Molecular evolution of *Turnip mosaic virus*: evidence of host adaptation, genetic recombination and geographical spread
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*Turnip mosaic virus* (TuMV), a species of the genus *Potyvirus*, occurs worldwide. Seventy-six isolates of TuMV were collected from around the world, mostly from *Brassica* and *Raphanus* crops, but also from several non-brassica species. Host tests grouped the isolates into one or other of two pathotypes; *Brassica* (B) and *Brassica–Raphanus* (BR). The nucleotide sequences of the first protein (P1) and coat protein (CP) genes of the isolates were determined. One-tenth of the isolates were found to have anomalous and variable phylogenetic relationships as a result of recombination. The 5′-terminal 300 nt of the P1 gene of many isolates was also variable and phylogenetically anomalous, whereas the 380 nt 3′ terminus of the CP gene was mostly conserved. Trees calculated from the remaining informative parts of the two genes of the non-recombinant sequences by neighbour-joining, maximum-likelihood and maximum-parsimony methods were closely similar, and so these parts of the sequences were concatenated and trees calculated from the resulting 1150 nt. The isolates fell into four consistent groups; only the relationships of these groups with one another and with the outgroup differed. The ‘basal-B’ cluster of eight B-pathotype isolates was most variable, was not monophyletic, and came from both brassicas and non-brassicas from southwest and central Eurasia. Closest to it, and forming a monophyletic subgroup of it in most trees, and similarly variable, was the ‘basal-BR’ group of eight BR pathotype Eurasian isolates. The third and least variable group, the ‘Asian-BR’ group, was of 22 BR-pathotype isolates, all from brassicas, mostly *Raphanus*, and all from east Asia mostly Japan. The fourth group of 36 isolates, the ‘world-B’ group, was from all continents, most were isolated from brassicas and most were of the B-pathotype. The simplest of several possible interpretations of the trees is that TuMV originated, like its brassica hosts, in Europe and spread to the other parts of the world, and that the BR pathotype has recently evolved in east Asia.
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Introduction

The molecular evolutionary history of viruses is studied not only to satisfy curiosity, but also because such information is essential for the knowledge-based design of strategies for controlling viruses. There have been many such studies of animal viruses seeking evidence of virus origins, mutation rates, selection and fitness, the nature and biological significance of variation, and the mechanisms of reassortment and recombination (Robertson et al., 1995; McCutchan et al., 1996; Sevilla & Domingo, 1996; Holland & Domingo, 1998; Gao et al., 1999; Reid et al., 1999; Gibbs et al., 2001), but fewer and smaller studies of plant viruses (Simon & Bujarski, 1994; Revers et al., 1996; Fraile et al., 1995, 1997; Roossinck, 1997; Gal-On et al., 1998; Masuta et al., 1998; Schneider & Roossinck, 2000).

The nucleotide (nt) sequences of the genes of many different species of plant viruses have been determined, and their phylogenetic relationships have been inferred (Van der Vlugt et al., 1993; Bateson et al., 1994; Tordo et al., 1995; Aleman-Verdaguer et al., 1997; Revers et al., 1997; Roossinck et al., 1999; Boussalem et al., 2000). In some of the studies several isolates of a single species have been studied, and these have shown strain differences that correlate with geographical origins or with strain specialization. We have used this approach in order to understand whether gene sequence analysis can reveal more of the factors that influence worldwide variation within a single virus species, *Turnip mosaic virus* (TuMV).

TuMV has an RNA genome and infects a wide range of plant species, mostly, but not exclusively, from the family Brassicaceae. It is probably the most widespread and important virus infecting both crop and ornamental species of this family, and occurs in many parts of the world including the temperate and tropical regions of Africa, Asia, Europe, Oceania and North/South America (Provvidenti, 1996). TuMV belongs to the genus *Potyvirus*. This is the largest genus of the largest family of plant viruses, the *Potyviridae* (Ward et al., 1995), which itself belongs to the picorna-like supergroup of viruses of animals and plants. TuMV, like other potyviruses, is transmitted by aphids in the non-persistent manner (Shukla et al., 1994). All potyviruses have flexuous filamentous particles 700–750 nm long, each of which contains a single copy of the genome, which is a single-stranded positive sense RNA molecule about 10000 nt long. The genomes of potyviruses have a single open reading frame that is translated into a single large polyprotein, which is hydrolysed, after translation, into several proteins by virus-encoded proteinases (Riechmann et al., 1992). The genomes of the Canadian (Ca) (Nicolas & Laliberté, 1992) and Japanese (J) (Ohshima et al., 1996) isolates of TuMV are 9830 and 9833 nt in length and have single open reading frames which encode polyproteins of 3163 and 3164 amino acids, respectively. Of all potyviral genes, that encoding the coat protein (CP) and situated at the 5′-end of the genome has been most frequently studied for its genetic diversity, whereas there have been fewer studies on the diversity of the first protein (P1) gene, which is the most variable potyviral gene and situated at the 5′-end of the genome (Shukla et al., 1994).

In the study reported here, 76 isolates of TuMV were collected from naturally infected plants throughout the world, and their P1 and CP genes sequenced. Comparisons of these sequences show correlations between their genetic variation and geographical origins, show that some lineages are adapted to particular crop species, and that recombination is a significant generator of the genetic diversity in populations of this virus.

Methods

- **TuMV isolates.** Seventy-six isolates of TuMV were collected throughout the world by ourselves and colleagues. Details of the isolates, their names, country of origin, original host plant, year of isolation and pathotype are shown in Fig. 1, together with details of two previously sequenced isolates, Canadian (Ca) (Nicolas & Laliberté, 1992) and J (Ohshima et al., 1996), that were included in the sequence analyses. In addition, nucleotide sequences of CP genes of two isolates, GKI and CZE1 (Lehmann et al., 1997), were also included in the analyses. Those from *Brassica* spp. were from Africa, Asia, Europe, Oceania and the Americas, whereas those from *Raphanus* spp. were mainly from Asian countries. Isolates obtained from Asteraceae were collected in Japan, Germany and Italy, whereas the isolates from *Anemone* spp., *Ranunculus* spp. and *Allium* spp. were collected only in Mediterranean countries. All the isolates were inoculated to *Chenopodium quinoa* and serially cloned through single lesions at least three times. They were propagated in *Brassica rapa* cv. Hakatasuwarai or *N. benthamiana* plants.

- **Host tests.** *B. rapa* cv. Hakatasuwarai or *N. benthamiana* plants infected systemically with each of the TuMV isolates were homogenized in 0.01 M potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) and mechanically inoculated to young plants of *B. rapa* cv. Hakatasuwarai, *B. pekinensis* cvv Nozaki-1go and Kekkyu Kyoto-3go, *B. rapus* cv. Norin-32go, *Raphanus sativus* cvv Taibyo-sobutori and Akimasi. Inoculated plants were kept for at least 4 weeks in a glasshouse at 25 °C. Plants not showing any detectable symptoms were assayed by double antibody sandwich enzyme-linked immunosorbsent assay (DAS-ELISA) (Clark & Adams, 1977) using antiserum to isolate 59.

- **Cloning of P1 and CP genes.** Viral RNAs were extracted directly from TuMV-infected *B. rapa* or *N. benthamiana* leaves. Complementary DNAs (cDNAs) of the P1 and CP genes of several isolates could not be...
amplified by RT–PCR, and others did not attain sufficient concentration in RT–PCR for direct sequencing, so their P1 and CP genes were cloned by two methods. In the first, the viral RNA was reverse transcribed and amplified using the Titan One Tube RT–PCR Kit (Roche Diagnostics). The amplified cDNAs were hydrolysed with restriction enzymes using the sites incorporated by the PCR primers, and then separated by electrophoresis in agarose gels. The bands of interest were excised with a razor blade and purified by using the QIAquick Gel Extraction Kit (Qiagen). The eluted DNAs were ligated into alkaline phosphatase-treated pBluescript II SK(+) plasmid vectors (Stratagene), hydrolysed with the appropriate restriction enzymes and used to transform Escherichia coli XL-1 Blue (Stratagene). Alternatively, the viral RNAs which could not be amplified by RT–PCR were cloned using random hexamer primers and the TimeSaver cDNA Synthesis Kit (Amerham Pharmacia Biotech), based on the Gubler & Hoffman (1983) method. Synthesized cDNAs were also cloned into pBluescript II SK(+) plasmid vectors that had been hydrolysed by appropriate restriction enzymes.

DNA sequencing. Nucleotide sequences of the P1 and CP genes of each isolate were determined using three to six cDNA clones. Each cDNA clone was sequenced by ‘primer walking’ in both directions using the Dye Terminator Cycle Sequencing FS Ready Kit (Applied Biosystems) and an Applied Biosystems DNA Sequencer model 373A. At most we found 2 nt differing between the clones of any one isolate and, when any difference was found, we then sequenced its RT–PCR products directly to determine which was most common. Sequence data were assembled using DNASIS version 3.5 computer program (Hitachi).

Phylogenetic analyses. The nucleotide sequences were aligned using CLUSTAL W or X (Thompson et al., 1994; Jeannougin et al., 1998) with default parameters. Their phylogenetic relationships were determined by several methods using the neighbour-joining (NJ) and maximum-likelihood (ML) algorithms of PHYLIP (Version 3.5; Felsenstein, 1993), the maximum parsimony (P) algorithm of PAUP 4.0 beta Version 8 (Swofford, 1998) and the TREE-PUZZLE (Strimmer & von Haeseler, 1996; Strimmer et al., 1997) packages. For NJ analyses, distance matrices were calculated by DNADIST with the Kimura two-parameter option (Kimura, 1980), and trees constructed from these matrices by the NJ method (Saito & Nei, 1987). The homologous regions of the genome of two isolates (mild and j1) of Japanese yam mosaic virus (JYMV) (Fuji & Nakamae, 1999) were used as the outgroup for these analyses, as BLAST searches had shown them to be the sequences in the international sequence databases most closely related to those of TuMV. The calculated trees were displayed by TREEVIEW (Page, 1996). The sequences and sub-sequences of them were checked for incongruent relationships that might have resulted from recombination using SISCAN Version 2 (Gibs et al., 2000; http://www.anu.edu.au/BoZo/software/), PHYLPRO (Weiller, 1998) and DIPLOMO (Weiller & Gibbs, 1995). The distance relationships of various sets of sequences were also compared by the DIPLOMO method for evidence of time-dependent and lineage-dependent sequence differences.

For some analyses the aligned P1 and CP genes of each isolate were joined to form a P1/CP sequence 1940 nt long. Then the corresponding parts of two JYMV genomes were aligned with the TuMV sequences by inserting appropriate gaps, and, finally, every position in the aligned sequences with a gap in any sequence was removed. This produced aligned sequences that were 1830 nt long with the P1 sequence represented by the 5′-terminal 969 nt (originally 1080 nt) and the CP sequence by the 3′-terminal 861 nt (originally 864 nt). Trees were calculated by NJ, ML and P methods from nt 300–1450 of these sequences. A bootstrap value for each internal node of the NJ trees was calculated using 1000 random resamplings with SEQBOOT (Felsenstein, 1985) and these values are shown in Fig. 1.

Results

Host specificities

The TuMV isolates were mostly obtained from crops of Brassica and Raphanus species, but a significant number were from non-brassicas, especially Asteraceae. We therefore tested whether there were ‘pathotype’ differences between the isolates by assessing their ability to infect seedlings of five brassicas; B. pekinensis (two cultivars), B. napus (one cultivar), B. rapa (one cultivar) and R. sativus (two cultivars). The results of these tests showed that, although all isolates infected one or more of the four Brassica cultivars systemically, they differed consistently in their ability to infect the two R. sativus cultivars; those we called the BR (Brassica–Raphanus) pathotype infected both R. sativus cultivars systemically whereas those that did not infect the R. sativus cultivars, we called the B (Brassica) pathotype. No isolate infected only R. sativus. These pathotype categories correlated significantly (Table 1) with the host species from which the isolates were obtained (Fig. 1). All 26 isolates obtained from R. sativus samples were the BR pathotype, most (24/32) of those obtained from samples of Brassica spp. were of the B pathotype, and 10 of the 15 isolates obtained from non-brassica species were of the B pathotype. Thus R. sativus seems to be susceptible only to BR pathotype isolates, whereas Brassica spp. and non-brassica species are susceptible to both pathotypes.

The sequences of the different pathotypes were grouped separately, but no consistent difference was found between the B and BR pathotype sequences or the amino acid sequences they encoded.

Gene sequences

In all the TuMV isolates sequenced in this study the P1 gene was 1086 nt in length and the CP gene 864 nt in length. Only a CP gene of an isolate (GK1) previously sequenced by Lehmann et al. (1997) was 861 nt. The nucleotide sequence identities for P1 and CP genes between all 78 isolates were 67–100% and 84–100%, respectively. The P1 and CP gene sequences of the j1 isolate (Ohshima et al., 1996), together with their encoded amino acid sequences, were used to search the GenBank database using the BLAST program. The TuMV sequences were found to be consistently more similar to the homologous parts of JYMV than those of any other potyvirus, and when NJ trees were calculated from these sequences, the JYMV sequences formed a group that was itself a sister to all TuMV sequences.

Recombinants

The relationships of the aligned CP genes and of the P1 genes of the TuMV isolates were calculated separately using the NJ, ML and P methods. The resulting trees were similar but
Table 1. Numbers of isolates with different natural host/pathotype combinations

The probability that this distribution of isolates among pathotypes and natural hosts is random is < 0.001% ($\chi^2$ [Yates' correction] = 29.0; df, 2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Natural host</th>
<th>Pathotype</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Brassica</td>
<td>Brassica–Raphanus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brassica spp.</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raphanus sativus</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other species</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total …</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

showed many inconsistencies in the relative positions of several isolates, and had poor bootstrap support for some lineages (data not shown). These inconsistencies were shown more clearly by plotting the patristic distances between the isolates in the P1 gene tree against their patristic distances in the CP gene tree (Fig. 2A); ‘patristic distances’ are the distances between taxa within a tree, as distinct from the observed distances between taxa from which the tree was calculated. It can be seen that although the distances between most pairs of P1 genes are twice as much as between their CP genes, there are many pairs that deviate from the mode. For example, in Fig. 2(A) the P1/CP ratio for the NDJ:GBR7 comparison is around 10 but for the NDJ:OD14J comparison less than 1.

These anomalous relationships suggest that some isolates may be recombinants, and so this possibility was investigated in more detail. A preliminary examination of the P1/CP sequences was made by the ‘phylogenetic profile’ method (Weiller, 1998) using the PHYLPLO program, and clearly showed that parts of some sequences were phylogenetically anomalous (Fig. 3).

Proof that some of the phylogenetic anomalies resulted from recombination rather than convergent selection was obtained by the ‘sister scanning’ method (Gibbs et al., 2000, 2001). This program allows the separate testing of synonymous, non-synonymous or total nucleotide differences for anomalous relationships. Five sequences, 1J, CHN1, FD27J, HOD517J and NDJ, had statistically significant ‘conflicting relatedness signals’ (CR5s) in synonymous differences with other sequences, and 1J and FD27J also showed CR5s in their non-synonymous differences. For example, two regions of the 5’ part of the 1J sequence (nt 1–100 and 350–680) are significantly (Z-values 3.0) related to the homologous regions of sequence 25J, whereas most of the remaining 3’ part of the sequence (nt 690–1350) is significantly related to the homologous region of sequence C42J (Fig. 4A). By contrast, isolate FD27J (Fig. 4B) not only shows significant affinities with sequence 25J, but more closely with sequence ND10J, in the same 5’ region and also in all its CP gene, and has a central region (nt 690–980) with affinities to C42J. This indicates that FD27J is, minimally, a double recombinant. Table 2 lists the recombinant parts of the P1/CP genes of each of the five sequences, together with the sequences to which the parts are most closely related.

It is clear that these five recombinant sequences are responsible for many of the pairwise gene comparisons in Fig. 2(A) that are furthest from the main diagonal, as most of these
Fig. 3. Graph showing phylogenetic anomalies in 78 TuMV P1/CP sequences detected by the PHYLPRO method; this graph records, for each sequence, the correlations in sequence relationships (% nt differences) with the other 77 sequences in adjacent 100 nt long windows. The P1/CP boundary in the combined sequence of 1830 nt was at nt 861 but, as only 895 of the nt varied, the P1/CP boundary was at nt 615 in the PHYLPRO analysis. Note the obvious anomalies (i.e. correlation minima); those at positions 445 and 615 were for sequence FD27J (red line).

are lost when the five sequences are removed from the comparisons (Fig. 2B) and the linear correlation between P1 and CP patristic distances increases from 0.773, when all 78 P1 and CP sequences are compared, to 0.864 when the five recombinant sequences are omitted.

Many other sequences had phylogenetically inconsistent regions. Some, namely GBR7, HZ5, ITA7, PV0104, Rn98 and St48, had CRSs when examined by SiScan using synonymous differences, but it was not possible to determine which sequence was the recombinant, and which were the sequences closest to the parents, as they had CRSs involving all three pairs of sequences. Others, including GK1, ITA1, NPL4, NZ158, USA4 and UZB1, gave CRSs only when non-synonymous differences were compared, which may indicate that they have sequence regions with convergent similarities resulting from differential selection. When these sequences were omitted from the comparisons of P1 and CP patristic distances, the P1/CP correlation further increased to 0.889.

Comparisons were also made of trees calculated from successive slices of the P1/CP sequences taken along their length and showed that the region between nt 300 and 1450 had the greatest variation (Fig. 5), but was phylogenetically most consistent.

Isolate relationships

We calculated trees from nt 300–1450 of the concatenated P1/CP genes of the 73 isolates, excluding the five clear recombinants listed in Table 2, using NJ, ML and P methods, and found that they correlated well. For example Fig. 6 shows a DIPLOMO comparison of the NJ (Fig. 1) and ML (data not shown) trees that was obtained by converting each tree to pairwise patristic distances, and then plotting each pairwise distance in the ML tree against that in the NJ tree. It can be seen that the distances between TuMV sequences calculated by the two methods are closely and curvi-linearly related.

In the trees calculated by different methods the isolates fell into four groups. Membership of the groups did not vary and the relationships within each group were closely similar irrespective of the method used. This can be seen, for example, in the comparison between ML and NJ trees in the narrowness of the curved cluster of points in Fig. 6 close to the intersection of the axes of the graph. These within-group clusters were also strongly supported by the bootstrap analyses (Fig. 1). The largest group, 36 isolates mostly from brassicas and of the B-pathotype, was geographically most dispersed so we call it the ‘world-B’ group. Only five isolates, all of them Japanese, were not B-pathotype. The group was divided into three subgroups. The first subgroup consisted mostly of isolates from the ‘New World’ (Brazil, New Zealand and Canada). The second subgroup was of isolates from all over the world (Africa, Europe, Australasia and the USA), and third subgroup was of Russian and Czech isolates. All these subgroups were supported by high bootstrap values. The third subgroup was a sister pair to the two other subgroups in the NJ, ML and P trees (data not shown), and we consider this to be strong evidence...
that it is part of the world-B group, even though this node was less well supported in the NJ tree by bootstrap sampling.

Next largest was the least diverse group. All 22 isolates came from brassicas, mostly *Raphanus*, all from east Asia mostly Japan, and all are BR-pathotype, so we call this group the ‘Asian-BR’ group. The other two groupings have the longest branches. One, of eight B-pathotype isolates from both brassicas and non-brassicas from Eurasia, was not monophyletic and, in most trees, was linked directly with the outgroup, so we call it ‘basal-B’ cluster. Closest to it in most trees, and similarly variable but monophyletic, was the ‘basal-BR’ group of seven BR pathotype Eurasian isolates. The affinities of isolate Rn98 are uncertain as, although a B-pathotype isolate, it was placed between the basal-B and basal-BR clusters in NJ, ML and P trees with no clear bootstrap support for inclusion in either of the clusters. It is possible that Rn98 may represent a lineage that included the B-pathotype progenitor of the basal-BR group.

The between-group relationships are more variable than the within-group relationships as shown by the cluster of points in Fig. 6 near the centre of the graph (i.e. comparisons between isolates in different groups) being broader than the cluster closer to the intersection of the axes (i.e. comparisons between isolates in the same group). Nonetheless the ‘between-group’ cluster shows a clear correlation between the ML and NJ relationships, and the few outgroups mostly

---

Fig. 4. Graphs showing SIScan analyses. (A) Relationships of the P1/CP sequence of isolate 1J, the two sequences, 25J and C42J, that are closest to its two ‘parents’, and a sequence constructed of nucleotides chosen at random from the ‘parental’ sequences during each window comparison. Each window comparison involved sub-sequences of 100 nt, and a step between window positions of 50 nt. Note the strong support (i.e. Z-value > 3) for 1J being more closely related to 25J than C42J in the 5’–700 nt, and the reverse between nt 700 and 1350. (B) Relationships of the P1/CP sequence of isolate FD27J with the two sequences, 25J and C42J, that are closest to its two ‘parents’. Each window comparison involved sub-sequences of 100 nt, and a step between window positions of 50 nt. Note the strong support (i.e. Z-value > 3) for FD27J being more closely related to 25J than to C42J in the 5’–700 nt and between nt 1000 and 1350, and the reverse between nt 700 and 1000. Comparisons with a sequence constructed by random choice of nucleotides from the ‘parental’ sequences were omitted for clarity.
### Table 2. Recombinants and 'closest sequence' isolates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isolate (country of origin)</th>
<th>Pathotype</th>
<th>Natural host</th>
<th>Recombinant type</th>
<th>‘Closest sequence’ isolates and nt contributed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1J (Japan)</td>
<td>BR*</td>
<td><em>Raphanus sativus</em></td>
<td>BR × B</td>
<td>2J or 59J (Japan) 0–100 &amp; 350–680; C42J (Japan) 690–1350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHN1 (China)</td>
<td>BR</td>
<td><em>Brassica spp.</em></td>
<td>BR × B</td>
<td>HZ5 (China) 0–1100; CHN3 (China) or C42J (Japan) 1150–1400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FD27J (Japan)</td>
<td>BR</td>
<td><em>Raphanus sativus</em></td>
<td>BR × B × BR</td>
<td>2J or 59J (Japan) 0–100 &amp; 350–680; C42J (Japan) 690–980; 2J or 59J (Japan) 1000–1350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOD517J (Japan)</td>
<td>BR</td>
<td><em>Raphanus sativus</em></td>
<td>BR × BR</td>
<td>H2J (Japan) 500–980; Ka1J (Japan) 1000–1450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDJ (Japan)</td>
<td>BR</td>
<td><em>Raphanus sativus</em></td>
<td>BR × BR</td>
<td>SYN6J (Japan) 0–100 &amp; 450–980; Ka1J (Japan) 1000–1350</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


---

**Discussion**

This study has shown that genetic recombination is widespread in TuMV populations. The isolates we examined were carefully isolated as single lesions and cloned before analysis to avoid the possibility that the recombination we
detected was an artefact of PCR sequencing, as this has been shown to occur when PCR is used with a mixed target population (Zylstra et al., 1998). To check whether our propagation conditions increased the rate of evolutionary change of TuMV, we passaged 20 isolates 3–24 times and resequenced their P1 and CP genes: there were fewer than two nucleotide differences between the initial and passaged isolates. Therefore, we concluded that the single lesion isolation method that we used did not accelerate changes in the isolate genomes, and hence did not affect our evolutionary analyses.

Specifically designed programs, such as SISCAN, are required to detect the sort of phylogenetic anomalies in sequences that can result from recombination or selection, as the tree-building methods, combined with bootstrapping, that are widely used for phylogenetic analysis may fail to detect recombination (Worobey, 2000). Using such programs we have shown that TuMV populations, like those of an increasing number of other viruses (Bousalem et al., 2000; Padidam et al., 2000; Sanz et al., 2000; Smith et al., 2000), contain recombinants. We found that five of the 78 P1/CP TuMV sequences were clear recombinants (Table 2), and at least another ten may also have been recombinant. However, as the P1/CP sequence represents only about one-fifth of the TuMV genome, the true proportion of recombinants may be much greater, but the true extent of recombination in the world TuMV population will only be known when the full genomic sequences of a representative set of isolates are known.

Our phylogenetic analyses by the NJ, ML and P methods indicate that TuMV, like its principal host family, the Brassicaceae, probably originated and has its major ‘centre of diversity’ in the Europe–Mediterranean–Asia Minor region. The lineages with the greatest branch lengths (i.e. the basal-B and basal-BR) are probably the oldest, and include many isolates from that region. These groups also include isolates from non-brassica hosts. This may indicate that isolates, optimally adapted to crops of brassicas, spread worldwide in the footsteps of modern agriculture more readily than those adapted to other species, although it could also indicate that the older populations of TuMV are more variable, and hence contain more variants able to infect non-brassica species.

Our phylogenetic analyses also indicate that the original TuMV population was probably B pathotype, but that BR pathotype isolates have evolved from the B pathotype on several occasions; the ‘basal-BR’ cluster and the ‘Asian-BR’ group are only of BR pathotype isolates, but there are also BR isolates, all from Japan, in three separate parts of the ‘world-B’ group. It would be interesting to know whether these solitary BR isolates differ from their nearest B-pathotype relatives in their recombinant status, as this could indicate which part of the genome determines the pathotype.

The fact that R. sativus is not susceptible to B pathotype isolates, whereas Brassica spp. and non-brassica species are susceptible to both pathotypes suggests that all BR × B recombinants found in R. sativus were initially generated in mixedly infected Brassica spp. but were then transmitted to R. sativus.

Our analyses of the genetic variation of two genes of the world population of TuMV have revealed its possible region of origin, and indicated the sort of evolutionary changes that may have occurred during its migratory spread, host adaptation and pathogenic segregation, but knowledge of the complete genomic sequences of a very large and representative collection of isolates will be required to confirm whether those conclusions are correct or result from special features of the sample of isolates, and genes, that we studied.
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