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PSEUDOMONAS AERUGINOSA is an unusual gram-negative bacillus in that many of its pathogenic effects are believed to be caused by extracellular products (haemolysin, lecithinase, toxin and protease) and not by the so-called endotoxin moiety (Liu, Abe and Bates, 1961; Liu, 1974).

Previous results (Meinke et al., 1970; Homma et al., 1975; Gray and Kreger, 1979) indicate that P. aeruginosa protease may be important in the pathogenesis of pseudomonas pneumonia. Homma et al. (1975) observed that the sera of patients contained elevated titres of antibody to pseudomonas protease. Meinke et al. (1970) reported that intranasal administration of P. aeruginosa protease to mice produced focal and confluent haemorrhagic lung lesions, macroscopically identical to those seen in human patients (Shimizu et al., 1974). Gray and Kreger (1979) considered that protease was at least partially responsible for the lung damage in pseudomonas pneumonia.

Because of the possible pathogenic importance of pseudomonas protease we investigated the in-vitro ability of antibiotics, in concentrations too low to affect greatly the growth and survival of P. aeruginosa, to suppress extracellular protease production.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial strain. P. aeruginosa strain SAR was isolated from a human pneumonia specimen at King Abdul Aziz University Hospital. It produced pyocyanin and was identified by the method of Buchanan and Gibbons (1974). This strain was the best producer of extracellular protease among 20 strains tested by the method described below.

Culture methods and sampling. The kinetics of growth and protease production were followed for 24 h in 250-ml cultures in trypticase soy broth (TSB). The antibiotic solutions were added at the beginning of the experiment or at various times during incubation. The flasks were seeded with an overnight TSB culture grown at 37°C to give a final concentration of approximately $10^5$ viable organisms/ml, and were incubated at 37°C on an orbital shaker (Gallenkamp) at 140 r.p.m. Optical densities were obtained by removing aseptically 2-ml volumes of culture and reading the optical density (OD) at 490 nm in a Beckman spectrophotometer 20. Culture supernates were obtained from these samples by centrifugation at 10 000 g for 20 min. The supernates were sterilised by filtration and then used for protease assay.

Assay of protease was by the method of Brown and Foster (1970) with agar containing 15% (v/v) sterile skimmed milk. In preliminary experiments, wells 4, 9 and 12 mm in diameter were filled with serial twofold dilutions of supernate from a 24-h culture. The plates were maintained at room temperature for 48 h. The wells 9 and 12 mm in diameter were equally satisfactory for detecting the smallest concentrations of protease. Wells 9 mm in diameter were used in all subsequent experiments. The titre was the highest dilution that produced clearing around the wells.

Test for DNA. The method of Burton (1956) was used.

Extraction of intracellular protease. Ethylenediamine tetraacetate-lysozyme spheroplasts of P. aeruginosa were obtained by a modification of the procedure of Birdsell and Cota-Robles (1967). Cells from 8- and 16-h cultures were extensively washed with 0·1 M Tris buffer, pH 8·0
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(Sigma Chemical Co., no. T3253). Washed cells, 0.5 mg dry weight, were suspended in 10 ml of the same Tris buffer. Lysozyme 50 μg/ml was added and the cells were incubated at room temperature for 15 min. The osmotically fragile spheroplasts were then lysed by the addition of 1 ml of cold distilled water. The resulting cellular extract was clarified by centrifuging at 10,000 g for 20 min. to remove cellular-debris, and sterilised by filtration.

**RESULTS**

Enzymic activity in control-culture supernate was detectable (fig. 1) at an early stage of growth and increased during the logarithmic phase, reaching a maximum of 256 units/ml at 16 h. Fig. 1 also shows the corresponding results in a culture containing a subinhibitory concentration (0.9 μg/ml) of tetracycline. The antibiotic produced only a slight adverse affect on growth, but protease production was completely inhibited. Tests for cellular DNA in the culture medium indicated that the release of protease in the control culture was not the result of cell lysis. The concentration of the antibiotic used was shown in control assays to have no effect on the activity of preformed enzyme.

The effect of various concentrations of tetracycline on growth and protease production was studied. The results (fig. 2) show that 0.45 μg/ml produced a 50% inhibition of protease production; a concentration of 0.9 μg/ml was deemed optimal for producing protease inhibition.
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Material tested (after lysozyme treatment)

Washed control cells:
- extracellular fraction
- intracellular fraction

Washed tetracycline-treated cells:
- extracellular fraction
- intracellular fraction

Protease (units/ml) in material derived from culture aged

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material tested</th>
<th>8 h</th>
<th>16 h</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Washed control cells:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>extracellular fraction</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>intracellular fraction</td>
<td>&lt;4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washed tetracycline-treated cells:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>extracellular fraction</td>
<td>&lt;4</td>
<td>&lt;4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>intracellular fraction</td>
<td>&lt;4</td>
<td>&lt;4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

When tetracycline 1.8 µg/ml was added 4, 8, 12 and 16 h after the beginning of incubation, enzyme formation was halted. The fact that tetracycline was effective when added at an early stage of growth may suggest that it is related to some synthetic process rather than to modification of a preformed precursor.

The results suggested that tetracycline inhibited either the synthesis or the release of protease. After lysozyme treatment of washed tetracycline-treated cells protease could not be detected in the cell debris or in the supernate (table). This suggested that the effect of tetracycline was to inhibit protease synthesis.

Two other antibiotics were studied. Chloramphenicol (0.7 µg/ml) inhibited growth and protease production by 49% and 50%, respectively. Polymyxin B (1.5 µg/ml) inhibited growth by 43% and protease production by 50%. Thus these two antibiotics, unlike tetracycline, produced protease inhibition merely by virtue of growth inhibition.
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DISCUSSION

Factors affecting the formation of extracellular protease in vitro are poorly understood, but carbon source, pH, and oxygen tension are known to be of importance (Wretlind and Kronevi, 1978). Studies on the production and synthesis of pseudomonas protease are few. Morihara (1964) found that protease was produced in synthetic media containing 2% yeast extract; neither Liu (1974) nor S. Hessewood and J. T. Smith (personal communication, 1979) could demonstrate the production of P. aeruginosa protease in completely synthetic media. The present study showed that the formation of protease in shake-flask TSB cultures occurred in the early stage of growth and increased during the logarithmic phase. This observation, together with the low levels of intracellular protease found, suggests that protease is an extracellular enzyme. Although protease produced by P. aeruginosa has been purified (Meinke et al., 1970), relatively little information is available on its site of synthesis, and on its subsequent release. Pollock’s (1962) critical review on exoenzymes provides an admirable introduction to the difficulties inherent in the study of such problems. There is now strong evidence (Lampen, 1974) that exoenzymes originate from the cell without any alteration to cell structure. The inhibition of protease in the present study could be attributed to the inhibition either of synthesis or of release; the antibiotic had no effect on the activity of preformed protease. Tetracycline is known to inhibit protein synthesis by inhibiting the binding of amino acyl tRNA to the 30S units of bacterial ribosomes (Hash, 1972). Chloramphenicol, on the other hand, blocks the attachment of amino acids to the nascent peptide chain on the 30S unit of ribosomes by interfering with the action of peptidyl transferase.

Polymyxin B acts on the bacterial cell membrane, altering its permeability; there are two sites of action of polymyxin B, one (lethal) connected with the phospholipids in the inner membrane, and the other (non-lethal) connected with the lipopolysaccharides and phospholipids in the outer membrane of the bacterial envelope (Hash, 1972). It is difficult to explain protease inhibition in terms of the mode of action of the antibiotics used in our experiments. These antibiotics differed strikingly in their effect on protease production. The idea that extracellular products are synthesised or assembled on the surface of the cell membrane is attractive because many enzymes are known to be bound to the cell surface (Lampen, 1974). As a result of studies on Micrococcus sodonensis (Glew and Heath, 1971) and Bacillus amyloliquefaciens (Both et al., 1972), it was postulated that extracellular enzymes are synthesised at the cell membrane and are extruded through it.

Previous studies (Gemmell and Shibl, 1976; Shibl and Al-Sowaygh, 1979) with staphylococci and streptococci suggest that the site of synthesis of extracellular proteins is more peripherally situated or at least more accessible to the extracellular environment than is the site of synthesis of intracellular proteins. It is possible that membrane-bound ribosomes manufacture proteins that leave the cell, and that free ribosomes manufacture proteins that remain within it.

SUMMARY

The effects of tetracycline, chloramphenicol and polymyxin B on growth and protease production by Pseudomonas aeruginosa were studied. Tetracycline inhibited protease production at concentrations much lower than those required to cause growth inhibition; the effect was not due to inhibition of protease activity by the antibiotic. In contrast, chloramphenicol and polymyxin B inhibited protease production in direct proportion to the inhibition of growth. Lysozyme-release experiments with washed tetracycline-treated cells indicated that the protease did not accumulate intracellularly. The protease-inhibiting effect of tetracycline might have therapeutic significance if it were found to occur in vivo.
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