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Conditions that may affect the results of susceptibility testing of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* to pyrazinamide
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Pyrazinamide (PZA) is an important front-line anti-tuberculosis drug that is active only at acid pH. However, acid pH causes significant difficulty for PZA susceptibility testing. A common problem in PZA testing is false resistance caused by large bacterial inocula. This study investigated the relationship of false resistance to numbers of bacilli, pH and other factors that potentially affect susceptibility to PZA. Large inocula (10^7.8 bacilli/ml) of *M. tuberculosis* H37Rv caused significant increase in medium pH from 5.5 towards neutrality, and thus produced false resistance results. The increase in medium pH was determined to be a function of live bacilli; heat-killed bacilli had little or no effect. Susceptibility to PZA and its active derivative pyrazinoic acid (POA) was comparable on 7H11 agar medium, but POA was less active than PZA in liquid medium containing bovine serum albumin (BSA), suggesting that susceptibility to PZA or POA was reduced in the presence of BSA, because of its neutralising effect on medium pH and significant POA binding. A 3-month-old H37Rv culture was shown to be more susceptible to PZA exposure than a 4-day log-phase culture, suggesting that PZA is more active for non-growing bacilli. Finally, reserpine, an inhibitor of POA efflux pump, increased susceptibility to PZA even near neutral pH 6.8, with an MIC of 400 mg/L compared with 1000 mg/L without reserpine. These findings should have implications for understanding the mode of action of PZA and for PZA susceptibility testing.

Introduction

Pyrazinamide (PZA) is an important front-line anti-tuberculosis (TB) drug. PZA plays a unique role in shortening the TB therapy from the previous 9–12 months to 6 months because it kills a population of semi-dormant bacilli that are not killed by other TB drugs [1]. PZA, an analogue of nicotinamide, is a pro-drug that must be activated by bacterial pyrazinamidase/nicotinamidase to the active derivative pyrazinoic acid (POA), which kills *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* [2, 3]. An earlier study identified the pncA gene encoding pyrazinamidase/nicotinamidase from *M. tuberculosis* and showed that mutation in pncA causes PZA resistance [3]. Subsequent studies have shown that mutation in pncA is the major mechanism of PZA resistance in *M. tuberculosis* [4–11]. The activity of PZA correlates with the acidity of the medium, being most active at pH 5.5, less active at pH 6 and almost inactive at neutral pH [12–14]. It has been shown that acid pH enhances the uptake and accumulation of POA in *M. tuberculosis*, which has been shown to have a defective efflux mechanism for POA [15]. While the target of POA is thought to be fatty acid synthesis pathway I [16], POA as a weak acid could potentially kill tubercle bacilli through recycling of POA leading to de-energised membrane as a possible mechanism of action [17].

POA susceptibility testing is difficult and often unreliable because of the acid pH requirement for drug activity [18]. For that reason, many clinical microbiology laboratories do not perform PZA susceptibility testing and most drug-resistance surveys do not have PZA resistance data. One problem of PZA susceptibility testing is the inhibition by acid such that at least 10% of clinical isolates cannot be tested because they fail to grow on acid pH medium (pH 5.5) [19]. By far the most common problem is false resistance [12, 18], whereby a large inoculum of a sensitive strain can lead to false resistant results. The large inoculum is often...
difficult to control due to significant clumping of the bacilli. The use of the BACTEC TB 460 system has improved the reliability of PZA susceptibility testing [19]; however, problems of PZA testing are not completely eliminated and PZA testing remains the most difficult among all antimycobacterial drug testing. Furthermore, there have been inconsistent reports of susceptibility to POA in M. tuberculosis, and in many instances the POA MIC is often reported to be higher than the PZA MIC [20–22]. In one study, the POA MIC (240–480 mg/L) for M. tuberculosis was reported to be much higher than the PZA MIC (16–50 mg/L) [22]. However, a study from another group reported POA MIC values for M. tuberculosis in the range 16–32 mg/L in 7H10 liquid medium [23], which was comparable to the PZA MIC. This study was undertaken to determine the effect of various factors on susceptibility to PZA and POA.

Materials and methods

Mycobacterial growth conditions and pH measurements

M. tuberculosis strain H37Ra was grown in 7H9 liquid medium (Difco) supplemented with Tween 80 0.05% and ADC 10% enrichment containing bovine serum albumin (BSA)-dextrose-catalase 0.5% (Difco) at 37°C for 2 weeks with occasional agitation. For determining the effect of inoculum size on pH changes, 3-week-old H37Ra cultures were concentrated five-fold by centrifugation and resuspension in 7H9 medium. The bacterial cell suspensions were counted with a haemocytometer and serial 10-fold dilutions were prepared to obtain an inoculum range 10^7–10^9/ml contained in a volume of 5 ml of 7H9 medium adjusted to either pH 5.5 or pH 7.0. At 3 h, 1, 2, 3, 6 and 8 days, 0.8-ml portions were removed and the pH was measured with a pH meter (Corning). Heat-killed bacilli were prepared in a similar manner and used in the pH measurement; media without bacilli served as a negative control. The effects of BSA or fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Sigma) on medium pH were determined in simple salt Sauton’s medium [24] at pH 5.5 or 7.0. The pH of the medium was determined similarly to the method described above, with the exception that the pH measurement was performed 1 h after addition of BSA.

Drugs and chemicals

PZA and POA were purchased from Sigma and Aldrich Chemical Co. (St Louis, MO, USA), respectively. PZA was dissolved in distilled water at a concentration of 10 mg/ml and filter-sterilised. POA was dissolved in DMSO at a concentration of 100 mg/ml. In addition, POA, which was not completely dissolved in water at 10 mg/ml and contained undissolved crystals at room temperature, was dissolved in 1 N KOH, forming potassium POA salt. Reserpine and valinomycin were purchased from Sigma and were dissolved in DMSO and ethanol at 1 mM and 0.1 mM, respectively.

PZA and POA susceptibility testing

PZA and POA susceptibility testing for M. tuberculosis H37Ra was performed on acidic 7H11 or Sauton’s agar plates (pH 5.6) as described previously [25]. Reserpine at 20, 40, 60 and 100 μM and valinomycin at 0.2, 0.4, 0.6 and 1 μM, were incorporated into the 7H11 agar medium (pH 5.5 and 6.8) to determine the effect of these agents on PZA or POA susceptibility. To determine the effect of BSA on PZA or POA susceptibility in liquid medium, the experiment was performed in Sauton’s liquid medium (pH 5.6) containing BSA 0.25, 0.5, 1 and 2% in duplicate. Each microtiter plate well contained 200 μl of Sauton’s medium with or without various concentrations of BSA and either PZA or POA (60–240 mg/L) and inoculated with 10^6 bacilli/ml. Inoculated microtiter plates were then incubated without shaking at 37°C for 6 days when the plate was developed with 3-[4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl]-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT; Sigma) and the plates were read at OD750 in an ELISA reader as described previously [26]. The degree of growth inhibition by PZA or POA in the presence of BSA was expressed as 50% inhibition over the control. This was because PZA or POA does not completely kill tubercle bacilli (only c. 50–70%) in vitro at acid pH [1]. The above test was performed in 96-well microtiter plates for the convenience of this particular experiment and does not represent endorsement of the use of microtiter plates and MTT for PZA susceptibility testing.

Survival of mycobacterial cultures of different ages upon exposure to PZA

Log-phase cultures of 4–12-day-old and 2–3-month-old stationary phase cultures of M. tuberculosis H37Ra were grown in Sauton’s medium as standing cultures. These cultures were centrifuged and washed with PBS buffer once. The bacterial cells were resuspended in an acidic phosphate-citrate buffer (disodium phosphate 2.5 g, monopotassium phosphate 1 g and sodium citrate 0.1 g in 1 L of distilled water, pH 5.6) and adjusted to the same cell density of c. OD560 = 2. The numbers of cfu in the cell suspensions were determined on 7H11 plates, and both bacterial suspensions gave comparable cfu counts. PZA was added to cell suspensions prepared from the log phase and the stationary phase cultures at 16, 31, 62, 125, 250, 500 and 1000 mg/L in 200 μl of phosphate-citrate buffer, and the mixtures were incubated at 37°C for 3 days without shaking. The cell suspensions were then washed with PBS to remove PZA, and the degree of bacterial survival upon exposure to PZA was assessed by plating samples of cell suspensions on 7H11 plates, which were then incubated at 37°C for c. 3 weeks. The viability of the bacterial cells was also determined by MTT assay [26] as
follows. After PZA exposure at 37°C for 3 days without shaking, the viability of the cells was assessed by subculture into fresh Sauton's medium in a 96-well microtiter plate and incubated at 37°C for 5 more days. The degree of killing by PZA exposure was expressed as percentage of inhibition over control without PZA.

POA binding by BSA

BSA was diluted in distilled water to 20, 4, 0.8 and 0.16%, then 100 µl of each dilution were mixed with 100 µl of [14C]POA or [14C]PZA (0.2 µCi/ml) in water and incubated at room temperature for 1.5 h. [14C]POA was prepared by hydrolysis of [14C]PZA with 1 N KOH for 2 h followed by neutralisation with 1 N HCl and complete conversion was confirmed by thin-layer chromatography as described previously [15]. After incubation of BSA with either [14C]POA or [14C]PZA, 100 µl of this mixture was loaded on Vivaspin tubes (Sartorius) with a mol. wt cut-off of 30 kDa, and centrifuged at 10,000 rpm in a microfuge for 5 min. The unbound [14C]POA was collected as a filtrate in an Eppendorf tube and activity was counted as described previously [15]. A significant decrease in radioactive [14C]POA in the filtrate would indicate binding of POA by BSA, which would be trapped by the membrane in the Vivaspin tubes.

Results

Effect of inoculum size on medium pH

The effect of various inoculum sizes of H37Ra (10³–10⁷ cells/ml) on the medium pH (5.5) was tested over a period of 8 days. Higher inoculum sizes (10⁸ and 10⁹ cells/ml) caused an increase in pH over time towards neutral pH (Fig. 1). At day 8, an increase of about 1 unit and 1.5 unit in pH was seen with 10⁸ and 10⁹ cells/ml, respectively, whereas a small increase of <0.3 unit was seen with 10³ cells/ml, an inoculum commonly used in PZA susceptibility testing (Fig. 1a). The increased pH by large inocula was dependent on live cells (Fig. 1a), but not heat-killed cells (10⁹ cells/ml), which caused little or no increase in pH (<0.3 unit) (Fig. 1b).

Relationship between pH and PZA MIC

The increase in medium pH by a large bacterial inoculum is expected to cause an elevated PZA MIC. Given that PZA is completely converted to POA, the relationship between medium pH and PZA MIC can be expressed in the form of the Henderson-Hasselbach equation, where pH = pKa + log[A−]/[HA]. The theoretical calculations based on this equation for the relationship between pH and PZA MIC are shown in Table 1. For example, for a medium pH of 5.5, with the POA pH of 2.9 [26], the anion POA− is 398-fold more than the uncharged protonated HPOA (log[POA−]/

![Fig. 1. Effect of inoculum size on acid medium pH. M. tuberculosis H37Ra inocula of varying sizes which contained live bacilli (a) or heat-killed bacilli (b) were inoculated into 7H9 liquid medium at pH 5.6 and medium pH was measured at different time points.]

HPOA = 2.6), whereas at medium pH of 6.0, the anion POA− 1259-fold more than the uncharged HPOA (log[POA−]/[HPOA = 3.1]. Because it is the uncharged POA that gets into the cells and is thus the active species involved in killing, the POA MIC at pH 6.0 is expected to be 3.2-fold higher than that at pH 5.5. Therefore, based on PZA MIC at pH 5.5 being 50 mg/L, the PZA MIC at pH 5.8 and 6.1 should be 100 and 200 mg/L, respectively. This prediction from the Henderson-Hasselbach equation fits well with results of a previous study showing the relationship between pH and PZA MIC, where at pH 5.5, 5.8 and 6.0, PZA MIC was found to be 50, 100 and 200 mg/L, respectively [14].

POA versus PZA susceptibility

To address the discrepancy between susceptibility to POA and PZA [21, 22], this study determined the POA MIC and PZA MIC for M. tuberculosis strain H37Ra on 7H11 agar at pH 5.5. POA is a weak acid that is poorly soluble in water [27], but could be dissolved in DMSO up to 100 mg/ml or in dilute KOH as a POA
salt. Under these conditions, POA was completely dissolved and the POA MIC for *M. tuberculosis* H37Ra was found to be 32 mg/L, which is not different from the PZA MIC.

**Effect of BSA on POA and PZA susceptibility**

On Sauton’s agar medium, the PZA MIC was 25 mg/L, which is about two-fold lower than that on 7H11 agar medium (MIC = 50 mg/L). A major difference between Sauton’s and 7H11 agar is that the latter contains BSA 0.5%. When Sauton’s agar medium was supplemented with BSA 0.5% (the same concentration as in 7H11 agar), the POA MIC was comparable to that on 7H11 agar (50 mg/L). These results suggest that BSA could decrease the susceptibility to POA. To address the effect of BSA on POA and PZA susceptibility more systematically, varying concentrations of BSA were tested in Sauton’s liquid medium (pH 5.5), and the results are shown in Table 2. While BSA 0.25% did not increase the MIC for POA or PZA, the PZA and POA MIC was increased in presence of BSA 0.5–2%, with higher BSA concentrations causing more increase in POA and PZA MIC. The influence of BSA on POA or POA MIC was more pronounced for POA than for PZA at BSA 0.5, 1 and 2%.

To determine how BSA might increase the MIC of POA and PZA, the study first tested the effect of varying concentrations of BSA on the medium pH in Sauton’s liquid medium (Fig. 2a). BSA slightly decreased the pH of the mildly alkaline medium (pH 7.5).

### Table 2. Effect of BSA on susceptibility to POA and PZA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BSA concentration (%)</th>
<th>POA MIC*</th>
<th>PZA MIC*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*MIC here is 50% inhibition over control and expressed in mg/L.

Details of the experiment are described in *Materials and methods*.

Towards neutral pH. It is worth noting that BSA increased the pH of acidic medium (pH 5.6) by c. 1 pH unit at 5–10%, especially at high concentrations. Similarly, fetal bovine serum (FBS), which contains BSA, was also found to increase the medium pH (Fig. 2b). For example, 10% FBS was found to increase the medium pH from 5.5 to 6.5, which is expected to increase the MIC by 10-fold (Table 1). Because POA susceptibility was more affected than PZA even in presence of the same concentration of BSA, it was suspected that BSA might bind POA anion as a possible cause for the preferential decrease of susceptibility to POA over PZA. To test this, [14C]POA and [14C]PZA were incubated with varying concentrations of BSA and then the mixture was passed through a membrane with a 30-kDa mol. wt cut-off point, which will trap BSA with a mol. wt of 66 kDa. BSA was found to bind to POA significantly but not to PZA at 0.4, 2 and 10% (Fig. 3). The above results suggest that BSA 0.5–10% can antagonize the activity of POA by a combined action of neutralising the medium pH and binding to POA.

**Effect of bacterial growth phase on susceptibility to PZA**

While it is clear that PZA is active against semi-dormant tubercle bacilli at acid pH [1], it is not known if the bacilli at different growth phase or physiological state would have different susceptibility to PZA. To address this, the study tested survival of a 4-day-old log phase culture and a 3-month-old stationary phase culture upon exposure to PZA for 3 days in an acidic phosphate-citrate buffer (pH 5.6). The log phase and the 3-month-old cultures were concentrated to the same cell density and gave similar cfu counts. After the PZA exposure, cells from both 4-day-old culture and 3-month-old culture were plated on 7H11 agar plates without PZA to assess the killing by PZA. Interestingly, the log phase cells were four-fold less susceptible to PZA exposure than the 3-month-old culture. PZA killed a comparable number of log phase bacilli at 250 mg/L, whereas PZA killed a comparable number of stationary phase bacilli at 62 mg/L. However, there...
was no apparent difference in PZA MIC when the two cultures (without prior PZA exposure) were used directly for PZA susceptibility testing on 7H11 agar plates containing PZA. In a separate experiment involving two different cultures of 2 weeks old and 2.5 months old, the same finding was observed, i.e., old stationary phase cultures were more susceptible to PZA exposure than young cultures.

Effect of reserpine and valinomycin on PZA or POA MIC

It was shown in a previous study that reserpine, a multi-drug-resistant (MDR) efflux pump inhibitor [28], could cause increased accumulation of POA in *M. tuberculosis* [15]. Valinomycin, which disrupts membrane potential, was found to cause somewhat less POA accumulation in *M. tuberculosis* than reserpine [15]. This raised the question as to whether increased accumulation of POA caused by the efflux pump inhibitors might affect the susceptibility of *M. tuberculosis* to PZA or POA. Therefore, the susceptibility of *M. tuberculosis* H37Ra to PZA and POA in the presence of sublethal concentrations of reserpine and valinomycin was tested at pH 5.6 and pH 6.8. While valinomycin had no apparent effect on the susceptibility of H37Ra to PZA or POA (data not shown), reserpine, which blocks the POA efflux activity, was found to enhance the susceptibility to PZA but not to POA at both neutral pH and acid pH. The enhancement of PZA susceptibility by reserpine was more apparent at pH 6.8, with an MIC of c. 400 mg/L at reserpine concentrations of 20–40 μM. In the absence of reserpine, strain H37Ra was resistant to PZA ≤1000 mg/L at pH 6.8.

**Discussion**

Despite the importance of PZA in the current short-course TB chemotherapy, unlike other front-line TB drugs isoniazid, rifampin and ethambutol, PZA susceptibility testing is not performed in many clinical microbiology laboratories because of significant difficulty with PZA susceptibility testing. This difficulty mainly results from the acid pH requirement of PZA for drug activity. A most common problem is false resistance, i.e., a susceptible strain can behave like a resistant
strain if too high an inoculum is used [12]. For example, McDermott and colleagues showed that a 10-fold increase in inoculum size (10^7 bacilli/ml) for a susceptible strain caused a significant increase in MIC of PZA (false resistance) [12]. Indeed, the present study has shown that large inocula (10^7–8 cells/ml) increased the medium pH from acidic pH towards neutral pH, a finding consistent with a previous study [21]. On the other hand, an inoculum size of ≈10^6 cells/ml did not have significant effect on medium pH (Fig. 1a). This finding is consistent with the inoculum size (≈10^5 cells/ml) commonly used for PZA susceptibility testing that does not lead to false resistance [12]. The rate of PZA killing is a function of acidity of medium pH [1, 14], which is shown to increase with high bacterial inoculum (Fig. 1). This has particular relevance to PZA, which kills tubercle bacilli slowly and incompletely [1]. The increase in medium pH over time by high inoculum would relieve acid inhibition and allow bacilli to grow even in presence of PZA, which will cause significant increase of PZA MIC. This increase of medium pH by high inoculum depends on live bacilli, and heat-killed bacilli hardly affected the pH of the medium (Fig. 1). This is consistent with the observation that live bacterial cells neutralise the medium pH when subjected to acidic pH by de-amination and decarboxylation of certain amino acids [29]. Such a mechanism must also be operative in the tubercle bacillus as judged by neutralisation of medium pH by a large inoculum as seen in this study.

There have been inconsistent reports regarding POA MIC for M. tuberculosis. Heifets and colleagues reported higher POA MIC (240–480 mg/L) than PZA MIC (16–50 mg/L) in liquid 7H12 medium [22], whereas Cynamon and colleagues reported comparable POA and PZA MIC in liquid Dubos medium [23]. Both 7H12 and Dubos liquid media contained BSA 0.5% and the only significant difference is the solvent used to dissolve POA. In the study that reported higher POA MIC, hot water was used to dissolve POA [22], whereas in the study that reported comparable POA and PZA MIC, DMSO was used to dissolve POA [23]. The higher POA MIC than PZA MIC reported previously probably reflects the poor solubility of POA in water, such that the actual POA in the stock solution and medium is less than intended due to insoluble POA precipitates. The present study has shown that M. tuberculosis was equally susceptible to both PZA and POA on 7H11 agar medium when POA was dissolved in DMSO or made into a POA salt. Another cause for the higher POA MIC than PZA MIC is BSA concentration, where at >0.5% (i.e., at 1% and 2%) it preferentially increased the POA MIC over PZA MIC (Table 2). This can be explained by the finding that BSA binds to weak acid POA but not neutral amide PZA, as shown in Fig. 3. This finding is consistent with the well-known observation that BSA can bind a range of compounds including weak acids. The effect of BSA on antagonising POA activity can be shown in medium with and without BSA. In Saumont’s agar medium without BSA, the POA or POA MIC (25–32 mg/L) was two-fold lower than when supplemented with BSA 0.5% or 7H11 agar containing BSA 0.5% as part of the ADC supplement. It was found that the effect of BSA on increasing the MIC of PZA can be caused by neutralisation of acid medium (Fig. 2) and binding of POA by BSA (Fig. 3), although the relative contribution of the two factors to POA and PZA MIC may vary according to the medium (liquid versus solid medium) used, BSA concentration and pH. Heifets and colleagues developed a new agar-based medium at pH 6.0 for PZA susceptibility testing [30], which contains fetal bovine serum 10%. The FBS 10% is a significant source of BSA and indeed, the PZA MIC of the Heifets medium is very high, with a cut-off value of 900–1200 mg/L [30]. This MIC (900–1200 mg/L) is c. 5–6-fold higher than the MIC (200 mg/L) in 7H12B medium containing BSA 0.5% [14] (Table 1). This higher than predicted PZA MIC could be explained by the BSA effects observed in the present study.

An earlier study showed that M. tuberculosis has a
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much weaker efflux mechanism for POA than *M. smegmatis* and this difference in POA efflux activity relates to the susceptibility or resistance to PZA [15]. The weak efflux mechanism in *M. tuberculosis* can be inhibited by the multi-drug resistance efflux pump inhibitor reserpine, and less so by valinomycin, an agent that disrupts membrane potential [15]. The present study showed that reserpine enhanced the susceptibility of H37Ra to PZA from >1000 to 400 mg/L even near neutral pH 6.8. The fact that the PZA MIC is lowered in the presence of reserpine indicates that POA accumulation is toxic to *M. tuberculosis* even at neutral pH. In addition, the fact that the PZA MIC in presence of reserpine is not as low as at acid pH suggests that POA anion accumulation itself has only limited effect on killing of *M. tuberculosis*, and that acid pH plays an important role in PZA action, possibly by recycling of POA, leading to de-energised membrane and bacterial death [17]. While reserpine enhanced susceptibility to PZA, it had no apparent effect on POA MIC. This can be explained by the differential transport of PZA over POA across the membrane. POA at near neutral pH 6.8 is 99.9% charged (Table 1) and cannot penetrate bacterial cells easily, therefore, reserpine had no effect on POA MIC. In contrast, PZA as a neutral amide gets into cells readily and is converted intracellularly to POA, which is subject to the POA efflux inhibitory activity of reserpine [15]. On the other hand, valinomycin did not have any significant effect on PZA or POA susceptibility. This finding is in keeping with the degree of POA accumulation caused by reserpine versus valinomycin, where reserpine caused higher POA accumulation in *M. tuberculosis* than valinomycin [15].

In conclusion, PZA susceptibility testing is difficult and is subject to various problems such as false resistance. The findings reported in this study provide useful explanations for the inconsistent results of PZA and POA susceptibility testing [18], the relationship between pH and PZA MIC [14], the effect of BSA on POA susceptibility testing [30] and the discrepant POA susceptibility [22, 23]. These findings may have implications for improved PZA susceptibility testing in clinical microbiology laboratories.
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