. The pellet was then drained and resuspended in 0.3 ml 5 M GHCl solution and transferred into a screw-capped Eppendorf tube. The samples were shaken in a red blood cell shaker at room temperature for 2 h and then centrifuged twice at 13 000 g for 2 min to remove any remaining bacteria. The final supernatant was stored at −20 °C.

Dialysis was carried out to remove the GHCl in 1 l of 6.25 mM Tris/ HCl buffer (pH 6.8) overnight at 4 °C on a magnetic stirrer. The buffer was changed and dialysis continued for a further 4 h. The dialysed extracts were stored at −20 °C.

Surface lipocarbohydrate (LC). This method was based on those described by Poxton & Cartmill (1982) and Sharp & Poxton (1986). Six litres of pre-reduced PPY was inoculated with an overnight C. difficile culture and grown anaerobically overnight at 37 °C. Cells were harvested (10 000 g, 10 min, 4 °C), washed in PBS, suspended in a suitable volume of PBS and disrupted by sonication at 10 μm (MSE Soniprobe). The cell walls and any unbroken cells were removed by centrifugation (4000 g for 10 min, followed by 35 000 g, for 30 min) and the supernatants collected into a weighed container and lyophilized.

The lyophilized supernatant was delipidated twice with 200 ml chloroform/methanol (2:1) over 24 h, filtered through Whatman No. 1 paper and allowed to air dry. The dry delipidated cell contents and membrane fraction were resuspended in distilled water to 10% (w/v) and mixed with an equal volume of 80% (w/v) phenol solution. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 30 min and then centrifuged at 2500 g for 20 min at 4 °C. The upper layer was collected and dialysed against tap water to remove the phenol. The dialysed material was then mixed with an equal volume of 0.2 M acetic acid/acetate buffer (pH 5.0) containing 0.02 M MgCl₂ and approximately 100 μg each of RNase and DNase (both from Sigma). The mixture was incubated overnight at 37 °C in the presence of toluene. The phenol extraction was repeated on the incubated mixture to remove nucleases and, after dialysis of the upper layer, lyophilized in a pre-weighted container. This was labelled crude membrane antigen and stored at room temperature.

Enhancement of cytotoxicity by antigen. Microtitre plates were inoculated with 100 μl of a 10% FBS solution containing 10⁶ cells ml⁻¹ and incubated at 37 °C, 5% CO₂, overnight until the cells were confluent. Blanks were inoculated with 20 μl DMEM medium, toxin controls were inoculated with 10 μl of a dilution of toxin in DMEM medium and 10 μl medium plus FBS, and tests were inoculated with 10 μl of the same toxin dilution and 10 μl of an appropriate concentration of the antigen (see Results). Each set of results (shown as individual columns in Figs 1–4) was the mean of 10–28 replicates for the Vero cells and 6–37 replicates for the Caco2 cells, and was normally 10–12 replicates. Plates were incubated at 37 °C, 5% CO₂, and viability was measured using an MTT [3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide] assay.

MTT assay. The MTT assay is a cell viability test. Viable cells are able to reduce yellow MTT into formazan, which is purple, and this reduction, which is carried out by a mitochondrial reductase, can easily be measured using a spectrophotometer. MTT solution (20 μl 5 mg l⁻¹ solution in sterile PBS) was added to each well. Plates were
incubated at 37 °C, 5% CO₂, for 4 h. The formazan produced was solubilized in DMSO (100 μl per well) and the colour change measured at A₅₇₀.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Titration of toxin on cells

Initial experiments were performed to titrate the toxins against the two cell lines. Toxin was added in doubling dilutions ranging from 21 to 0.16 μg ml⁻¹ to the Vero cells. The first three dilutions drastically reduced cell viability; for example, the difference between 10.5 μg toxin ml⁻¹ and no toxin gave a P value of 0.0006. Although the reduction for the 5.25 μg ml⁻¹ toxin concentration also looked as significantly different as the previous concentration, only 6 replicates were used to calculate this mean (compared with up to 28 for other concentrations) and the difference was therefore not statistically significant. Lower concentrations did not significantly reduce cell viability (Fig. 1a). Pilot experiments with the Caco2 cells showed that they were more sensitive to toxin than the Vero cells, and therefore different concentrations of toxin were used in the initial titrations (Fig. 1b). The highest toxin concentration of 21 μg ml⁻¹ was diluted fivefold to 4.2 μg ml⁻¹ and further diluted tenfold. The 0.42 μg toxin ml⁻¹ concentration was the lowest concentration that gave drastically reduced cell viability (P<0.0001 compared with no toxin).

Antigens and cells

It was important to know whether the cell-associated surface antigens themselves had toxic effects on the monolayers. For Vero cells, the antigens used for challenge were added to the monolayers as follows: 10 μl per well of a 380 μg antigen ml⁻¹ dialysed EDTA extract, 10 μl per well of a 770 μg antigen ml⁻¹ dialysed GHCl extraction, 10 μl per well of a 1000 μg antigen ml⁻¹ LC solution and 10 μl per well of a 1000 μg antigen ml⁻¹ Escherichia coli 018K⁻ LPS solution. Cell viability was tested using an MTT assay after 3 days of incubation and the results are shown in Fig. 2(a). No significant differences were found between the wells with no antigen or following the addition of GHCl extract (SLPs) or E. coli LPS. Cell viability was higher, however, after the addition of EDTA extract (P=0.0051) or LC (P=0.0003), presumably due to additional nutrient availability.

The Caco2 cells, being more sensitive to the toxin, were tested with half the concentrations of antigens that were added to the Vero cells. The results in Fig. 2(b) showed that there were no significant differences between the wells with no antigen and after the addition of any of the antigens,

![Fig. 1. Effect of titration of toxin A on the viability of Vero (a) and Caco2 (b) cells determined by an MTT assay after toxin addition. Asterisks indicate results that were significantly different from the no-toxin control: *, P<0.05; ***, P<0.001.](http://jmm.sgmjournals.org)

![Fig. 2. Effect of antigens on the viability of Vero (a) and Caco2 (b) cells determined by an MTT assay. Asterisks indicate results with a significant enhancement in cell number compared with the no-antigen control: **, P<0.01; ***, P<0.001. For Caco2 cells none of the antigens produced a significant difference compared with the no-antigen control.](http://jmm.sgmjournals.org)
suggesting that there was no detrimental effect produced by any of these four antigens when added on their own.

**Effect of antigens on toxin activity**

Once it had been determined that the extracts were not reducing cell viability on their own, they were tested to see whether they had any modulating influence on the activity of the toxin. The same concentrations of toxins and antigens employed above were added to the respective cell lines. For clarity, the results are shown in two separate graphs for each cell line (Figs 3 and 4), with the surface protein antigens (EDTA and GHCl; Figs 3a and 4a) separated from the carbohydrate antigens (LC and LPS; Fig. 3b and 4b).

In Fig. 3(a), the effect of the addition of protein antigens on Vero cells is shown. At the higher concentrations of toxin (21 and 10.5 μg ml⁻¹), the decrease in cell viability with and without the presence of surface proteins was not significantly different. However, when the concentration of toxin alone was not sufficient to reduce cell viability when compared with the controls (2.63–0.66 μg ml⁻¹), a decrease in viability was observed after the addition of EDTA extract, although this was only significant at 2.63 μg ml⁻¹ (P=0.0341 compared with toxin alone). Lower concentrations were too low to affect cell viability before and after the addition of the EDTA extract. As was seen in Fig. 1(a), the dilution of 2.63 μg ml⁻¹ was not enough to reduce viability, but after the addition of EDTA extract, the reduction in viability compared with the cells-only control was clearly significant (P=0.004, asterisk not shown on figure). It should also be remembered that the EDTA extract on its own did not reduce cell viability; in fact, as seen in Fig. 2(a), cell viability was increased. The addition of the GHCl extracts, which contained only the SLPs, did not alter cell viability, suggesting that the SLPs are not responsible for this enhancement of toxin activity.

The same experiments were performed with the surface carbohydrate of *C. difficile* (LC), which is analogous to lipoteichoic acid (Poxton & Cartmill, 1982), and *E. coli*.

![Graph](https://example.com/graph1.png)

**Fig. 3.** Effect of titration of toxin A on the viability of Vero cells determined by an MTT assay with or without the addition of surface-associated protein antigens (a) or surface-associated carbohydrate antigens (b). (a) White bars, cells + toxin; dark grey bars, cells + toxin + EDTA extract; light grey bars, cells + toxin + GHCl extract. (b) White bars, cells + toxin; dark grey bars, cells + toxin + LC extract; light grey bars, cells + toxin + LPS extract. *, P<0.05; **, P<0.01; ***, P<0.001.
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**Fig. 4.** Effect of titration of toxin A on viability of Caco 2 cells determined by MTT assay with or without the addition of surface-associated protein antigens (a) or surface-associated carbohydrate antigens (b). (a) White bars, cells + toxin; dark grey bars, cells + toxin + EDTA extract; light grey bars, cells + toxin + GHCl extract. (b) White bars, cells + toxin; dark grey bars, cells + toxin + LC extract; light grey bars, cells + toxin + LPS extract. **, P<0.01.
LPS. The results are shown in Fig. 3(b). As noted previously, neither agent on its own had a detrimental effect on the cells. However, when added in combination with the higher concentrations of toxin (dilutions of 21 and 10.5 µg ml\(^{-1}\)), which already affected cell viability, the addition of LC significantly reduced the cell viability further (\(P=0.0016\) and \(P=0.0362\), respectively). This was not observed after the addition of \(E.\) coli LPS. At lower concentrations of toxin (2.63 and 0.66 µg ml\(^{-1}\)) where cell viability was not affected in the presence of toxin alone, the addition of either LC or \(E.\) coli LPS significantly enhanced the toxic activity. The reduction in cell viability was highly significant at the two concentrations for both LC (\(P<0.0001\) and \(P=0.0003\)) and LPS (\(P=0.0071\) and \(P=0.0004\)).

Caco2 cells were used for similar experiments, but using half the concentrations of the potential modulating antigens. The results of the protein surface extracts (EDTA and GHCl) are shown in Fig. 4(a). As observed with Vero cells, at the higher concentrations of toxin (21 and 4.2 µg ml\(^{-1}\)), there were no significant differences in the reduction in cell viability with and without the presence of surface proteins. In fact, the addition to toxin at 0.42 µg ml\(^{-1}\) of either of the protein extracts seemed to counteract the effect of the toxin (\(P=0.0020\) for EDTA and \(P<0.0001\) for GHCl, asterisk not shown on figure). However, when the concentration of toxin was insufficient to reduce cell viability when compared with the controls (0.04 µg ml\(^{-1}\)), a reduction in viability was observed after the addition of EDTA extract (\(P=0.0061\) compared with toxin alone). As seen in Fig. 1(b), this concentration was not enough to reduce viability, but after the addition of the EDTA extract, the reduction in viability compared with the cells-only control was clearly significant (\(P=0.0027\); asterisk not shown on figure). The addition of the GHCl extract, which only contained the SLPS, did not appear to reduce cell viability further.

Similar experiments were performed with the surface carbohydrate of \(C.\) difficile (LC) and \(E.\) coli LPS (Fig. 4b). As stated earlier and seen in Fig. 2(b), the addition of these concentrations of LC and LPS were not detrimental to the cells. However, when they were added in combination with the higher concentrations of toxin (21 and 0.42 µg ml\(^{-1}\)), which already affected cell viability, the addition of either LC or LPS significantly increased cell viability (\(P=0.0141\) and \(P=0.0011\), asterisks not shown on figure) suggesting a protective effect. At lower concentrations of toxin (4.2 \(\times 10^{-3}\) µg ml\(^{-1}\)) where cell viability was not affected in the presence of toxin alone, the addition of LC significantly enhanced the toxic activity (\(P=0.0029\)). This enhancement was not seen after the addition of \(E.\) coli LPS.

The most significant effects were seen with LC alone and the EDTA extract, which represents the surface-associated proteins (including the SLPS) and LC of the cytoplasmic membrane (Poxton & Cartmill, 1982). This suggests that LC may be the key factor in enhancing the cytotoxic effect.

The cell wall of \(C.\) difficile is a complex structure containing several proteins and carbohydrates and, for most of them, their function remains uncertain. Some show variability in different strains, such as the SLPS, Cwp66 or Cwp84, and the flagellar proteins FlIC and FlID (McCoubrey & Poxton, 2001; Péchine et al., 2005). These proteins are highly immunogenic and have adhesion and/or protease activities, but their exact role in pathogenesis has not been established (Péchine et al., 2005). LC is common to all \(C.\) difficile strains investigated and is also shared with \(Clostridium\) sordellii (Poxton & Cartmill, 1982). Its role is uncertain but it is analogous to the lipoteichoic acids of other Gram-positive bacteria, and shares structural and physical properties with the LPSs of Gram-negative bacteria (Sharpe & Poxton, 1986), so it would not be surprising if it had a biological activity. The LPS from \(E.\) coli only affected Vero cells to any great extent and then only at low levels of toxin.

The aim of this study was to determine whether the surface antigens of \(C.\) difficile and LPS might have a role in the pathogenesis of \(C.\) difficile infection by modulating toxin activity. Enhancement of toxin activity was demonstrated by some agents, but only at suboptimal levels of toxin and with monolayers of cultured cells. Whether this increase in the efficiency of the toxin is significant in vivo remains to be determined.
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