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The type strains and other strains of the phenotypically similar taxa Saccharomyces castellii Capriotti, Saccharomyces dairiensis Naganishi, and Pachytichospora transvaalensis van der Walt were studied by comparing the ascospore morphologies of these organisms by examining ultrathin sections by transmission electron microscopy. The results of this study and another investigation of DNA base sequence homology demonstrated that the monotypic genus Pachytichospora van der Walt is invalid. We propose that the species Saccharomyces transvaalensis van der Walt should be reinstated.

The genus Pachytichospora was created by van der Walt (15) for the species Saccharomyces transvaalensis on the basis of apparent morphological differences between the ascospores of the type strain of this species (16) and those of the type strain of the phenotypically similar taxon Saccharomyces dairiensis Naganishi (12). Since a recent study of DNA nucleotide base sequences (16a) revealed that the levels of relatedness for the type strains of these species, as well as the type strain of Saccharomyces castellii, are variable, it was decided to examine ultrathin sections of sporulating cultures of the three species by transmission electron microscopy. Cultures were obtained from the Yeast Division of the Centraalbureau voor Schimmelcultures Collection, Delft, The Netherlands. When possible, the type strain and at least one other strain of each species were studied.

Cells from a sporulating culture on McClary’s acetate agar (10) were prepared for analysis of ultrathin sections by transmission electron microscopy. The cells were first fixed for 1 h at 4°C in 3% (vol/vol) glutaraldehyde in 0.1 M cacodylate buffer (pH 7.0) and then postfixed for 2 h in 1% osmium tetroxide in the same buffer. After dehydration with ethanol, the cells were infiltrated with propylene oxide. Ultrathin sections of sporulating cultures of the three species were prepared for analysis of ultrathin sections by transmission electron microscopy. The results of this study and another investigation of DNA base sequence homology demonstrated that the monotypic genus Pachytichospora van der Walt is invalid. We propose that the species Saccharomyces transvaalensis van der Walt should be reinstated.
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In this study the transmission electron microscope observations of van der Walt and Liebenberg (16) were partially confirmed, and thin-walled ascospores were present in the Saccharomyces dairiensis type strain preparation (Fig. 1a). However, since thick-walled ascospores were also present in the same preparation (Fig. 1b), wall thickness could be a function of relative ascospore maturity. Kreger-van Rij (5) observed that the walls of Saccharomyces cerevisiae ascospores undergo a series of modifications during maturation and germination. Black and Gorman (2) also noted that the development of ascospores in Hansenula wingei (now classified as Pichia canadensis [8]) “proceeds with separation of the two tracks of investing membrane and deposition of mucopolysaccharide in the intercellular space.” All of the other strains examined (P. transvaalensis DBVPG 6757 [T = type strain] and DBVPG 6756 and Saccharomyces castellii DBVPG 6298 and DBVPG 6353) also produced one or occasionally two thick-walled ascospores (Fig. 1c through f). The spores of the type strain DBVPG 6757 of P. transvaalensis (Fig. 1e) were somewhat larger than those produced by Saccharomyces castellii and Saccharomyces dairiensis, as noted previously by van der Walt and Liebenberg (16). In addition, the spores of P. transvaalensis DBVPG 6756 were also characterized by an outer surface covered with cilium-like appendages (Fig. 1d).

There has been much speculation concerning the relative merit of specific or generic separation based on differences in ascospore ultrastructure. Yamada and Ban no (20) proposed that the genus Hiasagawa was should be created for the species Schizosaccharomyces japonicus after Mikata and Ban no (11) observed different spore surface structures in three of the four species of the genus Schizosaccharomyces sensu Yarrow (21). In contrast, Kreger-van Rij (6) concluded that while the spores of most Zygosaccharomyces species had smooth outer walls, Zygosaccharomyces rouxii spores had warty surfaces quite similar to those observed in Debaryomyces hanseni (7). After evaluating ascospore ultrastructure, as well as a number of other characteristics, including coenzyme Q systems, physiology, and ploidy, Kreger-van Rij (6) concluded that a different spore wall structure alone was not sufficient to separate species of the then-invalid genus Torulaspora from the genus Saccharomyces. After a scanning electron microscope examination of spores of Debaryomyces and Saccharomyces strains, Kurtzman et al. (9) were also hesitant to make species or generic assignments solely on the basis of “the information obtained on ascospore surface fine structure.”
FIG. 1. Comparative ascospore morphology of *Saccharomyces* sensu lato strains. (a and b) *S. dairensis* DBVPG 6366<sup>T</sup>; (c) *Pachytichospora transvaalensis* DBVPG 6757<sup>T</sup>; (d) *P. transvaalensis* DBVPG 6756; (e and f) *S. castelli* DBVPG 6298<sup>T</sup>; (g) *S. castelli* DBVPG 6353. <sup>T</sup> indicates the type strain of the epithet.

DBVPG, Industrial Yeasts Collection, Dipartimento di Biologia Vegetale, Università degli Studi, Perugia, Italy. Bar, 1 μm.

In light of the previously expressed opinions concerning the use of ascospore ultrastructure as a taxonomic tool and considering the observations made in this study, it appears that the monotypic genus *Pachytichospora* should be abolished and the species *Saccharomyces transvaalensis* van der Walt (14) should be reinstated. This conclusion is supported by the results of DNA-DNA reassociation studies which showed that *Saccharomyces dairensis* and *Saccharomyces castellii* can be clearly separated (16a, 17, 19) and that *Pachytichospora transvaalensis* DBVPG 6757<sup>T</sup> and DBVPG 6756 occupy an intermediate position between these two distinct taxa (16a).

Intermediate levels of DNA base sequence relatedness (ca. 60%), such as those observed with *Pachytichospora transvaalensis* DBVPG 6757<sup>T</sup> and DBVPG 6756 and the unrelated species *Saccharomyces castellii* and *Saccharomyces dairensis* (16a), can raise questions about the validity of continuing to recognize distinct taxa. Nevertheless, the maintenance of *Saccharomyces transvaalensis* as a separate *Saccharomyces* species is supported by the results of two other studies. van der Walt and Liebenberg (16) could not demonstrate that mating types of *Saccharomyces transvaalensis* and two strains classified as *Saccharomyces dairensis*, type strain DBVPG 6366 and strain CBS 1579 (= DBVPG 6410), were interfertile. The latter strain and DBVPG 6353 were shown to be more than 95% homologous to the type strain of *Saccharomyces castellii* (19).

An analogous situation of greater-than-background DNA relatedness was also encountered within the sensu stricto group of the genus *Saccharomyces*. An extensive DNA-DNA reassociation study revealed that even though *Saccharomyces pastorianus* exhibits 52% homology with *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and 72% homology with *Saccharomyces bayanus*, it must be maintained as a separate taxon since the latter two species exhibit less than 10% similarity in their nucleotide base sequences (18). This separation was confirmed by the results of a study (13) which revealed the probable hybrid nature of strains related to *Saccharomyces pastorianus* by showing that at least three double, homeologous chromosomes are present in this species. Classical genetic studies have also revealed that no fertile progeny are produced from spore-to-spore matings between *Saccharomyces bayanus* and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (1, 4). Similarly, *Saccharomyces castellii*, *Saccharomyces dairensis*, and *Saccharomyces transvaalensis*, which have been shown to exhibit low to intermediate levels of DNA base sequence relatedness (16a, 17, 19), to not be interfertile (16), and to produce one or two thick-walled ascospores per ascus (this study), should be considered sibling and congeneric, but separate, species.
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