Premature expression of the latency-related RNA encoded by bovine herpesvirus type 1 correlates with higher levels of beta interferon RNA expression in productively infected cells
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Bovine herpesvirus type 1 (BHV-1) is an important pathogen that can initiate bovine respiratory disease complex. Like other members of the subfamily Alphaherpesvirinae, BHV-1 establishes latency in sensory neurons. The latency-related (LR) gene expresses a family of alternatively spliced transcripts in infected sensory neurons that have the potential to encode several LR proteins. An LR mutant virus that contains three stop codons near the 5' terminus of the first open reading frame in the LR gene does not express two LR proteins or reactivate from latency. In addition, the LR mutant virus induces higher levels of apoptosis in trigeminal ganglionic neurons and grows less efficiently in certain tissues of infected calves. In spite of the reduced pathogenesis, the LR mutant virus, wild-type BHV-1 and the LR rescued virus exhibit identical growth properties in cultured bovine cells. In this study, we demonstrated that during early phases of productive infection the LR mutant virus expressed higher levels of LR-RNA relative to the LR rescued virus or wt BHV-1. Bovine kidney cells infected with the LR mutant virus also induced higher levels of beta interferon RNA and interferon response genes. These results suggest that inappropriate expression of LR-RNA, in the absence of LR protein expression, may influence the latency-reactivation cycle and pathogenic potential of BHV-1.

INTRODUCTION

Infection of cattle with bovine herpesvirus type 1 (BHV-1) can lead to conjunctivitis, pneumonia, genital disorders, abortions or bovine respiratory disease complex, a serious upper respiratory tract infection (Tikoo et al., 1995). Infection of calves or cultured bovine cells leads to rapid cell death and an increase in apoptosis (Devireddy & Jones, 1999; Winkler et al., 1999). As with other members of the subfamily Alphaherpesvirinae, viral gene expression is temporally regulated in three distinct phases: immediately-early (IE), early (E) or late (L) (Jones, 2003). Infection of cultured human cells with herpes simplex virus type 1 (HSV-1) leads to the production and secretion of interferon (IFN). ICP0, ICP34.5 and Us11 are HSV-1 genes that inhibit IFN activation post-infection (p.i.) (Lin et al., 2004; Mossman et al., 2000, 2001; Mossman & Smiley, 2002; Peters, et al., 2002). The viral glycoprotein gD activates interferon response factor 3 (IRF3) and consequently IFN-α production in mononuclear cells (Katze et al., 2002). Mice lacking type I and type II IFN receptors in combination with RAG-2 gene deletions die within a few days following BHV-1 infection (Abril et al., 2004). In contrast, BHV-1 infection of wild-type (wt) mice does not lead to clinical symptoms, confirming that IFN signalling pathways repress productive infection. To date, bICP0 is the only BHV-1 encoded protein known to inhibit IFN responses (Henderson et al., 2005; Saira et al., 2007).

The latency-related (LR) gene is abundantly transcribed in trigeminal ganglia (TG) of latently infected calves (Kutish et al., 1990; Rock et al., 1987, 1992) and is antisense with respect to the bICP0 gene (Jones, 1998, 2003; Jones et al., 2006). The LR gene has two open reading frames (ORF-1 and ORF-2), and two reading frames that lack an initiating ATG (RF-B and RF-C) (Fig. 1a). A mutant BHV-1 virus (LR mutant virus)
that contains three stop codons at the beginning of ORF-2 and also lacks 25 bp of wt sequence at the beginning of ORF2 was constructed (Fig. 1b) (Inman et al., 2001). The LR mutant virus grows to similar titres to the wt BHV-1 or LR rescued virus in cultured bovine cells, indicating that expression of LR proteins is not necessary for productive infection. When bovine cells are infected with the LR mutant virus, proteins containing all or part of ORF-2 or RF-C are not expressed (Hossain et al., 1995; Jiang et al., 1998, 2004). Calves infected with the LR mutant virus exhibit diminished clinical symptoms and reduced shedding of infectious virus in the eye, tonsil or TG (Inman et al., 2001, 2002; Perez et al., 2005). The LR mutant virus does not reactivate from latency following dexamethasone (DEX) treatment (Inman et al., 2002), indicating that LR protein expression is crucial for the latency-reactivation cycle. LR gene products inhibit mammalian cell growth by blocking S phase entry (Geiser & Jones, 2005; Schang et al., 1996), bICP0 expression (Bratanich et al., 1992; Geiser et al., 2002; Schang et al., 1996) and apoptosis (Ciacci-Zanella et al., 1999; Henderson et al., 2004). LR protein expression is necessary for inhibiting apoptosis, in part, because an LR protein binds to two proteins that induce apoptosis, Bid and Cdc42 (Meyer et al., 2007). In contrast, LR protein expression is not necessary for inhibiting cell growth or bICP0 expression. We predict that non-protein coding functions encoded by LR-RNA cooperate with LR proteins to regulate the latency-reactivation cycle.

Fig. 1. Schematic of the BHV-1 LR gene and primers used in this study. (a) Partial restriction map, location of LR-RNA, organization of LR ORFs and the bICP0 ORF. The start sites for LR transcription during latency and productive infection were described previously (Hossain et al., 1995). Reading frames (RF) B and C contain an open reading frame, but lack an initiating Met. The (*) denotes position of stop codons that are in-frame with the respective ORF. (b) DNA sequence of the SphI fragment and mutant oligonucleotide. The first ATG in the wt sequence is the first in-frame ATG for ORF2 and it is underlined. Stop codons in the mutant oligonucleotide are in all three reading frames (bold and underlined). The EcoRI restriction enzyme site (GAATTCC) was incorporated into the mutant oligonucleotide to facilitate screening. (c) MDBK cells were infected with the designated virus strains using an m.o.i. of 5 and total RNA prepared as described in Methods. Semi-quantitative RT-PCR analysis of LR-RNA expression in productively infected MDBK cells. To specifically prime LR-cDNA synthesis, primer 1980 was used. Primer L3D+ and primer L3D− were used to amplify LR-cDNA. The LR primers are described in Supplementary Table S1. Synthesis of cDNA was conducted with 3 μg total RNA and 1.25 μM primer 1980. PCR amplification was carried out in the presence of 20 % DMSO for 35 cycles by denaturing at 95 °C for 50 s, annealing at 55 °C for 45 s and extending at 72 °C for 50 s. At the end of the PCR, 10 min at 72 °C ensured the complete extension of amplified products. Samples were melted at 95 °C for 6 min prior to cycling. The lower panel is an ethidium bromide stained gel that shows rRNA. (d) Levels of LR-RNA during the early phase of productive infection. The experiment was conducted as described for (c). Quantification of results shown in (c) and (d).
In this study, we compared IFN RNA expression in bovine cells following infection with the LR mutant virus or virus strains that express wt LR gene products. The LR mutant virus expresses higher levels of IFN-β RNA and LR-RNA during early stages of productive infection. In tonsils of calves acutely infected with the LR mutant virus, higher levels of IFN RNA were also expressed. Collectively, these studies suggest that inappropriate levels of LR-RNA prematurely stimulate IFN-β RNA expression and reduce the pathogenic potential of the LR mutant virus.

METHODS

Viruses and cells. The Cooper strain of BHV-1 (wt virus) was obtained from the National Veterinary Services Laboratory, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Services, Ames, Iowa, USA. For construction of the LR mutant virus, 25 pt of the LR gene sequence from the Cooper strain were replaced with an oligonucleotide that contains a unique EcoRI restriction site and three stop codons to prevent protein expression (Inman et al., 2001, 2002) (Fig. 1a and b). The LR mutant rescued virus was rescued by substituting wt sequences back into the LR gene.

Madin-Darby bovine kidney cells (MDBK, ATCC CCL-22) were maintained in Earle’s modified Eagle’s medium supplemented with 5% fetal calf serum. To prepare virus stocks, MDBK cells were infected with wt BHV-1, the LR mutant virus or the LR mutant rescued virus at an m.o.i. of 0.01. For the experiments described in infected with wt BHV-1, the LR mutant virus or the LR mutant rescued virus at an m.o.i. of 0.01. For the experiments described in this study, an m.o.i. of 5 was used.

Animal studies. BHV-1-free cross-bred calves (~200 kg) were used for this study. Calves were inoculated with 10⁷ p.f.u. of wt BHV-1, the LR rescued virus or the LR mutant virus into each nostril and euthanized at 30 min and 4 h p.i. Calves were housed under strict isolation and were not given antibiotics for 24 h before and after BHV-1 infection to prevent secondary bacterial infections. At 60 days post-infection, sexed (p.i.), wt BHV-1, the LR rescued virus and the LR mutant-infected calves were injected intravenously with 100 mg DEX. Additional intramuscular injections (25 mg) of DEX were given at 2 and 4 days after the initial intravenous injection to ensure that reactivation occurs. Total RNA prepared from TG and tonsils was from calf studies that were previously described (Inman et al., 2002; Lovato et al., 2003; Perez et al., 2005; Winkler et al., 1999, 2000). Calves were housed under strict isolation and given antibiotics before and after BHV-1 infection to prevent secondary bacterial infections. At 60 days post-infection (p.i.), wt BHV-1, the LR rescued virus and the LR mutant-infected calves were inoculated intravenously with 100 mg DEX. Additional intramuscular injections (25 mg) of DEX were given at 2 and 4 days after the initial intravenous injection to ensure that reactivation occurs. Total RNA prepared from TG and tonsils was from calf studies that were previously described (Inman et al., 2002; Lovato et al., 2003; Perez et al., 2005; Winkler et al., 1999, 2000).

RNA extraction. RNA was extracted from cultured cells or tissue (Chomczynski & Sacchi, 1987). Tissue from tonsil or TG was first minced into small pieces, placed into 10 ml solution D [4 M guanidine thiocyanate, 25 mM sodium citrate (pH 7.0), 0.5% sarcosyl and 14 mM β-mercaptoethanol] and homogenized. Two phenol extractions were performed. RNA concentrations were determined spectrophotometrically (260 nm) and RNA was reprepurified in 3 volumes of ethanol.

DNase treatment and reverse transcription (RT). Three micrograms of RNA was treated with 1 U RNase-free DNase I (Gibco-BRL) for 15 min at 20°C in the presence of an RNase inhibitor (RNasin; Promega). After DNase I treatment, samples were incubated at 65°C for 7.5 min in the presence of 2 mM EDTA to eliminate DNase I activity. RT reactions were performed with random hexamers or the LR-specific primer 1980 at 65°C for 7.5 min and chilled on ice. Sixteen microlitres of ice-cold RT mix [20 mM Tris/HCl (pH 8.3), 50 mM KCl, 2.5 mM MgCl₂, 100 µg BSA ml⁻¹, 1 mM dithiothreitol, 0.5 mM each deoxynucleotide triphosphate (dNTPs) and 10 U RNasin] was added. The reaction mixture was incubated for 10 min at 25°C and then for 50 min at 42°C. One microlitre of reverse transcriptase was added to each tube and placed at 42°C in a water bath for 50 min. As a control for DNA contamination in the RNA samples, 3 µg RNA (DNase I treated) was mixed with ice-cold RT mix lacking reverse transcriptase.

PCR. An aliquot (2 µl) of the RT reaction mixture was used for each PCR, using primers specific for bovine IFN-α, IFN-β, IFN-γ, Mx1a and LR genes. Amplification of β-actin was used as an internal control. PCRs were carried out in a total of 50 µl containing 1× commercial PCR buffer, 5 mM MgCl₂, 200 µM each dNTP, 1 µM each primer and Taq polymerase. Amplification was carried out for 32 cycles by denaturing at 95°C for 1 min, annealing at 53°C (IFN-α, IFN-γ and Mx1a) or 55°C (IFN-β1, IFN-β2 and IFN-β3) for 1 min and extending at 72°C for 2 min. Upon completion of the last cycle, the reaction mixtures were further incubated at 72°C for 7 min to ensure complete extension of the amplified product. PCR products were electrophoresed on 2% agarose gels and stained with ethidium bromide. Primers used for these studies are shown in Supplementary Table S1 (available in JGV Online).

RESULTS

LR mutant virus prematurely expresses higher levels of LR-RNA

Although the LR mutant virus expressed abundant levels of LR-RNA during latency (Inman et al., 2002), this study did not compare LR-RNA levels expressed by the LR mutant virus to virus strains expressing wt LR products. To compare LR-RNA expression in productively infected MDBK, semi-quantitative RT-PCR was performed using strand-specific primers to synthesize LR-cDNA (primer 1980), and primers that specifically amplify LR-cDNA (see Supplementary Table S1). This approach was used instead of Northern blotting because LR-RNA overlaps the bICP0 transcript (Fig. 1a), and ORF-E coding sequences are near the 5′ terminus of LR-RNA (Inman et al., 2004). Surprisingly, LR-RNA was readily detected in cells infected with the LR mutant virus, but not with wt BHV-1, at 4 h p.i. (Fig. 1c and e). Additional studies confirmed that the LR mutant virus consistently expressed higher levels of LR-RNA at 4 h p.i. (Fig. 1d and f). Furthermore, there was a faint band detected when MDBK cells were infected for 2 h with the LR mutant virus, but not with wt BHV-1 (Fig. 1d and f) or the rescued virus (data not shown). Slightly higher levels of the LR-transcript were also detected in MDBK cells at 12 or 24 h p.i. with the LR mutant virus versus wt BHV-1 or the LR rescued virus (Fig. 1c and e). As expected, RNA levels were similar for all samples after measuring total RNA levels by OD₂₆₀ and loading equal amounts on an agarose gel (Fig. 1c, bottom panel). In summary, this study suggested that the LR mutant virus prematurely expressed higher levels of LR-RNA.

The LR mutant virus induces higher levels of IFN-β and IFN-responsive genes during productive infection

The finding that LR-RNA expression occurred earlier in MDBK cells infected with the LR mutant virus suggested
that a stronger IFN response may occur because LR-RNA has the potential to base pair with bICP0 mRNA and also LR-RNA contains regions that have the potential to form double-stranded structures. To test whether this prediction was true, we compared IFN RNA expression in productively infected MDBK cells following infection with the LR mutant virus or a virus that expresses wt LR gene products. An initial study was performed to prove that MDBK cells were responsive to stimuli that induce IFN production. To this end, MDBK cells were infected with wt BHV-1 or treated with 10 μg imiquimod (Invitrogen) ml–1, a compound that stimulates IFN and cytokine production (Megyeri et al., 1995). Following treatment with imiquimod, IFN-β promoter activity increased as a function of time for 24 h and was approximately seven times higher compared with untreated cells (Fig. 2). At 24 or 30 h p.i., IFN-β promoter activity was stimulated five- to sixfold higher than mock-infected cells. Thus, MDBK cells were responsive to factors that induce an IFN response.

To examine IFN RNA expression in cells infected with BHV-1, RT-PCR was performed using primers directed against specific IFN subtypes (see Supplementary Table S1). RT-PCR was used for these studies because antibodies that recognize bovine IFN-β subtypes are not commercially available and it is difficult to generate probes for Northern blots that recognize a single IFN-β subtype. In contrast to humans or mice, cattle contain three different IFN-β genes that are differentially regulated because they have distinct promoters (Valarcher et al., 2003; Wilson et al., 1983). When MDBK cells were infected with the LR mutant virus, IFN-β1 was readily detected at 4 h p.i., whereas it was not detected until 8 h p.i. with the wt virus (Fig. 3a) or the LR rescued virus (data not shown). IFN-β1 RNA was detected in cultures infected with the wt virus at 8, 12 and 24 h p.i., whereas it was not detected with the LR mutant virus (Fig. 3b). Expression of IFN-β1 RNA was detected at 24 h following infection with wt BHV-1, whereas it was only detected at 12 h p.i. with the LR mutant virus (Fig. 3a and b).

Although IFN-α1 RNA was detected at the same time points in MDBK cells following infection with the LR mutant virus, wt BHV-1 (Fig. 3a) or the LR rescued virus (data not shown), there was approximately twofold higher levels of IFN-α following infection with the LR mutant virus for 4, 8 and 12 h p.i. (Fig. 3b). The transcript encoded by the IFN-inducible gene, Mx1a, was detected following infection with the LR mutant virus at 4 h p.i., whereas this transcript was not detected until 12 h p.i. with wt BHV-1. In addition, there was two- to fourfold more Mx1a in cells infected with the LR mutant virus (Fig. 3b). Expression of ISG54, another IFN-inducible gene, was also detected earlier in cells infected with the LR mutant virus (Fig. 3a and b). Finally, expression of a third IFN-inducible gene, ISP15, was detected at 12 and 24 h p.i. in cells infected with the LR mutant virus (Fig. 3a and b). As expected, none of the IFN subtypes examined in this study was detected in MDBK cells prior to infection or in mock-infected cells (Fig. 3a; 0 lane or the mock panels). In summary, this study indicated that MDBK cells infected with the LR mutant virus induced a stronger IFN response during early stages of productive infection.

Analysis of IFN in calves infected with BHV-1

In contrast to cultured cells, the LR mutant virus grows less efficiently than wt virus in bovine conjunctiva (Inman et al., 2001), TG (Inman et al., 2002) or pharyngeal tonsil (Perez et al., 2006). When MDBK cells were infected with the LR mutant virus and was readily detected at 4 h p.i., whereas it was not detected until 8 h p.i. with the wt virus (Fig. 3a) or the LR rescued virus (data not shown). IFN-β1 RNA was detected in cultures infected with the wt virus at 8, 12 and 24 h p.i., whereas it was not detected with the LR mutant virus (Fig. 3b). Expression of IFN-β1 RNA was detected at 24 h following infection with wt BHV-1, whereas it was only detected at 12 h p.i. with the LR mutant virus (Fig. 3a and b).

Although IFN-α1 RNA was detected at the same time points in MDBK cells following infection with the LR mutant virus, wt BHV-1 (Fig. 3a) or the LR rescued virus (data not shown), there was approximately twofold higher levels of IFN-α following infection with the LR mutant virus for 4, 8 and 12 h p.i. (Fig. 3b). The transcript encoded by the IFN-inducible gene, Mx1a, was detected following infection with the LR mutant virus at 4 h p.i., whereas this transcript was not detected until 12 h p.i. with wt BHV-1. In addition, there was two- to fourfold more Mx1a in cells infected with the LR mutant virus (Fig. 3b). Expression of ISG54, another IFN-inducible gene, was also detected earlier in cells infected with the LR mutant virus (Fig. 3a and b). Finally, expression of a third IFN-inducible gene, ISP15, was detected at 12 and 24 h p.i. in cells infected with the LR mutant virus, and there was at least twofold more ISP15 RNA compared with cells infected with the wt virus (Fig. 3a and b). As expected, none of the IFN subtypes examined in this study was detected in MDBK cells prior to infection or in mock-infected cells (Fig. 3a; 0 lane or the mock panels). In summary, this study indicated that MDBK cells infected with the LR mutant virus induced a stronger IFN response during early stages of productive infection.

Analysis of IFN in calves infected with BHV-1

In contrast to cultured cells, the LR mutant virus grows less efficiently than wt virus in bovine conjunctiva (Inman et al., 2001), TG (Inman et al., 2002) or pharyngeal tonsil (Perez et al., 2006). When MDBK cells were infected with the LR mutant virus and was readily detected at 4 h p.i., whereas it was not detected until 8 h p.i. with the wt virus (Fig. 3a) or the LR rescued virus (data not shown). IFN-β1 RNA was detected in cultures infected with the wt virus at 8, 12 and 24 h p.i., whereas it was not detected with the LR mutant virus (Fig. 3b). Expression of ISG54, another IFN-inducible gene, was also detected earlier in cells infected with the LR mutant virus (Fig. 3a and b). Finally, expression of a third IFN-inducible gene, ISP15, was detected at 12 and 24 h p.i. in cells infected with the LR mutant virus (Fig. 3a and b). As expected, none of the IFN subtypes examined in this study was detected in MDBK cells prior to infection or in mock-infected cells (Fig. 3a; 0 lane or the mock panels). In summary, this study indicated that MDBK cells infected with the LR mutant virus induced a stronger IFN response during early stages of productive infection.

Analysis of IFN in calves infected with BHV-1

In contrast to cultured cells, the LR mutant virus grows less efficiently than wt virus in bovine conjunctiva (Inman et al., 2001), TG (Inman et al., 2002) or pharyngeal tonsil (Perez et al., 2006). When MDBK cells were infected with the LR mutant virus and was readily detected at 4 h p.i., whereas it was not detected until 8 h p.i. with the wt virus (Fig. 3a) or the LR rescued virus (data not shown). IFN-β1 RNA was detected in cultures infected with the wt virus at 8, 12 and 24 h p.i., whereas it was not detected with the LR mutant virus (Fig. 3b). Expression of ISG54, another IFN-inducible gene, was also detected earlier in cells infected with the LR mutant virus (Fig. 3a and b). Finally, expression of a third IFN-inducible gene, ISP15, was detected at 12 and 24 h p.i. in cells infected with the LR mutant virus, and there was at least twofold more ISP15 RNA compared with cells infected with the wt virus (Fig. 3a and b). As expected, none of the IFN subtypes examined in this study was detected in MDBK cells prior to infection or in mock-infected cells (Fig. 3a; 0 lane or the mock panels). In summary, this study indicated that MDBK cells infected with the LR mutant virus induced a stronger IFN response during early stages of productive infection.

Analysis of IFN in calves infected with BHV-1

In contrast to cultured cells, the LR mutant virus grows less efficiently than wt virus in bovine conjunctiva (Inman et al., 2001), TG (Inman et al., 2002) or pharyngeal tonsil (Perez et al., 2006). When MDBK cells were infected with the LR mutant virus and was readily detected at 4 h p.i., whereas it was not detected until 8 h p.i. with the wt virus (Fig. 3a) or the LR rescued virus (data not shown). IFN-β1 RNA was detected in cultures infected with the wt virus at 8, 12 and 24 h p.i., whereas it was not detected with the LR mutant virus (Fig. 3b). Expression of ISG54, another IFN-inducible gene, was also detected earlier in cells infected with the LR mutant virus (Fig. 3a and b). Finally, expression of a third IFN-inducible gene, ISP15, was detected at 12 and 24 h p.i. in cells infected with the LR mutant virus (Fig. 3a and b). As expected, none of the IFN subtypes examined in this study was detected in MDBK cells prior to infection or in mock-infected cells (Fig. 3a; 0 lane or the mock panels). In summary, this study indicated that MDBK cells infected with the LR mutant virus induced a stronger IFN response during early stages of productive infection.
suggesting that in certain tissues of infected calves an enhanced IFN response may reduce shedding of the LR mutant virus. To test this possibility, total RNA was prepared from tonsils or TG of acutely infected calves (4 or 6 days p.i.) and the presence of IFN subtypes was detected by RT-PCR. The respective RNA preparations were subjected to cDNA synthesis using random primers and the designated primers described in Supplementary Table S1 were used to amplify cDNA. In general, IFN-α1, the three IFN-β subtypes and IFN-γ RNA were consistently detected in tonsils of calves infected with the LR mutant virus for 4 or 6 days (Table 1). Conversely, these same transcripts were not consistently detected in tonsils of calves infected with the LR mutant virus for 4 or 6 days. Although the main site of BHV-1 latency is TG sensory neurons (Jones, 1998, 2003), pharyngeal tonsils are an important site for viral replication and persistence or latency (Perez et al., 2005; Winkler et al., 1999, 2000).

Calves latently infected with the LR mutant virus do not reactivate from latency following DEX treatment (Inman et al., 2002). This suggested that an IFN response would not occur in calves latently infected with the LR mutant virus following DEX treatment. Since wt BHV-1 or the LR rescued virus reactivates from latency and shed virus from the nasal or ocular cavity following DEX treatment (Inman et al., 2002), a strong IFN response was expected to occur. Twenty-four hours after DEX treatment was used to examine IFN RNA expression in TG or tonsils because results obtained in tonsils, IFN RNA was not readily detected in TG of calves infected with the LR mutant virus or wt BHV-1 for 4 or 6 days. Although the main site of BHV-1 latency is TG sensory neurons (Jones, 1998, 2003), pharyngeal tonsils are an important site for viral replication and persistence or latency (Perez et al., 2005; Winkler et al., 1999, 2000).
Table 1. Expression of IFN RNA in tonsils or TG of calves acutely infected with BHV-1

RNA was extracted from tonsils or TG of calves infected with wt BHV-1 or the LR mutant virus for 4 or 6 days p.i. The animal ID# was used to identify the respective calves. The clinical data and virus titres obtained from these calves following infection were previously described (Inman et al., 2001 and Inman et al., 2002). +, Transcript was detected; −, transcript was not detected; ND, not determined.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Virus</th>
<th>Actue infection</th>
<th></th>
<th>Trigeminal ganglion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tonsil</td>
<td>4 days p.i.</td>
<td>6 days p.i.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>wt BHV-1 LR mut</td>
<td></td>
<td>wt BHV-1 LR mut</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calf ID#</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFN-α1</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFN-β1</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFN-β2</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFN-β3</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFN-γ</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mx1a</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

abundant viral gene expression occurs in TG at this time (Inman et al., 2002; Rock et al., 1992; Winkler et al., 2000, 2002). At 24 h after DEX-induced reactivation, IFN-β and IFN-γ RNA expression were detected in tonsils prepared from wt-infected calves, but not tonsils of calves infected with the LR mutant virus (Table 2). In contrast, IFN RNA was not readily detected in TG of calves latently infected with the LR mutant virus or wt BHV-1 following DEX treatment. The IFN-inducible gene, Mx1a, was consistently detected in TG (Table 2), suggesting that low levels of IFN are expressed in TG as previously demonstrated (Winkler et al., 2002).

Table 2. Expression of IFN RNA in tonsils or TG during reactivation from latency

Calves latently infected with wt or the LR mutant virus were treated with DEX to initiate reactivation from latency as described previously (Inman et al., 2002). At 24 h after DEX treatment, tonsils and TG were obtained. RNA was extracted from tonsils or TG of calves infected with wt BHV-1 or the LR mutant virus for 4 or 6 days p.i. RT-PCR was performed using primers described in Supplementary Table S1. Procedures for RT-PCR were described previously (Perez et al., 2006). +, Transcript was detected; −, transcript was not detected; ND, not determined.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Calf #</th>
<th>Reactivation from latency</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tonsil</td>
<td>4 days p.i.</td>
<td>6 days p.i.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>wt BHV-1 LR mutant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>228</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFN-α1</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFN-β1</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFN-β2</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFN-β3</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFN-γ</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mx1a</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Collectively, these studies suggested that higher levels of LR-RNA expression stimulated the IFN response during early stages of productive infection, in particular IFN-β3 RNA expression. Since BHV-1 grows efficiently in cultured cells in the presence of high levels of IFN-α (Hohle et al., 2005), this may explain why the LR mutant virus, wt BHV-1 or LR rescued virus grow to similar titres in cultured bovine cells (Inman et al., 2001). These findings may also help to explain why the LR mutant virus grows less efficiently in certain tissues (Inman et al., 2001, 2002), and calves infected with the LR mutant virus have enhanced immune infiltration in TG during acute infection (Perez et al., 2006). The mechanism by which LR-RNA induces IFN expression may be due to the formation of double-stranded RNA, a potent inducer of the IFN response. Since overexpression of LR gene products, including LR-RNA, in transient transfection assays has no obvious effect on IFN-β promoter activity (Henderson et al., 2005), the ability of LR-RNA to base pair with bICP0 mRNA appears to be responsible for double-stranded RNA formation during productive infection. It is also possible that LR-RNA may selectively activate the bovine IFN-β3 promoter because IFN-β3 RNA was strongly stimulated following infection with the LR mutant virus (Fig. 3a and b).

There appear to be three potential reasons for why the LR mutant virus expressed higher levels of LR-RNA during early phases of productive infection: (i) 25 bp of wt sequences near ORF-2 were deleted, (ii) sequences containing three stop codons and an EcoRI restriction enzyme site
were inserted at the 5’ terminus of ORF-2 and/or (iii) the LR mutant virus does not synthesize two proteins encoded by the LR gene. LR protein expression is not detected until the late phases of productive infection (Hossain et al., 1995; Jiang et al., 1998), suggesting LR proteins do not regulate IFN RNA levels. Although LR-RNA expression was detected at 2 and 4 h p.i. with the LR mutant virus (Fig. 1c and d), this does not necessarily mean that LR-RNA was expressed under IE conditions. A previous study demonstrated that two BHV-1 early genes (ribonucleotide reductase and thymidine kinase) are expressed 2 h p.i. of bovine cells (Schang & Jones, 1997), suggesting that early expression of LR-RNA expression can occur as early as 2 h p.i. High levels of bICP0 RNA are expressed throughout productive infection because two promoters drive bICP0 RNA expression: an IE promoter and a separate early promoter (Fraefel et al., 1994). Consequently, premature expression of LR-RNA would increase the probability that hybridization occurs with bICP0 RNA during the early stages of infection.

Following infection of cattle, LR-RNA (Devireddy & Jones, 1998), but not other viral genes (unpublished data), are detected in TG at 1 day p.i., suggesting that LR-RNA is the first abundant viral transcript expressed in sensory neurons. Consequently, LR-RNA sequences may promote the early phases of establishing latency by binding to bICP0 mRNA sequences, which would inhibit productive infection by reducing bICP0 levels and inducing an earlier IFN response. Our previous studies also indicate that expression of an LR protein promotes survival of infected neurons by inhibiting apoptosis (Ciacci-Zanella et al., 1999; Lovato et al., 2003). In conclusion, we propose that premature expression of LR-RNA by the LR mutant virus, in the absence of LR protein expression, leads to survival of a subset of infected neurons that can establish latency but are unable to reactivate from latency (Inman et al., 2002).
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