A re-evaluation of the taxonomy of the genus *Anaerovibrio*, with the reclassification of *Anaerovibrio glycerini* as *Anaerosinus glycerini* gen. nov., comb. nov., and *Anaerovibrio burkinabensis* as *Anaeroarcus burkinensis* [corrig.] gen. nov., comb. nov.
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Chemotaxonomic, electron microscopic and 16S rRNA gene sequence analyses of the three described species of the genus *Anaerovibrio* demonstrated only remote similarities to each other. The 16S rRNA gene sequence similarities between *Anaerovibrio lipolytica*, *Anaerovibrio glycerini* and *Anaerovibrio burkinabensis* and the derived phylogenetic relationships of the three species studied fell below genus level. All three species clustered within the *Sporomusa–Pectinatus–Selenomonas* phyletic group. Each species showed a distinct phospholipid pattern and whole-cell fatty acid distribution. Several isoprenologues of the lipoquinone 'lipid F' were found to differ in their quantitative distribution in the *Anaerovibrio* species. On the basis of these results, the new genera *Anaerosinus* gen. nov. and *Anaeroarcus* gen. nov. are proposed. The type species of *Anaerosinus* is *Anaerosinus glycerini* comb. nov., and the type species of *Anaeroarcus* is *Anaeroarcus burkinensis* [corrig.] comb. nov. The genus *Anaerovibrio* is consequently restricted to a single species, namely *Anaerovibrio lipolytica* [corrig.].
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INTRODUCTION

Hungate (1966) created the genus *Anaerovibrio* to accommodate a vibrioid, Gram-negative, obligately anaerobic, lipolytic bacterium that had been isolated from the rumen of sheep (Hobson & Mann, 1961). The genus included a single species, *Anaerovibrio lipolytica*, and was later assigned to the family *Bacteroidaceae*, together with other anaerobic, Gram-negative organisms (Prins, 1986). Two additional species have been assigned to the genus. *Anaerovibrio glycerini* was isolated from anoxic freshwater sediments (Schauder & Schink, 1989), and *Anaerovibrio burkinabensis* was isolated from rice-field soils (Ouattara et al., 1992). The type species, *Anaerovibrio lipolytica*, was believed to occupy both the lactate-utilizing and lipid-degrading ecological niches within the rumen ecosystem (Hungate, 1966) and was observed to utilize only a limited number of saccharides and glycerol as growth substrates. *Anaerovibrio glycerini* is capable of growth on only two substrates, glycerol and the glycerol portion of diolein (Schauder & Schink, 1989). It is unable to utilize lactate or hydrolyse lipids and lipid analogues (Schauder & Schink, 1989). In contrast, the...
third member of the genus, *Anaerovibrio burkinabensis*, grows on a wide range of saccharide substrates, is capable of lactate utilization, but exhibits no lipolytic activity (Ouattara et al., 1992). Apart from the differences in the metabolic traits of the three *Anaerovibrio* species, the wide range of G+C contents of *Anaerovibrio lipolytica*, *Anaerovibrio glycerini* and *Anaerovibrio burkinabensis* (31.5, 34.3 and 44.1 mol% respectively) also indicates that the genus is genetically diverse.

The introduction of 16S rRNA gene sequence-based phylogenetic analyses into bacterial taxonomy during the last two decades (see Woese, 1987) has led to new insights into the evolutionary relationships of prokaryotes. Chemotaxonomic studies have also made a valuable contribution to the delineation of taxa that are otherwise difficult to characterize. In the present study, the 16S rRNA gene sequences and lipid composition of the three species described, comprising the genus *Anaerovibrio*, are reported. On the basis of these results, a reclassification of two of the species into two new genera is proposed.

**METHODS**

**Organisms and growth conditions.** The type strains of *Anaerovibrio lipolytica* (DSM 3074T), *Anaerovibrio glycerini* (DSM 5192T) and *Anaerovibrio burkinabensis* (DSM 6283T) were obtained from the German Collection of Microorganisms and Cell Cultures (DSMZ). All strains were grown anaerobically in peptone/yeast extract (PY) broth (Holdeman et al., 1977) supplemented with 0.5% (v/v) glycerol (*Anaerovibrio lipolytica*, *Anaerovibrio glycerini*) or 0.25% (v/v) lactate (*Anaerovibrio burkinabensis*). Growth temperatures were 30°C (*Anaerovibrio glycerini*), 35°C (*Anaerovibrio burkinabensis*) and 37°C (*Anaerovibrio lipolytica*). The culture head space contained N2/CO2 1:1 (v/v) in Hungate tubes and a 4:1 (v/v) ratio in 4.5 l cultures. To enable comparison with existing fatty acid data, all strains were also grown in peptone/yeast extract/glucose (PYG) broth (Holdeman et al., 1977; Moore et al., 1994).

**Electron microscopy.** *Anaerovibrio glycerini* cells from PY/glycerol broth were harvested in the mid-exponential phase of growth and used for electron microscopic studies. Cells were fixed in 2.5% (v/v) glutaraldehyde, either in the growth medium or in PBS (pH 7.2), for ultrathin-section preparations. The cells were then immobilized with 2% (w/v) Noble agar (Difco), washed with PBS buffer and then in 0.1 M cacodylate buffer (pH 7.2); the cells were then fixed in cacodylate buffer solution (0.1 M, pH 7.2) containing 1% (w/v) OsO4. Dehydration through an acetone series and resin embedding were carried out using the procedures of Spurr (1969). Ultrathin sections (100–120 nm) were cut using a Reichert Ultracut S (Leica) ultramicrotome, picked up from Formvar-coated grids (Cu, 300 mesh) and poststained with aqueous uranyl acetate and lead citrate using the procedures of Reynolds (1963). Samples were observed using a Zeiss CEM 902 transmission electron microscope at primary magnifications of ×7000–12000.

**DNA isolation and base composition.** Genomic DNA was isolated and purified using Qiagen Genomic Tips (Qiagen), according to the manufacturer's instructions, from 2 ml samples of liquid cultures grown in the media described above. Appropriate amounts of DNA were enzymically digested and the mean G+C contents were determined using HPLC (Tamaoka & Komagata, 1984). Calculations of nucleotide ratios were carried out according to Moshah et al. (1989), with non-methylated lambda-ophage DNA (Sigma/Aldrich) as a standard.

**16S rDNA sequencing.** Nearly complete 16S rRNA genes were amplified from chromosomal DNA by using the PCR (Mullis & Faloona, 1987) using a forward primer hybridizing at positions 8–27 (*Escherichia coli* rRNA gene numbering, primer sequence 5'–GAGTTGTATCTGCTAG-3') and a reverse primer hybridizing at the complement of positions 1492–1512 (5'–CGGTTACCTGTACGC-3'). The PCR was carried out using a GeneAmp 9600 thermocycler (Perkin-Elmer) and conditions described previously (Karlson et al., 1993). Amplified DNA was purified with Microcon 100 microconcentrators (Amicon) and the quality was checked using gel electrophoresis on a 1% (w/v) agarose gel with Tris-acetate/EDTA (TAE) buffer and subsequent ethidium bromide staining. The sequences of the amplified 16S rRNA gene were determined with an Applied Biosystems 373A DNA sequencer using the protocols recommended by the manufacturer for Taq-polymerase-initiated cycle sequencing with fluorescent-dye-labelled diodeoxynucleotides and standard 16S rRNA sequencing primers (Lane, 1991). The resulting sequences were aligned with reference 16S rRNA and 16S rDNA gene sequences (Maidak et al., 1999; Stoeser et al., 1999; van de Peer et al., 1996) using evolutionarily conserved primary sequence and secondary structure (Gutell et al., 1985; Neefs et al., 1991) as references. Evolutionary distances (Jukes & Cantor, 1969) were calculated from sequence-pair similarities, using only unambiguously determined nucleotide positions. Phylogenetic trees were constructed using the DNADIST and FITCH programs of the PHYLIP package, version 3.2 (Felsenstein, 1989).

**Extraction of respiratory lipoquinones and polar lipids.** Respiratory lipoquinones and polar lipids were extracted from 100 mg freeze-dried cell material using the two-stage method described by Tindall (1990a, b). Respiratory lipoquinones were extracted using methanol:hexane, and the polar lipids were extracted by adjusting the remaining methanol/0.3% aqueous NaCl phase (containing the cell debris) to give a chloroform/methanol/0.3% aqueous NaCl mixture (1:2:0.8, by vol.). The extraction solvent was stirred overnight and the cell debris pelleted by centrifugation. Polar lipids were recovered into the chloroform phase by adjusting the chloroform/methanol/0.3% aqueous NaCl mixture to a ratio of 1:1:0.9 (by vol.).

**Analysis of respiratory lipoquinones.** Respiratory lipoquinones were separated into their different classes (naphthoquinones and benzoquinones) by TLC on silica gel (Macherey-Nagel, Art. No. 805 023), using hexane/tert-butyldimethylene (9:1, v/v) as the solvent (Tindall, 1996). UV-absorbing bands corresponding to naphthoquinones or benzoquinones were removed from the plate and further analysed by HPLC. This step was carried using LDC Analytical (Thermo Separation Products) HPLC equipment fitted with a reverse-phase column (Macherey-Nagel, 2 mm × 125 mm, 3 μm, RP18) using methanol as the eluant. Respiratory lipoquinones were detected at a wavelength of 269 nm.

**Analysis of polar lipids.** Polar lipids were separated by two-dimensional, silica-gel TLC (Macherey-Nagel, Art. No. 818 135) (Stahl, 1967). The first direction was developed in
Anaerovibrio lipolytica run in the EI mode, with a source temperature of 175 °C and of individual cells showed differences in electron represented exponentially growing cells, the cytoplasm derivatives of hydroxylated fatty acids formed. Therefore, it was subjected to electron microscopy intended, in particular, to microscopic examination of the septum was apparent when cell division in progress (Fig. 1b, arrow). It was obvious from these cells showed a localized concentration of the opacity, i.e. some of the cells appeared less electron- microscopy and their cell morphologies have been established (Henderson & Hodgkiss, 1973; Ouat-tara et al., 1992). Such data were lacking for Anaerovibrio glycerini. Therefore, it was subjected to electron microscopic examination intended, in particular, to characterize its cell wall type and flagellation. Anaerovibrio glycerini possessed a typical vibrioid morphobiology when ultrathin sections were analysed by electron microscopy (Fig. 1). Although the sample analysed represented exponentially growing cells, the cytoplasm of individual cells showed differences in electron opacity, i.e. some of the cells appeared less electron-dense than others (Fig. 1a, asterisks). In parallel with the high electron density of the cytoplasm, some of these cells showed a localized concentration of the bacterial chromosome (Fig. 1a, arrows). Septum fisure was not accompanied by formation of a narrow division cleft. A broad, conical deformation of the cell body at the septum was apparent when cell division in progress (Fig. 1b, arrow). It was obvious from ultrastructural details (Fig. 1c) that the cell wall is of Gram-negative architecture, as a central murein layer and an outer membrane were clearly discernible. No evidence for flagellation was observed, which is consistent with the observations from the original decription (Schauder & Schink, 1989), namely, that the organism lost its motility after a period of cultivation.

DNA base composition

The DNA G + C base composition of the three species of Anaerovibrio was reported to range from 31-5 mol % for Anaerovibrio lipolytica (Schauder & Schink, 1989) to 44-1 mol % for Anaerovibrio burkinabensis (Ouat-tara et al., 1992). Because values below 40 mol % for G + C content are not characteristic of species within the Sporomusa–Pectinatus–Selenomonas phyletic group, the wide range of values reported also calls into question the inclusion of these organisms into a single genus; this is especially true if the differences in G + C content, the implied DNA cistrion dissimilarity and the inferred potential evolutionary divergence (De Ley, 1967) are taken into account. While we were able to confirm the reported G + C content of Anaerovibrio glycerini as 35.0 mol % (+0.3 %, n = 10), we found the value for Anaerovibrio burkinabensis to be 48.5 mol % (+0.4 %, n = 5) instead of the reported 44.1 mol %. The G + C content of Anaerovibrio lipolytica was determined to be 44.0 mol % (+0.3 %, n = 4), which is significantly higher than the value of 31.5 mol % reported previously.

16S rDNA sequence analysis

PCR amplification and subsequent direct cycle sequencing of the PCR product allowed the determination of the nucleotide sequence of the nearly complete 16S rRNA gene of Anaerovibrio glycerini. However, a part of the sequence near the 5’-end of the 16S rRNA genes of Anaerovibrio lipolytica and Anaerovibrio burkinabensis, corresponding to helix 6 (Neefs et al., 1991), could not be resolved using this protocol. Apparently, sequence-inserts in helix 6 and multiple, heterogeneous 16S rRNA operons of different length and/or occurrence of long inserts in the 16S rRNA (Pate1 et al., 1990; Stoesser et al., 1997) has been demonstrated in various anaerobic organisms in which cloning and subsequent sequencing of the PCR-amplification products of the 16S rRNA genes led to the resolution of the full nucleotide sequences of the individual clones. In the case of the present study, the presence of multiple 16S rRNA genes of different length and/or sequence in Anaerovibrio lipolytica was confirmed by single-strand conformation polymorphism (SSCP) gel electrophoresis, as well as by cloning of the PCR-amplification product and sequence determination of individual clones (unpublished results).
Fig. 1. Ultrastructure of Anaerovibrio glycerini. (a) General morphology. Cells show a characteristic vibrioform shape (#). Generally, the cytoplasm appears to be rather electron-dense. Some cells, however, are electron-translucent (asterisks). The bacterial chromosome tends to form condensed centres (arrows), which can be identified because of their high electron-transparency. (b) Cell division. Upon cell division, the daughter cells taper off at the division plane (arrow). The star indicates electron-dense, loosely packed DNA in this cell. (c) Cell wall and membranes. The presence of an outer membrane (om) and a peptidoglycan layer (mu) indicates that the cell wall is of the Gram-negative type (cm = cytoplasmic membrane). Bars, 1 μm (a); 500 nm (b); 10 nm (c).

The lengths of the 16S rDNA sequences of the strains analysed ranged from 1470 to 1550 nucleotides, i.e. equivalent to more than 97% of the estimated length of the respective genes. The sequences were aligned manually to reference sequences and, after the omission of non-homologous or ambiguously determined nucleotides, approximately 1290 nucleotide positions were used to calculate sequence similarities and evolutionary distances. Because of the incompleteness of available sequence data and the strain-specific sequence heterogeneity of operons within individual strains, the sequence region of helix 6 was omitted from the phylogenetic analysis. Comparisons with reference sequences from the RDP and GenBank/EMBL/DDJB databases revealed that the three species of the genus Anaerovibrio cluster within the radiation of the Sporomusa–Pectinatus–Selenomonas phyletic group, i.e. clostridial cluster IX, as described by Collins et al. (1994). Sequence comparisons revealed approximately 9–11% 16S rRNA gene sequence dissimilarity between the three species of the genus Anaerovibrio. A cluster analysis (Fig. 2) placed them in three different evolutionary lineages within the Sporomusa–Pectinatus–Selenomonas phyletic group. The similarity of the 16S rDNA sequence of Anaerovibrio lipolytica to those of Anaerovibrio glycerini and Anaerovibrio burkinabensis was observed to be 90.4%. The rumen organism Anaerovibrio lipolytica clustered within a lineage including the species of the genera Selenomonas, Schwartzia, Centipeda and Mitsuokella (which primarily inhabit the mammalian gut and oral cavity) as well as species of the genera Pectinatus and Zymophilus (which cause beer-spoilage). The highest sequence-similarity values determined from comparisons of the 16S rDNA sequence of Anaerovibrio lipolytica with reference sequence data were 92–93%, i.e. to the 16S rRNA gene sequences of Selenomonas ruminantium subsp. ruminantium, Mitsuokella multacidica and Schwartzia succinivorans. Anaerovibrio burkinabensis was observed to be positioned on a distinct phylogenetic branch (Fig. 2), the closest relative being Zymophilus paucivorans, with a 16S rRNA sequence similarity of 91.0%. Interestingly, Anaerovibrio glycerini was most closely related to spore-forming organisms such as the generically misnamed Clostridium quercicolum (93.7% sequence similarity) and species of the genera Sporomusa and Acetobema.

Respiratory lipoquinones

All three members of the genus Anaerovibrio were shown to contain the compound previously reported as 'lipid F' (Schleifer et al., 1990; Stackebrandt et al., 1985). Structural studies on this compound indicate that it is a modified naphthoquinone with an iso-
Fig. 2. Dendrogram showing the inferred phylogenetic relationships of the members of the genus *Anaerovibrio* with other genera in the *Sporomusa* sub-branch of the clostridia. Evolutionary distances were derived from pairwise sequence dissimilarities and the dendrogram was generated using the Fitch program of the PHYLIP package (Felsenstein, 1989). The sequences of the following strains were obtained from the GenBank/EMBL/DDBJ and RDP databases or from the references given in parentheses: *Selenomonas (Sln.) ruminantium* subsp. *ruminantium* GA192<sup>T</sup> (M62702<sup>T</sup>), *Selenomonas lactificex* DSM 20757<sup>T</sup> (Schleifer et al., 1990), *Selenomonas spuitigera* ATCC 38185<sup>T</sup> (Schleifer et al., 1990), *Zymophilus (Zym.) paucivorans* DSM 20756<sup>T</sup> (Schleifer et al., 1990), *Pectinatus (Pec.) frisingensis* DSM 20757<sup>T</sup> (Schleifer et al., 1990), *Schwartzia (Sch.) succinivorans* DSM 10502<sup>T</sup> (Y09434<sup>T</sup>), *Acidaminococcus fermentans* VP4<sup>T</sup> (RDP), *Phascolarctobacterium (Pec.) faecium* ACM 3670 (X72865), *Megasphaera elsdenii* B15g<sup>T</sup> (RDP), *Sporomusa (Spm.) paucivorans* XT (RDP), *Sporomusa silvacetica* DG-l<sup>T</sup> (Y09976), *Sporomusa termitida* JSN-ZT (M61920), *Succiniclasticum ruminis* SEl OT (X81137), *Veillonella atypica* DSM 2073g<sup>T</sup> (X84007), *Quinella ovalis* (RDP), *Didlister pneumosintes* ATCC 33048<sup>T</sup> (X82500), *Mitsuokella multacida* NCTC 10934<sup>T</sup> (X81137), *Veillonella atypica* DSM 2073g<sup>T</sup> (X84007), *Quinella ovalis* (RDP), *Didlister pneumosintes* ATCC 33048<sup>T</sup> (X82500), *Mitsuokella multacida* NCTC 10934<sup>T</sup> (X81137). Bar, 5 inferred nucleotide substitutions per 100 positions.

Table 1. Relative proportions (%) of ‘lipid F’ isoprenologues in *Anaerovibrio lipolytica* (DSM 3074<sup>T</sup>), *Anaerovibrio glycerini* (DSM 5192<sup>T</sup>) and *Anaerovibrio burkinabensis* (DSM 6283<sup>T</sup>)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>No. isoprenoid units attached to quinone nucleus:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>A. lipolytica</em></td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>A. glycerini</em></td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>A. burkinabensis</em></td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The relative percentage composition of the ‘lipid F’ isoprenologues is given in Table 1. It is interesting to note that, in *Anaerovibrio lipolytica*, the major component is ‘lipid F’ with eight isoprenologues, accompanied by smaller amounts of the hepta- and nonaprenologue. In *Anaerovibrio burkinabensis*, the octa- and nonaprenologues predominate in the ratio 4:1, with smaller amounts of hepta- and decaprenologue being present. In *Anaerovibrio glycerini*, the major components are the octa- and nonaprenologues, in the ratio 1:2. No evidence was found for the presence of ubiquinones or menaquinones.

**Polar lipids**

All three species of *Anaerovibrio* examined had a characteristic polar lipid pattern (Fig. 3) in which only two phospholipids predominated, namely phosphatidyl serine and phosphatidyl ethanolamine. This observation is consistent with reports that these two compounds are the dominant phospholipids in *Anaerovibrio lipolytica, Veillonella parvula, Megasphaera elsdenii* and *Selenomonas ruminantium* (Johnston & Goldfine, 1982; Kamio & Takahashi, 1980; Prins et al., 1974; van Golde et al., 1973; Verkley et al., 1975; Watanabe et al., 1982). Shah et al. (1983) also reported a similar lipid composition for *Meganonas hypermegale* and *Mitsuokella multicida*, although these authors did not unambiguously identify the second amino-phospholipid as phosphatidyl serine. Although phosphatidyl serine is generally assumed to be a precursor of phosphatidyl ethanolamine (which is formed by decarboxylation of phosphatidyl serine) in bacteria, the former compound is rarely found in such large quantities as those observed in members of the...
Fig. 3. Two-dimensional thin-layer chromatograms of the polar lipids of Anaerovibrio lipolytica (DSM 3074T), Anaerovibrio glycerini (DSM 5192T) and Anaerovibrio burkinabensis (DSM 6283T); for details, see Methods. PE, phosphatidyl ethanolamine; PS, phosphatidyl serine; PN, amino-phospholipid; PL1 and PL2, unidentified phospholipids; GL1, GL2 and GL3, unidentified glycolipids showing atypical staining behaviour; ?, material staining in a characteristic fashion with the anisaldehyde/sulfuric acid reagent, but which could not be assigned a chemical structure.

Sporomusa–Pectinatus–Selenomonas phyletic group. Recent reports of the lipid composition of the fuso-bacteria (Sadek et al., 1998) show that these organisms synthesize phosphatidyl ethanolamine and phosphatidyl glycerol. A survey of a wide range of bacteria indicates that the presence of only phosphatidyl serine and phosphatidyl ethanolamine as the dominant polar lipids is a feature that further points to the unique evolutionary and taxonomic status of the Sporomusa–Pectinatus–Selenomonas phyletic group within the Bacteria. The only organisms in which phosphatidyl serine is reported to be synthesized in significant quantities, albeit in the form of di- and tetraether derivatives, are ones found in the Anaerovibrio species examined, as also reported by Shah et al. (1983). The staining behaviour of this compound indicated that it may be an atypical glycolipid. An unusual glycolipid was also reported in Selenomonas ruminantium by Kamio et al. (1972a). The exact identification of this compound in the Anaerovibrio species requires further detailed structural work.

Fatty acids

The application of a differential hydrolysis method to the detection of fatty acids and other long-chain hydrocarbons (i.e. dimethyl acetalts, Fig. 4a) provided interesting results for the three Anaerovibrio species examined. Several components were shown to increase their relative percentage composition when subjected to the differential hydrolysis methodology. Such behaviour is not typical of ester-linked fatty acids, nor of plasmalogens (which give rise to dimethyl acetalts). Despite the fact that Moore et al. (1994) previously reported the presence of fairly large amounts of dimethyl acetals in Anaerovibrio lipolytica and other members of the Sporomusa–Pectinatus–Selenomonas phyletic group, our preliminary results cast doubt on the presence of large amounts of dimethyl acetals. Examination of the fatty acid composition of all three species of the genus Anaerovibrio showed that fatty acids predominated. In addition, 3-hydroxy fatty acids could be identified in all strains by GC-MS, on the basis of the characteristic fragmentation of the side chain at m/z 103 (Fig. 4b). This is in contrast to the work of Moore et al. (1994), where dimethyl acetals and relatively small amounts of an unresolved mixture of 15:0 dimethyl acetal/3-OH 14:0 fatty acid were reported for Anaerovibrio lipolytica. In order to confirm the presence of 3-hydroxy fatty acids in the three species of Anaerovibrio the fatty acids were subjected to trimethylsililation, which results in the formation of trimethylsilyl derivatives of hydroxy fatty acids. The following facts were taken as conclusive evidence that the compounds were 3-hydroxy fatty acids.
fatty acid and (c) the trimethylsilyl derivate of the presence of the dimethyl acetal or 3-hydroxyl groups, respectively (dotted lines to which the molecular mass m/z has been assigned).

Fig. 4. Structures of (a) 14:0 dimethyl acetal, (b) 3-OH 13:0 fatty acid and (c) the trimethylsilyl derivate of 3-OH 13:0 fatty acid, indicating their M+ ions and the major fragments due to the presence of the dimethyl acetal or 3-hydroxyl groups, respectively (dotted lines to which the molecular mass m/z has been assigned).

DISCUSSION

Comparison of the 16S rDNA sequences of the type strains of the three described species constituting the genus Anaerovibrio revealed that they belong to the Sporomusa–Pectinatus–Selenomonas phyletic group. However, data from this study show a low degree of similarity of 16S rDNA sequences, as well as significant differences in ‘lipid F’ composition (Table 1) and fatty acid profiles (Table 2) among the three organisms. Furthermore, a review of the available literature revealed that significant differences exist with respect to the metabolic traits of the three strains. The type species of the genus, Anaerovibrio lipolytica, clusters phylogenetically with the species of other genera from the rumen and other mesophilic ecosystems. This organism can be distinguished from members of the beer-spoiling genera Zymophilus and Pectinatus by their inability to grow on glycerol and their lower
Table 2. Fatty acid composition of *Anaerovibrio lipolytica* (DSM 3074<sup>T</sup>), *Anaerovibrio glycerini* (DSM 5192<sup>T</sup>) and *Anaerovibrio burkinabensis* (DSM 6283<sup>T</sup>)

Values are given as percentage area.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fatty acid</th>
<th><em>A. lipolytica</em></th>
<th><em>A. glycerini</em></th>
<th><em>A. burkinabensis</em></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Method 1</td>
<td>Method 2</td>
<td>Method 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:0</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>13.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:0</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:0</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:0</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-OH-11:0</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13:1</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13:0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-OH-12:0</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14:0</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-OH-13:0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15:1</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15:0</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16:1</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>17.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15:1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15:0</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>17.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16:0</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15:0</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16:1</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16:1</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16:1</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16:0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17:1</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17:1</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17:1</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17:1</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>15.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17:1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17:0</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17:0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18:1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18:1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19:0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19:1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19:1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19:0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19:0</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

?, Unknown structure.

Method 1 and Method 2 refer to the differential release of fatty acids, which indicates the presence of ester- and (presumptive) amide- plus ester-linked fatty acids.
temperature and pH optima for growth. Instead, species of these genera utilize a wide range of sugars that cannot be used by Anaerovibrio lipolytica. Additionally, members of the genus Pectinatus possess a unique type of flagellation, described as comb-like, while Anaerovibrio lipolytica, like many Selenomonas species, possesses a tuft of flagella on the concave side of the cell (Henderson & Hodgkiss, 1973). Species of the genus Selenomonas can be distinguished from species of the genus Anaerovibrio on the basis of their different fermentation profiles and a generally higher genomic DNA G+C content. The relatively fastidious species Selenomonas acidaminophila, although having a genomic DNA G+C content similar to that of Anaerovibrio lipolytica, does not grow on glycerol and utilizes glutamate, aspartate and pyruvate, none of which is fermented by Anaerovibrio lipolytica. The recently described species Selenomonas lipolytica (Dighe et al., 1998), although having in common with Anaerovibrio lipolytica the ability to carry out lipolysis and a similar G+C content of the genomic DNA, differs in its natural habitat, its much wider substrate spectrum and its 16S rDNA sequence. The published partial 16S rDNA sequence of S. lipolytica is 90-9% similar to the sequence of the type strain of S. ruminantium, but is only 82-4% similar to the sequence of Anaerovibrio lipolytica. Mitsuokella multacida, the non-motile, rod-shaped organism isolated from human and pig faeces, differs from Anaerovibrio lipolytica in the wide range of sugars used as substrates, the inability to ferment glycerol and the different fermentation products. It also has a much higher G+C content in the genomic DNA. Another organism showing a high degree of 16S rDNA sequence similarity to Anaerovibrio lipolytica, the recently described rumen organism Schwartzia succinivorans (van Gylswyk et al., 1997), is a substrate specialist that uses succinate as the sole energy source. This bacterium was reported to have a genomic DNA G+C content of 46 mol%, which is similar to that of Anaerovibrio lipolytica (44 mol%). However, from the available genetic and biochemical data, and because of the different ecological niche it occupies in the rumen ecosystem, Schwartzia succinivorans belongs to a different genus from that of Anaerovibrio lipolytica. The 16S rDNA sequence data suggest that Anaerovibrio lipolytica shares a common ancestor with species of the genus Selenomonas and related genera.

Anaerovibrio glycerini is highly specialized in the fermentation of glycerol and the glycerol moiety in dideoxynucleotides. This organism is not able to hydrolyze neutral fats, this being a key feature of the genus Anaerovibrio (Prins, 1986). It is also unable to grow on sugars or organic acids, has a lower temperature optimum and has a lower G+C content in the genomic DNA than the type species, Anaerovibrio lipolytica. Anaerovibrio glycerini differs from species of the genus Sporomusa by the inability to gain energy from most substrates that have been described as being utilized by species of the genus Sporomusa, and by the inability to grow chemoautotrophically. In addition, Anaerovibrio glycerini, unlike species of the genus Sporomusa, does not form spores, is catalase-negative and produces molecular hydrogen. Furthermore, Anaerovibrio glycerini differs from the non-sporulating species Sporomusa paucivorans in terms of the genomic DNA G+C content, the substrate spectrum and the lack of motility. The organism showing the greatest degree of 16S rDNA sequence similarity, Clostridium quercicolum, is a morphologically distinct spore-former with a much higher genomic DNA G+C content (52-54 mol%, Stanekewich et al., 1971) than Anaerovibrio glycerini (35 mol%).

Anaerovibrio burkinabensis differs from the type species of the genus Anaerovibrio, not only in its 16S rDNA sequence, lipid patterns and ‘lipid F’ profile, but also in the type of flagellation and in the wider range of substrates utilized. Anaerovibrio burkinabensis does not ferment glycerol and is non-lypotic. It can be differentiated phenotypically from other vibrioid genera in the Sporomusa-Pectinatus-Selenomonas phylogenetic group by the type of flagellation, the inability to form spores and also by its unique substrate spectrum.

The presence of ‘lipid F’ in the three species of the genus Anaerovibrio examined is consistent with that which has already been published on the presence of this unique compound in members of the Sporomusa-Pectinatus-Selenomonas phylogenetic group (Möller et al., 1984; Schleifer et al., 1990; Stackebrandt et al., 1985). Although Möller et al. (1984) initially reported that ubiquinones were present in members of the genus Sporomusa, this was subsequently corrected (Stackebrandt et al., 1985). Shah et al. (1983) reported that Mitsuokella multacida (basonym: Bacteroides multicus) and Megamonas hypermegale (basonym: Bacteroides hypermegae), both of which share chemical characteristics with members of the Sporomusa-Pectinatus-Selenomonas phylogenetic group, did not contain ubiquinones or menaquiones, although the presence of ‘lipid F’ cannot be excluded. Detailed published data on the distribution of different isoprenologues of ‘lipid F’ in members of this phylogenetic group are lacking, but Kroppenstedt et al. (1985) presented an overview of the taxonomic significance of different isoprenologues. In general, it can be concluded that the presence of ‘lipid F’ is a feature common to all members of this phylogenetic group that have been examined for its presence, and that the distribution of the different isoprenologues is a useful taxonomic marker. It is possible to unambiguously distinguish each of the three species within the genus Anaerovibrio, not only from the qualitative distribution of the isoprenologues of ‘lipid F’, but also on the basis of their relative distribution. Such variations in isoprenoid quinone distribution are generally taken to indicate differences in taxonomic rank at or above genus level. We conclude, therefore, that the presence of qualitative and quantitative differences in the
distribution of the different isoprenologues of ‘lipid F’ is indicative of the fact that the three species of the genus *Anaerovibrio* cannot be maintained in the same genus.

Examination of the fatty acid composition of the three *Anaerovibrio* species showed that they could be distinguished from one another on the basis of fatty acid composition alone. It is also interesting to note that, after correcting the misidentification of 3-OH fatty acids in the work of Moore *et al.* (1994) (for a discussion, see the Results above), it is possible to detect a general fatty acid pattern common to all members of the *Sporomusa-Pectinatus-Selenomonas* phyletic group examined to date (Miyagawa *et al.*, 1979; Moore *et al.*, 1994; Shah *et al.*, 1983). The fatty acids are straight, saturated or unsaturated chains; in some species iso-branched fatty acids are present in significant quantities; 10–20% of the fatty acids are either odd-numbered (C-11 or C-13) or even-numbered (C-12), while C-15/C-17 or C-16/C-18 account for 30–50% of the fatty acids. All organisms contain relatively large amounts of 3-hydroxy fatty acids, in which the dominant compound has 13 or 14 carbon atoms (i.e. 3-OH 13:0/3-OH iso-13:0 or 3-OH 14:0). It is interesting to note that, despite their apparent 16S rDNA sequence association with the clostridia and their relatives, members of the *Sporomusa-Pectinatus-Selenomonas* phyletic group appear to have an evolutionary relationship with certain members of the fusobacteria (Jantzen & Hofstad, 1981): they share the unusual feature of being Gram-negative and having significant quantities of hydroxylated fatty acids in their outer cell layers, which appear to be associated with lipopolysaccharides.

In summary, the chemical composition of those species within members of the *Sporomusa-Pectinatus-Selenomonas* phyletic group examined to date indicates a common pattern, to which the members of the genus *Anaerovibrio* also conform. The chemical data presented provide independent evidence delineating this phyletic group and it is clear that the three species within the genus *Anaerovibrio*, as currently defined, are sufficiently different to be placed in different genera. We believe that the combination and integration of phenotypic and genotypic data provides a useful vehicle for examining the evolutionary and taxonomic relationships and diversity of prokaryotes. Within the framework of the present study, we have provided evidence for the taxonomic rearrangement of the members of the genus *Anaerovibrio* based on this principle. We propose that the two species *Anaerovibrio glycerini* and *Anaerovibrio burkinabensis* should be assigned to two new genera, as *Anaerosinus glycerini* gen. nov., comb. nov. and *Anaeroarcus burkinensis* [corrig.] gen. nov., comb. nov., respectively. According to Rule 65 of the Bacteriological Code (1990 Revision) (Lapage *et al.*, 1992), the name *Anaerovibrio lipolytica* Hungate 1966 is corrected to *Anaerovibrio lipolyticus* [corrig.].

**Description of Anaerosinus gen. nov.**

*Anaerosinus* [An.ae.ro.si'nu.s. Gr. pref. an not; Gr. n. aer air; anaero not (living) in air; L. masc. n. sinus bend; M.L. masc. n. *Anaerosinus* a curved organism not living in air].

Cells are curved rods or spirals exhibiting a Gram-negative cell wall. Motile, but motility may be lost in culture. Endospores are not formed. Obligately anaerobic. Chemo-organotrophic. Catalase-negative. Cytochrome b and ‘lipid F’, with octa- and non-aprenologues (ratio 1:2) as the predominating isoprenologues, are present. Mesophilic. The G+C content of the DNA is approximately 35 mol%. The type species is *Anaerosinus glycerini*.

**Description of Anaerosinus glycerini** (Schauder and Schink 1989) comb. nov.

The description of *Anaerosinus glycerini* is identical to that given for *Anaerovibrio glycerini* (Schauder & Schink, 1989). The type strain is DSM 5192T.

**Description of Anaeroarcus gen. nov.**

*Anaeroarcus* [An.ae.ro.ar'cus. Gr. pref. an not; Gr. n. aer air; anaero not (living) in air; L. masc. n. arcus a bow, arc; *Anaeroarcus* a bow not living in air].

Cells are curved or spiral-shaped rods, motile and Gram-negative. Endospores are not formed. Obligately anaerobic chemo-organotroph. A limited range of organic acids, amino acids, carbohydrates and alcohols are fermented mainly to acetate, propionate, succinate and propanol. Yeast extract, Casamino acids, peptone and Biotrypticase support growth. ‘Lipid F’, with octa- and nonaprenologues (ratio 4:1) as the predominating isoprenologues, and cytochrome b are present. Ferric iron, but not sulfate or nitrate, is reduced. Mesophilic. The G+C content of the genomic DNA is approximately 48 mol%. The type species is *Anaeroarcus burkinensis* [corrig.].

**Description of Anaeroarcus burkinensis** (Ouattara, Traore and Garcia 1992) [corrig.] comb. nov.

*Anaeroarcus burkinensis* (bur.ki.nen'sis. N.L. adj. bur-kinensis pertaining to Burkina Faso, the place from which the organism was isolated; the specific epithet burkinensis, as originally derived, has been corrected because the Latin suffix -ensis is used only to indicate geographic locations, not the inhabitants).

The description of *Anaeroarcus burkinensis* is identical to that given for *Anaerovibrio burkinabensis* (Ouattara *et al.*, 1992). The type strain is DSM 6283T.
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