Errata

_Citricoccus parietis_ sp. nov., isolated from a mould-colonized wall and emended description of _Citricoccus alkalitolerans_ Li et al. 2005

Jenny Schäfer, Karin Martin and Peter Kämpfer


In Table 1 on page 273 of this paper, it is erroneously stated that utilization of sucrose is both positive and negative for all strains examined. The correct information is that sucrose utilization is positive for all strains.
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_Amycolicicoccus subflavus_ gen. nov., sp. nov., an actinomycete isolated from a saline soil contaminated by crude oil

Ya-Nan Wang, Chang-Qiao Chi, Man Cai, Zhi-Ying Lou, Yue-Qin Tang, Xiao-Yang Zhi, Wen-Jun Li, Xiao-Lei Wu and Xun Du


The authors of this paper would like to correct a mistake in the location given for the isolation of the novel strains. Strains DQS3-9A1<sup>T</sup> and DQS3-9A2 of _Amycolicicoccus subflavus_ gen. nov., sp. nov. were actually isolated from the Daqing Oilfield in China and not the Shengli Oilfield, China, as stated in the abstract and text.
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_Candida spencermartinsiae_ sp. nov., _Candida taylorii_ sp. nov. and _Pseudozyma abaconensis_ sp. nov., novel yeasts from mangrove and coral reef ecosystems

Adele Statzell-Tallman, Gloria Scorzetti and Jack W. Fell


Due to an error introduced during final manuscript preparation, an incorrect table was inserted that reported that the two species _Candida spencermartinsiae_ and _Candida taylorii_ fermented several carbon compounds. Those data are incorrect, as neither species has demonstrated the ability to ferment. The correct Table 2 is given overleaf.
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