Syndecan-1 and syndecan-2 play key roles in herpes simplex virus type-1 infection
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Herpes simplex virus type 1 (HSV-1) is an important human pathogen and a leading cause of infectious blindness in the developed world. HSV-1 exploits heparan sulfate proteoglycans (HSPG) for attachment to cells. While the significance of heparan sulphate (HS) moieties in HSV-1 infection is well established, the role of specific proteoglycan core proteins in the infection process remains poorly understood. The objective of this study was to assess the roles of syndecan-1 and syndecan-2 core proteins in HSV-1 infection, both of which are expressed by many HSV-1 target cell types. Our results demonstrate that syndecan-1 and syndecan-2 gene silencing by RNA interference reduces HSV-1 entry, plaque formation and facilitates cell survival. Furthermore, HSV-1 infection increases syndecan-1 and syndecan-2 protein synthesis and a resultant increase in cell surface expression of HS. Our observations suggest that changes in syndecan-1 and syndecan-2 expression levels may be related to active viral infection. Taken together, our findings provide new insights into HSPG functions during HSV-1 entry and spread.

INTRODUCTION

Herpes simplex virus type 1 (HSV-1) is a clinically important pathogen and a leading cause of infectious blindness in the developed world. HSV-1 productively infects epithelial cells and establishes latent infection in sensory ganglia for the life of the host (Kumaraguru & Rouse, 2002; Terasaka et al., 2010). Currently, no cure exists against HSV-1, which can be transmitted via asymptomatic shedding by latently infected individuals (Hill & Clement, 2009). Prevention of virus transmission to uninfected people is a real challenge compounded by our limited understanding of HSV-1–host cell interactions including virus entry, which is the first essential step for the establishment of an acute and/or latent infection.

Enveloped viruses including HSV-1 penetrate host cells by inducing fusion between the virus envelope and the host cell membrane. HSV-1 entry is a stepwise process, which starts when HSV-1 envelope glycoproteins gB and gC attach to cell surface heparan sulfate proteoglycans (HSPGs) (Herold et al., 1991; Nicola et al., 2003; Trybala et al., 2000). This initial interaction enables HSV-1 glycoprotein D (gD) to bind to one of the known gD entry co-receptors. There are three classes of gD co-receptors that have been characterized: nectin-1 (HveC) and nectin-2 (HveB), which are both members of the immunoglobulin superfamily (Geraghty et al., 1998), herpesvirus entry mediator (HVEM) that belongs to the tumour necrosis factor receptor family (Montgomery et al., 1996), and 3-O-sulfated heparan sulfate (3-Os HS) which is a specifically modified form of heparan sulfate (HS) (Shukla et al., 1999b; O’Donnell et al., 2010). The binding of gD to one of its receptors leads to conformational changes in gD that allows it to activate a multiglycoprotein complex involving gB, gD, gH and gL that triggers the viral fusion with the host cell membrane (Atanasiu et al., 2007; Spear et al., 2000). This fusion mechanism is utilized by HSV-1 when it enters the host cell by fusion with the plasma membrane, or when using different entry pathways, including endocytosis and phagocytosis (Clement et al., 2006; Nicola et al., 2003; Reske et al., 2007; Shukla & Spear, 2001).
The HS is a glycosaminoglycan (GAG) that is present in almost all mammalian tissues on cell surfaces and in the extracellular matrix (Esko & Lindahl, 2001; Lindahl et al., 1998). HS commonly occurs as proteoglycans, where HS GAG chains are attached to a core protein via a trisaccharide linkage on a serine residue forming the HSPG (O’Donnell & Shukla, 2008). The syndecan family is one of the most abundant HSPGs expressed on mammalian cells (Muto et al., 2007; Schofield et al., 1999; Tumova et al., 2000). There are four members in the syndecan family (syndecan-1, 2, 3 and 4) composed of a single membrane-spanning protein, a conserved transmembrane domain, and an extracellular domain that is specific for each syndecan (Beauvais et al., 2004). The divergent ectodomains share conserved attachment sites for GAG chains. These GAGs are predominantly heparan sulfated (Carey, 1997; Lopes et al., 2006) but syndecan-1 and syndecan-4 can also contain chondroitin sulfate (CS) GAGs in addition to HS-GAGs (Deepa et al., 2004; Shworak et al., 1994). HSV-1 commonly infects epithelial cells, which express detectable amounts of syndecan-1 and syndecan-2 (Bobardt et al., 2007; Cheshenko et al., 2007). In addition, syndecan-1 (CD138; NCBI reference sequence: NP_001006947) is expressed by many cell types including plasma cells. Syndecan-2 (fibroglycan; NCBI reference sequence: NP_002989) shows somewhat restricted expression, limited mainly to fibroblasts and neurons (Ethell et al., 2001; Shimabukuro et al., 2008; Su et al., 2007). The latter is an important site for HSV-1 latency and reactivation (Shukla & Spear, 2001).

While studies have shown that HS plays an important role in HSV-1 entry as an attachment receptor (Shukla & Spear, 2001), the role of specific proteoglycan core proteins in the infection process remains poorly understood. Since syndecan-1 and syndecan-2 are relatively common HSPGs found on the target cell types for HSV-1 infection, we aimed to explore the role of their core proteins in the HSV-1 infection process. In this paper, we performed in vitro studies using HeLa cells, which are known to express both HSPGs (Brule et al., 2006; Kubo et al., 2006) to determine the effect of syndecan-1 and syndecan-2 gene silencing on viral entry, plaque formation and cell survival using small interfering RNAs (siRNAs) specific for HS-PGs. Since several microbial pathogens can alter syndecan-1 expression (Anastasiadou et al., 2009; Freissler et al., 2000; Wang et al., 2006), we also tested whether HSV-1 infection has an effect on syndecan-1 and syndecan-2 expression in HeLa cells. Our results provide new insights into HSPG core protein function during HSV-1 entry and spread.

**RESULTS**

**Syndecan-1 and syndecan-2 downregulation in HeLa cells**

The significance of syndecan-1 and syndecan-2 on HSV-1 infection was examined by selective gene silencing of syndecan-1 and syndecan-2 in HeLa cells using siRNA expression constructs (Beauvais et al., 2004). Cells were treated with either syndecan-1- or syndecan-2-specific siRNA to downregulate syndecan-1 and syndecan-2 gene expression, respectively. Gene silencing of syndecan-1 (Fig. 1a) and syndecan-2 (Fig. 1b) was detected at the protein level by using Western blot analysis. Densitometric analysis showed that treatment with syndecan-1- and syndecan-2-specific siRNA resulted in a significant (about 50%) reduction in syndecan-1 and syndecan-2 protein expression, respectively. The 50% decrease in expression corresponded well with 45–50% transfection efficacy seen with HeLa cells in our experiments. The effect of siRNA was specific since scrambled siRNA failed to bring down syndecan expression and likewise, neither scrambled nor syndecan-specific siRNAs had any effects on β-actin expression. Additional experiments (data not shown) demonstrated that siRNA against syndecan-1 was specific to its subtype and did not interfere with the expression of syndecan-2 and vice versa. Syndecan-2 siRNA also demonstrated high specificity.

**Downregulation of syndecan-1 and syndecan-2 inhibits HSV-1 entry**

After verifying syndecan-1 and syndecan-2 downregulation by siRNA transfection, the effect of reduced syndecan-1 and syndecan-2 protein levels on HSV-1 entry into HeLa cells was examined. A previously described HSV-1 entry assay (Akhtar et al., 2008; Tiwari et al., 2006) was used to compare viral entry into cells treated with syndecan-1 or syndecan-2 siRNA with those treated with scrambled siRNA or mock treated. HeLa cells were infected with a recombinant β-galactosidase expressing HSV-1 (KOS) gL86 reporter virus. The entry of HSV-1 was measured after 6 h of viral infection. As indicated in Fig. 2(a), a statistically significant, 26.6 ± 4.6% inhibition of HSV-1 entry was observed in cells transfected with syndecan-1 siRNA (P<0.05). Transfected cells with syndecan-2 siRNA resulted in even more significant, 64.9 ± 5.5% inhibition of HSV-1 entry (P<0.0001) (Fig. 2b).

**Anti-syndecan-1 and anti-syndecan-2 polyclonal antibodies (pAbs) block HSV-1 entry**

To confirm the role of syndecan-1 and syndecan-2 in HSV-1 entry and to determine whether reduced HSV-1 entry after syndecan-1 and syndecan-2 knockdown is specific to syndecan ectodomains, a previously described antibody blocking assay (Shukla et al., 1999a) and pAbs blocking syndecan-1 and syndecan-2 ectodomains were utilized. As shown in Fig. 3, pAbs specific for the extracellular region of syndecan-1 or syndecan-2 were able to block HSV-1 entry into HeLa cells in a dose-dependent manner. At the maximum pAb concentration (4 μg per well) the observed inhibitions were 35.7 ± 4.2 and 57.84 ± 6.5%, respectively, compared with HeLa cells that were treated with a control, anti-myc mAb. Although both syndecan-1 and syndecan-2 pAbs reduced HSV-1 entry, syndecan-2 pAbs blockade had...
a statistically significant reducing effect ($P < 0.05$) that was more substantial than that caused by syndecan-1 pAbs treatment. The effect of the pAbs was not additive since a cocktail containing both antibodies did not enhance inhibition beyond what was seen with syndecan-2 pAbs (data not shown).

**Downregulation of syndecan-1 and syndecan-2 inhibits plaque formation, reduces the size of HSV-1 plaques and enhances cell survival**

The ability of HSV-1 to form plaques reflects its ability to enter cells, replicate and spread to infect neighbouring uninfected cells. Since the downregulation of syndecan-1 and syndecan-2 reduced HSV-1 entry, we sought to determine whether a similar decrease in HSV-1 plaque formation and spread in HeLa cells is also observed after syndecan-1 and syndecan-2 downregulation after siRNA transfection. A standard plaque assay (Akhtar et al., 2008) was performed. As shown in Fig. 4(a), there was a clear and significant reduction in the number of plaques formed in HeLa cells transfected with syndecan-1- and syndecan-2-specific siRNAs compared with mock treated or scrambled siRNA-transfected cells. Transfection with syndecan-1-specific siRNA reduced plaque number by $63.22 \pm 2.65\%$ ($P < 0.0001$), while transfection with syndecan-2-specific siRNA reduced plaque number by $98.73 \pm 5.78\%$ ($P < 0.0001$). Images taken of the plaques formed, show that the downregulation of syndecan-1 and syndecan-2 resulted in smaller plaques compared with the plaques formed in mock-treated or scrambled siRNA-treated cells (Fig. 4b).

To prove that the reduction in entry and plaque formation is syndecan downregulation specific, and not a result of more cell death, dead cells in each condition were counted 120 h after HSV-1 infection and the numbers were normalized to those observed with mock-treated cells. As shown in Fig. 4(c), downregulation of syndecan-1 reduced the percentage of dead cells after HSV-1 infection (by $33.06 \pm 5.89\%$), and downregulation of syndecan-2 resulted in a statistically significant decline in the percentage of dead cells (by $80.45 \pm 5.68\%$, $P < 0.05$).

**HSV-1 infection in HeLa cells enhances syndecan-1 and syndecan-2 cell surface expression**

Earlier reports have established that infection with microbial pathogens can result in remarkable changes in
syndecan expression (Magalhães et al., 2009). To determine whether HSV-1 infection affects cell surface expression of syndecan-1 and syndecan-2, the expression level of syndecan-1 and syndecan-2 on HeLa cell surface was analysed at various times after HSV-1(KOS) infection by flow cytometry. Cell surface syndecan-1 and syndecan-2 in uninfected HeLa cells were used as controls. As shown in Fig. 5, both syndecan-1 and syndecan-2 cell surface expressions were significantly upregulated as soon as 2 h after HSV-1 infection. The increases were also observed at 4 and 6 h post-infection in HeLa and CHO-K1 cells.

HSV-1 infection in HeLa cells enhances syndecan-1 and syndecan-2 protein synthesis

Since HSV-1 infection enhances syndecan-1 and syndecan-2 cell surface expression, this enhancement could be a result of more protein synthesis or a higher redistribution of HSPGs on the cell surface. To determine if HSV-1 infection modulated syndecan-1 and syndecan-2 expression at the protein level, Western blot analysis was performed on HeLa cells. Mock-infected cells were used as control. Densitometric analysis showed that the syndecan-1 protein expression level was increased by $1.64 \pm 0.16$-fold at 2 h and $2.01 \pm 0.16$-fold at 6 h after HSV-1 infection (Fig. 6a). Syndecan-2 protein expression level was also increased by $2.59 \pm 0.64$-fold at 2 h and $3.16 \pm 1.02$-fold at 6 h after HSV-1 infection (Fig. 6b). These results demonstrate that HSV-1 not only enhances the cell surface distribution of syndecans, but also induces syndecan-1 and syndecan-2 protein synthesis.
HSV-1 infection causes an increase of HS expression on cell surface

Finally, since HSV-1 infection of host cell results in an upregulation of syndecan cell surface and protein expression, we wanted to determine whether this may lead to an upregulation of HS as well. To investigate if HSV-1 infection affects HS cell surface expression, flow cytometry analysis was performed. At 2 h post-infection, HS surface expression increased in cells treated with HSV-1(KOS) compared to those that are mock treated (Fig. 7). However, at later time points, HS surface expression increased at a slower rate compared with the pattern that was observed for syndecan-1 and syndecan-2 upregulation after HSV-1 treatment. It is therefore likely that the core proteins may be synthesized at a higher rate than HS and this result may suggest an HS-independent function of the syndecan core proteins in the infection process.

DISCUSSION

HS is expressed on the cell surface of most mammalian cell types as HSPGs, and can serve as a receptor for a wide range of microbial pathogens, including viruses and bacteria (Barth et al., 2003; Giroglou et al., 2001; Menozzi et al., 2006; Smith et al., 2006). Although the role of HS is well studied as an attachment receptor for HSV-1, the role of HSPG core proteins in HSV-1 infection is poorly understood. This study is the first of its kind that directly implicates two members of the syndecan family of HSPGs, syndecan-1 and syndecan-2, as important mediators of HSV-1 infection. We demonstrate that both of them contribute significantly to viral entry and spread. Knocking down of either syndecan-1 or syndecan-2 shows detectable effects on HSV-1 entry and plaque formation. Our results
also suggest that syndecan-2 may have a distinctly larger role in HSV-1 infection than syndecan-1.

In addition, we provide evidence to directly implicate the HSPG core protein in viral entry. Many known protein receptors for HSV-1 entry can be blocked by antibodies, which in turn, blocks viral entry (Akhtar et al., 2008; Shukla et al., 2009). Similar to those receptors, we also found that pAbs against syndecan-1 and syndecan-2 block entry. While it is quite possible that antibodies may act by producing steric hinderance to virus binding via HS, it is also possible that the core protein may directly interact with HSV-1 glycoproteins and that interaction is blocked by the antibodies. For unclear reasons the syndecan pAbs when combined together did not produce an additive effect. Future studies will determine whether the syndecan pAbs (especially syndecan-2 pAbs) may cross-react to block certain conserved syndecan epitopes shared for entry. In this case, one group of pAbs (e.g. syndecan-2 pAbs) may be able to block all the epitopes (whether on syndecan-1 or syndecan-2) and therefore, pAbs to another protein may not be able to show any additional effects. Alternatively, a second possibility is that the pAbs may be able to block low affinity interactions (or create steric hinderance) that do not involve conformational changes. However, high affinity interactions (accompanied by conformation changes) may not be blocked by antibodies and therefore, the net effect by combining the antibodies may not be significantly higher than individual effects. This may be a reason why a near complete blocking of HSV-1 infection by antibodies has been extremely rare even when all gD receptors were blocked (Akhtar et al., 2008; Shukla et al., 2009). Our results, nevertheless, highlight that syndecan-1 and syndecan-2 play a critical role during HSV-1 entry and that the two HSPGs show detectable differences in their abilities to facilitate infection.

A related interesting finding was that the effect of syndecan-2 knockdown was even more severe at the plaque formation level. Unlike entry, the downregulation of syndecan-2 expression almost completely inhibited plaque formation in HeLa cells. A reduction in plaque number was expected since we found that downregulation of syndecan-1 and syndecan-2 reduces HSV-1 entry. However, the observed dramatic reduction in plaque formation raises the possibility that reduced virus entry may not be the only reason for reduced plaque formation and that an additional role for syndecan-2 in HSV-1 replication or spread could not be ruled out. Since syndecans participate in endocytosis, they may affect virus transport as well (Fuki et al., 2000).

One possible way to explain the fact that syndecan-2 has a more significant role in HSV-1 entry is related to the differences in GAG distribution on the ectodomains of the HSPGs. While the syndecan-1 ectodomain carries HS and chondroitin sulfate (CS) chains, syndecan-2 carries solely HS chains on its ectodomain (Rapraeger et al., 1985; Shworak et al., 1994; Su et al., 2007). It is possible that the presence of HS alone may help reduce any non-specific virus binding generated by similarly charged but less effective CS. A second way to explain the observable differences relies on HSPG cytoplasmic domain that has been shown to interact with a variety of signalling and structural proteins, suggesting its involvement in various regulatory phenomena. The cytoplasmic domain consists of two conserved regions, a membrane proximal common region (C1) and C-terminal common region (C2). C2 mediates binding to cytoskeletal proteins and to
PDZ-containing proteins (Couchman, 2003; Grootjans et al., 1997; Lopes et al., 2006). The C1 and C2 conserved
regions are separated by a variable region (V) that is unique
for each of the four syndecan family members (Couchman,
2003; Lopes et al., 2006). The difference in the variable
region in syndecan-1 and syndecan-2 might explain how
these two syndecans might have different regulatory roles
during HSV-1 infection through activating different
cellular pathways.

Previous studies have shown that the infection with various
microbial pathogens can modulate the expression levels of
different syndecan family members. For example, altera-
tions in syndecan-1 expression level are observed during
the infection with *Pneumocystis jiroveci* and *Neisseria
gonorrhoeae* (Freissler et al., 2000; Wang et al., 2006).
Epstein–Barr virus infection results in the downregulation
of syndecan-1 (Anastasiadou et al., 2009). Our study is the
first report of HSV-1 infection modulating the expression
levels of syndecan-1 and syndecan-2 in infected cells. We
demonstrated here that HSV-1-induced syndecan-1 and
syndecan-2 expression enhancements occur both at the cell
surface level and also at the protein synthesis level.

Interestingly, our findings suggest that an increase in
syndecan-1 and syndecan-2 expression levels, although
important for many reasons, may also be used as a marker
for active HSV-1 infection. Further experiments are needed
to understand mechanisms by which HSV-1 upregulates
syndecan-1 and syndecan-2 expression and to determine

---

**Fig. 6.** Western blot analysis of syndecan-1 and syndecan-2 protein expression after HSV-
1 (KOS) infection. HeLa cells were infected with a constant dose of HSV-1 (KOS) (m.o.i. of
10) for 2 and 6 h. Cell lysates were then blotted against syndecan-1 and syndecan-2. Accord-
ing to the densitometric analysis syndecan-1 (a) and syndecan-2 (b) were more strongly
expressed at 2 and 6 h post-infection compared with the uninfected cells in HeLa
cells. Relative intensity of HSV-1(KOS) virus-infected bands expressed as a ratio relative to
the mock-infected sample is shown. β-Actin protein expression was measured as loading
control. The results are expressed as means ± SD values from three independent
experiments.

---

**Fig. 7.** Flow cytometry analysis of HS expression on HeLa cells infected with HSV-1. HeLa cells were infected with HSV-1(KOS)
(m.o.i. of 10) at 37 °C for 2, 4 or 6 h. HS cell surface expression was then detected by FACS analysis. In HSV-1-infected cells, HS
cell surface expression was enhanced compared with uninfected cells. Untreated FITC stained HeLa cells were used as background
control.
whether this upregulation is a result of specific signalling pathway activation by the virus. Further understanding of the role of the syndecans in HSV-1 infection could identify novel antiviral targets and lead to the development of improved antiviral strategies.

**METHODS**

**Cells and viruses.** African green monkey kidney cells (Vero), gl-expressing Vero cells (79B4) and Chinese hamster ovarian-K1 (CHO-K1) cells were provided by P. G. Spear (Northwestern University, Chicago, IL, USA). Human cervical (HeLa) cells were obtained from B. P. Prabhakar (University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, IL, USA). HeLa, Vero and gl-expressing Vero cells (79B4) were grown in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM; Gibco-BRL) supplemented with 10 % FBS and 100 mg l⁻¹ of penicillin/streptomycin (P/S). CHO-K1 cells were grown in Ham’s F-12 medium (Gibco-BRL) supplemented with 10 % FBS and P/S. The β-galactosidase expressing recombinant HSV-1 (KOS) Gl86 and wild-type HSV-1 (KOS) viruses were provided by P. G. Spear (Northwestern University, Chicago, IL, USA). HSV-1 (KOS) K26GFP was provided by P. Desai (Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD, USA). Jellyfish GFP was fused in-frame with the UL35 ORF generating K26GFP virus whose capsids express GFP (Desai & Person, 1998). Virus stocks were propagated in complementing cell lines and stored at −80 °C. Yields of infectious virus titre (p.f.u. ml⁻¹) were determined by plaque assay in Vero cells.

**Antibodies and enzymes.** Antibodies and their dilutions were as follows; anti-syndecan-1 mouse mAbs diluted at 1 µg per 1 × 10⁶ cells (Santa Cruz Biotechnology); anti-syndecan-2 rat mAbs 1.25 µg per 1 × 10⁶ cells (R&D Systems); anti-heparan sulfate mouse mAb (10E4 epitope) diluted 1:50 (US Biological); anti-syndecan-1 rabbit pAb diluted 1:500 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology); anti-syndecan-2 rabbit pAb diluted 1:500 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology); anti-β-actin mouse mAb diluted 1:1000 (Sigma-Aldrich); and anti-myc mouse mAb (Invitrogen). The secondary antibodies for flow cytometry were FITC-conjugated anti-mouse secondary antibody diluted 1:100 (Sigma-Aldrich); FITC-conjugated anti-rat secondary antibodies diluted 1:100 (Sigma-Aldrich); and FITC-conjugated anti-mouse IgM diluted 1:100 (Sigma-Aldrich). The secondary antibodies for Western blots were HRP-conjugated anti-rabbit IgG diluted 1:20000 (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories); and HRP-conjugated anti-mouse IgG diluted 1:25 000 (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories). Heparinase I, II and III enzymes were provided by Jian Liu (University of North Carolina; Chapel Hill, NC, USA) and used at 12 µg per sample (170 µg ml⁻¹ final concentration). Chondroitinase ABC used at 0.005 U per sample (0.1 U ml⁻¹ final concentration) (Sigma-Aldrich).

**siRNA transfections.** HeLa and CHO-K1 cells were transfected at 80 % confluence with a concentration of 200 nM per well syndecan-1 siRNA (5′-CCAUUGCAUCGCUUGUUUC[DT][DT]-3′, and 5′-GCCAACGGUUGUAAGGC[DT][DT]-3′; Sigma-Aldrich), syndecan-2 siRNA (SASI_Hs01_00195372, SASI_Hs01_00195365; Sigma-Aldrich), or non-specific, scrambled siRNA (5′-GAUCAACGCUCCGAGAC[DT][DT]-3′; Sigma-Aldrich). Transfection was done using Lipofectamine 2000 reagent (Invitrogen). At 6 h after transfection, each well was supplemented with 3 ml complete growth medium (DMEM supplemented with 10 % FBS and P/S). Cells were analysed for protein expression and cell surface expression 48 h after transfection by preparing Western blots and by flow cytometry. Transfection efficacy was measured by using a plasmid that encoded enhanced GFP (eGFP) (Invitrogen) by counting eGFP-positive cells by fluorescence microscopy.

**Viral entry assay.** Standard entry assay was used as described previously (Shukla et al., 1999b). Briefly, HeLa cells and HSV-1 entry receptor lacking CHO-K1 cells were plated in a 96-well tissue culture dish at a density of 8 × 10⁴ cells per well. Cells were transfected with syndecan-1, syndecan-2 or scrambled siRNA as described above. After 48 h, cells were infected with the β-galactosidase expressing recombinant HSV-1 (KOS) Gl86 in a twofold serial dilution for 6 h at 37 °C. β-Galactosidase expression can be induced by early viral protein synthesis upon HSV infection (Copeland et al., 2008). At 6 h post-infection, cells were washed twice with PBS and the soluble substrate O-nitrophenyl-β-d-galactopyranoside (ONPG; Pierce) was added. Enzymic activity was measured at 410 nm using a micro-plate reader (Spectra Max 190 Molecular Devices).

**Antibody blocking assay.** Standard antibody blocking assay was used as described previously (Shukla et al., 1999a). Briefly, confluent HeLa cells in 96-well tissue culture dish were washed with PBS and incubated with serial dilutions of rabbit pAbs to syndecan-1, syndecan-2 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) or control anti-myc mAb (Invitrogen) for 30 min at 37 °C. A constant dose of HSV-1 (KOS) Gl86 (m.o.i. of 10) was then added to all wells for 2 h at 37 °C. After 2 h, cells were washed and bound virus was removed by 20 s treatment with 100 µM citrate buffer (pH 3.0). Incubation was then continued for another 3 h. Cells were then washed twice with PBS, and β-galactosidase activity was measured by adding its substrate ONPG as described in virus entry assay.

**Plaque assay.** Viral replication upon syndecan-1 and syndecan-2 knockdown was assessed by a plaque assay (Akhtar et al., 2008; Tiwari et al., 2006). In brief, monolayers of HeLa cells plated in six-well tissue culture dishes were transfected with syndecan-1, syndecan-2 or scrambled siRNA as described above. At 48 h post-transfection, cells were infected (m.o.i. of 0.01) with HSV-1 (KOS) or mock infected in PBS for 90 min at 37 °C. Cells were then washed with PBS, and fresh medium (DMEM supplemented with 10 % FBS and P/S) was added. Cells were incubated for 72 h at 37 °C. After 72 h, cells were washed with PBS, fixed with 100 % methanol for 5 min, and stained with the Giemsa stain (Sigma-Aldrich) for 20 min. Infectivity was measured by counting plaques formed using ×10 objective lens of an inverted light microscope (Zeiss Axiosvert 200).

**Cytotoxicity assay.** Confluent monolayer of HeLa cells was transfected with syndecan-1, syndecan-2 or scrambled siRNA as described above. In addition to the non-specific, scrambled siRNA-transfected cells, non-transfected cells were used as a control. After 48 h post-transfection, cells were infected with HSV-1 (KOS) (m.o.i. of 0.01) for 90 min at 37 °C. The inoculum was then removed by washing the cells with PBS and fresh medium was added. Cells were incubated at 37 °C for 120 h, then fixed with 100 % methanol and stained with Giemsa stain. The number of dead cells was counted using NIH Image J software (version: 1.43) at twenty high power fields (× 40 objective).

**Flow cytometry.** Syndecan-1 and syndecan-2, and HS cell surface expression was detected after HSV-1 (KOS) infection. For syndecan-1 and syndecan-2 cell surface expression, confluent monolayer of HeLa cells were infected with HSV-1 (KOS) (m.o.i. of 10) for 0, 2, 4 and 6 h. Cells were then washed with PBS, harvested, and incubated with the respective primary antibody (syndecan-1 at 1 µg per 1 × 10⁶ cells, or syndecan-2 at 1.25 µg per 1 × 10⁶ cells) diluted in PBS with 1 % BSA for 1 h. After primary antibody incubation, cells were washed and incubated for 45 min with anti-mouse or anti-rat-FITC-conjugated secondary anti-IgGs (1:100). Cells stained only with anti-mouse-FITC or anti-rat-FITC was used as background control. For HS cell surface expression, HeLa cells were infected with HSV-1 (KOS) (m.o.i. of 10) for 0, 2, 4 and 6 h. Cells were then...
washed with PBS, harvested and incubated with mouse anti-human HS mAb 10E4 diluted 1:50 (US Biological) for 20 min at 4 °C. After that the cells were washed and incubated for 30 min at 4 °C with FITC-conjugated anti-mouse IgM diluted to 1:100. Cells stained only with FITC-conjugated anti-mouse IgM were used as background controls.

**Immunoblotting.** After 48 h post-transfection and 0, 2 and 6 h after HSV-1(KOS) infection (m.o.i. of 10), syndecan-1 and syndecan-2 protein expression was determined by Western blot analysis. The Western blot assay was performed according to the protocol described previously (Burbach et al., 2003; Shukla et al., 2009). Briefly, approximately 150–200 µg of total cell protein in lysis buffer was incubated with 2.5 volumes of −20 °C 100 % methanol overnight at −20 °C. After treatment of 500 µL 100 % acetonitrile for 5 min, the protein pellet was redissolved in 100 µL heparinase buffer (0.1 M NaOAc+0.1 mM CaOAc, pH 7.0). GAGs were digested with heparinase I, II, III (12 µg per sample) and chondroitinase ABC (0.005 U per sample) twice for 2.5 h to remove all GAGs. Samples were then denatured in Laemmli Sample Buffer (Bio-Rad) with 5 % β-mercaptoethanol and heated to 96 °C for 10 min before loading onto a gel. After that the samples were electrophoretically separated on 10 % SDS-gel, transferred to nitrocellulose membrane, blocked for 2 h at room temperature in 0.1 % TTBS (0.1 % Tween 20 in TBS) containing 5 % milk, and incubated with primary rabbit pAbs against syndecan-1 and syndecan-2 at 1:500 dilutions overnight at 4 °C. The blots were rinsed five times with 0.1 % TTBS (0.1 % Tween 20 in TBS) for 5 min and incubated for 1 h at room temperature with HRP-conjugated anti-rabbit IgG at 1:20 000 dilutions. Anti-β-actin mouse mAb was used as the primary antibody at 1:1000 dilution and HRP-conjugated anti-mouse IgG as the secondary antibody at 1:25 000 dilution were used for detecting β-actin loading control. The signal was visualized with SuperSignal West Femto maximum sensitivity substrate (Pierce) and the blots were exposed to X-ray film (Kodak) for 2 min. Developed films were scanned and protein bands were quantified using NIH Image J software (version: 1.42) in order to generate statistical data for specific bands. Syndecan-1 and syndecan-2 protein expression was quantified by calculating the relative intensity of each syndecan-1 and syndecan-2 band relative to the b-actin band. The intensity of each syndecan-1 and syndecan-2 band relative to the b-actin band was visualized with SuperSignal West Femto maximum sensitivity. Syndecan-1 and syndecan-2 protein expression was quantified using NIH Image J software (version: 1.42) in order to generate statistical data for specific bands. Syndecan-1 and syndecan-2 protein expression was quantified by calculating the relative intensity of each syndecan-1 and syndecan-2 band relative to the b-actin band. The intensity of each syndecan-1 and syndecan-2 band relative to the b-actin band was visualized with SuperSignal West Femto maximum sensitivity.

**Statistical analyses.** Statistical analyses were performed with the STATISTICA software (version 8.0) for windows. Normality was tested using the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test. All variables were distributed normally. Homogeneity of variance was determined using Levene’s test and was considered violated when this test yielded P<0.05. All variances were homogeneous. Data were assessed using ANOVA followed by Scheffe’s post-hoc test to evaluate the effects of gene expression and cytotoxicity. *P<0.05 and **P<0.001 were regarded as significant differences between treated and mock-treated groups. The results are expressed as means±S.D values. Each experiment was repeated at least three times and representative results are shown in the figures.
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