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PLATES XV AND XVI

The induction of lincomycin resistance by erythromycin in strains of Staphylococcus aureus that show dissociated resistance to erythromycin has been well documented and reviewed (Garrod, 1957; Griffith et al., 1965; Garrod, Lambert and O'Grady, 1973). In agar-diffusion tests of this phenomenon the results indicate antagonism between the drugs (Griffith et al., 1965). Similar findings with Streptococcus pyogenes are reported here for the first time together with the observation of apparent synergy between the two drugs in one isolate of this species.

Dixon and Lipinski (1972) described several patterns of lincomycin and erythromycin resistance in Str. pyogenes including a paradoxical relationship between the concentration of lincomycin and its inhibitory effect. This relationship has been confirmed with some of the strains examined here and has been related to drug interaction.

The organisms with these unusual responses to erythromycin and lincomycin were compared with conventional strains that showed unequivocal sensitivity to both antibiotics. Sensitivity patterns to the individual drugs were studied with agar-dilution methods and interaction studies were made with agar-diffusion methods.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Organisms. All strains of Str. pyogenes were routine clinical isolates. They were sensitive to weak bacitracin and gave group A reactions by the precipitin method (Rantz and Randall, 1955) and the co-agglutination method ("Phadebact", Pharmacia). Strain QA was representative of organisms sensitive to both erythromycin and lincomycin; strain QB was an isolate representative of 10 strains that showed apparent antagonism between the two drugs; strain QC showed apparent synergy between the two drugs.

Media. The solid medium used was Columbia Agar Base (Oxoid) with 1 % (w/v) yeast extract and 6 % (v/v) citrated horse blood. Antibiotic plates and disks were made by conventional procedures.

Inocula. Glucose broth cultures, incubated for 16 h at 37°C, were used as the source of inocula. For the disk tests, plates were flooded with a 1 in 100 dilution of these cultures and for the agar-dilution tests single-drop inocula of a 1 in 10 dilution were used. Agar-dilution tests were incubated for 48 h at 37°C.

RESULTS

The results of the agar-dilution studies are shown in table I. Those for strain QA were as expected for a sensitive strain of Str. pyogenes. Strain QB showed a low but definite resistance to erythromycin and a high but paradoxical resistance to lincomycin. Strain QC also had a low level of resistance to erythromycin but was sensitive to lincomycin. The growth in the higher concentrations of lincomycin for strain QB was delayed and the colonies took 48 h to develop.

Fig. 1 shows the three types of drug interaction with each of the organisms after overnight
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### Table I

*Effect of erythromycin and lincomycin on three strains of Streptococcus pyogenes in agar titrations*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Antibiotic</th>
<th>0.009</th>
<th>0.026</th>
<th>0.08</th>
<th>0.23</th>
<th>0.7</th>
<th>2.0</th>
<th>12.5</th>
<th>25</th>
<th>50</th>
<th>100</th>
<th>200</th>
<th>400</th>
<th>Antibiotic interaction as shown in disk tests (see fig. 1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>QA</td>
<td>Erythromycin</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>....</td>
<td>....</td>
<td>....</td>
<td>....</td>
<td>....</td>
<td>....</td>
<td>....</td>
<td>....</td>
<td>....</td>
<td>....</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lincomycin</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>....</td>
<td>....</td>
<td>....</td>
<td>....</td>
<td>....</td>
<td>....</td>
<td>....</td>
<td>....</td>
<td>....</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QB</td>
<td>Erythromycin</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>....</td>
<td>....</td>
<td>....</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>....</td>
<td>....</td>
<td>Antagonism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lincomycin</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>....</td>
<td>....</td>
<td>....</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>....</td>
<td>....</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QC</td>
<td>Erythromycin</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>....</td>
<td>....</td>
<td>....</td>
<td>....</td>
<td>....</td>
<td>....</td>
<td>....</td>
<td>Synergy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lincomycin</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>....</td>
<td>....</td>
<td>....</td>
<td>....</td>
<td>....</td>
<td>....</td>
<td>....</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

+++ = Heavy growth; ... = no growth.
incubation. Antagonism and synergy for strains QB and QC respectively were clearly evident. After incubation for a further day the appearance of the zones for the control strain QA and for strain QC remained unchanged whereas with strain QB there was a progressive antagonism of lincomycin (fig. 2) until finally the entire zone was clouded with growth. It must be noted that the darker areas in the illustrations are those showing inhibition of growth and non-haemolysed blood whereas the pale speckled areas are those of dense growth and haemolysed blood.

The paradoxical zone of lincomycin activity for strain QB was also demonstrated by the agar-diffusion technique (fig. 3) and results paralleled those for the agar-dilution method. More specifically, the various zones from the disk outwards were: (1) a zone of growth inhibition without haemolysis, (2) a zone with colonies and haemolysis, (3) a wide zone of inhibition without haemolysis in its inner aspect but with haemolysis towards the perimeter, and (4) a zone of uninhibited growth with haemolysis. The results varied widely with the density of the inoculum and with incubation conditions. Thus, storage of inoculated plates at 4°C for several hours before incubation at 37°C gave a clearer demonstration of the paradoxical effect than incubation at 37°C alone. As with the agar-dilution method, the colonies in the zone of high lincomycin concentration did not develop until the 2nd day of incubation. It was apparent from a comparison of the density of growth in this zone with that in the outer areas of the plate that the organisms that grew in the former zone represented only a small proportion of the inoculum.

DISCUSSION

There is sound evidence that the apparent antagonism of lincomycin against erythromycin in certain strains of *Staph. aureus* is due to a dissociated type of erythromycin resistance that induces resistance to lincomycin and that therefore can only be detected where the two drugs are present together. In the similar phenomenon described here with *Str. pyogenes* it is reasonable to assume that a similar mechanism is operating. However, the finding of a strain that shows synergy between the two drugs provides further data for consideration in connection with this problem.

Although the present studies do not provide quantitative data they do confirm previous observations (Dixon and Lipinski, 1972) concerning paradoxical zones of lincomycin activity for certain strains of *Str. pyogenes* that display a low level of resistance to erythromycin. The five categories of *Str. pyogenes* described by Dixon and Lipinski (1972) and in this paper are summarised in table II. Interactions were not recorded in the former studies but it is likely that testing would have shown them to be associated with categories 2 and 3 (table II). It is not possible with these limited data to show any firm correlations with the present study. However, strains with erythromycin resistance of the low level type may consistently show one or other interaction between that drug and lincomycin. It may also be of signifi-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Category (and number of strains)</th>
<th>Response to erythromycin</th>
<th>Response to lincomycin</th>
<th>Paradoxical zone with lincomycin</th>
<th>Interaction between erythromycin and lincomycin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dixon and Lipinski (1972)</td>
<td>1 (4)</td>
<td>High resistance</td>
<td>High resistance</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Not tested</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 (4)</td>
<td>Low resistance</td>
<td>High resistance</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Not tested</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 (2)</td>
<td>Low resistance</td>
<td>High resistance</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Not tested</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annear (this paper)</td>
<td>4 (8)</td>
<td>Low resistance</td>
<td>High resistance</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Antagonism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 (1)</td>
<td>Low resistance</td>
<td>Sensitive</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Synergy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
cance that the strain (QC) that showed synergy between the two drugs did not display a paradoxical response to lincomycin.

It would seem that strains of *Str. pyogenes* showing resistance to erythromycin or lincomycin or both should be investigated more fully. Those with moderate resistance to erythromycin may easily be missed in conventional disk testing unless care is exercised because the inhibition zones may be large. In checking such results the juxtaposition of lincomycin and erythromycin disks is of considerable value in revealing interactions. In this laboratory, strains of *Str. pyogenes* resistant to these drugs have not been common and the 10 isolates described here were collected over several years. No strains of categories 1 or 3 (table II) have been isolated so far.

**SUMMARY**

Ten strains of *Streptococcus pyogenes* isolated were moderately resistant to erythromycin and highly but paradoxically resistant to lincomycin, and they showed antagonism between the two antibiotics. Another strain was moderately resistant to erythromycin and sensitive to lincomycin, and it showed synergism between the two antibiotics.

I wish to thank Mr C. Richardson, Princess Margaret Hospital, Perth, for supplying *Streptococcus pyogenes* strain QC, and Mr C. J. Barry of the Medical Illustrations Department, Royal Perth Hospital, for the photographs.
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Fig. 1.—Interactions between erythromycin and lincomycin for strains QA (top), QB (centre) QC (bottom). E = erythromycin disk (15 μg) and L = lincomycin disk (15 μg). Plates incubated for 18 h at 37°C.
Fig. 2.—As for fig. 1 (centre) but after incubation for 2 days.

Fig. 3.—Paradoxical response of strain QB to lincomycin. Disk contains lincomycin 100 μg. Plate held at 4°C for 3 h then incubated at 37°C for 48 h.