TECHNICAL NOTE

A novel simple method for quantifying bacteria from endotracheal aspirates
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A convenient dipstrip method (Bacteruritest™; Mast Diagnostics) for bacterial quantification was evaluated with 42 endotracheal aspirates. For 31 specimens, the dipstrip method yielded counts within a 10-fold range of surface plate counts. Two specimens yielded counts by the dipstrip within a 100-fold range of plate counts. Six specimens yielded confluent growth at the greatest dilution tested by the dipstrip method, and counts >10^10 cfu/ml in the surface plate method. Three specimens yielded no detectable growth by the dipstrip and surface plate counts <10^2 cfu/ml. Dipstrips provide a cheap, convenient method for the routine quantification of the bacterial load in endotracheal aspirates.

Introduction

Quantitative cultures are a valuable aid in the diagnosis of nosocomial pneumonias in ventilated patients [1]. Serial dilution methods have been used to distinguish tracheobronchial colonisation from significant lower respiratory tract infection [2]. However, these methods are laborious and time consuming [3, 4]. Filter paper strips ('dipstrips') of a standard porosity, which absorb a known volume of fluid which is then delivered to the surface of an agar plate, are used widely to measure bacterial loads in urine specimens [5]. The use of dipstrips as a convenient method of quantifying bacterial loads in endotracheal aspirate specimens was evaluated by comparison with a conventional surface agar plate (viable count) method.

Materials and methods

The number of viable bacteria was quantified in 42 endotracheal aspirates obtained over a 2-month period from 39 ventilated adult patients with or without clinical signs of pneumonia. After liquefaction and homogenisation of the specimen in an equal volume of Sputasol (Oxoid-Unipath Ltd), 10-fold dilutions to 10^10 in sterile distilled water were prepared. Each dilution was sampled once with a dipstrip (Bacteruritest strips, Mast Diagnostics) which was then placed briefly on the surface of a blood agar plate (horse blood 5%, Oxoid-Unipath Ltd) as recommended by the manufacturer. The dipstrip produced a 12 × 6 mm imprint on the agar, from which the number of colonies could subsequently be counted. In parallel, with the same dilution series, five 10-μl drops of each dilution were placed on the surface of a blood agar plate [4]. All plates were incubated aerobically for 18 h at 37°C. Colony counts from the dipstrip imprints were interpreted as recommended for urine samples, taking each colony as representing c. 5000 cfu/ml of the diluted material [6]. The total number of colonies obtained with the surface plate method was used to calculate the viable bacterial count in the specimen (modified Miles and Misra method) [4].

Results and discussion

The number of viable bacteria was quantifiable by both methods for 33 specimens from 32 patients and estimates of the bacterial load concurred within a 10-fold range for 31 of these (Fig. 1a). Six specimens produced confluent growth at the highest dilution in both methods. Three specimens produced no growth by the dipstrip method and low surface plate counts in the range 10^-1 to 10^2 cfu/ml. Two specimens produced results which differed by two 10-fold dilutions; one specimen yielded counts of 10^9 cfu/ml (surface count) and 10^5 cfu/ml (dipstrip) and the other gave 10^3 cfu/ml (surface count) and 10^4 cfu/ml (dipstrip). These discrepancies may have been due to the nature of the organisms involved, for example, viable counts of gram-positive cocci are often unreliable. However, this
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 possibility remains uncertain as organisms were not
identified in the present study. Dipstrips, therefore,
produced an acceptably accurate quantitative estimate
of bacterial load (i.e., within a ten-fold range of surface
counts) in the range 10^2 cfu/ml to >10^10 cfu/ml.

The results of this study show that dipstrips facilitate
bacterial quantification in endotracheal aspirates with-
out compromising accuracy. It was possible to count
up to c. 80–100 colonies on a dipstrip imprint. Thus
the range of accurate load determination by dipstrips
would be (5 x 10^3)–(5 x 10^7) cfu/ml for a two-fold
dilution, (5 x 10^3)–(5 x 10^7) cfu/ml for a dilution of
10^2, etc. (Fig. 1b). If anaerobic infection was
suspected, dipstrip counts could be performed aero-
biically and anaerobically. Our specimens were routine
clinical samples chosen at random and it seems
reasonable to assume that the range of bacterial loads
found is representative of those in ventilated patients.

Various quantitative thresholds have been proposed to
distinguish respiratory tract colonisation from true
infection and aid diagnosis of ventilator-associated
pneumonia (e.g., 10^7 cfu/ml for endotracheal aspirates
[7]. These diagnostic thresholds could distinguish
those specimens requiring further work – isolate
identification and antimicrobial susceptibility testing –
from those of little clinical significance. Dipstrip
plating of three dilutions (two-fold, 10^2 and 10^4)
would allow quantitative estimation of endotracheal
aspirate loads in the range 10^4–10^10 cfu/ml, within
which most of the proposed diagnostic thresholds lie.
Beneficial effects for the laboratory would be savings,
in time and expense, and concentration of effort on
clinically important specimens. Each dipstrip costs 0.5
pence and c. 10 imprints could be applied from
different dilutions to a single blood agar plate or five
imprints if each specimen was sampled in duplicate.
The surface plate count method as used above requires
a blood agar plate (price = c. 30 pence) for each
dilution used in addition to the cost of diluent and
disposable pipettes. The number of bacteria in
endotracheal aspirates is not routinely determined in
this laboratory but bronchial brush specimens,
although received rarely, are investigated by a surface
plate count method. The low media cost associated
with the dipstrip method may enable the quantification
of bacterial loads in endotracheal aspirates on a
routine basis in the future. Dipstrips may be suitable
for the quantification of bacterial loads in other
specimens, e.g. bronchoalveolar lavages, if samples
were homogenised appropriately.
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