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Introduction

Molluscs do not encode a classical acquired immune system (Bachère et al., 1995; Loker et al., 2004) yet thrive in the ocean, which is rich in viruses (Suttle, 2007). Molluscs occupy a wide variety of ecological niches in the ocean and some species are colonial, filter-feeders and can live for up to 400 years (Philipp & Abele, 2010). Molluscs could not be ecologically successful without effective innate responses to protect themselves from fast-evolving pathogens, such as viruses (Loker et al., 2004).

Viruses infecting marine molluscs have been interpreted as members of the families Herpesviridae, Iridoviridae, Papovaviridae, Togaviridae, Reoviridae, Birnaviridae and Picornaviridae (reviewed by Renault & Novoa, 2004). Some of these molluscan viruses threaten the commercial viability of aquaculture enterprises (Segarra et al., 2014a) and others have had a detrimental impact on wild fisheries (Crane et al., 2013). Very few studies have investigated the antiviral responses of molluscs (reviewed by Loker et al., 2004). Routine techniques used in virology and immunobiology are complicated by the absence of continuous cell lines for marine molluscs (Yoshino et al., 2013) and the fact that marine viruses cannot be propagated in the freshwater pond snail (Biomphalaria glabrata) embryonic cell line (Bge) or in molluscan primary cell cultures (further details in Garcia et al., 2011). Therefore, knowledge gaps remain regarding the antiviral responses of molluscs. Previously, researchers had a tendency to fill knowledge gaps in molluscan antiviral immunity by using what is known about other invertebrate phyla, such as arthropods (Drosophila and Penaeus). Emerging research now suggests that the antiviral response of molluscs is different to model invertebrate species (Loker et al., 2004).

Here, we review the antiviral responses of molluscs against viruses belonging to Herpesvirales. We focus on the Herpesvirales order of viruses because few other studies exist regarding mollusc responses to other diseases of potential viral aetiology (Martín-Gómez et al., 2014a, b). We therefore start by describing viruses belonging to the family Malacoherpesviridae within the order Herpesvirales. We discuss recent studies conducted on bivalves and gastropods and highlight similarities in their antiviral responses against viruses. The picture that emerges from these studies is that an interferon-like response and autophagy appear to be important antiviral responses of molluscs, but other evolutionarily conserved antiviral mechanisms, such as RNA interference and apoptosis, still need further characterization.

Marine molluscs, like all living organisms, are constantly exposed to viruses and have evolved efficient antiviral defences. We review here recent developments in molluscan antiviral immunity against viruses belonging to the order Herpesvirales. Emerging results suggest an interferon-like response and autophagy are involved in the antiviral defence of bivalves to viral infection. Multi-functional plasma proteins from gastropods and bivalves have been identified to have broad-spectrum antiviral activity against mammalian viruses. The antiviral defences present in molluscs can be enhanced by genetic selection, as shown by the presence of oyster strains specifically resistant to ostreid herpesvirus type 1. Whether varying amounts or different isoforms of these antiviral plasma proteins contributes to genetic resistance is worthy of further research. Other evolutionarily conserved antiviral mechanisms, such as RNA interference and apoptosis, still need further characterization.

Herpesvirus infections of marine molluscs

Viruses belonging to the family Malacoherpesviridae from the order Herpesvirales are known to cause disease in marine molluscs (Davison et al., 2005, 2009; Savin et al., 2010). The intentional translocation of marine molluscs around the world for aquaculture is considered to be the main reason for the geographical expansion of the family Malacoherpesviridae (Breener et al., 2014; Mineur et al., 2015). Marine molluscs farmed outside their natural
distribution range are also naïve to viruses endemic to that region. Not surprisingly, viruses belonging to the *Malacoherviridae* have caused disastrous economic consequences for farmed and wild fisheries (Hooper et al., 2007; Mineur et al., 2015; Segarra et al., 2010). Up to now, the family *Malacoherviridae* incorporates two groups of viruses that are described in further detail below.

**Ostreid herpesvirus 1 (OsHV-1)**

OsHV-1 belongs to the genus *Ostreavirus* from the family *Malacoherviridae* (Davison et al., 2009). The first description of herpes-like virus associated with mollusc mortality was reported in 1972 in the Eastern oyster (*Crassostrea virginica*) from the east coast of the USA (Farley et al., 1972). Since this time, herpes-like viruses have been described in other species of oyster (Burge et al., 2006; Hine et al., 1992; Renault et al., 1994), scallops (Arzul et al., 2001a; Renault et al., 2013) and clams (Renault et al., 2001). The virus has been purified from naturally infected *Crassostrea gigas* larvae (Le Deuff & Renault, 1999) and its genome entirely sequenced (Davison et al., 2005). Several genotypes of OsHV-1 have been detected by conventional PCR, targeting a specific area of the genome (Arzul et al., 2001b; Martenot et al., 2011; Segarra et al., 2010). The reference (OsHV-1 ref) and variant (OsHV-1 var.) genotypes were associated with sporadic mortality events of *Crassostrea gigas* larvae and spat (oysters less than 1 year old) (Garcia et al., 2011). From 2008, *Crassostrea gigas* mortalities greatly increased on the French coast and spread to other European countries (Renault et al., 2012). These high mortalities were linked to the emergence of a newly described OsHV-1 genotype labelled μVar (Segarra et al., 2010). In addition, mortality events of *Crassostrea gigas* were reported in 2010 from New Zealand and Australia in association with a virus closely related to μVar (Jenkins et al., 2013; Keeling et al., 2014). Numerous genomic and proteomic studies investigating the host–pathogen interactions of *Crassostrea gigas* and OsHV-1 have been undertaken (Corporeau et al., 2014; Du et al., 2013; Fleury & Huvet, 2012; Green & Montagnani, 2013; Jouaux et al., 2013; Normand et al., 2014; Renault et al., 2011; Segarra et al., 2014a, b, c; Tamayo et al., 2014).

Acute viral necrosis virus (AVNV) is the causative agent of a serious disease of Chinese scallops, *Chlamys farreri* (Ren et al., 2013). The complete genome sequence of AVNV indicates it’s a variant of OsHV-1 (Ren et al., 2013). Since the 1980s, AVNV has caused disease events in summer of farmed *Chlamys farreri* in China, with mortality reaching >90% within 5–8 days after first appearance (Fu et al., 2005). Studies investigating the physiological and immunological responses of *Chlamys farreri* infected with AVNV have been undertaken (Chen et al., 2011, 2013, 2014; Tang et al., 2010; Xing et al., 2008).

**Abalone herpesvirus (AbHV)**

The first description of AbHV, associated with high mortality of abalone, was reported in 2005 in farmed abalone *Haliotis diversicolor supertexta* from Taiwan (Chang et al., 2005). Histopathology of moribund abalone indicated the nervous system was the target tissue and electron microscopic examination demonstrated herpes-like viral particles within the degenerated cerebral ganglion cells (Chang et al., 2005). AbHV was likely to occur outside of Taiwan with pre-existing reports of amyotrophy and mortality of Japanese black abalone (*Haliotis discus discus*) associated with a virus-like particle (Nakatsuigawa et al., 1999; Otsu & Sasaki, 1997). In late 2005 there was the emergence of AbHV in farmed and wild abalone populations in Victoria, Australia (Hooper et al., 2007). This outbreak of AbHV was linked to the collection of abalone to be used as broodstock and the translocation of abalone between farms to exchange genetics for breeding programmes or for production purposes (Hooper et al., 2007). Purification of herpesvirus-like particles and partial genome sequencing confirmed AbHV forms part of an ancient clade with its nearest relatives being herpesvirus belonging to OsHV-1 (Savin et al., 2010). A single study investigating the immunological response of hybrid abalone (*Haliotis laevigata × Haliotis rubra*) to AbHV has been undertaken (Dang et al., 2013).

**Innate antiviral responses of marine molluscs**

Antiviral immunity in molluscs is poorly understood compared with that of other invertebrate phyla, with only a few studies conducted in bivalves (mostly focused on *Crassostrea gigas* and *Chlamys farreri*), limited work in gastropods (*Haliotis spp.*) and no studies of cephalopods. Studies investigating the antiviral response of molluscs have mainly focused on identifying antiviral compounds, measuring immune enzyme activity or characterizing the transcriptional response to OsHV-1 infection (Chen et al., 2013; Dang et al., 2011, 2013; Fleury & Huvet, 2012; Green & Montagnani, 2013; Green et al., 2014c; Jouaux et al., 2013; Moreau et al., 2015; Normand et al., 2014; Olicard et al., 2005a, b; Renault et al., 2011; Rosani et al., 2014; Segarra et al., 2014a, c). These studies suggest that the molluscan antiviral response has similarities to the vertebrate interferon pathway (Green & Montagnani, 2013). However, this interpretation may be biased by the reliance on transcriptional studies to characterize antiviral responses. Bioinformatic analysis of the oyster genome reveals molluscs also have the potential to control viral infections using RNA interference (RNAi) and programmed cell death (PCD) responses (Fig. 1). We therefore make comparisons with other phyla to highlight potential knowledge gaps in our understanding of mollusc antiviral immunity.

**Interferon-like response**

The interferon system is crucial for resistance of mammals and other vertebrates to viral infection (Randall & Goodbourn, 2008). Vertebrate cells produce interferons upon recognition of virus-derived nucleic acids, such as dsRNA (Randall & Goodbourn, 2008). Interferons are secreted...
et al. encode interferon or its major effectors (Loker et al., 2006; 2008). The products of these ISGs exert numerous antiviral effector functions (Schoggins & Rice, 2011). The interferon response has traditionally been thought to be a vertebrate innovation because the genomes of model invertebrates (i.e. Drosophila and mosquitoes) do not encode interferon or its major effectors (Loker et al., 2004; Robalino et al., 2004). Subsequently, it has been demonstrated that arthropods have a transcriptional response to viral infection that signals through a secreted peptide, vago, that induces the expression of antiviral effectors via the Jak-STAT pathway (Deddouche et al., 2008; Paradkar et al., 2012, 2014). Several important contrasts exist between the vertebrate interferon response and the arthropod transcriptional response to viral infection. Drosophila and mosquitoes cannot distinguish non-specific nucleic acids to activate vago expression, but instead rely on a component of the RNAi-mediated antiviral response, dicer-2, to recognize replicating viruses and activate vago via a signalling pathway involving TRAF and Rel2 (Deddouche et al., 2008; Paradkar et al., 2014). Secondly, antiviral effectors that are induced by vago (i.e. CG12780, vir1 and CG9080) have no resemblance to vertebrate ISGs (Dostert et al., 2005).

Although no interferon–cytokine homologue has yet been identified in molluscs, oysters (Crassostrea gigas) have a transcriptional response to viral infection (OsHV-1) that closely resembles the vertebrate interferon response. Firstly, the immune system of Crassostrea gigas can recognize non-specific nucleic acids (i.e. poly I : C) to induce an antiviral response that inhibits subsequent infection with OsHV-1 (Green & Montagnani, 2013). This response was antiviral because inducing an anti-pathogen response by injecting Crassostrea gigas with heat-killed Vibrio bacteria provided no protection against subsequent OsHV-1 infection (Green & Montagnani, 2013). Several evolutionary conserved nucleic acid sensors and their downstream signalling molecules, including Toll-like receptors (TLRs), RIG-like receptors (RLRs), interferon regulatory factors (IRFs) and stimulator of interferon (STING), have been identified in the Crassostrea gigas genome (Figs 2 and 3, Table 1). Secondly, the Crassostrea gigas genome encodes many classic ISGs (Table 1), such as 2’-5’-oligoadenylate synthetase (OAS) (Fig. 4, GenBank accession nos EKC21335 & EKC26578), Mx protein (GenBank no. KC28205), viperin (GenBank no. EKC28205) and ADAR-L (GenBank no. EKC20855) (Zhang et al., 2012). Finally, OsHV-1 infection of C. gigas coincides with elevated mRNA levels of many genes involved in the interferon response, such as virus recognition receptors (i.e. TLRs and RLRs), signal transducers (i.e. MyD88, STING, SOC), transcription factors (IRFs, NF-κB) and antiviral effectors (i.e. viperin, IFI44, OAS).
Many of these genes are also induced in the gill, mantle and hemocyte tissue of Crassostrea gigas injected with poly I : C (Green et al., 2014a; b; Green & Montagnani, 2013). Collectively, these studies have demonstrated the oyster can recognize virus-associated molecular patterns to induce a systemic transcriptional response that is capable of controlling OsHV-1 infection and replication. Future research should attempt to identify an oyster interferon cytokine because there is evidence that vertebrate interferons can elicit an antiviral response in pearl oysters (Pinctada fucata) (Miyazaki et al., 2000) and can phosphorylate STAT-like proteins in the mussel, Mytilus galloprovincialis (Canesi et al., 2003).

**Fig. 2.** Schematic representation of the primary structural and functional domains of RIG-like receptors (RLRs) from human (MDA-5 and RIG-1) and oyster (EKC38303, EKC38304, EKC24603 and EKC34573). CARD, Caspase activating recruitment domain; DEATH, death domain superfamily, which contains the death domain, pyrin, CARD and death effector domain families; RD, regulatory domain.

ADAR-L, TRIM, etc.) (see Table 1 and references within). Of these genes are also induced in the gill, mantle and hemocyte tissue of Crassostrea gigas injected with poly I : C (Green et al., 2014a; b; Green & Montagnani, 2013). Collectively, these studies have demonstrated the oyster can recognize virus-associated molecular patterns to induce a systemic transcriptional response that is capable of controlling OsHV-1 infection and replication. Future research should attempt to identify an oyster interferon cytokine because there is evidence that vertebrate interferons can elicit an antiviral response in pearl oysters (Pinctada fucata) (Miyazaki et al., 2000) and can phosphorylate STAT-like proteins in the mussel, Mytilus galloprovincialis (Canesi et al., 2003).

**Fig. 3.** Bioinformatic analysis of stimulator of interferon (STING). (a) Schematic representation of the primary structural and functional domains of STING from human (NP_938023), mouse (NP_082537), chicken (E1C7U0), fish (CC155627) and oyster (EKC29965). (b) Unrooted phylogenetic tree of vertebrate and invertebrate STING amino acid sequences. The tree was reconstructed using the neighbour-joining algorithm in the MEGA v5.1 program (Tamura et al., 2011). The tree is drawn to scale, with branch lengths in the same units as those of the evolutionary distances that were used to infer the phylogenetic tree (scale bar, 0.2 amino acid substitutions per site). Bootstrap values (shown at nodes) are based on 1000 resamplings of the data.
RNA interference (RNAi)

dsRNA is an important regulator of gene expression in animals (Meister & Tuschl, 2004; Randall & Goodbourn, 2008). It can induce a transcriptional response (i.e. interferon-pathway) and it can also regulate different types of post-transcriptional gene processes that are collectively referred to as RNAi (Meister & Tuschl, 2004). RNAi is highly evolutionarily conserved process triggered by dsRNA precursors that vary in length and origin (Jeang, 2012; Kemp & Imler, 2009; Wang et al., 2010). According to their origin or function, three types of naturally occurring small RNA have been characterized: (i) short interfering RNAs (siRNAs) are generated from dsRNA either derived from exogenous sources such as viruses or encoded by the cell genome, (ii) microRNAs (miRNAs) are generated from cell-encoded transcripts and ultimately function to regulate gene expression at the level of translation, and (iii) PIWI-interacting RNAs (piRNAs) are cell-encoded

Table 1. Key antiviral genes identified in the Crassostrea gigas genome involved in virus recognition receptors, antiviral signalling molecules, antiviral effectors and key components in PCD and RNAi

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>C. gigas GenBank no.</th>
<th>H. sapiens GenBank no.</th>
<th>E-value</th>
<th>aa identity (%)</th>
<th>OsHV-1 refs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Virus recognition</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLR-3</td>
<td>EKC35956</td>
<td>NP_003256</td>
<td>3.00e^-46</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>Fleury &amp; Huvet (2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RLH</td>
<td>EKC38303</td>
<td>O95786</td>
<td>3.00e^-108</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>He et al. (2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RLH</td>
<td>EKC38304</td>
<td>O95786</td>
<td>7.00e^-69</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>He et al. (2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HMGB</td>
<td>EKC40290</td>
<td>AAA41845</td>
<td>4.00e^-53</td>
<td>54</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cGAS</td>
<td>EKC29902</td>
<td>NP_612450</td>
<td>1.00e^-18</td>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antiviral signalling</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRF-8</td>
<td>EKC26205</td>
<td>NP_002154</td>
<td>1.00e^-47</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>Rosani et al. (2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRF-2</td>
<td>EKC3155</td>
<td>NP_002190</td>
<td>5.00e^-32</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Green &amp; Montagnani (2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STING</td>
<td>EKC29965</td>
<td>NP_938023</td>
<td>2.00e^-40</td>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOG-1</td>
<td>EKC24772</td>
<td>NP_003868</td>
<td>3.00e^-31</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>Rosani et al. (2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JAK</td>
<td>EKC41693</td>
<td>NP_004422</td>
<td>3.00e^-47</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>He et al. (2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STAT</td>
<td>EKC39332</td>
<td>NP_001171551</td>
<td>2.00e^-10</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>He et al. (2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caveolin-1</td>
<td>EKC31086</td>
<td>NP_001744</td>
<td>2.00e^-29</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>He et al. (2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antiviral effectors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OAS</td>
<td>EKC21335</td>
<td>BAB18647</td>
<td>1.00e^-37</td>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OAS</td>
<td>EKC26578</td>
<td>BAB18647</td>
<td>1.00e^-19</td>
<td>34</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mx</td>
<td>EKC33820</td>
<td>NP_001138397</td>
<td>1.00e^-54</td>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viperin</td>
<td>EKC28205</td>
<td>AAL50053</td>
<td>3.00e^-163</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>Rosani et al. (2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADAR-L</td>
<td>EKC20855</td>
<td>NP_006655</td>
<td>1.00e^-145</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>Rosani et al. (2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFI44</td>
<td>FJ40108</td>
<td>NP_006811</td>
<td>5.00e^-39</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>Renault et al. (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNAi</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dicer-2</td>
<td>EKC26346</td>
<td>NP_0085124</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>He et al. (2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRBP</td>
<td>XP_011456094</td>
<td>NP_004169</td>
<td>3.00e^-49</td>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGO-2</td>
<td>EKC19600</td>
<td>NP_006286</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>73</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGO-2</td>
<td>EKC35067</td>
<td>NP_006286</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>64</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apoptosis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNF</td>
<td>EKC35160</td>
<td>NP_003801</td>
<td>5.00e^-13</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>He et al. (2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNF</td>
<td>EKC39243</td>
<td>NP_003801</td>
<td>2.00e^-14</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>He et al. (2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNF</td>
<td>EKC31292</td>
<td>NP_003801</td>
<td>1.00e^-13</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>He et al. (2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNFRI</td>
<td>EKC38398</td>
<td>NP_001241</td>
<td>5.00e^-15</td>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SODD</td>
<td>EKC42633</td>
<td>NP_004865</td>
<td>2.00e^-15</td>
<td>39</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADAMS-17</td>
<td>EKC21816</td>
<td>AAB51514</td>
<td>1.00e^-78</td>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autophagy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beclin</td>
<td>EKC28450</td>
<td>NP_003757</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>Moreau et al. (2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P13K</td>
<td>EKC39750</td>
<td>NP_002636</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Akt</td>
<td>EKC33169</td>
<td>AAH20479</td>
<td>1.00e^-115</td>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mTOR</td>
<td>EKC29347</td>
<td>NP_004949</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>63</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATG1 (ULK1)</td>
<td>EKC18065</td>
<td>NP_05498</td>
<td>4.00e^-117</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>Moreau et al. (2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATG8 (LC3)</td>
<td>EKC40439</td>
<td>NP_115903</td>
<td>2.00e^-61</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>Moreau et al. (2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATG18 (WPII)</td>
<td>EKC39143</td>
<td>NP_057087</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>69</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

http://vir.sgmjournals.org
several researchers utilizing RNAi to investigate gene expression on defence proteins, gonad maturation and shell formation (reviewed by Owens & Malham, 2015). However, the importance of the siRNA- and miRNA-pathways in the antiviral defence of mollusc cells is unknown. Differences exist between the number of RNAi-related enzymes encoded by genomes of molluscs and other animals. The oyster genome encodes a single orthologue of both dicer and the RISC loading complex subunit (TRBP), but only two argonaute proteins (Table 1). Insects have two dicer proteins; dicer-1 is required for the production of miRNA, whereas dicer-2 is required for processing dsRNA to generate siRNA (Kingsolver et al., 2013). In contrast, mammalian cells have only one dicer enzyme that is utilized by both the siRNA and miRNA pathways (de Jong et al., 2009) but they have four argonaute proteins (AGO1–4), with only AGO2 known to function in the siRNA and miRNA pathways (Pauley & Chan, 2008). The only study covering an aspect of molluscan RNAi-mediated antiviral immunity was recently performed by Chen et al. (2014), where Chlamys farreri miRNAs and miRNA expression profiles in response to AVNV infection was analysed by deep sequencing technology. Sequence homology searches of Chlamys farreri miRNAs against the Chlamys farreri expressed sequence tag (EST) database revealed scallop miRNAs may target immune- and stress-related genes for post-transcriptional regulation (Chen et al., 2014). Further research is now required to determine if these scallop miRNAs suppress translation of immune- and stress-related
genes. In their study, Chen et al. (2014) did not state whether they observed AVNV-derived siRNAs (vsiRNAs) in their dataset. This is despite the fact that the entire AVNV genome is sequenced (Ren et al., 2013) and may have provided evidence that the RNAi-mediated antiviral response is functional in molluscs.

Programmed cell death (PCD)

Apoptosis and autophagy are forms of PCD. PCD is a fundamental cellular response to prevent viral replication and protein synthesis in virus-infected cells (Quinlan, 1999). The processes of apoptosis and autophagy are extensively described for mammalian and arthropod cells (Degterev & Yuan, 2008; Lamiable & Imler, 2014). Genes encoding the apoptotic machinery are highly conserved in molluscs (Zhang et al., 2011), but the role of the apoptosis system in molluscan immunity has received little attention (Zhang et al., 2011). The large number of apoptosis inhibitors encoded in the genomes of OsHV-1, AVNV and AbHV (Davison et al., 2005; Ren et al., 2013; Savin et al., 2010) implies apoptosis may represent an important antiviral response of molluscs and warrants further investigation.

Autophagy is another highly evolutionarily conserved process of PCD (He & Klionsky, 2009). Autophagy has a role in cellular homeostasis and is also an innate immune mechanism that can selectively target intracellular pathogens and cytosolic proteins for enzymatic degradation (He & Klionsky, 2009; Richetta & Faure, 2013; Sumpter & Levine, 2010). Protein kinase R (PKR) is a vertebrate interferon stimulated gene that can trigger autophagy upon dsRNA binding through a pathway involving eIF2α (reviewed by Richetta & Faure, 2013). Vertebrate cells can utilize this PKR and eIF2α-dependent autophagy pathway to degrade both herpes simplex virus type 1 (HSV-1) virions and HSV-1 proteins (Tollóczy et al., 2006). The importance of autophagy is cell-type dependent, with neuron cells, but not mitotic cells, relying on autophagy in HSV-1 defence (Yordy & Iwasaki, 2013). An intracellular DNA sensor must also be able to provoke autophagy in response to herpesvirus infection (McFarlane et al., 2011). Human cytomegalovirus (HCMV) and HSV-1 induced autophagy in human fibroblasts and this response was retained when cells were infected with UV-irradiated HCMV (McFarlane et al., 2011). Other pathogen sensors (such as TLR3, TLR4, TLR7, TLR8 and NOD2) are also known to induce autophagy upon binding with their specific ligand, via a MyD88 independent pathway (reviewed by Richetta & Faure, 2013). Autophagy is also an antiviral mechanism utilized by arthropods (Nakamoto et al., 2012). In Drosophila, Toll-7 recognizes vesicular stomatitis virus (VSV) at the plasma membrane and induces antiviral autophagy via an NF-κB-independent pathway (Nakamoto et al., 2012).

Autophagy appears to be an important antiviral response of oysters (Moreau et al., 2015). OsHV-1 induces autophagy in mantle tissue of Crassostrea gigas and survival assays using a known inhibitor (NH4Cl) of autophagy demonstrated this antiviral response had a protective role in Crassostrea gigas against OsHV-1 (Moreau et al., 2015). Furthermore, microarray-based gene expression studies have observed elevated expression of genes associated with autophagy in Crassostrea gigas undergoing a mass mortality event in California, USA (Moreau et al., 2015). Chaney & Gracey (2011) did not investigate the cause of mortality, but OsHV-1 is often associated with oyster mortality in California (Burge et al., 2006). Future research should identify which OsHV-1 ligand induces autophagy and whether autophagy targets the OsHV-1 virion or an essential OsHV-1 protein.

Antiviral compounds

The occurrence and function of antimicrobial peptides (AMPs) in marine bivalves has been well studied in relation to anti-bacterial and anti-fungal immunity (reviewed by Bachère et al., 2015; Schmitt et al., 2010). Less is known regarding the activity of AMPs against molluscan viruses. Investigations into the antiviral activity of molluscan AMPs and tissue homogenates is hampered by the lack of continuous cell lines from marine molluscs (Yoshino et al., 2013) and the fact that OsHV-1 cannot be cultured in primary cell cultures from bivalves (details in Garcia et al., 2011). Numerous studies have therefore utilized a heterologous model involving HSV-1 and African green monkey kidney (Vero) cells to identify antiviral compounds in tissue homogenates from commercially important bivalves (Carriel-Gomes et al., 2006; Defer et al., 2009; Green et al., 2014c; Olicard et al., 2005a, b; Segarra et al., 2014a; Zeng et al., 2008) and gastropods (Dang et al., 2011; Zanjani et al., 2014).

Haemocyanins and haemocyanin-derived peptides from marine and terrestrial gastropods have potent anti-herpesvirus activity (Nesterova et al., 2011; Zagorodnya et al., 2011; Zanjani et al., 2014). The primary function of haemocyanins is the transport of molecular oxygen to respiring tissues (Coates & Nairn, 2014; Zanjani et al., 2014), but their contribution to innate immunity is often overlooked (Coates & Nairn, 2014). Haemocyanins are copper containing glycoproteins and a major haemolymph component, approximately 50 % to > 90 %, of some mollusc species (Coates & Nairn, 2014). Abalone haemocyanin inhibits HSV-1 infection of Vero cells, presumably by blocking viral entry (Dang et al., 2011; Zanjani et al., 2014). The anti-HSV-1 activity of abalone plasma does not increase above baseline levels in response to experimental infection with AbHV (Dang et al., 2013). However, the anti-HSV-1 activity of abalone plasma appears to be influenced by temperature with higher anti-HSV-1 activity occurring in summer than in winter (Dang et al., 2012).

The genomes of pteriomorph bivalve genera, such as Crassostrea, Mytilus and Argopecten, do not encode a haemocyanin gene (Lieb & Todt, 2008). Yet, their plasma also has anti-HSV-1 activity (Carriel-Gomes et al., 2006; Defer et al., 2009; Olicard et al., 2005b). Anti-HSV-1 activity of Crassostrea gigas haemolymph corresponds to a copper containing glycoprotein, termed cavitin (GenBank no.
AY551094) that exerts its antiviral activity by interfering with virus replication (Green et al., 2014c). Cavortin is the major plasma protein in the oyster and the protein has an extracellular superoxide dismutase domain (Gonzalez et al., 2005; Itoh et al., 2011). The anti-HSV-1 activity of oyster haemolymph from juveniles and adults is similar (Green et al., 2014b) and varies throughout the year, with adult oysters having higher activity during the summer/autumn period compared with winter (Olicard et al., 2005a). The anti-HSV-1 activity of oyster hemolymph could not be induced by injecting oysters with poly I:C (Green et al., 2014b).

Genetics and physiology

Genetics, host physiology and the environment are all important determinants of mollusc survival to viral infection. Survival of Crassostrea gigas to OsHV-1 is positively correlated with oyster age and size (Dégremond, 2013; Paul-Pont et al., 2014; Peeler et al., 2012; Pernet et al., 2012). Water temperature is also a factor in the expression of disease caused by OsHV-1 infection. The water temperatures must exceed 16 °C for OsHV-1 to cause mortality of juvenile Crassostrea gigas (Petton et al., 2013) and mortality of Chlamys farreri to AVNV occurs in late summer (Fu et al., 2005). It is unknown how host physiology and the environment influences disease expression of abalone to AbHV.

Oyster age and water temperature is also known to influence antiviral gene expression in Crassostrea gigas stimulated with poly I:C (Green et al., 2014b). At 22 °C, juvenile oysters express antiviral genes earlier and to a higher magnitude compared with adult oysters. In contrast, water temperature of 12 °C delayed antiviral gene expression in adult oysters and inhibited the antiviral response of juvenile oysters (Green et al., 2014b). Many of these antiviral genes are involved in processes that prevent cell transcription and translation and it should be determined whether the vigorous antiviral response of juvenile oysters at 22 °C is contributing to an immune-mediated disorder leading to higher mortality. Intervention studies on commercial oyster farms have demonstrated farm husbandry, such as raising the intertidal growing height, can reduce mortality of Crassostrea gigas by primarily reducing exposure risk to OsHV-1 (Paul-Pont et al., 2013). These farm husbandry practices may also provide additional protection by reducing the available feeding time and thereby, limiting energy allocation to immunity.

The antiviral defences present in molluscs can be enhanced by genetic selection (Dégremond, 2011, 2013; Sauvage et al., 2010). Segarra et al. (2014c) compared the susceptibility of bi-parental C. gigas families to OsHV-1 and confirmed that susceptibility to OsHV-1 infection had a significant genetic component. Viral DNA was detected earlier and the overall amount of viral DNA was higher for a low surviving oyster family compared with a high surviving family (Segarra et al., 2014c). The high surviving family presumably controls OsHV-1 replication from exceeding the viral DNA threshold [8.8 × 10^3 copies (mg of tissue)^{-1}] for mortality to occur (Oden et al., 2011). Similar observations are reported for abalone with a proportion of the population testing PCR-positive for AbHV (low amounts of viral DNA), but displaying no clinical signs of disease (Crane et al., 2013; Dang et al., 2013). Selective breeding programmes would benefit from identifying the genetic mechanism(s) utilized by resistant molluscs for maintaining viral loads below the mortality threshold. A good starting point would be the antiviral plasma proteins (haemocyanin and cavortin) that are known to interfere with mammalian virus replication (Dang et al., 2011; Green et al., 2014c; Olicard et al., 2005b). Normand et al. (2014) concluded expression levels of superoxide dismutase metalloenzymes (i.e. cavortin) may partly determine resistance of Crassostrea gigas to OsHV-1 associated mortality. Plasma antiviral activity is a trait under genetic control in populations of Crassostrea gigas (Green et al., 2014c) and abalone (unpublished data).

Conclusions

Compared with only a few years ago, remarkable progress has been made on characterizing the antiviral mechanisms in molluscs. Recent findings indicate that many features of the inducible antiviral response of molluscs are shared with the mammalian interferon pathway. Laboratory and field studies have also highlighted the importance of autophagy in the oyster’s antiviral response. The contribution of other evolutionarily conserved antiviral mechanisms, such as RNAi and apoptosis, will no doubt be evaluated once appropriate methodologies and tools become available to study them. Of note, genetic selection of Crassostrea gigas to OsHV-1 has led to the development of susceptible and resistant family lines. In consequence, genetic screens between families with contrasted survival to OsHV-1 infection hold great promise in identifying the major antiviral pathways in molluscs.
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