**INTRODUCTION**

The genus *Herpetomonas* comprises monoxenous trypanosomatids, which mainly parasitize the digestive tract of muscid Diptera (Wallace, 1966; Molyneux, 1977; McGhee & Cosgrove, 1980; Podlipaev, 1990). However, herpetomonads have also been isolated from plants and even mammals (Morsy et al., 1988; Catarino et al., 2001; Fiorini et al., 2001; Podlipaev et al., 2004a; Marin et al., 2007). The classification of the genus *Herpetomonas* is problematic and, similar to most other monoxenous trypanosomatid genera, the genus is polyphyletic (Camargo et al., 1992; Teixeira et al., 1997; Hollar et al., 1998; Hughes & Piontkivska, 2003; Podlipaev et al., 2004a). Therefore, a major taxonomic revision of ‘lower’ trypanosomatids is needed (see Bulat et al., 1999; Podlipaev, 2000, 2001; Maslov et al., 2001; Merzlyak et al., 2001; Momen, 2001; Yurchenko et al., 2008, 2009).

Over 30 herpetomonad species have been described at the time of writing (McGhee & Cosgrove, 1980; Podlipaev, 1990). Various authors have used different approaches for the description of new species (Nunes et al., 1994; Teixeira et al., 1997; Maslov et al., 2001; Santos et al., 2005). Morphology-based taxonomy has been criticized by some authors, who argued that most trypanosomatids are morphologically too variable to possess reliable diagnostic characters (Wallace et al., 1983; Batistoti et al., 2001; Merzlyak et al., 2001; Podlipaev, 2001; Yurchenko et al., 2008, 2009). Indeed, the classical diagnostic character, i.e. the presence of promastigotes and opisthomastigotes, is no longer considered to be sufficient for assigning a trypanosomatid to the genus *Herpetomonas* (Camargo et al., 1992; Podlipaev et al., 2004b). On the other hand, some trypanosomatids were described solely on the basis of their host specificity, following the ‘one host – one parasite’ paradigm. However, this approach was not found universally applicable as single trypanosomatid species have been shown to infect more host species and vice versa (Bulat et al., 1999; Podlipaev, 2003; Podlipaev et al., 2004a; Svobodová et al., 2007). Recently, the most promising approach for species description seems to be a combination of several methods including molecular phylogenetics (Batistoti et al., 2001; Yurchenko et al., 2006; Švobodová et al., 2007).

Here, based on morphology, ultrastructure, phylogenetic analysis of SSU rRNA and glycosomal glyceraldehyde phosphate dehydrogenase (gGAPDH) genes, and randomly amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) analysis, we describe *Herpetomonas trimorpha* sp. nov. as a parasite of the intestinal tract of biting midges (Ceratopogonidae), closely related to *H. ztiplika*. The main feature of the novel species is the ability to form three distinct morphotypes in culture.
METHODS

Parasites and their cultivation. Females of biting midges Calicoides (Oecacta) transcostatus Edwards 1939 (syn. C. sylvanum Callot & Kremer 1961) were collected into miniature CDC traps when attacking buzzard (Buteo buteo) nestlings in Milovicky forest as described previously (Svobodova et al., 2007). The midges were dissected and examined for the presence of parasites. *H. trimorpha* sp. nov. isolate CER9 was isolated from the Malpighian tubules of a single female. An asexual culture was established by using SNB-9 agar mixed with rabbit blood overlaid with SNB-9 medium containing 10% fetal calf serum (Sigma), 100 µg gentamicin ml⁻¹, 1000 U penicillin ml⁻¹ and 1.5 mg fluocytosine ml⁻¹ at room temperature. The medium used for maintaining the culture consisted of RPMI 1640 with HEPE and Schneider’s Drosophila medium (1:1) with 2% sterile human urine and 25 µg gentamicin ml⁻¹. The culture is deposited in the culture collection of the Department of Parasitology, Faculty of Science, Charles University in Prague, Czech Republic, and in the collection of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Biology Centre, Institute of Parasitology, Ceske Budejovice, Czech Republic, as strain ICUL/CZ/2001/CER9.

Culture cloning. Exponential phase culture was diluted with fresh medium to 1.3 cells ml⁻¹ and pipetted into three 96-well plates (150 µl per well). Using an inverted microscope, the plates were screened for the presence of cells and their morphotypes for 30 days at different intervals.

Light microscopy. Light-microscopic preparations were made both from cultured cells and from cells extracted from the host during its dissection. The material was smeared on microscopic slides, dried, fixed with methanol, and stained with Giemsa (Sigma). The cell length and width, and the flagellum length of each morphological type (*n* = 10 when available), were measured with a calibrated micrometer (magnification × 1000). *H. trimorpha* cells were compared with those of *H. ztiplika* and *Sergeia podlipaevi*, the only other trypanosomatids known from biting midges, using ANOVA post-hoc comparisons (Statistica software).

Transmission electron microscopy. Ultrathin electron-microscopic preparations were made from 7-day-old culture in the exponential phase. Cells were washed in 0.1M PBS solution and fixed in 2.5% glutaraldehyde in 0.2 M cacodylate buffer at 4 °C for 24 h, and then post-fixed with 2% osmium tetroxide in the same buffer at room temperature for 2 h. After dehydration in a graded series of ethanol, cells were embedded in Epon-Araldite. Ultra-thin sections were stained with lead citrate and uranyl acetate, and examined with a JEOL 1011 transmission electron microscope. The length and width of the kinetoplast of 52 specimens were measured from digital photographs by using GImage software. Lengths and widths were processed by ANOVA post-hoc comparisons using Statistica software.

DNA isolation, amplification, cloning and sequencing. Genomic DNA of isolates CER9 of *H. trimorpha* sp. nov. and CER1 of *H. ztiplika* was extracted with the DNA tissue isolation kit (Roche). The SSU rRNA gene of isolate CER9 was amplified by using primers MedlinA (CTGGTTGTACCTGCGCAG) and MedlinB (TGATCCTTCTGCAGGTTCACCTAC) (Medlin et al., 1988). The gGAPDH gene of isolates CER1 and CER9 was amplified by using primers G3 (TGGGCCGATGCGCATGCT), OPA-03 (GAGAGCCAAACCGCC), OPA-14 (GTGATCGCAG) (Hamilton et al., 2004). The PCR products were purified and directly sequenced. Additionally, the SSU rRNA gene of isolate CER9 was cloned into the pGEM-T EASY vector (Promega). Nine clones originating from two independent PCRs were partially sequenced using primers T7 (AATACGACTCCTATAG) and SP6 (ATTTAGTGACACATAG).

Phylogenetic analyses. Four datasets were created. The first dataset contained 50 SSU rRNA gene sequences of trypanosomatids, including *H. trimorpha* sp. nov., and six sequences of bodonids, which were used as outgroups. The other three datasets contained sequences of the gGAPDH gene. The second dataset contained 61 sequences of various trypanosomatids including newly determined sequences of *H. trimorpha* sp. nov. and *H. ztiplika*, four bodonid sequences and one euglenid sequence. The bodonid and euglenid gGAPDH sequences were used as outgroups. The third dataset contained 61 trypanosomatid sequences and three outgroup sequences (two of *Bodo saltans* and one of *Parabodo caudatus*). The fourth dataset contained only the 61 trypanosomatid gGAPDH sequences.

The sequences were aligned by using CLUSTAL X 1.81 (Thompson et al., 1997) and the resulting alignments were edited manually using BioEdit (Hall, 1999; alignments are available from the corresponding author upon request). Phylogenetic trees were reconstructed by using the maximum- parsimony method, Fitch–Margoliash distance method with maximum-likelihood distances, maximum-likelihood method and Bayesian method. The distance, maximum-parsimony and maximum-likelihood trees were reconstructed in PAUP* 4.0b10 (Swofford, 2002) using ten replicates of heuristic search. The starting tree was obtained by the stepwise addition procedure with a random order of taxa addition and swapped using the tree bisection-reconnection algorithm. The models of nucleotide substitution for maximum-likelihood and distance analyses, i.e. TrN+I+G for the first dataset and GTR + I + G for the other three datasets, were chosen by hierarchical nested likelihood ratio tests implemented in modeltest 3.06 (Posada & Crandall, 1998). The maximum-parsimony and distance trees were bootstrapped in PAUP* with 1000 replicates, each with ten replicates of random taxon addition with TBR branch swapping. Maximum-likelihood trees were bootstrapped in PHYML (Guindon & Gascuel, 2003) with 1000 replicates. Bayesian analyses were performed using MrBayes 3.1.1 (Ronquist & Huelsenbeck, 2003). Base frequencies, rates for six different types of substitution, proportion of invariant sites and shape parameter of the gamma correction for the rate heterogeneity with four discrete categories were allowed to vary. The covarion model was used to allow the rate heterogeneity along the tree. The Markov chain Monte Carlo was run for 5 × 10⁶ (first dataset), 2 × 10⁹ (second dataset), 9 × 10⁶ (third dataset), and 8 × 10⁶ (fourth dataset) generations, until the mean standard deviation of split frequencies was lower than 0.01; the trees were sampled every 100th generation. The first 12 500 (first dataset), 5500 (second dataset), 22 500 (third dataset), and 20 000 (fourth dataset) trees were discarded as burn-in. Additionally, the three gGAPDH datasets were translated into amino acids and were subjected to maximum-likelihood analysis implemented in the online version of Bootstrap RAxML (Stamatakis et al., 2008) available on the CIPRES Portal (http://www.phylo.org/sub_sections/portal.php). The following parameters were applied: IJT substitution matrix, empirical base frequencies, maximum-likelihood search, estimate proportion of invariable sites, and 1000 bootstrapping runs.

RAPD analysis. RAPD analysis was performed with eight isolates of three trypanosomatid species: *H. trimorpha* sp. nov. (isolate CER9), *H. ztiplika* (isolates CER1, 2, 6, and 8) and *S. podlipaevi* (isolates CER3, 4, and 7) (for information on the isolates see Podlipaev et al., 2004b; Svobodova et al., 2007). Twelve primers (Operon technologies) were chosen for the analysis: OPD-03 (AGTCAGCCAC), OPD-09 (GGGTAGCC), OPD-10 (TGATTGCCAG), OPD-12 (TGGCGGATAG), OPD-03 (TGGCGGCTCA), OPD-08 (TGGTGCCC), OPD-11 (AGGCCATTG), OPD-13 (GGGGTGACAG), OPD-18 (GAGACGACAA), OPD-01 (ACGGATCCTG), OPD-05 (CCGAAATC), and OPD-14 (TGGTGCGG). RAPD products were separated by electrophoresis in 2% agarose gels. The gels were manually transformed into the matrix: 1 coded the presence and 0 the absence of a character (band of particular size). The matrix was then processed using FreeTree 0.91.150 (Pawliček and Macek 1997) and the resulting alignments were edited manually using BioEdit (Hall, 1999; alignments are available from the corresponding author upon request). Phylogenetic trees were reconstructed by using the maximum- parsimony method, Fitch–Margoliash distance method with maximum-likelihood distances, maximum-likelihood method and Bayesian method. The distance, maximum-parsimony and maximum-likelihood trees were reconstructed in PAUP* 4.0b10 (Swofford, 2002) using ten replicates of heuristic search. The starting tree was obtained by the stepwise addition procedure with a random order of taxa addition and swapped using the tree bisection-reconnection algorithm. The models of nucleotide substitution for maximum-likelihood and distance analyses, i.e. TrN+I+G for the first dataset and GTR + I + G for the other three datasets, were chosen by hierarchical nested likelihood ratio tests implemented in modeltest 3.06 (Posada & Crandall, 1998). The maximum-parsimony and distance trees were bootstrapped in PAUP* with 1000 replicates, each with ten replicates of random taxon addition with TBR branch swapping. Maximum-likelihood trees were bootstrapped in PHYML (Guindon & Gascuel, 2003) with 1000 replicates. Bayesian analyses were performed using MrBayes 3.1.1 (Ronquist & Huelsenbeck, 2003). Base frequencies, rates for six different types of substitution, proportion of invariant sites and shape parameter of the gamma correction for the rate heterogeneity with four discrete categories were allowed to vary. The covarion model was used to allow the rate heterogeneity along the tree. The Markov chain Monte Carlo was run for 5 × 10⁶ (first dataset), 2 × 10⁹ (second dataset), 9 × 10⁶ (third dataset), and 8 × 10⁶ (fourth dataset) generations, until the mean standard deviation of split frequencies was lower than 0.01; the trees were sampled every 100th generation. The first 12 500 (first dataset), 5500 (second dataset), 22 500 (third dataset), and 20 000 (fourth dataset) trees were discarded as burn-in. Additionally, the three gGAPDH datasets were translated into amino acids and were subjected to maximum-likelihood analysis implemented in the online version of Bootstrap RAxML (Stamatakis et al., 2008) available on the CIPRES Portal (http://www.phylo.org/sub_sections/portal.php). The following parameters were applied: IJT substitution matrix, empirical base frequencies, maximum-likelihood search, estimate proportion of invariable sites, and 1000 bootstrapping runs.
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et al., 1999) with the neighbour-joining algorithm (Nei-Li/Dice distances).

Experimental infections. Males and females of biting midges *Culicoides* (*Monoculicoides*) *nubeculosus* were kindly provided by Eric Denison and Karin Darpel (Department of Arbovirology, IAH-Pirbright laboratory, UK). Three-day-old adults were infected in a batch of 300–400 for 90 min at 37 °C on a cotton pad saturated with 15% sucrose with a 6-day-old culture of $1 \times 10^6$ parasites ml$^{-1}$ in sterile saline solution (Svobodová et al., 2007). The midges were maintained in 250 ml unwaxed paper cups in 100% relative humidity and were supplemented with 15% sucrose solution. The temperature was 23 °C (1–2 days post-infection, days p.i.) and then 18 °C (3–20 days p.i.). The midges were serially dissected at 1, 3, 5 and 9 days p.i. and examined under a light microscope. The location and density of flagellates in the gut and rectal ampulla were examined.

RESULTS

*Herpetomonas trimorpha* sp. nov. was isolated from Malpighian tubules of a biting midge *Culicoides truncorum* (Ceratopogonidae, Diptera). It has been successfully cultivated from only a single specimen, out of 2500 biting midges of different species examined.

A single morphological cell type was found in the natural host while three distinct morphotypes (microflagellate promastigote, small promastigote and long promastigote) appeared in culture. To test the possibility of transformation to opisthomastigotes, the standard (23 °C) cultivation temperature was increased as described by Roitman et al. (1976). However, no posterior kinetoplast forms were observed, even after 72 h cultivation at 26 °C. The form found in the host (vector form) was similar to the vector forms of two species previously isolated from biting midges (*Herpetomonas ztiplika* and *Sergeia podlipaevi*), and no statistical differences between vector forms of all three trypanosomatid species were found (data not shown). The vector form of *H. trimorpha* sp. nov. was similar to the small promastigote (data not shown).

Cells of the microflagellate promastigote (Fig. 1a and h) had a very short flagellum and a rounded anterior end while the posterior end was pointed. The cells were relatively long and wide with the nucleus and the kinetoplast situated at the anterior end. The microflagellate promastigotes usually showed a tendency to form rosettes, whilst separate cells were observed rarely. The small promastigote cells (Fig. 1b and i) were oval with a flagellum almost two times longer than the cell body. Both the nucleus and the kinetoplast were situated approximately in the middle of the cell. The long promastigote cells (Fig. 1c and j)

![Fig. 1. Light (a–c) and electron (d–j) microscopy of the three morphological types of *H. trimorpha* sp. nov. from culture: microflagellate promastigote (a), small promastigote (b), long promastigote (c), detail of kinetoplast (d) flagellum with a paraflagellar rod in cross (e) and longitudinal (f) section, a cross section of a promastigote showing regular spacing of subpellicular microtubules (g), longitudinal sections of a microflagellate promastigote (h), small promastigote (i) and long promastigote (j). Bars, 10 μm (a–c), 200 nm (d), 400 nm (e and f), 100 nm (g) and 2 μm (h–j).](image-url)
were extremely long and narrow with both ends pointed. The flagellum was about the same length as the cell. The nucleus was situated in the middle of the cell while the kinetoplast was usually situated in the anterior end of the cell. After transfer to fresh medium, small and microflagellate promastigotes always occurred in culture at the same time while long promastigotes appeared after a short delay (2–5 days). However, all three morphotypes were always present simultaneously in the culture after 5 days.

We compared the body lengths of the three culture forms of *H. trimorpha* sp. nov. to the culture forms of other trypanosomatids obtained from biting midges (*H. ziplika* and *S. podlipaevi*) using ANOVA post-hoc comparisons (data not shown). The microflagellate promastigotes of *H. trimorpha* sp. nov. resembled *H. ziplika*, while the small promastigotes of *H. trimorpha* sp. nov. were similar to the cells of *S. podlipaevi*. The long promastigotes were unique for *H. trimorpha* sp. nov.

Next, *H. trimorpha* sp. nov. was examined by transmission electron microscopy (Fig. 1d–j). The plasmalemma was underlain by parallel subpellicular microtubules with regular spacing (Fig. 1g). The flagellum was supported along its whole length by a paraflagellar rod (Fig. 1e and f). The kinetoplast thickness was 0.125 ± 0.025 μm (Fig. 1d). The size of the minicircle kDNA was 0.4 ± 0.08 kb, estimated according to Lukeš & Votyá (2000). The proportions of the kinetoplast of *H. trimorpha* sp. nov. were compared with those of *H. ziplika*. No statistically significant differences were found (data not shown). No endosymbionts were observed inside the parasite cells, which is in agreement with Gadelha et al. (2005) who suggested that the paraflagellar rod of symbiont-bearing trypanosomatid species is always reduced. Additionally, the observed regular spacing of subpellicular microtubules (Fig. 1f) is also typical for endosymbiont-free trypanosomatids (Freymüller & Camargo, 1981).

A phylogenetic tree based on SSU rRNA gene sequences from the first dataset is shown in Fig. 2. Trypanosomatids formed a robust clade (bootstrap values 83–97, Bayesian posterior probability 1) and split into 11 well-supported lineages whose interrelationships were mostly unresolved and method-dependent. The unresolved backbone of the trypanosomatid SSU rRNA gene sequence tree corresponded to the results of previous analyses (Maslov et al., 2001; Merzlyak et al., 2001; Hughes & Piontkivska, 2003; Podlipaev et al., 2004a; Svobodová et al., 2007). The genus *Trypanosoma* (clades I and II) appeared paraphyletic at the base of the trypanosomatid subtree. The paraphyly of the genus *Trypanosoma* in SSU rRNA gene sequence trees has been reported several times (Vickerman, 1994; Maslov & Simpson, 1995; Maslov et al., 1996; see Stevens & Gibson, 1999 for review). A monophyletic genus *Trypanosoma* was recovered by gGAPDH analyses (see later). Two sequences ascribed to *Crichtidia oncopelti* branched in different positions within lineage VI, indicating that at least one of them was designated erroneously.

In all analyses, the closest relative of *H. trimorpha* sp. nov. was *H. ziplika*. Both species, together with *Herpetomonas pessoai*, *H. muscarum* and *H. megaseliae*, formed clade IX (bootstrap values 69–99, Bayesian posterior probability 1). Genetic distance (uncorrected P distance) between *H. trimorpha* and *H. ziplika* was 0.4 %, which is comparable with the distances between some other trypanosomatid species, e.g. *H. muscarum* L18872 and *H. megaseliae*.

A phylogenetic tree based on gGAPDH gene sequences from the second dataset is shown in Fig. 3(a). Trypanosomatids formed a robust clade (bootstrap values 80–95, Bayesian posterior probability 1). Similar to the SSU rRNA gene sequence analysis, the backbone of the trypanosomatid clade was poorly resolved. Lineages III (*Blastocrithidia triatomae*) and X (*Herpetomonas mariaeae*) were not represented in the gGAPDH analysis due to the lack of sequences. The genus *Trypanosoma* (lineages I and II) formed a well-supported clade in the gGAPDH analysis. Clade XI (the genus *Phytopomonas*) was always recovered. *Leptomonas collosoma* (lineage IV) and *Sergeia podlipaevi* (lineage V) formed individual branches without clear relationships to the other trypanosomatids. Lineage VI was split into two unrelated clades. Contrary to the SSU rRNA gene sequence analysis, *Leptomonas* sp. Nfm (lineage VIII) branched robustly within lineage VII indicating that the SSU rRNA gene and gGAPDH gene sequences ascribed to *Leptomonas* sp. Nfm may belong to different organisms.

Interestingly, lineage IX containing *H. trimorpha* sp. nov. and *H. ziplika* was split into three paraphyletic branches at the base of the trypanosomatid subtree. The most basal and at the same time the longest branch was formed by *H. trimorpha* and *H. ziplika*. The nucleotide distance between the two species was 8 %, which was considerably higher than distances between many closely related trypanosomatid species.

To reduce possible artificial attraction of the outgroups to the long branch of *H. trimorpha* + *H. ziplika*, the two outgroups with the longest branches, *Euglena gracilis* and *Trypanoplasma borreli*, were removed from the analysis (dataset 3). The *H. trimorpha* + *H. ziplika* branch remained in the basal position in all analyses except for the distance method (bootstrap values 62–84, Bayesian posterior probability 0.99; not shown). In the distance analysis, lineage IX was recovered as a clade, although with poor support (bootstrap value 56). When all outgroups were removed (fourth dataset) and an unrooted phylogenetic tree was recreated, lineage IX formed a robust clade (bootstrap values 88–95, Bayesian posterior probability 1; Fig. 3b). *H. trimorpha* and *H. ziplika* formed the longest branch in the tree.

In addition to analyses of the SSU rRNA and gGAPDH genes, we performed a RAPD analysis with *H. trimorpha* sp. nov., *H. ziplika*, and *S. podlipaevi*. Supplementary Figure S1
Fig. 2. Phylogenetic tree of trypanosomatids based on SSU rRNA gene sequences and reconstructed by the Bayesian method. Bootstrap values from maximum-parsimony, distance method, maximum-likelihood and Bayesian posterior probabilities are shown at nodes. Asterisks indicate nodes with bootstrap support lower than 50%. The tree was rooted with six bodonid sequences (outgroups not shown). Boxes indicate trypanosomatid lineages. Bar, 0.1 substitutions per site.

(available in IJSEM Online) shows two examples of RAPD gels. The data matrix contained 152 characters. An unrooted dendrogram was reconstructed using the neighbour-joining method (Fig. 4). The genetic distance between \textit{H. trimorpha} and \textit{H. zitiplika} was comparable to their respective distances to \textit{S. podlipaei}.

\[ \text{Fig. 2. Phylogenetic tree of trypanosomatids based on SSU rRNA gene sequences and reconstructed by the Bayesian method. Bootstrap values from maximum-parsimony, distance method, maximum-likelihood and Bayesian posterior probabilities are shown at nodes. Asterisks indicate nodes with bootstrap support lower than 50%. The tree was rooted with six bodonid sequences (outgroups not shown). Boxes indicate trypanosomatid lineages. Bar, 0.1 substitutions per site.} \]
Fig. 3. Phylogenetic trees of trypanosomatids based on gGAPDH gene sequences. (a) Bayesian tree based on the second dataset. The tree was rooted with five bodonid and euglenid sequences. Bootstrap values from maximum-parsimony, distance method, maximum-likelihood and Bayesian posterior probabilities, and bootstrap values from maximum-likelihood based on amino acids, are shown at nodes. Asterisks indicate nodes with bootstrap support lower than 50%. Boxes indicate trypanosomatid lineages. (b) A part of Bayesian topology when outgroups were removed (dataset 4). Support values for nodes as in Fig. 3a. Bars, 0.1 substitutions per site.
We employed two independent techniques to examine the conspecificity of the three forms of *Herpetomonas trimorpha* sp. nov. At first, we analysed the cloned SSU rRNA gene of isolate CER9. At most, two randomly distributed mismatches were observed in nine clones in comparison to the sequence obtained by direct PCR over the 400 bp region analysed. We ascribed the differences to Taq polymerase errors fixed during cloning. There were six mismatches between *H. trimorpha* and *H. ztiplika* over this region. At these six positions, all of the clones had the same sequence as *H. trimorpha*. Later on, we created clonal cell lines derived from isolate CER9. All three cell morphotypes described above occurred in all 13 clonal lines obtained.

We performed an infection experiment with *Calicoides nubeculosus* using a sugar feeding assay. Only four out of 61 dissected females (7%) and one of 37 dissected males (3%) were found to be infected with *H. trimorpha*. The parasites were found in the midgut, hindgut and Malpighian tubules.

**DISCUSSION**

The novel species *Herpetomonas trimorpha* is the third trypanosomatid described so far from blood-sucking Diptera of the genus *Calicoides*, the other two species being *H. ztiplika* (Podlipaev et al., 2004b) and *S. podlipaevi* (Svobodová et al., 2007). These three species likely represent only a small portion of the real diversity of these parasites in biting midges. *H. trimorpha* sp. nov. may be a rare species as it was isolated from only one out of 2500 biting midges examined. Nevertheless, we cannot rule out the possibility that *H. trimorpha* is, in fact, common in nature and the low prevalence observed was due either to suboptimal cultivation conditions or to the fact that *Calicoides truncorum* is only an accidental host and the natural host has not been identified yet. Further attempts to obtain novel *H. trimorpha* isolates from several host taxa are needed to estimate the real abundance of this species in nature.

Both SSU rRNA and gGAPDH gene sequence analyses showed that the closest relative of the novel species is *H. ztiplika*. Based on the SSU rRNA gene, *H. trimorpha* sp. nov. and *H. ztiplika* are members of the trypanosomatid clade IX. However, the monophyly of clade IX was not supported in most gGAPDH gene analyses. When the trees were rooted with *Euglena gracilis* and/or bodonids, clade IX split into three paraphyletic branches at the base of the trypanosomatid subtree, the *H. trimorpha* + *H. ztiplika* branch being the most basal one. When the outgroups were removed, clade IX appeared monophyletic and well-supported also. The gGAPDH gene of *H. trimorpha* and *H. ztiplika* apparently underwent an accelerated evolution relative to the other trypanosomatid lineages and to the SSU rRNA gene. We assume that the topologies of gGAPDH trees rooted with *Euglena gracilis* and/or bodonids obtained may be erroneous due to the long branch attraction artefact, which caused the outgroups to be attracted to the long branch of *H. trimorpha* + *H. ztiplika*. Instead of representing the most basal trypanosomatids, the two species are, therefore, most probably closely related to *H. pessoai*, *H. muscarum*, *H. megaseliae* and *Leptomonas lactosovorans* (SSU rRNA gene sequence of the last species is unavailable).

Three different approaches can be applied to infer the generic assignment of newly isolated trypanosomatid strains. Morphology-based taxonomy follows Wallace (1966), who characterized trypanosomatid genera by morphotypes (e.g. promastigote, opisthonomastigote, epimastigote and choanomastigote). Nevertheless, the presence of a certain morphotype is rarely a synapomorphy and most trypanosomatid genera are polyphyletic (Maslov et al., 2001; Merzylik et al., 2001; Hughes & Piontkivska, 2003). Application of morphology-based taxonomy also led to the situation where two closely related species belonged to different genera. This is the case of *Leptomonas acus* and *Leptomonas tarcoles*, described by Yurchenko et al. (2008), which are closely related to species of the genus *Crithidia* instead of to other leptomonads. Another possibility is to employ the phylogenetic criterion and to classify a novel strain according to its phylogenetic position. This approach was utilized by Podlipaev et al. (2004b) to describe *H. ztiplika*. *Herpetomonas ztiplika* forms only promastigotes and might have been classified as a leptomonad. Nonetheless, it is actually closely related to members of the
genus *Herpetomonas* and thus classified as a herpetomonad. Another example is *Sergeia podlipaevi* described by Svobodová et al. (2007). According to its morphology, *S. podlipaevi* might also have been classified in the genus *Leptomonas*. However, as *S. podlipaevi* is only distantly related to leptomonads, a separate genus was erected for it. Finally, life cycle may serve as an important taxonomic criterion distinguishing trypanosomatid genera as well. This is the case for the morphologically undistinguishable genera *Phytomonas* and *Leptomonas*. While leptomonads are monogenic parasites of insects, phytomonads are digenetic and alternate between insect and plant hosts.

Only promastigotes were observed in strain CER9. The transition to other possible forms may be facilitated by a higher temperature (Faria-e-Silva et al., 1996). Nevertheless, promastigotes remained the only form observed in CER9 when cultured at 26 °C. Therefore, the organism could be assigned to the genera *Leptomonas*, *Phytomonas*, *Sergeia* or *Herpetomonas*. Since CER9 is not related to the monophyletic or monotypic genera *Phytomonas* and *Sergeia*, it cannot belong to either of them. However, it is difficult to decide whether strain CER9 belongs to the genus *Leptomonas* or to the genus *Herpetomonas*. The genus *Leptomonas* is rather problematic (see Yurchenko et al., 2008). Not only is it highly polyphyletic, but the phylogenetic position of its type species *L. bütschlii* is unknown. Creating new leptomonad species unrelated to the known ones would, in our opinion, considerably worsen the taxonomical chaos in this genus. In the case of the genus *Herpetomonas*, almost all species are able to form opisthomastigotes besides promastigotes (Rogers & Wallace, 1971; Daggett et al., 1972; Roitman et al., 1976; Yoshida et al., 1978; Faria-e-Silva et al., 1996). The only herpetomonad which forms only promastigotes is *H. ztiplika* (see above). The classification of *H. ztiplika* in the genus *Herpetomonas* was broadly accepted (Svobodová et al., 2007; Yurchenko et al., 2009). As the newly described organism is the closest relative of *H. ztiplika* and is not specifically related to any leptomonad, we decided to assign it to the genus *Herpetomonas*.

The polyphyletic genus *Herpetomonas* currently comprises three unrelated trypanosomatid lineages: partial clade VI (*H. roitmani*), partial clade IX (*H. muscarum*, *H. megaseliae*, *H. pessoai*, *H. ztiplika* and the newly described species) and clade X (*H. mariadeanei*). The lineages are obviously not congeneric and the genus *Herpetomonas* has to be divided into at least three genera. However, such taxonomic revision is beyond the scope of the present study. As the type species of the genus *Herpetomonas*, *H. muscarum*, belongs to the same clade as the new species, *H. trimorpha* sp. nov. represents a ‘true’ herpetomonad.

It is quite difficult and often impossible to designate morphological characters which would make effective discrimination of trypanosomatid species possible (Yurchenko et al., 2006, 2008, 2009). It is becoming common to characterize a new species solely on the basis of its phylogenetic position rather than its morphology (Podlipaev et al., 2004b; Yurchenko et al., 2006; Svobodová et al., 2007; Yurchenko et al., 2009). Unlike many trypanosomatids, *Herpetomonas trimorpha* sp. nov. differs considerably in its morphology from its relatives. Above all, it forms three distinct forms: the microflagellate promastigote, the small promastigote and the long promastigote. Although the first two forms have been recorded from *H. ztiplika* and *S. podlipaevi* strains (Podlipaev et al., 2004b; Svobodová et al., 2007), the long promastigote form seems to be unique to *H. trimorpha* sp. nov. It has not been observed even in the closely related species *H. ztiplika*. Also, the RAPD analysis unambiguously separated *H. trimorpha* sp. nov. from *H. ztiplika*.

Interestingly, *Leptomonas lactosovarans*, described by Manaia et al. (1981), also belongs to clade IX. It is highly probable that *L. lactosovarans* is a herpetomonad without the opisthomastigote stage (see Yurchenko et al., 2009), similar to *H. ztiplika* and *H. trimorpha* sp. nov. The newly described species differs from *L. lactosovarans* by its long promastigote stage.

Since three different trypanosomatid species have already been isolated from biting midges, the presence of more species in a single isolate was possible. To rule out the possibility of mixed infection in the case of isolate CER9, we employed two independent techniques. At first, we partially sequenced nine clones of the SSU rRNA gene of isolate CER9. Except for a few randomly distributed mismatches, which we ascribed to Taq polymerase errors, the sequences were identical to the SSU rRNA gene sequence originally obtained from strain CER9. Then, we created clonal cell lines derived from isolate CER9. All three morphotypes occurred in all cell lines. Therefore, we concluded that isolate CER9 truly contains only a single species, *Herpetomonas trimorpha* sp. nov., which forms three different forms in culture. Two or more morphotypes of particular species were also described by other authors (Podlipaev, 1985; Frolov & Skarlato, 1987; Yurchenko et al., 2006); however, our detailed description of the *H. trimorpha* morphotypes and their continued presence in culture is one of the major contributions of the present study.

It has been suggested that the host is infected by herpetomonads by contaminative infection (McGhee & Cosgrove, 1980). To test this hypothesis, we performed an infection experiment with *Culicoides nubeculosus* using a sugar feeding assay. Only 7% of dissected females and 3% of dissected males were found to be infected with *H. trimorpha*. The localization of parasites in the laboratory host corresponded to the original findings in its natural hosts. As McGhee & Cosgrove (1980) proposed, only certain morphological types of trypanosomatids are capable of host infection while others are non-infectious. We suppose this might be true also for *H. trimorpha* sp. nov. Low infection rate could have been caused by differences between the experimental and natural host, which belonged to different species and subgenera.
TAXONOMIC SUMMARY

Herpetomonas trimorpha sp. nov.

Diagnosis. One promastigote morphotype is observed in the host. Cells are oval, 8.2 ± 0.3 (7.5–8.5) μm long (n = 3) and 2.7 ± 1.2 (2.5–3.0) μm wide (n = 3); length of flagellum 10.2 ± 2.3 (5.9–13.6) μm (n = 3). Three distinct promastigote morphotypes are observed in culture (microflagellate promastigote, small promastigote and long promastigote). The cell of the microflagellate promastigote is elongated with a pointed posterior end, 23.8 ± 1.8 (17.0–32.3) μm long (n = 10) and 3.4 ± 0.3 (2.5–5.9) μm wide (n = 10); length of flagellum 40.0 ± 35.9 (4.2–76.0) μm (n = 2). However, the flagellum is usually invisible in Giemsa-stained preparations. The nucleus and the kinetoplast are situated in the anterior end of the cell. The microflagellate promastigotes usually form rosettes. The cell of the small promastigote is oval, 6.2 ± 0.4 (5.1–8.5) μm long (n = 10) and 2.7 ± 0.4 (1.4–5.2) μm wide (n = 10); length of flagellum 12.6 ± 1.0 (6.8–17.0) μm (n = 10). Both the nucleus and the kinetoplast are situated approximately in the middle of the cell. The cell of the long promastigote is long and narrow, 37.9 ± 0.9 (34.0–44.0) μm long (n = 10) and 1.6 ± 0.1 (1.5–1.7) μm wide (n = 10); length of flagellum 42.0 ± 5.8 (4.1–68.0) μm (n = 10). The nucleus is situated in the middle of the cell while the kinetoplast is situated in the anterior end. The thickness of the kinetoplast is 0.125 ± 0.025 μm. The flagellum is supported by a paraflagellar rod. No endosymbionts are present in the cells.

Type host. Female of biting midge Culicoides (Ocacta) truncorum Edwards, 1939.

Localization in host. Malpighian tubules.

Type locality. Milovický forest game preserve, in the vicinity of Mikulov (Břeclav district, Southern Moravia, Czech Republic, 48° 48′ 39″ N 16° 43′ 26″ E).

Type isolate. CER9, deposited as ICUL/CZ/2001/CER9 in the collection of the Department of Parasitology, Faculty of Science, Charles University in Prague, Prague, Czech Republic, and in the collection of the Academy of Sciences, Biology Centre, Institute of Parasitology, České Budějovice, Czech Republic.

Syntype slides. Giemsa-stained slides of isolate CER9, both insect and culture forms, deposited in the collection of the Department of Parasitology, Faculty of Science, Charles University in Prague, Prague, Czech Republic.

Etymology Herpetomonas trimorpha (tri.morˈpha. L. tris three, Gr. n. morphē form, shape; N.L. fem. adj. trimorpha which has three forms).
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