High affinity interaction between nucleocapsid protein and leader/intergenic sequence of mouse hepatitis virus RNA
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The nucleocapsid (N) protein of mouse hepatitis virus (MHV) is the major virion structural protein. It associates with both viral genomic RNA and subgenomic mRNAs and has structural and non-structural roles in replication including viral RNA-dependent RNA transcription, genome replication, encapsidation and translation. These processes all involve RNA–protein interactions between the N protein and viral RNAs. To better understand the RNA-binding properties of this multifunctional protein, the N protein was expressed in Escherichia coli as a chimeric protein fused to glutathione-S-transferase (GST). Biochemical analyses of RNA-binding properties were performed on full-length and partial N protein segments to define the RNA-binding domain. The full-length N protein and the GST–N protein fusion product had similar binding activities with a dissociation constant ($K_d$) of 14 nM when the MHV 5' leader sequence was used as ligand. The smallest N protein fragment which retained RNA-binding activity was a 55 aa segment containing residues 177–231 which bound viral RNA with a $K_d$ of 32 nM. A consensus viral sequence recognized by the N protein was inferred from these studies; AAUCYAAAC was identified to be the potential minimum ligand for the N protein. Although the core UCYAA sequence is often tandemly repeated in viral genomes, ligands containing one or more repeats of UCYAA showed no difference in binding to the N protein. Together these data demonstrate a high-affinity, specific interaction between the N protein and a conserved RNA sequence present at the 5'-ends of MHV mRNA.

Introduction

Coronaviruses are enveloped, positive-sense, ssRNA viruses. The 31 kb, ssRNA mouse hepatitis virus (MHV) genome encodes eight or nine viral genes. Each gene product is translated from a corresponding subgenomic mRNA, with the exception of the gene I product(s) translated from genomic RNA. Virions contain four to five structural proteins which include the 120 kDa surface glycoprotein (S), the 23 kDa membrane (M) protein, the 10.5 kDa envelope glycoprotein (E) and the 55 kDa nucleocapsid (N) protein (Lai & Cavanagh, 1997). The latter forms a helical nucleocapsid complex with the genome RNA. The intracellular genome ribonucleoprotein (RNP) complex is contained within a spherical, possibly icosahedral, core consisting mainly of the N and M proteins (Risco et al., 1996). A fifth component in the envelope of some MHV strains is the 65 kDa HE protein with homologies to haemagglutinin–esterase protein of influenza C virus (Vlasak et al., 1988). It presumably serves a similar role to the influenza viral protein HN in the destruction of the viral receptor following virus entry and release.

Coronaviral mRNAs are synthesized via a discontinuous transcription process. MHV viral leader RNA is transcribed from the 3' end of the (--) sense genome RNA as an independent species 50–90 nt in length (Brayton et al., 1982; Spaan et al., 1993; Lai, 1990). Leader RNA is joined to subgenomic mRNA body sequences in a unique process either in cis or in trans at the initiation of transcription or shortly thereafter. Templates for virus transcription include the full-length genome as well as subgenomic replicons corresponding to viral genes. Several models have been proposed for this process; however, this is still an active area with no consensus (Shieh et al., 1987; Sethna et al., 1989; Sawicki & Sawicki, 1990; Jeong & Makino, 1994). Nonetheless, the result of virus...
transcription is production of a nested set of subgenomic mRNAs which have identical 5′-untranslated regions (UTRs) attached to body sequences with co-terminal 3′-ends.

The N protein is the major structural protein element of coronavirus virions and is encoded by gene 7 of the viral genome. Its mRNA is the most abundant viral mRNA species, which is consistent with high intracellular accumulation of the protein during infection (Lai & Cavanagh, 1997). It is phosphorylated in vivo (Stohlman & Lai, 1979) although nothing is known of the physiological consequence of such covalent modification. A primary function of N protein is formation of RNP complexes during assembly; in addition, it has been proposed to be multifunctional with additional roles in replication, transcription and translation (Tahara et al., 1994, 1998; Lai & Cavanagh, 1997).

General nucleic acid-binding activity of the MHV N protein was first shown using an RNA blot overlay (NorthWestern) assay (Robbins et al., 1986). Using a similar approach, it was found that the N protein had high affinity for the leader RNA in the presence of non-specific competitor RNA. These studies localized the specific RNA sequence for N protein association to the 3′-proximal region of leader RNA from bases 56 to 72 (Stohlman et al., 1988), which includes the pentanucleotide repeat (UCUAA) critical for virus transcription (Lai & Cavanagh, 1997). Viral RNA associated with N protein during infection was analysed by co-immunoprecipitation. The data showed that all viral mRNAs, genomic and free leader RNA are equivalent in their ability to associate with N protein; the co-precipitated RNAs, thus, have one or more copies of tightly associated N protein (Baric et al., 1988). Binding of N protein to viral mRNAs and genomic RNA presumably occurs through an interaction with viral leader RNA sequences, since the leader sequence is a common component of these RNA species. N protein was recently shown to bind the viral encapsidation signal found in the 3′-proximal region of gene 1b (Molenkamp & Spaan, 1997). The relationship of this activity of the N protein to its ability to bind leader RNA is not known.

Comparison of the N proteins of five MHV strains revealed that conserved amino acid residues are found in three distinct domains (numbering and net charge, in parentheses, refer to MHV-A59 N protein): domain I (1–139, basic); domain II (163–380, basic); and domain III (406–455, acidic) (Parker & Masters, 1990). Strain-specific sequence variations were found primarily in gaps between the three domains, suggesting that sequence conservation is needed to retain strain-independent N protein functions. Analysis of these conserved domains may yield insight into the many activities exhibited by this single viral protein. A general RNA-binding domain (RBD) of N protein was initially determined to reside within residues 130–397 (Masters, 1992). Subsequently, a specific RBD was determined by Nelson & Stohlman (1993) to reside between residues 169–308 of the A59 strain of MHV (MHV-A59) and confirmed via a molecular recombination approach. Analysis of chimeric N proteins derived from bovine coronavirus (BCoV) and MHV suggests that RNA-binding activity resided in residues 163–380 (Peng et al., 1995). The basic charge characteristic of this region is consistent with an RNA-binding function; however, it does not have homology to previously described RNA-binding motifs. Analysis of the RNA-binding properties of the N protein may provide insight into its functions as a potential trans-acting factor of viral mRNA translation and as a participant in other virus processes requiring RNA–protein interactions, e.g. transcription and encapsidation.

The data in this report demonstrate that the RBD of the N protein resides within a central 55 aa tract. In addition, the minimum RNA sequence serving as ligand for N protein has been identified based on specific, high affinity binding. This relationship of the N protein to its RNA ligand has important implications for virus processes which target this RNA sequence during infection.

**Methods**

**Bacterial expression of JHMV N protein.** All gene 7 constructions encoding the N protein and its derivatives were derived from the JHMV strain of MHV (JHMV) (see Fig. 1 for designation of N protein domains).

Constructions of the N protein and its derivatives in pGEX-GL1 expression vectors (Gene Labs) were accomplished by PCR amplification of the desired domain with primers listed in Table 1. All primer pairs were designed to contain 5′-NcoI and 3′-SmaI/BanHI sites. Amplified DNAs were double-digested with NcoI and SmaI or BanHI and subcloned into pGEX-GL1, similarly digested with the same enzyme pair. For constructions using the SmaI site, the insert was first ligated to the NcoI site followed by ligation to the Klenow-blunted EcoRI site of the vector. This strategy positioned the N protein coding regions behind and in-frame with the glutathione-S-transferase (GST) gene. Fidelity of the product was examined by both radioimmunoprecipitation and Western blot assays using N protein-specific MAbs (Fleming et al., 1983; Nelson & Stohlman, 1993; Stohlman et al., 1994).

Full-length JHMV N protein was subcloned from pTM1-JN (Nelson, 1996), which contains full-length JHMV N protein in the pTM1 expression vector (Elroy-Stein & Moss, 1990), using primers GLN5 and N3. The resulting N gene was modified by replacement of Ser6 with Arg6 and carboxy-terminal extension of the full-length protein by the dipptide Pro-Gly. Scission of the GST moiety of the fusion protein by thrombin results in an N protein with an amino-terminal extension of Gly-Ser, since cleavage does not occur precisely at the GST–N protein bond (Robbins et al., 1988), which contains full-length JHMV N protein in the pTM1 expression vector. Baric et al. (1988) showed that all viral mRNAs, genomic and free leader RNA are equivalent in their ability to associate with N protein; the co-precipitated RNAs, thus, have one or more copies of tightly associated N protein (Baric et al., 1988). Binding of N protein to viral mRNAs and genomic RNA presumably occurs through an interaction with viral leader RNA sequences, since the leader sequence is a common component of these RNA species. N protein was recently shown to bind the viral encapsidation signal found in the 3′-proximal region of gene 1b (Molenkamp & Spaan, 1997). The relationship of this activity of the N protein to its ability to bind leader RNA is not known.

Comparison of the N proteins of five MHV strains revealed that conserved amino acid residues are found in three distinct domains (numbering and net charge, in parentheses, refer to MHV-A59 N protein): domain I (1–139, basic); domain II (163–380, basic); and domain III (406–455, acidic) (Parker & Masters, 1990). Strain-specific sequence variations were found primarily in gaps between the three domains, suggesting that sequence conservation is needed to retain strain-independent N protein functions. Analysis of these conserved domains may yield insight into the many activities exhibited by this single viral protein. A general RNA-binding domain (RBD) of N protein was initially determined to reside within residues 130–397 (Masters, 1992). Subsequently, a specific RBD was determined by Nelson & Stohlman (1993) to reside between residues 169–308 of the A59 strain of MHV (MHV-A59) and confirmed via a molecular recombination approach. Analysis of chimeric N proteins derived from bovine coronavirus (BCoV) and MHV suggests that RNA-binding activity resided in residues 163–380 (Peng et al., 1995). The basic charge characteristic of this region is consistent with an RNA-binding function; however, it does not have homology to previously described RNA-binding motifs. Analysis of the RNA-binding properties of the N protein may provide insight into its functions as a potential trans-acting factor of viral mRNA translation and as a participant in other virus processes requiring RNA–protein interactions, e.g. transcription and encapsidation.

The data in this report demonstrate that the RBD of the N protein resides within a central 55 aa tract. In addition, the minimum RNA sequence serving as ligand for N protein has been identified based on specific, high affinity binding. This relationship of the N protein to its RNA ligand has important implications for virus processes which target this RNA sequence during infection.
three segments were subcloned between the NcoI and Klenow-blunted EcoRI sites of the vector. The DNA fragment corresponding to aa 310–455 (N310–455; domain C) was subcloned from pTM1-C (Nelson, 1996). The NcoI/BamHI fragment from pTM1-C containing this fragment was cloned between the corresponding sites in the vector.

The N protein and several subdomains were also subcloned into pGEX-3X (Pharmacia Biotech). This vector differs from pGEX-GL1 in that a Factor Xa cleavage site separates the GST moiety from the carboxy-terminal fusion partner. Otherwise, the amino- or carboxy-terminal heterogeneities described above were maintained in this vector. The entire N protein was amplified from pTM1-JN using primers 3X-N5’ and N3’. Domain A was amplified from pTM1-JN with primer 3X-N5’ and A3’. Domain B was amplified from pTM1-JN using primers 3X-B5’ and B2.

Expression plasmids were transformed into BL21-DE3 (ompT, low). For production of recombinant proteins, bacteria were induced with 0.5 mM IPTG, upon attaining an A600 of 0.2. Cells were grown for an additional 1–2 h at 37 °C prior to harvest. Bacterial pellets were resuspended in 9 ml ice-cold PBS (20 mM sodium phosphate pH 7.3, 150 mM NaCl containing 50 mM EDTA, aprotinin (100 kallikrein units/ml) and 2 mM PMSF, snap-frozen in dry ice/ethanol and rapidly thawed at 37 °C followed by sonication on ice with three 45 s bursts from a Branson sonicator. Lysates were adjusted to 1% Triton X-100 and incubated on ice for 20 min. Following centrifugation at 10,000 g for 10 min, supernatants were stored at −20 °C for 4 h, thawed and centrifuged at 10,000 g for 10 min to remove any cryoprecipitate. Clarified supernatants were mixed with 1 ml settled volume of glutathione–Sepharose 4B (Pharmacia) and poured into a column constructed from a 10 ml disposable syringe. Column beds were washed with four, 10 ml aliquots of PBS containing 1% Triton X-100 and twice with 10 ml aliquots of TBS (50 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.3, 150 mM NaCl). Fusion proteins were eluted with 10 mM reduced glutathione (GSH) in TBS. Alternatively, bound fusion proteins were cleaved with the appropriate protease and eluted. Protein concentrations were determined by the Bradford method using BSA as standard (Bradford, 1976). Total expression of GST–N from 100 ml bacterial culture was typically 3–4 mg. Identities of expressed proteins were confirmed by comparison of actual vs expected sizes and immunoreactivity with N protein-specific MAbs (Stohlan et al., 1994). After purification, fusion proteins were ≥ 90% pure (data not shown).

■ RNA probe preparation. [α-32P]UTP (NEN; 3000 Ci/mmole) labelled RNA probes were synthesized by transcription of HindIII-linearized pBSL with T7 RNA polymerase, as previously described (Stohlan et al., 1988). The run-off transcript is 153 nt in length and contains the first 114 nt of gene 6 mRNA of JHMV. Leader RNA was transcribed from pGo-GL1 (Tahara et al., 1994) after linearization with NcoI. The resulting transcript was 92 nt in length and contained bases 1–72 of the 5’-leader sequence of gene 6 mRNA from JHMV.

For construction of the UCUA repeat templates, oligoDNA molecules were synthesized with the sequences AGCTTAAGTTAGGTACATGGTTTTGTTCCTGGGCAAGAA 5′ and AGCTTAAGTTAGGTACATGGCTTTTGTTCCTGGGCAAGAA 5′. For preparation of RNA probes, the vector was linearized with HindIII and transcription was performed with T7 RNA polymerase. The resulting transcripts contained one copy (L1) or three copies (L3) of the pentamer motif and are 32 nt and 42 nt in length, respectively.

■ Ligand binding assay. Solution binding assays were performed in 50 or 100 µl volumes containing 20 mM Tris–HCl (pH 8.0), 50 mM KCl, 2 mM DTT, 25 mM NaCl, 2 mM MgCl2, 1 mM EDTA and 10 µg/ml total RNA extracted from DBT cells as previously described (Nelson & Stohlman, 1993). Proteins were assayed at a concentration of 10–40 nM; 32P-labelled RNA ligand concentrations varied from 0 to 50 nM. Binding was assayed at 22 °C for 10 min and was linear with increasing protein concentration up to 40 nM. Binding reactions in triplicate were terminated by filtering through pre-wetted nitrocellulose filters (25 mm; Schleicher and Schuell) followed by two washes with 1 ml TBS. Filters were dried and radioactivity was quantified using a Beckman LS-200 liquid scintillation spectrometer. Data were analysed using the EZ-Fit program (Frank Perrella, E. I. DuPont de Nemours). Data were fitted to a single

Table 1. OligoDNA PCR primers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GLN5'</td>
<td>ATAGGATCTCATGCTTGTCCCTGGGCAAGAA</td>
<td>5′ primer for N1–454 and A (N1–169)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N3’</td>
<td>TTACCCGGGACATTAAGCTCATCTCTAAC</td>
<td>3′ primer for N1–454</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3’</td>
<td>TTACCGGGGTTTCCTGGGACATATAGA</td>
<td>3′ primer for A (N2–168)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLB2’</td>
<td>ATAGGATCTCATGCTTGTCCCTGGGCAAGAA</td>
<td>5′ primer for B (N156–285) and B1 (N176–285)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3'B3’</td>
<td>TTACCGGGATCTGATTGGGCGCTTCCTCTT</td>
<td>3′ primer for B (N156–285) and B2 (N176–285)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5'B3’</td>
<td>TTACCGGGATCTGATTGGGCGCTTCCTCTT</td>
<td>3′ primer for B1 (N156–285) and B2 (N176–285)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3'B5’</td>
<td>ATAGGATCTCATGCTTGTCCCTGGGCAAGAA</td>
<td>5′ primer for B2 (N311–295)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3X-N5’</td>
<td>ATATCGATCCCTGTTCTTTGTTGCTGGGCAAGAA</td>
<td>5′ primer for A (N3–168) and N (N3–143); pGEX-3X vector only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3X-B5’</td>
<td>ATATCGATCCCTGTTCTTTGTTGCTGGGCAAGAA</td>
<td>5′ primer for B2 (N311–295); pGEX-3X vector only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2</td>
<td>TTACCGGGATCTGATTGGGCGCTTCCTCTT</td>
<td>3′ primer for B2 (N311–295); pGEX-3X vector only</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
substrate binding curve: \( b = [(B_{\text{max}}s)/(K_d + s)] \), where \( b \) is the experimentally observed amount of bound ligand, \( s \) is the ligand concentration, \( K_d \) is the dissociation constant of ligand binding and \( B_{\text{max}} \) is the amount of bound ligand at saturating ligand concentration.

- **NorthWestern RNA blot analysis.** N protein, formic acid-cleaved fragments of N protein, GST–N protein fusion products and the corresponding cleaved products were separated by PAGE and transferred to nitrocellulose membranes as described previously (Nelson & Stohlman, 1993). \( ^{32}P \)-labelled transcripts were prepared from pBSL as described above. Competitor RNA, isolated from uninfected DBT cells, was added to the binding reaction at increasing concentrations to a maximum of 50 µg/ml (Nelson & Stohlman, 1993). Bound RNA was detected by autoradiography with Kodak XAR X-ray film.

## Results

**Binding of leader RNA to the N protein**

Binding constants for MHV RNA binding to N protein were obtained using a solution phase assay. Purified protein was incubated with increasing amounts of radiolabelled leader RNA. Typical primary binding data of such an experiment and double reciprocal plot are shown in Fig. 2(a). Binding of leader RNA to the N protein was saturable, showed first-order binding kinetics and was consistent with a single RNA-binding site per N monomer. Quantitative treatment of the data yielded an apparent \( K_d \) of \( 14 \pm 4 \) nM (\( n = 5 \)). The apparent \( B_{\text{max}} \) of binding varied among experiments and typically gave values of 5–20% of the actual protomer input. Reduced and variable \( B_{\text{max}} \) values were attributed to rapid protein inactivation after expression and isolation. Purified fusion proteins lost all activity within 7–10 days, even when stored at \(-70^\circ\)C. Therefore, the \( B_{\text{max}} \) of a typical experiment represented the level of active protein in an individual preparation. A comparison of the binding activities of GST–N and purified N protein showed that the GST moiety had no effect on RNA binding (Fig. 2b). Hill coefficients for binding yielded values consistent with a single RNA-binding site per N protein monomer suggesting the absence of protomer cooperativity (Segel, 1976). Although higher multimers of N protein have been reported from virions (Robbins et al., 1986; Nelson, 1996), no evidence of aggregation on non-denaturing polyacrylamide gels was observed (data not shown). These data provide the quantitative evidence for a high affinity, specific interaction between leader RNA and N protein and indicate that the N protein, consistent with previous data (Stohlman et al., 1988; Nelson & Stohlman, 1993), contains a single RNA-binding site.

The RBD

N protein segments designated A, B and C, each containing approximately 30% of the N protein sequence, were examined for specific RNA binding to define the high affinity RBD. These segments correspond to fragments of N protein obtained after formic acid hydrolysis (Nelson & Stohlman, 1993). Only B (\( N \text{ (177–295)} \)) had RNA-binding activity; no RNA-binding activity was observed for either A (\( N \text{ (11–169)} \)) or C (\( N \text{ (300–235)} \)) segments (see Fig. 3), which is consistent with previously published results (Nelson & Stohlman, 1993). The B segment showed significant binding activity with a \( K_d \) of 32 nM (vs 14 nM for the N protein; see Fig. 2). Specific RNA binding by the GST–B fusion protein was compared to that by the GST–N protein. Both fusion proteins showed similar binding behaviour with control ligands. Neither GST–B or GST–N had any significant binding activity specific for DNA, non-viral RNAs, human \( \alpha \)-globin 5′-UTR (Fig. 4), polylinker transcripts, the reverse complementary sequence of the MHV leader or yeast tRNA (not shown). These data demonstrate that the RNA-binding activity associated with B (\( N \text{ (177–295)} \)) is similar to that observed for full-length N protein.
Location of the RBD

The B segment of N protein is highly conserved among coronaviruses (Britton et al., 1988; Parker & Masters, 1990; Motokawa et al., 1996; Homberger, 1995). In order to localize the RBD, the B segment \( \text{N}^{\text{1177-285}} \) was subdivided into smaller segments, \( \text{B1} \) (\( \text{N}^{\text{1177-231}} \)) and \( \text{B2} \) (\( \text{N}^{\text{241-285}} \)). Both domains are highly conserved in coronavirus N proteins and have no apparent homologies to any previously described RNA-binding proteins (Peng et al., 1995). The GST–B1 and GST–B2 preparations were initially assayed for RNA-binding activity by NorthWestern blot assay. RNA-binding activity was observed for GST–B1 and GST–N but not for GST–B2 (not shown). These results suggested that RNA-binding activity resided within the B1 segment. Solution binding assay with the GST–B1 fusion protein demonstrated an apparent \( K_d \) of 14 nM for the viral leader RNA (Fig. 5), which is identical to the value obtained for the N protein. Neither the GST–A nor GST–C segments exhibited any significant RNA-binding activity that was similar to that for GST–B or GST–B1. Based on the similar RNA-binding activities of N protein, B and B1, these results suggest that the amino-terminal subfragment B1, within domain B (\( \text{N}^{\text{1177-231}} \)), contains the leader RNA-binding site.

Characterization of the N protein-binding site on leader RNA

Previous results indicated that the 3′-proximal sequence of leader RNA is required for binding to the N protein (Baric et al., 1988; Stohlman et al., 1988). A likely candidate ligand sequence within the 3′-proximal sequence is the conserved pentanucleotide repeat present within the 5′-UTRs of all MHV mRNAs. As shown in Fig. 6, deletion of 3′-proximal sequences containing two copies of the UCYAA pentanucleotide resulted in no specific N protein binding, thus providing direct evidence for a previously suggested 3′-proximal ligand domain (Stohlman et al., 1988). Other characteristics of the 3′-proximal sequences of leader RNA for N protein binding are shown in Fig. 6. Synthetic ligand RNAs containing one or three repeats...
of the UCUAAs pentamer were compared for N protein binding. It was found that a single copy of the UCUAAs pentamer (L1) was sufficient for N protein binding; additional repeats of the UCUAAs pentamer in the ligand (L3) had no effect on binding affinity. Binding of the smaller UCUAAs monomer (L1) and trimer (L3) ligands, which have no significant secondary structure, with the same affinity as full-length, native leader containing two tandem copies of the UCYAAs pentamer is consistent with an RNA–protein interaction based on sequence recognition. By comparison of the ligand sequences tested, it appeared that a minimum consensus RNA sequence for N protein binding was AAUCYAAAC.

Discussion

Comparisons of the N protein genes from a variety of coronaviruses suggest three highly conserved regions of amino acid sequences (Britton et al., 1988; Parker & Masters, 1990; Motokawa et al., 1996). Amino acid divergence is primarily found within two ‘spacer regions’ separating these domains (Parker & Masters, 1990). The functions of the less well conserved amino- and carboxy-terminal domains of the N protein remain unknown. However, the central third of the protein is responsible for RNA-binding activity (Nelson & Stohlman, 1993).

Independent confirmation of the importance of the central region (B) for virus viability was obtained by analysis of BCoV and MHV recombinant viruses (Peng et al., 1995). Functional N proteins were inferred by recovery of viable MHV. Interestingly, no viable MHV were obtained containing the central domain of the BCoV N protein, suggesting that these amino acids are required for strain-specific replication. It was initially proposed that aa 194–227 of the N protein (present in B1) bear homology to the SR superfamily of RNA-binding proteins (Parker & Masters, 1990). This motif is important in protein–protein interactions and is present in many splicing regulatory proteins (Fu, 1995). Data suggest that the N protein central domain was crucial for interactions with other strain-specific viral proteins and as a site for phosphorylation (Peng et al., 1995). However, the N protein SR-rich region has limited homology to other SR proteins; therefore, it may be a subset of the SR family of proteins or totally unrelated. In contrast, the results of the present paper demonstrate the central domain, containing the SR-rich region is important in RNA–protein interactions. The Kd values determined for domain B1 (N177–211; see below) indicate high affinity, RNA-binding activity specific for the 3′-proximal end of the MHV leader sequence. A distinguishing feature of this RNA-binding region is a tandem, triple repeat of the sequence SRXX located within residues 201–212 of N protein (Fig. 7). This motif is found in all coronavirus N proteins (Britton et al., 1988; Parker & Masters, 1990; Homberger, 1995), supporting the notion of a conserved function within this amino acid sequence. In support of its role in RNA binding, preliminary studies using MAb J.3.1 (Fleming et al., 1983; Stohlman et al., 1994), which recognizes an epitope contained within aa 171–196 of the MHV N protein, inhibited RNA-binding activity, whereas an isotype-matched control MAb specific for the S protein had no such effect (data not shown). Inhibition by J.3.1 is consistent with the RNA-binding site of the N protein located within or adjacent to the MAb-binding epitope.

The central amino acid domain of the N protein exhibits high affinity binding specific for a target ligand present on both genomic and viral subgenomic RNAs. RNA recognition by N protein provisionally requires AAUCYAAAC in the ligand and is independent of secondary or tertiary RNA structure. These binding data are consistent with the previous report that N protein-specific MAb co-precipitates RNAs containing this sequence from infected cells (Stohlman et al., 1994). Furthermore, the presence of the target ligand at the 5′-ends of all MHV mRNAs is consistent with the hypothesis that binding of N protein to viral mRNA is important in its function as a translational augmenter (Tahara et al., 1994, 1998). The ability of N protein to bind to a consensus AAUCYAAAC sequence
subgenomic mRNA. Affinity and specificity of N protein for sequences within viral RNA. Analysis of RNA binding clearly establishes both high results suggested high avidity of N protein for a ligand infected cells (Baric et al., 1994). These interactions between coronavirus nucleocapsid protein and viral RNAs: implications for viral transcription. Journal of Virology 62, 4280–4287.
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