Effects of inducing or inhibiting apoptosis on Sindbis virus replication in mosquito cells
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Sindbis virus (SINV) is a mosquito-borne virus in the genus Alphavirus, family Togaviridae. Like most alphaviruses, SINVs exhibit lytic infection (apoptosis) in many mammalian cell types, but are generally thought to cause persistent infection with only moderate cytopathic effects in mosquito cells. However, there have been several reports of apoptotic-like cell death in mosquitoes infected with alphaviruses or flaviviruses. Given that apoptosis has been shown to be an antiviral response in other systems, we have constructed recombinant SINVs that express either pro-apoptotic or anti-apoptotic genes in order to test the effects of inducing or inhibiting apoptosis on SINV replication in mosquito cells. Recombinant SINVs expressing the pro-apoptotic genes reaper (rpr) from Drosophila or michelob_x (mx) from Aedes aegypti caused extensive apoptosis in cells from the mosquito cell line C6/36, thus changing the normal persistent infection observed with SINV to a lytic infection. Although the infected cells underwent apoptosis, high levels of virus replication were still observed during the initial infection. However, virus production subsequently decreased compared with persistently infected cells, which continued to produce high levels of virus over the next several days. Infection of C6/36 cells with SINV expressing the baculovirus caspase inhibitor P35 inhibited actinomycin D-induced caspase activity and protected infected cells from actinomycin D-induced apoptosis, but had no observable effect on virus replication. This study is the first to test directly whether inducing or inhibiting apoptosis affects arbovirus replication in mosquito cells.

INTRODUCTION

Each year several million people die of arthropod-borne diseases including malaria, yellow fever and dengue fever (Hill et al., 2005). Sindbis virus (SINV) (genus Alphavirus, family Togaviridae) is an arthropod-borne virus (arbovirus) possessing a positive-sense, single-stranded RNA genome of 11.7 kb, with a 5’ cap and a 3’ poly(A) tail (Strauss & Strauss, 1994). SINV is an important tool to study the interaction between viruses and mosquitoes because full-length infectious cDNA clones are available which have been engineered to allow expression of foreign genes, and because SINVs can infect Aedes aegypti, a mosquito vector which is important in the transmission of dengue and yellow fever viruses.

SINVs generally cause acute cell death in most types of mammalian cells, and infected cells display typical characteristics of apoptosis (Levine et al., 1993; Nava et al., 1998). However, SINVs are generally thought to cause only moderate cytopathic effect in mosquito cells with a persistent infection (Karpf & Brown, 1998). Expression of the apoptotic inhibitory gene bcl-2 can convert the pattern of SINV infection in mammalian cells from lytic to persistent (Levine et al., 1993). In addition, the ability of SINV to cause apoptosis in neurons correlates with pathogenesis in mice (Lewis et al., 1996). The reasons why SINV infection does not cause apoptosis in mosquito cells are still unknown. Cell and species specificity of SINV-induced cell death implies that cellular and viral regulators of apoptosis play important roles in determining the outcome of SINV infection. However, it is important to keep in mind that most of the information in this area comes from studies performed using mosquito cell lines. Less is known about SINV infection in vivo, and the possibility remains that SINV could cause apoptosis in certain cell types in mosquitoes, or in certain mosquito species. Indeed, there are a number of reports of cell death in mosquitoes infected with arboviruses (including the alphaviruses SINV, Semliki Forest virus and Eastern and Western equine encephalitis viruses, as well as the flavivirus West Nile virus), some of which are consistent with apoptosis (Bowers et al., 2003; Girard et al., 2005; Mims
et al., 1966; Weaver et al., 1988, 1992). In addition, correlation between apoptosis and resistance to West Nile virus infection has been observed in midgut cells of a refractory lab strain of Culex pipiens pipiens (Vaidyanathan & Scott, 2006), and apoptosis that occurs in the salivary glands of Culex pipiens quinquefasciatus late in infection also correlates with reduced transmission potential for West Nile virus (Girard et al., 2005, 2007). However, despite these intriguing observations, no causative data exist that directly link apoptosis to effects on viral vector competence in mosquitoes.

Apoptosis is executed by initiator and effector caspases (cysteinyl aspartate-specific proteases), which become activated following an apoptotic stimulus and cleave a number of cellular substrates. Caspases are negatively regulated by cellular IAPs (inhibitor of apoptosis) proteins, and IAPs are themselves negatively regulated by IAP antagonists. IAP antagonists are characterized by sharing a highly conserved N-terminal motif, an IAP-binding motif (IBM). Drosophila Reaper (Rpr) and Ae. aegypti Michelob_x (Mx) are examples of IAP antagonists which contain an IBM and function as pro-apoptotic proteins ( Pronk et al., 1996; Zhou et al., 2005). On the other hand, the baculovirus caspase inhibitor P35 is a potent inhibitor of effector caspases from a wide variety of organisms (Clem, 2007). Following cleavage of P35 by an active caspase, a covalent bond is formed between P35 and the active site cysteine of the caspase (Fish et al., 1999; Xu et al., 2001).

Recombinant SINV expression systems have been developed by inserting an additional copy of the viral subgenomic promoter in the genome to facilitate expression of foreign genes (Foy et al., 2004; Hahn et al., 1992; Olson et al., 2000; Pierro et al., 2003; Raju & Huang, 1991). The SINV infectious clones 5’dsMRE16ic and TE5’2J each contain a duplicated subgenomic promoter upstream of the normal subgenomic promoter in the viral genome. TE5’2J was generated from the mouse neurovirulent TE12 SINV strain, while 5’dsMRE16ic was engineered from the MRE16 SINV strain (Foy et al., 2004; Pierro et al., 2003, 2007). TE5’2J viruses replicate well in cell lines, but poorly infect mosquito midguts after oral infection. In contrast, 5’dsMRE16ic viruses are able to efficiently infect and disseminate from midgut epithelial cells after oral infection (Foy et al., 2004; Myles et al., 2004). In this study, we have used these SINV constructs to express pro-apoptotic and anti-apoptotic proteins in order to begin testing whether apoptosis can play a role in governing interactions between alphaviruses and mosquitoes.

**METHODS**

**Cell culture.** BHK-21 cells were propagated in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) (Mediatech) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Invitrogen). Aeles albopictus C6/36 cells were propagated in Leibovitz’s medium (Gibco) containing 10% FBS. BHK-21 cells were cultured at 37°C with 8% CO2, and C6/36 cells were maintained at 27°C.

**Recombinant virus construction.** The coding regions of the ns, pr, and p35 cDNAs were amplified by PCR and cloned into the SINV DNA infectious clones 5’dsMRE16ic (MRE) (Foy et al., 2004; Myles et al., 2004) or pTE5’2J (TE) (Pierro et al., 2003) in the sense and antisense orientation. Additional clones were constructed containing in-frame fusions with the haemagglutinin (HA) epitope tag at the C (Mx and Rpr) or N terminus (P35), sites which have been shown previously not to affect protein function. The insert sequences of all of the plasmids were verified by nucleotide sequencing. The green fluorescent protein (GFP)-expressing viruses MRE/GFP and TE/GFP have been described previously (Foy et al., 2004; Pierro et al., 2003).

**Virus production.** Cloned cDNAs of SINV RNA were produced using AmpliScript SP6 High Yield Transcription kit (EPICENTRE Biotechnologies) and m’G(5’ppp(5’))G Cap Analogue (Ambion). Aliquots (10 μl) of each transcript reaction were transfected into BHK-21 cells using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) and 100 μl Opti-MEM-1 Reduced Serum Medium (Opti-MEM) (Invitrogen). After 3 days, virus-containing medium was harvested, aliquoted and stored at −80°C. Virus titres were determined by tissue culture infectious dose (TCID50) assay in BHK-21 cells. The TCID50 of each sample was converted to p.f.u. ml−1 by multiplying by 0.69 (O’Reilly et al., 1994). All of the virus stocks used in this study came directly from transfected BHK-21 cells without any further passage, and were only frozen and thawed once before use.

**Virus growth curves and TCID50 assay.** C6/36 cells (105) were infected at an m.o.i. of 0.1 or 10 in a 6-well plate. After a 1 h absorption period with Leibovitz’s medium, the cells were washed three times with PBS, and 2 ml of Leibovitz’s medium containing 10% FBS was added into each well. At 0, 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 days post-infection (p.i.), 100 μl of virus-containing cell medium was collected and frozen at −80°C until being subjected to TCID50 assay as described above. In the non-cumulative assay, after each time point the cells were washed three times with PBS and the medium was replaced.

**Caspase assay.** To detect caspase activity, 105 cells were infected at an m.o.i. of 0.01 or 1. At 6, 12 and 24 h p.i., cells were harvested and centrifuged at 500 g for 5 min. Cell pellets were washed with PBS and resuspended in 100 μl lysis buffer (20 mM HEPES KOH, pH 7.5, 50 mM KCl, 1.5 mM MgCl2, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM EGTA, 1 mM DTT, 250 mM sucrose). One complete mini EDTA-free protease inhibitor tablet (Roche Applied Science) was added per 50 ml lysis buffer. Cells were lysed by four cycles of freeze-thawing and 50 μl lysis buffer (20 mM HEPES, pH 7.4 containing 2 mM DTT, 0.1% CHAPS, 1% sucrose) with 200 μM Ac-DEVD-AFC (MP Biomedicals), an effector-type caspase substrate, and incubated for 15 min at 37°C. The fluorescence (excitation 405 nm, emission 535 nm) in the reactions was monitored over 1 h at 25°C using a Victor® 1420 Multilabel counter (Perkin-Elmer), and the values of the final measurements are shown.

**TUNEL staining and flow cytometry analysis.** Terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase-mediated dUTP nick end-labelling (TUNEL) was performed using the in situ Cell Death Detection kit, TMR red (Roche Applied Science). C6/36 cells (2 × 105) were infected at an m.o.i. of 0.1. Cells were harvested and washed three times with PBS, then pelleted by centrifugation at 500 g for 5 min, and resuspended in 2% paraformaldehyde freshly prepared in PBS for 1 h at room temperature. After washing once with PBS, cell pellets were resuspended in fresh permeabilization solution (0.1% Triton X-100 in 0.1% sodium citrate) for 2 min on ice. Cells were washed twice with PBS and resuspended in 50 μl TUNEL reaction mixture (5 μl
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enzyme solution with 45 μl label solution) for 1 h at 37 °C. Cells were washed twice with PBS and resuspended in 250 μl PBS with 1 μM TO-PRO-3 (Invitrogen) for nuclear counterstaining. Cells were detected using FL2 and FL4 in a FACS Calibur (Becton Dickinson), and data were analysed with WinList 5.0 (Verity Software House).

**DNA fragmentation assay.** C6/36 cells (2 × 10^5) were infected at an m.o.i. of 1. At 24 h p.i., cells were harvested and pelleted as described above. The cell pellet was resuspended in 100 μl lysis buffer (10 mM Tris/HCl, pH 8.0; 100 mM NaCl; 25 mM EDTA; 0.5% SDS; 0.1 mg proteinase K ml⁻¹). The lysate was extracted twice with phenol/ chloroform and ethanol precipitated. The precipitate was washed with 75% ethanol and resuspended in 100 μl TE buffer (10 mM Tris/HCl, 1 mM EDTA, pH 7.5) containing 100 μg RNase ml⁻¹. Aliquots (20 μl) of each sample were analysed by agarose gel electrophoresis and the bands were visualized by ethidium bromide staining. To visualize nuclei, cells (48 h p.i.) were stained with 5 μg Hoechst 33258 ml⁻¹ for 20 min before observation by UV microscopy.

**Cell viability assay (MTT assay).** To determine cell viability, C6/36 cells (10^5) were infected at an m.o.i. of 0.01 in a 96-well plate. Every 24 h, the cell medium was replaced with fresh medium. At each time point, cells were centrifuged at 500 g for 5 min and washed once with PBS. Cells were incubated with 100 μl 1% MTT [3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide] (Sigma) for 4 h at 27 °C. Cells were washed with PBS again, and 150 μl acidic 2-propanol (0.04 M HCl in absolute 2-propanol) was added, followed by rocking on a shaking platform for 15 min at room temperature. Absorbance was measured at 550 nm.

For the actinomycin D (ActD)-induced cell death experiment, C6/36 cells (4 × 10^5) were infected at an m.o.i. of 1 in a 24-well plate. At 24 h p.i., 1 μg ActD ml⁻¹ (Clontech Laboratories) and/or 100 μM z-VAD-FMK (MP Biomedicals) were added. After 24 h of ActD treatment, cell viability was determined by MTT assay as described above.

**Immunoblotting.** C6/36 cells (2 × 10^5) were infected at an m.o.i. of 1. At 6 h p.i., 100 μM z-VAD-FMK was added to the medium. At 24 h p.i., cells were collected in 100 μl SDS-PAGE loading buffer, heated at 100 °C for 5 min and resolved by 15% SDS-PAGE, and then transferred to PVDF. Proteins were detected with a 1:1000 dilution of anti-HA antibody (Covance) or anti-β-actin antibody, and a 1:10000 dilution of goat anti-mouse IgG–horseradish peroxidase (Bio-Rad) and SuperSignal West Pico Chemiluminescent substrate (Pierce).

## RESULTS

### Construction of recombinant SINVs and expression in C6/36 cells

The IAP antagonist genes *michelob_x* (*mx*) from *Ae. aegypti* and *reaper* (*rpr*) from *Drosophila melanogaster* have been shown to induce apoptosis when expressed in insect cells (Pronk *et al.*, 1996; Zhou *et al.*, 2005), while expression of the baculovirus *p35* gene blocks apoptosis by inhibiting caspases (Clem & Miller, 1994). In order to test whether inducing or inhibiting apoptosis would have an effect on SINV replication, a series of recombinant SINVs were constructed by inserting the coding regions of *mx*, *rpr* or *p35* into the TE5’2J (TE) and 5’dsMRE16ic (MRE) SINV infectious clones in sense or antisense orientation, and in sense orientation with an HA epitope (Fig. 1a and b). To examine protein expression, C6/36 cells infected with viruses expressing HA-tagged proteins were harvested at 24 h p.i. and analysed by Western blotting. All of the foreign genes were expressed in infected C6/36 cells, with the level of expression being generally higher from the TE
viruses than from the MRE viruses (Fig. 1b; note the longer exposure of the MRE blot).

**SINVs expressing IAP antagonists induce apoptosis**

In initial experiments, C6/36 cells infected with viruses expressing Mx or Rpr in the sense orientation underwent lysis within the first 24–48 h p.i., while cells infected with all of the other viruses did not lyse, but instead exhibited typical signs of persistent infection. To quantify the death of C6/36 cells infected with SINVs expressing Mx or Rpr, cell viability was quantified by MTT assay, which measures metabolic activity. C6/36 cells that were infected with viruses containing antisense inserts or viruses expressing GFP or P35 continued to proliferate similarly to mock-infected cells, although by 4–5 days p.i., infected cells were slightly fewer in number than mock-infected cells, consistent with a moderate cytopathic effect induced by SINV infection in these cells (Fig. 2a and b). Consistent with the higher level of foreign protein expression from TE viruses than from MRE viruses (Fig. 1c), TE/Mx and TE/Rpr viruses induced cell death faster than MRE/Mx and MRE/Rpr. Cell blebbing and apoptotic bodies were first observed in TE/Mx- and TE/Rpr-infected cells at 12 h p.i., while MRE/Mx- and MRE/Rpr-infected cells began blebbing at 18 h p.i. (data not shown). By 48 h p.i., nearly all the
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**Fig. 2.** Recombinant SINVs expressing Mx or Rpr cause lytic infection in C6/36 cells. (a and b) C6/36 cells were mock-infected or infected with the indicated viruses (m.o.i. = 0.01) and cell viability was determined by MTT assay. Data are shown as mean ± SEM of four to six independent experiments. The treatments differed significantly by two-way ANOVA (P < 0.0001). (c) Morphology of infected cells. C6/36 cells were infected with the indicated SINVs (m.o.i. = 0.01) and photographed (400× magnification) at 48 h p.i.
cells infected by SINV expressing Mx or Rpr had died, while their counterpart antisense virus-infected cells continued to proliferate (Fig. 2a–c). We also tested the viability of cells infected with the viruses expressing epitope-tagged IAP antagonists. TE/Mx-HA induced cell death in C6/36 cells, but TE/Rpr-HA, MRE/Mx-HA and MRE/Rpr-HA did not, possibly due to the epitope tag interfering with protein function (data not shown).

To determine whether the death caused by recombinant SINVs expressing Mx or Rpr in C6/36 cells was due to apoptosis, we examined several parameters, including caspase activation (Fig. 3). At 6 h p.i., all of the infected cells exhibited caspase activity similar to that of mock-infected cells. However, at 12 h p.i., TE/Mx and TE/Rpr infection caused increased levels of caspase activity, in contrast to MRE/Mx and MRE/Rpr infection, which remained fairly low (Fig. 3). By 24 h p.i., MRE/Mx- and MRE/Rpr-infected C6/36 cells exhibited extensive cell blebbing and dramatically increased caspase activity. TE/Mx- and TE/Rpr-infected C6/36 cells appeared to exhibit less caspase activity at 24 h p.i. compared with MRE/Mx- and MRE/Rpr-infected cells, but this was presumable because many of the TE/Mx- and TE/Rpr-infected cells had already completed apoptosis and undergone secondary necrosis by this time, resulting in leakage of intracellular proteins into the culture supernatant.

Chromatin degradation, nuclear condensation and nuclear fragmentation are also hallmarks of apoptosis. At 24 h p.i., we examined the DNA from infected C6/36 cells by agarose gel electrophoresis. MRE/Mx-, MRE/Rpr-, TE/Mx- and TE/Rpr-infected cells exhibited genomic DNA fragmentation into oligonucleosomal ladders characteristic of apoptotic cells (Fig. 4a and b). In contrast, the genomic DNA from cells infected by anti-sense viruses or viruses expressing P35 was intact, similar to that of mock-infected cells (Fig. 4a and b). We also observed genomic DNA condensation and nuclear fragmentation in C6/36 cells infected with MRE/Mx, MRE/Rpr, TE/Mx and TE/Rpr at 48 h p.i., in contrast to the uniform nuclear staining observed in C6/36 cells infected with anti-sense viruses and in mock-infected cells (Fig. 4c).

We quantified apoptotic cells using terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase-mediated dUTP nick end-labelling (TUNEL) and flow cytometry (Fig. 5). As expected, TE/Mx- and TE/Rpr-infected cells became TUNEL-positive more quickly than MRE/Mx- and MRE/Rpr-infected cells. At 12 h p.i., 47 % of TE/Mx- and 51 % of TE/Rpr-infected cells were TUNEL-positive, while the proportions of MRE/Mx- and MRE/Rpr-infected cells that were TUNEL-positive were 24.5 % and 25.9 %, respectively. At 24 and 36 h p.i., the proportion of TUNEL-positive MRE/Mx- and MRE/Rpr-infected cells increased to a range of 40–50 %, while 55–65 % of TE/Mx- and TE/Rpr-infected cells were TUNEL-positive. In contrast, approximately 10 % of MRE/P35-, MRE-, TE/P35-, and TE-infected cells were TUNEL-positive at each time point, which was similar to the background staining seen in mock-infected cells.

**P35 expression by SINV protects C6/36 cells from apoptotic stress**

To test whether SINV-mediated expression of the caspase inhibitor P35 can protect C6/36 cells from apoptotic stress, we analysed the viability of infected cells after treatment with actinomycin D (ActD), which induces apoptosis in many insect cell lines. After 24 h treatment with ActD we observed that over 90 % of C6/36 cells were apoptotic as judged by their morphology (Fig. 6c). We found by MTT assay that mock-infected, ActD-treated cells were only around 20 % viable compared with untreated cells (Fig. 6a), while the relative viability of MRE- and TE-infected cells decreased from 60 to 20 % after ActD treatment (Fig. 6a). However, the viability of MRE/P35- and TE/P35-infected cells was only slightly reduced after ActD treatment (Fig. 6a). The death induced by ActD was inhibited by the caspase inhibitor z-VAD-FMK (85 % viability compared with 20 % in cells treated with ActD alone) (Fig. 6a).

Without ActD treatment, MRE-, MRE/P35-, TE- and TE/P35-infected cells had similar levels of caspase activity as mock-treated cells (Fig. 6b). After ActD treatment, MRE- or TE-infected cells showed an increase in caspase activity, but MRE/P35- or TE/P35-infected cells had no change in caspase activity compared with non-ActD-treated cells, indicating caspase inhibition by P35 (Fig. 6b).
Replication of recombinant SINV

To assess the effect of apoptosis on SINV replication, virus growth curves were performed. To measure the production of virus during each 24 h period following infection (non-cumulative assay), the cells were washed three times with PBS at each time point after removal of virus-containing culture supernatant. Mx- and Rpr-expressing recombinant viruses caused lytic replication in C6/36 cells, and as expected, the amount of virus production significantly decreased after the death of C6/36 cells. The viral titres of both MRE/Rpr and MRE/Mx viruses peaked at 2 days p.i. (Fig. 7a), while TE/Rpr- and TE/Mx-infected C6/36 cells exhibited the highest level of virus at 1 day p.i. (Fig. 7c), consistent with the viability results (Fig. 2a and b). Viruses containing any inserts, including antisense inserts or GFP, tended to produce lower levels of virus than the empty vectors MRE or TE, presumably due to their increased genome size (Pierro et al., 2003). In addition, the recombinant TE viruses produced around a tenfold higher amount of virus than the corresponding recombinant MRE viruses, although the TE and MRE empty vectors produced roughly equivalent titres. This was not unexpected, given that the TE strain is adapted to replication in cell culture (Olson et al., 2000; Pierro et al., 2003). Infection with high versus low m.o.i. did not significantly affect the final viral titres (Fig. 7b and d).

Fig. 4. SINV expressing Mx or Rpr cause DNA fragmentation indicative of apoptosis. (a and b) C6/36 cells were mock-infected or infected with the indicated SINV (m.o.i. = 1). At 24 h p.i., cells were lysed and DNA was analysed by agarose gel electrophoresis and ethidium bromide staining. (c) C6/36 cells were infected with the indicated SINV (m.o.i. = 0.01) for 48 h, stained with Hoechst 33258, and photographed (400× magnification).
We also examined cumulative virus replication by removing a small amount of culture medium at each time point without replacing the medium or washing the cells. Generally, the production of each virus reached a plateau at 2 days p.i. in C6/36 cells (Fig. 8a and b) and 1 day p.i. in BHK-21 cells (Fig. 8c and d), and a high level of virus remained in the culture supernatant for the rest of the experiment. Similar to the above results, the MRE and TE recombinant viruses containing inserts produced approximately tenfold less progeny virus than viruses without inserts (Fig. 8). All of the viruses caused cell death in BHK-21 cells within 1 day, including the viruses expressing P35. In C6/36 cells, the viruses expressing Mx or Rpr caused extensive apoptosis within 1–2 days, while the rest of the viruses caused only a moderate cytopathic effect. Despite this difference, the recombinant viruses in each type of...
parental clone (TE or MRE) had similar growth patterns in both cell lines. While MRE/Mx-, MRE/Rpr-, TE/Mx- and TE/Rpr-infected C6/36 cells were almost all dead after 2 days, cells infected with the other viruses remained alive; however, the level of virus in the medium did not increase significantly over the next 3 days for any of the viruses (Fig. 8a and b).

**DISCUSSION**

We are using SINV as a model to study the effect of inducing or inhibiting apoptosis on the ability of mosquito cells to permit arbovirus replication. Arboviruses usually do not induce apoptosis in mosquito cell lines; however, there are reports of cytopathic effects resembling apoptosis

---

**Fig. 7.** Non-cumulative virus growth curves in C6/36 cells. Cells were infected with the indicated viruses at an m.o.i. of 0.1 (a and c), and 0.1 or 10 (b and d). The cells were washed three times with PBS after harvesting at each time point. Data are shown as mean±SEM of four experiments. The treatments in (a) and (c) differed significantly by two-way ANOVA (P<0.0001), while differences between treatments in (b) and (d) were not significant.

**Fig. 8.** Cumulative virus growth curves in C6/36 and BHK-21 cells. C6/36 cells (a and b) or BHK-21 cells (c and d) were infected with the indicated viruses at an m.o.i. of 0.01 (a and c) or 0.1 (b and d). Supernatants were collected at the indicated times p.i. without replacing the medium or washing the cells. Data are shown as mean±SEM of three to five experiments. The treatments in (a–d) were significantly different by two-way ANOVA (P<0.0001).
in arbovirus-infected mosquitoes, leading to the question of whether apoptosis could be an antiviral response in certain tissues or in some arbovirus–mosquito combinations. The effects of apoptosis on arbovirus replication have not been previously investigated. In this study we have characterized the effects of expressing apoptotic regulatory genes on cell viability and virus replication in the mosquito cell line C6/36.

The genetic factors that govern susceptibility to arbovirus infection in mosquitoes are poorly understood. One pathway that increasingly appears to be important in regulating the level of virus replication in mosquitoes is RNA interference (RNAi) (Campbell et al., 2008; Keene et al., 2004; Sanchez-Vargas et al., 2004). Besides RNAi, there are other pathways that are also likely to be involved in mosquito antiviral immunity, but at this time little evidence exists in this area. Transcript levels of members of the Toll and JNK pathways, as well as several serine protease inhibitors (serpin) genes, were shown to be altered following SINV infection of Ae. aegypti (Sanders et al., 2005), suggesting that known innate immune pathways may be stimulated by virus infection in mosquitoes. In addition, reducing or activating Toll pathway signalling has effects on dengue virus replication in Ae. aegypti (Xi et al., 2008). Finally, heat-shock protein cognate 70B of Anopheles gambiae is upregulated by o’nyong-nyong virus infection, and silencing of this gene results in higher levels of o’nyong-nyong replication in An. gambiae mosquitoes (Sim et al., 2007).

Apoptosis is another attractive candidate antiviral response in mosquitoes, given its importance in other virus–host systems (Clem, 2007; Hay & Kannourakis, 2002). It has been postulated that there are at least three barriers to successful infection and dissemination of arboviruses in mosquitoes: the midgut infection barrier (the ability to establish infection and replicate in midgut epithelium), the midgut escape barrier (the ability to penetrate the midgut and establish replication in other tissues), the salivary gland infection barrier (the ability to infect salivary glands), and the salivary gland escape barrier (the ability to enter the salivary gland lumen) (Black et al., 2002). A successful apoptotic response in the midgut or salivary gland could thus limit the ability of a virus to replicate and be disseminated.

In this study, we expressed the IAP antagonists Mx and Rpr and the caspase inhibitor P35 to either purposely induce or inhibit apoptosis during SINV infection. While SINV normally causes non-lytic, persistent infection in mosquito cell lines, expression of Mx or Rpr from SINV caused apoptosis in C6/36 cells, as determined by cell morphology, caspase activity and DNA fragmentation. Expression of P35, on the other hand, inhibited apoptosis induced by ActD treatment. This result, together with the fact that P35 is a broad-spectrum caspase inhibitor which inhibits apoptosis in a wide variety of situations (Clem, 2007), suggests that this virus could be used to test the effect of inhibiting apoptosis on vector competence in mosquitoes. The viruses expressing P35 still induced apoptosis in BHK cells. The reason for this is unclear, but it may be because SINV induces apoptosis rapidly in BHK cells, perhaps before sufficient amounts of P35 can be expressed from the subgenomic promoter. In a previous report, SINV-mediated expression of another caspase inhibitor, CrmA, inhibited apoptosis in BHK cells (Nava et al., 1998), but different strains of SINV and BHK cells were used.

The two SINV expression systems used in this study, MRE and TE, differ from each other in their ability to replicate in cultured cells, and in their ability to infect mosquitoes following a blood meal. TE is derived from a laboratory strain of SINV that is well adapted to replication in cultured cells. As a consequence, we observed higher levels of foreign gene expression in C6/36 cells with TE-based viruses, and we also saw that TE viruses expressing Mx or Rpr caused apoptosis faster than their MRE-based counterparts. Higher levels of virus replication were also observed for the TE-based viruses than for the MRE-based viruses when a foreign gene insert was present in the genome, although MRE without any additional insert replicated at equivalent levels to TE in either BHK or C6/36 cells. MRE, on the other hand, is derived from a field isolate of SINV, and has higher oral infectivity in mosquitoes than TE (Foy et al., 2004). It will thus be interesting to determine how purposely inducing or inhibiting apoptosis affects the infectivity and dissemination of these viruses in mosquitoes following infection via a blood meal.

Neither induction nor inhibition of apoptosis had significant effects on the initial burst of replication of SINV in C6/36 cells. This may be in part due to the expression of these foreign genes from the viral subgenomic promoter, which is not expressed until after the viral genome has been replicated. In mammalian cells, SINV also replicates to high titres in spite of the apoptosis that is typically associated with infection, and blocking apoptosis does not have a significant effect on the levels of replication (Nava et al., 1998). However, cells that were infected by viruses expressing Mx or Rpr died after the initial burst of replication, and thus were not able to maintain high levels of virus replication over time. In an infected mosquito, this could be an important factor in determining vector competence. Sustained virus replication is presumably required for virus escape from the midgut and dissemination to other tissues, including the salivary glands. Therefore, if infected cells die after producing a burst of initial virus replication, virus dissemination may be adversely affected. In addition, other mechanisms may operate in vivo to limit virus replication. For example, early and rapid recognition of apoptotic cells by phagocytic cells (haemocytes) could result in enhanced clearance of infected cells and destruction of newly formed virus before it is able to bud from the infected cell. Thus, apoptosis could have a negative effect on the ability of SINV to productively infect and be transmitted by mosquitoes.
It is generally thought that arbovirus infection has little or no negative consequences for mosquito vectors in terms of cytopathology, decreased fecundity or life span. However, there have been reports of cytopathic effects in mosquitoes infected with arboviruses (including West Nile virus and several alphaviruses), including observations of apoptosis occurring in midgut or salivary gland (Bowers et al., 2003; Girard et al., 2005; Mims et al., 1966; Weaver et al., 1988, 1992), as well as negative effects on mosquito life span (Cooper et al., 2000). It is likely that, if apoptosis has a negative effect on vector competence, there would be little apoptosis observed in successful virus-vector combinations. In these situations, the virus may either actively inhibit apoptosis or avoid inducing apoptosis altogether. Thus, apoptosis may be more likely to occur in mosquitoes which do not have the ability to vector a particular virus, and which can mount a successful antiviral response. To date, the role of apoptosis in determining viral vector competence in mosquitoes has not been studied experimentally. The recombinant viruses characterized in this study will be useful tools to study the effects of apoptosis on determining the outcome of arbovirus infection in vivo in mosquito vectors.
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