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Ability of lactoferrin to promote the growth of Bifidobacterium spp. in vitro is independent of receptor binding capacity and iron saturation level
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Lactoferrin (Lf) is an iron-binding protein which has been shown to inhibit the growth of various bacterial pathogens and promote the growth of anaerobic bacteria of the genus Bifidobacterium in vitro. The present study was designed to investigate whether the bifidobacteria growth promotion activity of Lf is correlated with either the binding of Lf to bifidobacterial cells or the iron saturation of Lf. Bovine Lf (bLf) from mature milk increased the growth of B. infantis and B. breve in vitro in a dose-dependent fashion, while much less growth promotion activity was found for B. bifidum. In contrast, human Lf (huLf) from mature milk promoted the growth of B. bifidum and was inactive for B. infantis and B. breve, while bLf from colostrum was devoid of bifidobacteria growth promotion activity. Changes in the iron content of Lf did not alter the bifidobacteria growth promotion activity of either bLf or huLf preparations. Competitive binding studies with biotinylated milk bLf showed that binding of bLf was inhibited by unlabelled bLf and huLf but not by β-lactoglobulin, α-lactalbumin or transferrin. Binding of bLf to B. bifidum and B. breve was c. 40-fold higher than binding to Escherichia coli. Colostrum bLf was also found to bind to B. bifidum and B. breve, despite a lack of in-vitro growth promotion activity. Collectively, these results demonstrate that the ability of Lf to promote the growth of Bifidobacterium spp. in vitro is independent of the iron saturation level for Lf and suggest that binding of Lf to bifidobacteria cells may be involved but is not sufficient for stimulation of bifidobacterial growth.

Introduction

The ability of pathogenic bacteria to survive and proliferate within the gastrointestinal tract of the host is determined by a number of host and microbial factors. The host's diet may be of particular importance, because dietary constituents can impact both the nutritional status of the host and the indigenous bacterial flora that reside in the intestinal tract. Dietary components may also inhibit enteric bacterial pathogens either directly due to the inhibitory activity of specific food components, or indirectly because of diet-induced changes in the composition and metabolism of the non-pathogenic indigenous flora. Such changes in the indigenous bacterial flora may either encourage or discourage the proliferation and colonisation of mucosal surfaces by enteric pathogens.

Anaerobic bacteria of the genus Bifidobacterium are frequently reported as one of the predominant organisms found in the faeces of breast-fed infants. More importantly, bifidobacteria are believed to be a beneficial component of the indigenous flora of the infant because of their ability to produce acetic and lactic acids which may discourage pathogenic bacteria [1, 2]. A number of studies have reported differences in the number and types of bifidobacteria in faeces of breast-fed and formula-fed infants [3-7], leading to the suggestion that such differences are partly responsible for the lower incidence of intestinal infections and diarrhoea observed among breast-fed infants in both developed and underdeveloped countries [8-11]. However, the mechanisms that account for differences in the composition of the intestinal flora and susceptibility to diarrhoeal disease among breast-fed and formula-fed infants have not been determined.

Human milk contains a number of factors that are known to have antimicrobial activity in various in-
vitro systems. Furthermore, human milk has been shown to contain specific substances that promote the growth of bifidobacteria in vitro [12–16]. These observations have led to widespread assumptions that such antibacterial factors play a role in protecting the infant from diarrheal disease caused by certain intestinal pathogens [17–19]. Lactoferrin (Lf) is an iron-binding glycoprotein found in milk and various mucosal secretions that has been shown to inhibit the growth of Escherichia coli and other bacteria in vitro [20–23]. Surprisingly, earlier studies [16] found that Lf from cow's milk promoted the growth of bifidobacteria in vitro. These results suggest that Lf may have dual mechanisms for inhibiting enteric bacterial pathogens through direct inhibition of bacterial growth or development of favourable changes in the composition and metabolism of the non-pathogenic indigenous intestinal bacteria. Because the Lf molecule is capable of binding iron, it is reasonable to assume that the growth stimulatory activity of Lf for Bifidobacterium spp. may be related to iron acquisition or utilisation by bifidobacterial cells. Accordingly, the present study was conducted to determine whether the growth promotion activity of Lf for strains of Bifidobacterium spp. is directly correlated with the iron saturation level of the Lf or the quantitative binding of Lf by bifidobacteria cells.

Materials and methods

Bacterial strains

Strains of bifidobacteria used in this study were purchased in lyophilised form from the American Type Culture Collection (Rockville, MD, USA). These strains included the following human faecal isolates: B. bifidum ATCC 15696, B. infantis ATCC 15697 and B. breve ATCC 15700. Cultures were grown in pre-reduced Reinforced Clostridial Medium (RCM; Difco Laboratories, Detroit, MI, USA) supplemented with KH2PO4 (4.5 g/L) and Na2HPO4 (6.0 g/L) at 37°C under anaerobic conditions (GasPak jars; BBL Microbiology Systems, Cockeysville, MD, USA). Numbers of viable bifidobacterial cells were quantified by standard plate counting methods with RCM agar plates and pre-reduced dilution medium (20 mM phosphate buffer containing agar 0.05%, cysteine-HCl 0.05%, pH 6.8).

Materials

Bovine colostrum was obtained during first and second milkings and immediately frozen (−75°C) until used for Lf isolation. Lactoferrin was isolated from unpasteurised human milk, bovine milk and bovine colostrum by cation-exchange chromatography as described previously [24]. Briefly, frozen samples of milk or colostrum were thawed overnight at 4°C, skimmed by centrifugation at 5000 g (20°C, 30 min) and eluted through a cation-exchange resin (Fast-S; Pharmacia, Piscataway, NJ, USA) with 20 mM sodium phosphate buffer to separate bound Lf from unbound proteins. Bound Lf was released from solid phase support with a linear gradient of NaCl (0–2.0 M) in 20 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7.5) and desalted by exhaustive dialfiltration through a YM10 membrane (Amicon, Danvers, MA, USA) against cold saline. Final Lf preparations were filter sterilised (Gelman Sciences, Ann Arbor, MI, USA) and stored in 1-ml volumes (−15 g/L) at −20°C. Samples of Lf from fresh mature human milk and cow milk were also prepared by the same method followed by a subsequent purification step with a Mono-S cation-exchange column (Pharmacia) and kindly provided by Dr. J. Nuijens (Gene Pharming Europe BV, Leiden, The Netherlands). Samples of a commercial preparation of Lf from mature cow milk were obtained from Alapharm (Palmerston North, New Zealand). Native and iron-saturated forms of human transferrin (huTf) were obtained from Sigma. Protein levels in Lf preparations were determined by a modification of the method of Lowry [25] with bovine Lf as protein standard following calibration by Kjeldahl protein measurement. Purity of Lf preparations was assessed by competitive antibody capture ELISA and SDS-PAGE. The iron saturation level of Lf preparations was determined spectrophotometrically by an increase in the ratio of A280 versus A465 [26]. Lipopolysaccharide (LPS) of Lf preparations was determined by quantitative Limulus assay (Kinetic-QCL, BioWhittaker).

Bovine Lf obtained from Alapharm showed a purity of c. 78% while the purity of bLf and huLf samples prepared by ion-exchange chromatography was consistently >97% (Table 1). Attempts to further purify the commercial Lf sample were unsuccessful due to co-elution of several contaminating proteins with Lf. The iron saturation level of experimental Lf samples was 18% for the commercial bLf sample (Alapharm) and 3–6% for the colostrum bLf, milk bLf and milk huLf. The LPS content of the commercial bLf preparation was also much higher than the LPS content of Lf samples prepared by ion exchange (Table 1).

Table 1. Biochemical characteristics of experimental lactoferrin preparations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lf sample*</th>
<th>Purity (%)</th>
<th>Iron saturation level (%)</th>
<th>LPS content (EU/mg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bovine colostrum (bLf)</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bovine milk (bLf)</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>&lt;1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bovine milk (commercial bLf)</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1599.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human milk (huLf)</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>&lt;1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Experimental samples included Lf isolated from unpasteurised bovine colostrum, bovine milk and human milk, or a commercial preparation of milk bLf (Alapharm).

1Determined by indirect ELISA and SDS-PAGE.

2Represents the amount of LPS in Lf preparations in endotoxin units (EU) as measured by Limulus assay/mg Lf protein.
Bifidobacteria growth promotion assay

The growth promotional activity of test protein samples for strains of bifidobacteria was measured by the method of Bezkorovainy et al. [12, 14] as described previously [15]. Briefly, pre-reduced basal Norris medium containing diluent of Lf test samples was inoculated with test strains of bifidobacteria (5 × 10^{8} cfu/0.1 ml) in a total volume of 4 ml and incubated anaerobically for 48 h at 37°C. The final iron concentration of the assay mixture was estimated to be c. 0.8 μg/ml. The amount of acid present in cell-free supernates after the 48-h incubation period was measured as an indicator of bacterial growth and metabolism. Growth promotion activity was expressed in units defined as mmole of NaOH required to neutralise the acid produced in a 4-ml culture of the test strain after incubation for 48 h. Control samples containing saline without protein test materials were included in all experiments. Previous studies established that a direct correlation exists between acid production by bifidobacteria and increases in viable cell numbers in the in-vitro growth promotion assay [15, 16]. These studies also showed that acid production by bifidobacteria is a more reliable indicator of growth promotion activity than final increases in cell numbers, as different strains of bifidobacteria vary in sensitivity to the bactericidal effect of metabolic acids.

Measurement of lactoferrin binding to bacterial cells

Binding of Lf to bacterial cells was determined experimentally with biotinylated bLf and enzyme-conjugated streptavidin. Briefly, biotinylated bLf (5–4000 ng) was mixed with washed bacterial cells (~10^{8} cfu) in a total volume of 0.2 ml and incubated at 22°C for 1 h. Cell mixtures were then washed with 2 ml of cold PBS/Tween 20 (2300 rpm for 10 min) and resuspended in 0.5 ml of wash buffer containing horse-radish peroxidase (HRP)-labelled streptavidin. After incubation of 1 h at room temperature, cells were washed twice with PBS/Tween 20 (20 volumes) and resuspended in 500 μl of wash buffer. Duplicate aliquots of washed cell suspensions were incubated with tetramethylethylene (TMB) and H_{2}O_{2} to detect HRP activity (ABS_{450}) as an indicator of biotinylated Lf. Results represent the mean of at least duplicate determinations. Binding of bLf was adjusted for background binding by subtracting the absorbance of control tubes which contained test bacterial cells and HRP-streptavidin (no labelled Lf) in the absence of test bacterial cells. To evaluate the effect of specific and non-specific proteins on Lf binding, bacterial cells were pre-incubated with unlabelled proteins, washed three times in wash buffer and then tested for binding of biotinylated Lf as described.

Biotin labelling of test Lf samples was performed with a commercially available kit (Pierce Chemicals, Rockford, IL, USA). Biotin was chosen as the preferred method for labelling Lf in binding studies because the conditions for biotinylation are generally considered to be milder and less likely to result in structural changes in the Lf molecule than other methods. The level of biotinylation of Lf preparations was routinely c. 0.185 moles of biotin/mole Lf, which was similar in both milk and colostrum bLf.

Statistical methods

Lactoferrin treatment effects on in-vitro growth of Bifidobacterium spp. were analysed by a one-way analysis of variance and Tukey’s multiple comparison procedure (SAS/LAB PC version 6.08). Analysis of variance was also used to compare the overall response to Lf growth promotion activity by different bacterial strains. Differences were considered statistically significant when the probability value was <0.05.

Results

Growth promotion activity of lactoferrin samples

The in-vitro growth promotion activity of bLf and huLf preparations for three strains of Bifidobacterium spp. is shown in Table 2. Preparations of bLf obtained either commercially or isolated from mature cow’s milk stimulated the growth of B. infantis and B. breve in a dose-dependent manner. When bLf was added to bifidobacteria cultures at 1–2 mg/ml, acid production during the 48-h incubation period increased from c. 0.1 units in control cultures of >0.40 units (p<0.05), corresponding to about a 500-fold increase in bacterial cell numbers. Milk bLf caused only a slight increase in growth of B. bifidum (p<0.05). In contrast, bLf isolated from fresh colostrum showed no growth promotion activity for B. bifidum, B. infantis or B. breve. The growth of B. infantis appeared to be slightly inhibited by colostrum bLf (compared with control), although these differences were not statistically significant. Lactoferrin isolated from fresh milk (huLf) stimulated an increase in the growth of B. bifidum (p<0.05), but did not affect the growth of B. breve or B. infantis. In general, the maximum growth response to bLf and huLf samples was less for B. bifidum than that for B. infantis and B. breve (p<0.05).

The effect of iron saturation level of bLf and huLf samples on the in-vitro bifidobacteria growth promotion activity is shown in Table 3. Iron saturated and unsaturated forms of human transferrin (huTf) were also tested for comparison because of their similarity of Lf in structure and iron-binding capability. Native bLf isolated from unpasteurised milk which had an iron saturation level of <10% exhibited good growth promotion activity for B. infantis and B. breve, but only slight activity for B. bifidum. The growth promotion activity of milk bLf did not change when the iron saturation level of bLf was increased to 30% or 100%. Increasing the iron saturation levels of huLf
Table 2. Bifidobacteria growth promotion activity of Lf preparations from bovine colostrum, bovine milk or human milk

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lf sample</th>
<th>Concentration (mg/ml)</th>
<th>Mean (SD) growth promotion activity (units)*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B. bifidum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.084 (0.002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colostrum bLf</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.083 (0.002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.073 (0.012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.095 (0.005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milk bLf</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.110 (0.003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.130 (0.006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.141 (0.031)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milk bLf (commercial)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.140 (0.007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.199 (0.003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milk huLf</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.209 (0.015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.269 (0.014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.340 (0.019)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Units of growth defined as mmoles of NaOH required to neutralise the acid produced during incubation of a bacterial culture (4 ml) for 48 h, with or without Lf. Results are given as the mean (SD) of at least quadruplicate samples.

Significantly greater than control (p < 0.05).

Table 3. Effect of Lf iron saturation level on bifidobacteria growth promotion activity of bovine milk Lf and human milk Lf

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test samples</th>
<th>Iron saturation level (%)</th>
<th>Mean (SD) growth promotion activity (U)*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B. bifidum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.076 (0.003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milk bLf</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>0.120 (0.004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.112 (0.003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.108 (0.004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milk huLf</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>0.247 (0.008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.219 (0.013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.209 (0.004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HuLf</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>0.221 (0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.111 (0.005)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Units of growth defined as mmoles of NaOH required to neutralise the acid produced during incubation of a bacterial culture (4 ml) for 48 h with Lf samples at 1 mg/ml. Results are given as the mean (SD) of at least quadruplicate samples.

Significantly greater than control (p < 0.05).

preparations from <10% to either 30% or 100% did not alter the growth promotion activity of huLf for B. bifidum or lead to growth promotion activity for B. infantis or B. breve. The huTf in both iron saturated and unsaturated forms showed growth promotional activity for B. bifidum, while neither form of huTf promoted the growth of B. breve. Only the iron saturated form of huTf promoted the growth of B. infantis (p < 0.05).

**Binding of Lf to bifidobacteria cells**

Binding of biotinylated milk bLf to B. breve and B. bifidum was evaluated to determine whether the different growth promotion responses to bLf by B. breve and B. bifidum were correlated with levels of milk bLf bound to bifidobacterial cells. Preliminary studies demonstrated that binding of biotinylated bLf to bifidobacteria cells was similar at 4°C, 22°C and 37°C and reached a maximum level following incubation for 15 min (data not shown). The addition of increasing amounts of biotinylated milk bLf (0.01–0.25 μg) to 10⁶ cells resulted in a linear increase in binding of milk bLf to B. breve and B. bifidum, reaching a maximum binding at c. 0.5 μg of milk bLf (Fig. 1). As shown in Fig. 1, similar binding of milk bLf was observed for B. breve and B. bifidum despite the lower growth promotion activity of milk Lf for B. bifidum (Table 2). In contrast, binding of milk bLf to a strain of enterotoxigenic E. coli was at least 40-fold lower than binding to Bifidobacterium spp. (Fig. 1).

Binding of bLf from colostrum to Bifidobacterium spp. was also evaluated to determine whether differences in bifidobacteria to growth promotion activity correlated with binding of Lf to bacterial cells. Similar binding of milk bLf and colostrum bLf was observed for B. breve and B. bifidum (Fig. 2a and b), despite the lack of growth promotion activity of colostrum bLf for both B. bifidum and B. breve (Table 2). The addition of a 500-fold excess of unlabelled
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Fig. 1. Binding of biotinylated milk bLF to B. bifidum (—at), B. breve (—●—) and E. coli (—○—). Varying amounts of biotinylated bLF (0.01—1.0 µg) were added to 10⁶ washed bacterial cells and incubated for 1 h. Cell mixtures were washed and bound bLF was detected with peroxidase-labelled streptavidin. Data represent total binding of milk bLF after correction for background binding detected in the absence of biotinylated bLF from at least three separate experiments.

bla to the standard Lf binding assay indicated that non-specific binding of biotinylated bLF to B. breve and B. bifidum was consistently 5% for milk bLF and colostrum bLF (Fig. 2).

The specificity of bLF binding to bifidobacteria cells was determined in competitive inhibition experiments performed with excess amounts (up to 10,000-fold) of unlabelled Lf or heterologous proteins (Fig. 3). The presence of a 10,000-fold excess of α-lactalbumin, β-lactoglobulin or transferrin resulted in only marginal inhibition of binding (<20%) of milk bLF to B. breve and B. bifidum. While a 1000-fold excess of heterologous proteins resulted in ≤20% inhibition of binding of milk bLF to B. breve and B. bifidum, the addition of a 1000-fold excess of unlabelled milk bLF resulted in 95% inhibition of the binding of biotinylated milk bLF to bifidobacteria cells. Milk huLF inhibited the binding of biotinylated bLF, but less efficiently than inhibition by unlabelled milk bLF. The amount of unlabelled Lf needed to inhibit 50% of the binding of biotinylated bLF to B. breve or B. bifidum was c. 100 µg for huLF and 1 µg for milk bLF.

Discussion

Although Lf was first isolated from human and bovine milk c. 35 years ago [27, 28], the functions of this iron-binding glycoprotein in vivo, its mechanism of action and its biological significance remain the subject of intense investigation. A number of in-vitro studies have shown that Lf is bacteriostatic and in some cases bactericidal for various gram-negative and gram-positive bacteria [20–23]. The wealth of in-vitro data on the antibacterial properties of Lf combined with the existence of relatively large amounts of Lf in human milk has led to speculation that Lf has an important role in antimicrobial host defence for the newborn infant.

A previous study reported that Lf from cow's milk also promotes the in-vitro growth of anaerobic bacteria of the genus Bifidobacterium [16]. The present study expanded the understanding of both the growth promotion activity and binding of Lf for different Bifidobacterium spp. It found that the growth of B. bifidum was more responsive to huLF than to bLF, while the growth of B. infantis and B. breve was promoted only by milk bLF. In addition, commercial samples of milk bLF showed the same pattern of bifidobacteria growth promotion activity as bLF from fresh milk. Surprisingly, bLF isolated from colostrum did not promote the growth of any of the three strains of bifidobacteria when tested at concentrations up to 2 g/L. It is possible that the differences observed in bifidobacterial growth promotion activity for Lf samples from human milk, bovine milk and bovine
colostrum are related to known differences in either the carbohydrate or amino acid content of the huLf and bLf molecules [29–31].

The antibacterial action of Lf is most frequently attributed to its ability to chelate iron, which in turn may restrict the availability of this essential nutrient for susceptible organisms. Reports of Lf binding by different types of bacteria [32–34] have also led to speculation that receptors for Lf play a role in the antibacterial action of Lf. For example, binding of Lf to receptors on gram-negative bacteria may lead to destabilisation of the outer membrane, causing increased cell permeability as another antibacterial mechanism for Lf [22–24]. However, other investigators have speculated that Lf receptors may facilitate iron acquisition by certain types of bacteria [32, 33]. Although the tendency of Lf to bind non-specifically to many acidic macromolecules has led to questions regarding the existence of true receptors for Lf on bacterial cells, the results of the present study provide evidence that the binding of biotinylated bLf by Bifidobacterium spp. occurs in a specific receptor-mediated fashion. Non-specific binding was found to be <5% of total binding and bonding of milk bLf was inhibited by homologous but not heterologous glycoproteins. The amount of inhibitor protein required to decrease binding of biotinylated bLf by 50% was at least 1000-fold lower for bLf when compared with α-lactalbumin, β-lactoglobulin or transferrin. Although saturable binding of Lf to bifidobacteria cells was not obvious, this may be related to the tendency of Lf molecules to aggregate when present at sufficiently high concentrations, which may have caused the slight but steady increase in apparent bovine Lf binding observed during this study. The results also indicate that low levels of bacterial LPS may not interfere with Lf binding to bifidobacteria cells, as commercial milk bLf samples with high levels of LPS showed very high bifidobacteria growth promotion activity and binding to bifidobacteria cells.

These results also provide evidence that adsorption of Lf to the surface of bifidobacteria cells may be involved but is not sufficient for the growth promotion activity of Lf. Comparable binding of either milk or colostrum bLf to bifidobacteria cells was observed, despite results showing that colostrum bLf is devoid of bifidobacteria growth promotion activity. Likewise, milk bLf was shown to bind B. bifidum, even though it was devoid of growth promotion activity for B. bifidum. Unlabelled huLf inhibited the binding of biotinylated bLf in an intermediate fashion, which may be due to known structural differences reported for bLf and huLf [29–31].

The results of the present study also provide evidence that the ability of Lf to stimulate the growth of Bifidobacterium spp. is independent of the iron saturation level of Lf. High and low levels of iron saturation showed similar bifidobacteria growth promotion activity for both bLf and huLf samples. Furthermore, increases in the iron saturation level of huLf preparations did not result in growth promotional activity for B. infantis or B. breve. However, it should be recognised that iron acquisition from Lf may still be involved in bifidobacteria growth promotion, as iron depleted Lf could take up iron from the medium and become iron saturated before promoting growth of bifidobacteria. However, this is unlikely because it would seem that Lf binding would be necessary for iron acquisition, while the results of the present study collectively suggest a lack of correlation between binding and growth promotion activity. For example,
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**Fig. 3.** Inhibition of binding of milk bLf to (a) *B. bifidum* and (b) *B. breve* by unlabelled milk bLf (---), milk huLf (⋯⋯), \( \beta \)-lactoglobulin (▪▪), \( \alpha \)-lactalbumin (■■), and human apotransferrin (∙∙∙∙∙∙). Inhibitors were pre-incubated with bacterial cells at levels ranging from 0.5 to 1000 \( \mu \)g prior to measuring the binding of 0.1 \( \mu \)g of biotinylated Lf in a standard assay with 10⁸ bacterial cells.

Both milk bLf and colostrum bLf should bind iron from the incubation media very efficiently and have been shown to bind to bifidobacteria, yet milk bLf but not colostrum bLf promoted growth of *B. bifidum* or *B. breve*.

The ability of Lf to stimulate growth of bifidobacteria may indicate that Lf plays a role in host defence by promoting the development of a more favourable intestinal bacterial flora [2]. Comparative studies on the impact of diet on faecal flora patterns in newborn infants have found that after the intestinal flora becomes established, *Bifidobacterium* spp. are more predominant in the colon of breast-fed infants than in infants fed cow's milk or milk-based infant formula [3, 7, 35]. Absolute numbers of bifidobacteria are similar in formula-fed and breast-fed infants, while other types of bacteria – such as *Bacteroides*, *Clostridium* and *Enterococcus* spp. and *Escherichia coli* – are frequently present in higher numbers in infants fed milk or commercial formulas [4, 6]. The individual species of *Bifidobacterium* that predominate...
in faeces of breast-fed infants vary according to the geographic location of study sites and are not markedly different from species found in formula-fed infants [4, 36]. Both *B. infantis* and *B. breve* are commonly found in high numbers in faeces of breast-fed infants, despite the negligible growth promotion of these species in these studies in response to huLf, which is present in human milk at c. 1.2 mg/ml. In addition, the high numbers of bifidobacteria found in faecal samples of infants fed formula that contains negligible quantities of Lf (present in cow’s milk ~0.2 mg/ml), indicate that other factors exist in milk-based formulas that promote the growth of bifidobacteria. Indeed, both human and bovine milk have been shown to contain other factors, such as oligosaccharides, that promote the growth of *Bifidobacterium* spp. *in vitro* [15, 16, 37]. While few studies have systematically evaluated the impact of Lf on the composition of intestinal bacteria, the addition of bLf to infant formula (1–2 g/L) has been reported to cause an increase in intestinal bifidobacteria counts when fed to adult mice [38], but not in human infants [39]. A number of other factors such as macro-nutrient and iron content are likely to contribute to the regulation of the composition and metabolism of the bacterial flora in the colon.

In summary, this study has shown that Lf from human and bovine milk promotes the growth of intestinal bacteria of the genus *Bifidobacterium in vitro* by a mechanism that apparently does not require binding of the Lf molecule to the bifidobacterial cell surface. The growth promotion activity of Lf for bifidobacteria did not appear to be related to the acquisition and utilisation of iron, and Lf from human milk showed greater growth promotion activity for *B. bifidum*, while bLf was more active for *B. infantis* and *B. breve*. Additional studies are needed to define the in vivo significance of the antibacterial properties and bifidobacteria growth promotion activities described in *in vitro* studies on Lf from different sources.

We thank Dr Jan Nuijens for providing samples of lactoferrin from human and bovine milk.
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