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The results of a dipstick assay for the detection of immunoglobulin M (IgM) to Brucella
smooth lipopolysaccharide (S-LPS) correlated with those of an enzyme-linked
immunosorbent assay (ELISA) for IgM and of the serum agglutination test (SAT)
performed with and without dithiothreitol. Two sera which were dithiothreitol-sensitive
and were dipstick negative were shown to contain specific IgA. The dipstick assay is
recommended as a simple method for detecting specific IgM antibodies in acute-phase
brucellosis patients.

Introduction

Human brucellosis is an infectious disease of world-
wide importance. Due to the extraordinary variety of
manifestations of this disease, its diagnosis cannot be
made solely on clinical grounds and it is always
essential to perform bacteriological and serological
tests. IgM antibodies to the smooth lipopolysaccharide
(S-LPS) predominate in the first days of infection, after
which there is a switch to IgG isotype synthesis in
individuals who have not received treatment [1].
Consequently, the evaluation of Brucella-specific IgM
and IgG antibodies allows discrimination between
patients with acute or recent brucellosis and those
who have undergone a long infectious process before
diagnosis. Discrimination of both classes of antibodies
cannot be achieved in the conventional tests for
brucellosis, i.e., the Rose Bengal (RB), serum aggluti-
nation and complement fixation tests, because
although IgM antibodies specific to the S-LPS are
efficient agglutinins, IgG antibodies can behave as
either agglutinating or non-agglutinating (incomplete)
antibodies and both classes are active in the comple-
ment fixation test [2–6]. Thus, complementary tests
such as the indirect enzyme-linked immunosorbent
assay (ELISA) with S-LPS and anti-IgM and anti-IgG
conjugates are used [7]. A different strategy is to use
antigens other than the S-LPS, as it has been shown
that antibodies to Brucella soluble proteins (SP)
develop after the first weeks of infection [2, 8, 9].
Recently, Smits and co-workers [10] described a
dipstick assay for the detection of IgM antibodies
specific to Brucella S-LPS which could also be used as
a complementary test to evaluate the stage of evolution
of the infection. The aim of the present study was to
gain a better understanding of the significance of this
test in comparison with other tests in current use.

Materials and methods

Study design and sera

Seven different serological tests – RB; serum micro-
agglutination test (SAT); SAT in the presence of
dithiothreitol (SAT-DTT); IgM, IgG and IgA ELISA;
counterimmuno-electrophoresis (CIEP) with SP; dou-
ble-gel immunodiffusion (DGG) with S-LPS; and the
IgM dipstick assay – were applied to 62 serum samples
from patients with brucellosis taken at the time of
hospitalisation, 35 sera from normal healthy donors
(including 10 rich in rheumatoid factor) and follow-up
samples from 10 treated patients without relapse and
from 3 patients with relapse (a minimum of 6 samples
per patient). To investigate the role of IgA in the SAT a
further 75 sera from brucellosis patients were tested in SAT, SAT-DTT, ELISA and the IgM dipstick assay. The diagnosis was made on the basis of bacteriological or serological and clinical evidence combined.

**Serological tests**

The RB agglutination test was performed as described by Morgan et al. [11] with the antigen produced at the Central Veterinary Laboratory (Weybridge, Surrey).

The SAT (equivalent to the conventional tube serum agglutination) was performed by making two-fold serial dilutions from 1 in 20 to 1 in 20,480 of the serum samples in 50 μl of phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), pH 7.2, in ordinary 96-well microtiteration-type polystyrene plates [12]. An equal volume of a 1 in 70 dilution of the ring milk test antigen (Central Veterinary Laboratory, Weybridge) was added to each dilution, and the plates were incubated overnight at room temperature. The SAT-DTT was performed in the same manner except that the serum dilutions were prepared in PBS containing 0.01 M DTT. To determine the role of IgA antibodies in SAT, selected sera were treated with rabbit anti-human IgA, IgM or IgG (Operon, Zaragoza, Spain) to remove immune class-specific antibodies. To this end, 10-μl volumes of serum were mixed with 90 μl of the rabbit antibody and incubated for 2 h at 37°C. The immunoprecipitates were sedimented by centrifugation and removal of the corresponding immunoglobulin was demonstrated by testing the supernatates by immunodiffusion with the specific antisera. The IgA1 subclass antibodies were purified from 0.1 ml of serum by affinity chromatography on jacalone-agarose (Pierce, Rockford, IL, USA), according to the specifications of the manufacturer, and concentrated by ultra-dialysis to the original volume. The amount of IgA and the purity of the concentrate were assessed by radial immunodiffusion in the Mancini test with commercial plates (Kallestad Diagnostics, Austin, TX, USA) and the serological activity in SAT was verified by removal of antibody with rabbit sera to IgM. IgG and IgA demonstrated double-gel diffusion with these same antisera.

The DGD and CIEP were performed as described previously [2]. The antigens employed in DGD and CIEP were, respectively, LPS extracted from *B. melitensis* 16M and SP prepared from the rough strain

*B. melitensis* 115. The methods of antigen extraction have been described previously [2].

The dipstick assay was performed as described previously [10] by mixing 5 μl of serum in the appropriate diluent-developing system (1 in 50 serum dilution) and incubating the strip coated with the antigen for 3 h at 37°C. The results were rated negative when no staining of the antigen band was observed or from 1+ to 4+ according to the staining intensity of the antigen by comparison with a coloured reference strip.

The ELISA for the detection of IgM, IgG and IgA antibodies specific for the S-LPS was performed as described by Ariza et al. [13], except that IgG was detected with peroxidase-recombinant protein G (Pierce) [14] instead of a polyclonal anti-IgG antibody. The protein G was used at an optimal concentration of 0.2 μg/ml in 10 mM PBS (pH 7.2)-TWEEN 0.2% (PBS-Tween) that had been determined previously by means of a panel of positive and negative control sera.

**Results and discussion**

The 62 serum samples from patients with brucellosis were positive in the RB test. A positive result in the dipstick assay was obtained for 44 samples and a negative result for 18 samples. The staining intensity of the positive samples was rated 1+ for one sample, 2+ for 11 samples, 3+ for 16 samples and 4+ for 16 samples. The 35 negative control sera were negative in both tests.

The mean SAT titre for the 44 dipstick-positive sera was 2560, a value three dilution steps higher than the mean titres calculated for the 18 dipstick-negative samples (Table 1). The differences between the SAT titres of these two groups were statistically significant (p<0.0001, Mann-Whitney U test). DTT caused a significant reduction in the SAT titre (p<0.0001) only in the group of dipstick-positive sera (Table 1). The difference between SAT-DTT titres of dipstick-positive and -negative samples was not significant (p>0.05) (Table 1). Sera with a positive dipstick result had IgM ELISA titres ≥320 (the highest titre was 10,240). In contrast, the titres in the IgM ELISA were ≤160 (Table 1) for all dipstick-negative sera. The mean IgM ELISA titre for the 44 dipstick-positive samples was 2560, a
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value significantly higher (p < 0.0001) than the mean (60) calculated for dipstick-negative serum samples (Table 1). Although the results obtained in the IgG ELISA for the two groups were also significantly different, this was at a lower level (p < 0.01) (Table 1).

The performance of the dipstick IgM was also evaluated with the sera of 10 patients without relapse and three with relapse (confirmed by blood culture) taken at periodic intervals. In the first group, the dipstick assay became negative 2–15 months after diagnosis. In the second group, samples taken after the relapse showed no evidence of IgM antibodies by IgM ELISA and were all dipstick-negative. These results confirm the findings of Pellicer et al. [15] and Ariza et al. [13], who have shown that there are high levels of specific IgM in the initial stages of the disease, that specific IgM decreases faster than IgG and IgA, and that, during relapse, there is a transient increase of IgG and IgA, but not of IgM.

Mercaptans (2-mercaptoethanol or DTT) cause the cleavage of disulphide bonds of IgM and loss of agglutinin activity. Thus, comparison of results obtained in the absence or presence of these agents is often used to distinguish IgM from IgG activity and to differentiate between early and persistent infection in human brucellosis [1, 16–18]. As the results of this work illustrate, the interpretation of this test is not so straightforward [7]. Despite the good overall correlation between dipstick IgM, SAT and SAT-DTT, and IgM ELISA, discrepant results were observed for five sera (Table 2). These five sera were positive by RB, SAT, DGD, CIEP and IgG ELISA. In four sera (nos. 1–4) the dipstick assay and IgM ELISA were positive, but there was no reduction at all (nos. 3 and 4) or no significant reduction (nos. 1 and 2) in SAT titres in the presence of DTT. Significantly, these four sera contained high levels of IgG (Table 2). Therefore, it seems that high levels of agglutinating IgG mask any decrease in IgM activity and that, in such cases, a negative result in the SAT-DTT cannot be interpreted as absence of IgM. Accordingly, the IgM ELISA and the dipstick assay are more reliable tests.

A different kind of problem in the interpretation of the SAT-DTT is illustrated by the results obtained with serum no. 5 (Table 2). This serum was negative in the dipstick assay and had a titre of only 50 in the IgM ELISA. However, DTT reduced the SAT titre by more than three dilutions (Table 2) suggesting that DTT-sensitive antibodies other than IgM were responsible for the SAT results. To investigate this, IgA, IgM and IgG antibodies were removed from the serum samples. Removal of IgG or IgM caused no reduction in the SAT titre, whereas a reduction in SAT from 2560 to 160 was observed after IgA removal. To investigate the detection of IgA antibodies in agglutination tests (RB and SAT) and its sensitivity to DTT, a further 75 sera from brucellosis patients were studied. Only one of these sera showed a reduction in SAT titre in the presence of DTT that was attributable to IgA. This serum had a SAT titre of 2560 which dropped to 320 when DTT was used (Table 3). The purified IgA1 fraction was positive in the RB, had a SAT titre of 320, and gave a SAT-DTT titre of 20. The agglutinating capacity of IgA antibodies found in this study confirms the results previously described by other authors [3, 5] and indicates that the reduction of agglutination titre in the presence of mercaptans is not always due to the inactivation of IgM antibodies.

To test whether other tests can be complemented by the dipstick IgM, the DGD with S-LPS and the CIEP with soluble proteins (SP) were performed. The results of the DGD test revealed that all the dipstick-positive

### Table 2. Analysis of the sera with no correlation between SAT plus SAT-DTT and dipstick assay

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Serum no</th>
<th>Dipstick result</th>
<th>Time in SAT</th>
<th>Time in SAT-DTT</th>
<th>IgM ELISA</th>
<th>IgG ELISA</th>
<th>IgA ELISA</th>
<th>DGD (LPS)</th>
<th>CIEP (P)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3 +</td>
<td>2560</td>
<td>1280</td>
<td>2560</td>
<td>2560</td>
<td>5120</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2 +</td>
<td>1280</td>
<td>640</td>
<td>640</td>
<td>640</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2 +</td>
<td>640</td>
<td>640</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>5120</td>
<td>2560</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2 +</td>
<td>640</td>
<td>640</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>5120</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>2560</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>2560</td>
<td>2560</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND: not done.

### Table 3. Activity of the jacaline-purified fraction of a serum from a dipstick-negative brucellosis patient with DTT-sensitive antibodies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isotype (mg/100 ml)</th>
<th>Time in SAT after absorption with</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IgM</td>
<td>SAT-DTT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IgG</td>
<td>SAT-DTT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IgA</td>
<td>SAT-DTT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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serum samples and all but three (83.3%) of the dipstick-negative sera contained precipitating anti-
bodies against LPS. All but five (88.6%) dipstick-
positive sera and all dipstick-negative sera developed precipitation lines with the SP antigen in CIEP. As antibodies to SP appear at later stages [2, 8, 9], these results show that for diagnostic purposes the CIEP test
but not the DDG complements the dipstick IgM or other tests measuring anti-S-LPS IgM. As CIEP results are
obtained in c. 1 h and the IgM dipstick is also a
quick test, the combination of these two tests represents a
quick and simple procedure to assess the degree of
evolution of the infection.

In summary, this study has confirmed the utility of the
dipstick assay as a test for the investigation of specific
IgM antibodies to the Brucella S-LPS and its complementarity with tests detecting IgG to S-LPS or tests
detecting antibodies to SP. Finally, it is necessary to
point out that the IgM dipstick results are expressed from
0 (negative) to 4+. Although adequate for diagnostic
purposes, this system carries some degree of
subjective assessment. Observation of serum dilu-
tions has revealed that some sera remained IgM
dipstick-positive up to a dilution of 1 in 1600. This
is a useful method to titrate IgM, but it is clearly more
cumbersome than rating of the staining intensity at the
recommended single dilution of 1 in 50.
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