Roseobacter algicola sp. nov., a New Marine Bacterium Isolated from the Phycosphere of the Toxin-Producing Dinoflagellate Prorocentrum lima
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We describe a new species on the basis of phenotypic characteristics and the results of an analysis of small-subunit rRNA sequences. Three strains of this organism were isolated from a culture of the toxin-producing dinoflagellate Prorocentrum lima. These bacteria are gram-negative, strictly aerobic, ovoid organisms that are motile by means of one or two subpolar flagella. They grow at temperatures ranging from 10 to 37°C and in the presence of NaCl concentrations ranging from 0.1 to 2 M and have an absolute requirement for sodium ions. They are strictly aerobic with a nonfermentative type of metabolism and are not able to grow anaerobically in presence or absence of nitrate. They do not denitrify. They exhibit oxidase, catalase, gelatinase, esculinase, β-galactosidase, and (to a lesser extent) amylase activities. The three strains which we examined require thiamine and biotin for growth. They grow only when glucose, trehalose, saccharose, fructose, maltose, pyruvate, malate, citrate, esculin, 2-ketoglutarate, 5-ketogluconate, glutamate, or shikimate is present as a sole carbon source. The three strains have identical small-subunit rRNA sequences. A phylogenetic analysis of these sequences revealed that these bacteria belong to the alpha subdivision of the Proteobacteria and that they form a distinct and robust monophyletic group with Roseobacter denitrificans and Roseobacter litoralis. This result and the general phenotypic characteristics of the organisms place them in the genus Roseobacter, although they do not produce bacteriochlorophyll a, in contrast to previously described Roseobacter species. On the basis of the phenotypic and genetic similarities of these strains, we assigned them to a single species, for which the name *Roseobacter algicola* is proposed. The type strain is *R. algicola* FF3 (= ATCC 51440).

The genus Roseobacter was created to comprise two bacterial species, *Roseobacter denitrificans* and *Roseobacter litoralis*, which have been isolated from the surfaces of green seaweeds but not from seawater; these aerobic, pink-pigmented bacteria contain bacteriochlorophyll a (16, 17). The genus *Roseobacter* differs phenotypically from the closely related genus *Erythrobacter* in carotenoid composition, bacteriochlorophyll-protein complex composition, and cell shape (16).

Three bacterial strains that were isolated recently from a culture of the toxin-producing dinoflagellate *Prorocentrum lima* have been shown to produce okadaic acid (14). In this paper we show that on the basis of the results of a phenotypic examination and phylogenetic analyses of small-subunit rRNA these bacteria should be included in the genus *Roseobacter*, although none of the strains produces bacteriochlorophyll a. All of the data suggest that these three strains belong to the same new species, for which we propose the name *Roseobacter algicola*; strain FF3 (= ATCC 51440) is the type strain of this species.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Bacterial strains and growth conditions. *Roseobacter algicola* ML4, FF2, and FF3^T (T = type strain) (14) were isolated from a stationary culture of the toxin-producing marine dinoflagellate *Prorocentrum lima* PL2V (Instituto Español de Oceanografía, Vigo, Spain). Strain ML4 was isolated from the culture medium on marine agar 2216 (MA) (Difco Laboratories, Detroit, Mich.) (22), whereas strains FF2 and FF3^T were isolated from algal cells on a solid medium consisting of culture medium from which algae had been removed by filtration with membrane filters (pore size, 0.2 μm; Millipore Corp., Bedford, Mass.) supplemented with 15% (wt/vol) agar. Production of okadaic acid was demonstrated after one subculture on MA for strains ML4 and FF2 and after two subcultures for strain FF3^T (14). Reference marine organism *Roseobacter litoralis* ATCC 49566, which was included in the small-subunit rRNA analyses described below, was kindly provided by T. Shiba. All strains were maintained in marine broth 2216 (MB) (Difco) at −8°C before tests were performed. Bench cultures were prepared either with artificial Shioi’s marine medium, as adapted by Shiba (17) (SMM), or MA and were incubated at room temperature (23 to 25°C) unless indicated otherwise. None of the strains grew on thiosulfate-citrate-bile salts agar.

Electron microscopy. Detailed cell shapes and flagellar characteristics were determined by transmission electron microscopy. Cells were negatively stained with 1% (wt/vol) phosphotungstic acid in distilled water (pH 6.5) as described by Jahn (10) and were observed with a Philips model CM2 transmission electron microscope at 100 kV (Centre Commun de Microscopie Appliquée, Faculté des Sciences, Nice, France).

Phenotypic analysis. Routine tests (Gram staining tests and oxidase, catalase, β-galactosidase, gelatinase, alkaline phosphatase, tributyrine, phospholipase, esculinase, tweenase 20, tweenase 80, lecithinase, DNase, amylose, alginate, agarase, and urease activity tests) were performed with exponential cells as described by Smibert and Krieg (18). Pigment production (including color of colonies and diffusible pigment production) and luminescence were determined on MA, MA sup-
implemented with 0.5% (wt/vol) starch, SMM, and King’s B medium after 1 week. Reduction of nitrate and reduction of nitrite were determined after 6 days of incubation in SMM containing 0.2% KNO₃ at pH 7.6. Metabolism of carbohydrates was determined by the oxidation-fermentation method of Leifson (12), using maltose as the sole source of carbon and energy. Anaerobic growth was studied by using MB supplemented or not supplemented with 0.2% KNO₃ and a BBL GasPak system (Becton Dickinson and Co., Cockeysville, Md.). Arginine dihydrolase, lysine decarboxylase, and ornithine decarboxylase activities were determined by using techniques described by Bauman et al. (2, 3) for marine bacteria. We determined the effects of pH (pH 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, and 10), temperature (4, 20, 37, and 44°C), and NaCl concentration (0, 0.01, 0.1, 0.25, 0.5, 1, 1.5, and 2 M) on growth in MB. Results were recorded after 3 days of incubation. Whether Na⁺ ions were required was determined by the method of Colwell and Wiebe (6).

We determined whether carbon sources were utilized by using the organic acid medium used by Shiba (16) and the following substrates, each at a concentration of 0.1% (wt/vol): DL-arabinose, ribose, DL-xylene, galactose, D-glucose, D-fructose, D-mannose, rhamnose, cellobiose, maltose, lactose, D-melibiose, saccharose, trehalose, raffinose, gentiobiose, melezitose, D-turanose, D-hexose, sucrose, glycerol, erythritol, dulcitol, adonitol, inositol, D-mannitol, D-sorbitol, xylitol, methanol, amydralin, inulin, esculin, salicin, N-acetyl-glucosamine, D-glucuronate, acetate, pyruvate, succinate, malate, citrate, 2-ketogluconate, 5-ketogluconate, 2-ketoglutarate, butyrate, DL-β-hydroxybutyrate, L-glutamate, shikimate, L-proline, L-histidine, L-arginine, L-lysine, and L-tryptophan. Whether polyhydroxybutyrate was accumulated was determined by the method of Baumann and Baumann (2). Requirements for thiamine, biotin, nicotinic acid, and sodium panthotenate were determined by the method used by Shiba for Roseobacter litoralis and Roseobacter denitrificans (16).

Susceptibilities to inhibitors were determined on MA by using the standard antibiogram method (5) and the following antibiotics (Bio-disks; B-D Mérieux, Marcy l’Etoile, France): chloramphenicol (30 μg), erythromycin (15 μg), streptomycin (10 μg), kanamycin (10 μg), streptomycin (10 μg), novobiocin (30 μg), penicillin G (10 U), cephaloridine (30 μg), tetracycline (30 μg), neomycin (30 μg), and the vibriostatic agent pteridin 0/129 (100 μg).

Production of bacteriochlorophyll a was determined by both spectrometric analysis and thin-layer chromatography of methanolic cell extracts. Cells grown in 200 ml of SMM with no light at all were collected by centrifugation at 5000 g and 20°C for 5 min at the mid-exponential phase, and the resulting pellet was extracted in the dark at 4°C with 100 ml of methyl alcohol. The methanolic extract was concentrated under a vacuum (Rotavapor) at 35°C in the dark to a volume of approximately 1 ml. The presence of pigments was determined by visible spectrophotometry (350 to 900 nm) with a Beckman model DU70 UV-visible spectrophotometer. The remaining extract was lyophilized, dissolved in 100 μl of methyl alcohol-acetone (1:1), and loaded onto a silica gel thin-layer chromatography plate (Kiesel Gel 60; Merck, Darmstadt, Germany). The plate was developed with petroleum ether-acetone-benzene (85:40:5).

DNA amplification. The method used to prepare bacterial DNA for PCR was adapted from the method of Sneath and Barker (21). Bacteria were grown on marine agar, and colonies were suspended in 200 μl of lysis mixture (10 mM Tris [pH 8.0], 1% Triton X-100) and boiled for 5 min. After a single chloroform extraction, 5 μl of supernatant was used to amplify the small-subunit rRNA genes with two primers, which corresponded to positions 8 to 28 and 1498 to 1509 in the Escherichia coli small-subunit rRNA sequence. The initial denaturation step consisted of heating the reaction mixture at 95°C for 180 s, and this was followed by an annealing step (52°C for 60 s) and an extension step (72°C for 90 s). The thermal profile used subsequently was as follows: 25 cycles consisting of denaturation at 94°C for 30 s, annealing at 52°C for 60 s, and extension at 72°C for 90 s. A final extension step was performed at 72°C for 5 min. This amplification reaction produced 1.5-kb DNA molecules.

PCR product direct sequencing. The PCR products were analyzed on a 1% low-melting-point agarose gel that included a molecular weight standard for quantification of the PCR products. The PCR products were directly sequenced by using a protocol described by Anderson et al. (1), with slight modifications. We determined almost the entire small-subunit rRNA sequence (corresponding to positions 29 to 1425 in the E. coli rRNA sequence) for each new strain and for Roseobacter litoralis. Eleven DNA primers were used in the sequencing reaction. These primers corresponded to the following positions in the E. coli sequence: primer S2, positions 99 to 119; primer S3, positions 242 to 262; primer S4, positions 342 to 356; primer S6, positions 518 to 534; primer S8, positions 684 to 702; primer S10, positions 906 to 923; primer S12, positions 1099 to 1114; primer S14, positions 1223 to 1240; primer S15, positions 1384 to 1400; and primer S17, positions 1493 to 1509. A reverse primer (positions 8 to 28) was used in conjunction with primer S17 to amplify the small-subunit ribosomal DNAs.

Phylogenetic analysis and alignment: general procedure. The phylogenetic data described below were obtained (i) by using successive alignment and phylogeny procedures and (ii) by reinvestigating deep branching patterns after close relationships were determined. In each phylogenetic analysis, we restricted the comparisons to nucleotide positions that were aligned without doubt. Some analyses were performed several times, with or without small domains that could have reached the point of saturation for mutations. Although this approach was probably not as efficient as carefully weighting each position independently, it was easier and was probably a reasonable compromise considering the possible problems of crossing over that sometimes affect rRNA sequences (20). We also performed some analyses several times by including and excluding particular species that had high rates of mutation or that were distant outgroups, in order to better resolve relationships among closely related species (19). For each phylogenetic analysis, in order to keep computation times within reasonable limits, it was not possible to include all representatives of outgroups and ingroups in maximum-parsimony and maximum-likelihood analyses. This problem was alleviated by performing multiple analyses with different outgroups and different ingroups (as determined by the neighbor-joining analysis). All sequence alignments and species selection were done by using computer programs developed by us and available on request from R. Christen.

Phylogenetic methods. (i) Neighbor joining. A neighbor-joining algorithm like that developed by Saitou and Nei (15) was used. The program was rewritten to include inputs and outputs compatible with the ribosomal database and other programs developed in our laboratory (running on 386-compatible personal computers and above).

(ii) Maximum parsimony. The PAUP program (22) for Macintosh computers was used for the maximum-parsimony analysis. All topologies were first obtained by using the heuristic option. According to the time used, a branch and bound search was then undertaken by using the full data set or a
restricted set of species selected on the basis of the results of the heuristic search. When several most-parsimonious trees were obtained, a 100% consensus tree was constructed and treated as the most parsimonious tree for constructing figures. Finally, a bootstrap analysis was performed (heuristic option) to check each topology for robustness. We favored a large number of analyses and 100 replications for each analysis rather than a high number (1,000) of bootstrap replications, since our experience has shown that increasing the number of bootstrap replications to more than 100 usually has only a small influence on the results compared with the choice of species.

(iii) Maximum likelihood. The fdNAml program, which was derived from DNAML program (7) and was rewritten by G. J. Olsen (University of Illinois, Urbana), was used with a Hewlett-Packard model 700 workstation. All analyses were performed by using the global option (in fact, F Y G options). Finally, all trees were constructed by using a Macintosh computer and a program (njplot) developed by M. Gouy (URA 243, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Université Claude Bernard, Villeurbanne, France), which allowed a formal tree representation (Newick's format) to be transformed into MacDraw drawings. Only topologies that were found to be similar by all three methods were retained as “true trees.” Recent theoretical works have indeed demonstrated that convergence of the results of all three methods is a very strong indication that the correct phylogeny has been determined (9, 11).

rRNA sequences from the following species were used to construct a rooted phylogenetic tree (see Fig. 3): Afipia clevelandensis, Caulobacter bacteroides, Azospirillum lipofenum, Porphyrobacter neustonensis, Sphingomonas adhaesiva, Rhodopseudomonas marina, Bartonella bacilliformis, Rhizobium leguminosarum, Roseobacter denitrificans, Paracoccus denitrificans, Hirsutella balitica, Ehrlichia ewingii, Wolbachia pipientis, and Rickettsia rickettsii. In addition, rRNA sequences from the following organisms were used to construct an unrooted tree (see Fig. 4): Rhodobacter capsulatus, Rhodobacter sphaeroides, the Sargasso Sea bacterium (4), Hyphomonas jannaschiana, and Hyphomonas sp.

Bacterial strain accession numbers. Strains ML4, FF2, and FF3 have been deposited in the American Type Culture Collection as strains ATCC 51441, ATCC 51442, and ATCC 51440, respectively.

Nucleotide sequence accession numbers. The small-subunit rRNA nucleotide sequences determined in this study have been deposited in the EMBL database under accession numbers X78313 (strain ML4), X78314 (strain FF2), X78315 (strain FF3), and X78312 (Roseobacter litoralis ATCC 49566). The accession numbers for the other nucleotide sequences used to differentiate Roseobacter algicola from Roseobacter litoralis and Roseobacter denitrificans are shown in Table 1. Roseobacter algicola strains exhibited oxidase, catalase, gelatinase, esculin, and β-galactosidase activities and a faint amylolytic activity. TWEENase, lecithinase, tributyrase, phospholipase, alkaline phosphatase, gelatinase, DNase, arginine dehydrolase, lysine decarboxylase, and ornithine decarboxylase activities were not detected. A urease activity was detected only in strain FF2 (Table 2). Strains ML4, FF2, and FF3 were not able to reduce nitrate or to grow anaerobically with or without nitrate. They exhibited oxidative metabolism of glucose. All three strains were able to use the following substrates as sole sources of carbon and energy: glucose, trehalose, saccharose, fructose, maltose, pyruvate, malate, citrate, esculin, 2-ketogluconate, 5-ketogluconate, glutamate, and shikimate. Some other organic compounds were used variably (Table 2). None of the strains accumulated polyhydroxybutyrate intracellularly. Bacteriochlorophyll a was not detected in methanolic extracts of strains ML4, FF2, and FF3 (Fig. 2). The peak observed at 413 nm might correspond to Mg-porphyrin compounds. Considering the strict conditions used during the extraction process (dark, low temperature), it can reasonably be assumed that these bacteria do not produce bacteriochlorophyll a.

Susceptibility to inhibitors. All three strains were susceptible to chloramphenicol, erythromycin, novobiocin, and penicillin G and exhibited slight susceptibility to kanamycin and tetracycline. They were resistant to the other antibiotics tested and to the vibriostatic agent pteridin 0/129. However, the results obtained for pteridin 0/129 were not completely reliable since the test had to be performed in a saline medium (13).

Small-subunit rRNA sequence and phylogenetic analyses. The small-subunit rRNA sequences of isolates FF2, FF3, and ML4 were identical. Because of this, all phylogenetic analyses were performed with one of these small-subunit rRNA sequences. All sequences were aligned by comparing them with a database containing more than 2,000 aligned eubacterial small-subunit rRNA sequences. Phylogenetic analyses that included representatives of all eubacterial phyla and all prokaryotic subdivisions revealed that the three new bacteria belong to the alpha subdivision of the Proteobacteria (data not

RESULTS

Cell shape, Gram staining results, and motility. The three strains of Roseobacter algicola were gram-negative, non-sporo-forming organisms. Cells actively growing in MB and Shiba's medium at 22°C were ovoid rods that were 2 to 3 μm long and 0.8 to 1.5 μm in diameter, were motile by means of one or two subpolar flagella, and contained several refringent spherical granules (Fig. 1).

Culture and growth characteristics. On MA and SMM incubated at 23 to 25°C, young colonies were circular (diameters, 0.5 to 1 mm), smooth, convex, and white with regular edges. After 6 days of incubation, colonies were 2 mm in diameter and pinkish beige with brownish edges (strain ML4), and no diffusible or fluorescent pigments were produced. No bioluminescence was detected. Strain ML4 produced a faint brown diffusible pigment in King B medium. In MB and SMM broth, all of the strains exhibited slow growth in the top 1 centimeter only, and no superficial pellicle was formed. Growth was strongly enhanced by vigorous aeration. All three strains grew at temperatures between 10 and 37°C, and optimal growth occurred at 25 to 30°C. The organisms tolerated a range of pH values (pH 6 to 9; optimal growth occurred at pH 7.5) and NaCl concentrations (0.1 to 2 M). They had an absolute requirement for Na⁺ cations, since no growth was observed in a medium without Na⁺, even when osmolality was increased by adding dextran (which was not metabolized).

Physiological and biochemical characteristics. The phenotypic characteristics that differentiated Roseobacter algicola from Roseobacter litoralis and Roseobacter denitrificans are shown in Table 1. Roseobacter algicola strains exhibited oxidase, catalase, gelatinase, esculin, and β-galactosidase activities and a faint amylolytic activity. TWEENase, lecithinase, tributyrase, phospholipase, alkaline phosphatase, gelatinase, DNase, arginine dehydrolase, lysine decarboxylase, and ornithine decarboxylase activities were not detected. A urease activity was detected only in strain FF2 (Table 2). Strains ML4, FF2, and FF3 were not able to reduce nitrate or to grow anaerobically with or without nitrate. They exhibited oxidative metabolism of glucose. All three strains were able to use the following substrates as sole sources of carbon and energy: glucose, trehalose, saccharose, fructose, maltose, pyruvate, malate, citrate, esculin, 2-ketogluconate, 5-ketogluconate, glutamate, and shikimate. Some other organic compounds were used variably (Table 2). None of the strains accumulated polyhydroxybutyrate intracellularly. Bacteriochlorophyll a was not detected in methanolic extracts of strains ML4, FF2, and FF3 (Fig. 2). The peak observed at 413 nm might correspond to Mg-porphyrin compounds. Considering the strict conditions used during the extraction process (dark, low temperature), it can reasonably be assumed that these bacteria do not produce bacteriochlorophyll a.

Susceptibility to inhibitors. All three strains were susceptible to chloramphenicol, erythromycin, novobiocin, and penicillin G and exhibited slight susceptibility to kanamycin and tetracycline. They were resistant to the other antibiotics tested and to the vibriostatic agent pteridin 0/129. However, the results obtained for pteridin 0/129 were not completely reliable since the test had to be performed in a saline medium (13).

Small-subunit rRNA sequence and phylogenetic analyses. The small-subunit rRNA sequences of isolates FF2, FF3, and ML4 were identical. Because of this, all phylogenetic analyses were performed with one of these small-subunit rRNA sequences. All sequences were aligned by comparing them with a database containing more than 2,000 aligned eubacterial small-subunit rRNA sequences. Phylogenetic analyses that included representatives of all eubacterial phyla and all prokaryotic subdivisions revealed that the three new bacteria belong to the alpha subdivision of the Proteobacteria (data not
FIG. 1. Electron micrographs of negatively stained strain ML4, FF2, and FF3T cells grown on SMM. The cells were stained at the end of the exponential phase of growth. Bars = 1 μm.

shown). The phylogenetic position of the new bacteria was then investigated in more detail by including other representatives of the alpha subdivision of the Proteobacteria and representatives of the four other subdivisions of the Proteobacteria (the beta, gamma, delta, and epsilon subdivisions) as outgroups. The analyses were performed by using the following three phylogenetic methods: the neighbor-joining method, the maximum-parsimony method, and the maximum-likelihood method. The results of all analyses were always consistent, regardless of which method was used or which representatives of the outgroups were used. The results of these analyses are summarized in Fig. 3. In all analyses, the new bacteria clustered with members of the alpha subdivision along with members of the genus Roseobacter. We also investigated the phylogenetic position of the new bacteria by using a smaller set of sequences from taxa related to the genus Roseobacter and the three methods described above. A maximum-parsimony analysis was performed by using the exhaustive search option, and with this method we constructed one most-parsimonious tree (length, 402; consistency index, 0.668; retention index, 0.706). All of the branches were well supported by the results of a maximum-likelihood analysis (all branches exhibited a significantly positive length at P < 0.01) and by the results of 100 bootstrap replications in the maximum-parsimony analysis. We obtained the same topology with all three methods, showing not only that the new bacteria are closely related to Ro-
TABLE 1. Phenotypic characteristics that differentiate Roseobacter algicola from previously described Roseobacter species

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Roseobacter litoralis&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Roseobacter denitrificans&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Roseobacter algicola</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Morphology</td>
<td>Rods or ovoid rods</td>
<td>Rods or ovoid rods</td>
<td>Ovoid rods</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No, and arrangement of flagella</td>
<td>1-3, subpolar</td>
<td>1-3, subpolar</td>
<td>1-2, subpolar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Growth at 4°C</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Growth at 37°C</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Growth anaerobically in the presence of NO&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrate reduced to nitrite</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrolysis of:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tween 80</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Starch</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utilization of the following compounds as sole sources of carbon:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maltose</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saccharose</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trehalose</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Esculin</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shikimate</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proline</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-Ketoglutarate</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-Hydroxybutyrate</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Requirement for nicotinic acid</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production of bacteriochlorophyll a</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nondiffusible pigment</td>
<td>Pink to red</td>
<td>Pink to red</td>
<td>Beige</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffusible pigment</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>None or brown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G+C content of DNA (mol%)</td>
<td>56.3–58.1</td>
<td>59.6</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Data from references 16 and 17.
<sup>b</sup> +, positive reaction; -, negative reaction; (+), weakly positive reaction; V, variable reaction (Table 2); ND, not determined.
<sup>c</sup> Under phototrophic conditions.

This conclusion was confirmed by the observation that all three of these strains have identical small-subunit rRNA sequences. However, our data are not conclusive enough to justify placing these organisms in the same species (8), and definitive assignment will require a determination of levels of DNA-DNA hybridization.

The results of our analyses of small-subunit rRNA sequences confirmed that Roseobacter litoralis and Roseobacter denitrificans form a well-defined taxon that deserves the rank of genus. The same analyses revealed an unambiguous affiliation between the new bacteria and the alpha subdivision of the Proteobacteria and the existence of a very robust monophyletic unit formed by the two previously described Roseobacter species and the three new strains (the results of all phylogenetic analyses were consistent and were strongly supported by bootstrap replication data). The new marine bacteria have some distinctive phenotypic characteristics that distinguish them from Roseobacter denitrificans and Roseobacter litoralis, but also that they form a robust monophyletic unit with these species (Fig. 4).

### DISCUSSION

**Species assignment.** The ecological and phenotypic characteristics of strains ML4, FF2, and FF3<sup>T</sup> suggested that these organisms are related to species belonging to the genus Roseobacter. The members of both groups were isolated from the surfaces (or vicinities) of algae, although Roseobacter litoralis and Roseobacter denitrificans are macroscopic seaweed inhabitants. Furthermore, ML4, FF2, and FF3<sup>T</sup> exhibited a high level of phenotypic similarity to Roseobacter species since more than 90% of the phenotypic traits analyzed in our study were identical in both groups (phenotypic traits that differentiate strains ML4, FF2, and FF3<sup>T</sup> from Roseobacter litoralis and Roseobacter denitrificans are shown in Table 2). The phenotypic characteristics of strains ML4, FF2, and FF3<sup>T</sup> are sufficiently similar to assign these organisms to a single species.
ROSEOBACTER ALGICOLA SP. NOV.

The large numbers of differences observed between the small-subunit rRNA sequences of the new strains and those of the previously described Roseobacter species (74 differences with Roseobacter litoralis and 87 differences with Roseobacter denitrificans in 1,265 nucleotides) and the phenotypic differences provide strong evidence that a new species should be recognized. Although we still lack carotenoid, genomic, and fatty acid composition data to confirm that this bacterium has some of the important characteristics of the genus Roseobacter, considering the robustness of the monophyletic taxon that includes these three species, we feel that it is more appropriate to assign this bacterium to the genus Roseobacter than to create a new genus. Consequently, we propose the name Roseobacter algicola (alg'i cola L. n. alga, alga; L. subst. cola, dweller; M. L. n. algicola, alga dweller).

The cells of the type strain of Roseobacter algicola (strain FF3) are ovoid (length, 2 to 3 μm; width, 0.8 to 1.6 μm) in the logarithmic growth phase. The cells are gram negative, do not form spores, and are motile by means of one or two subpolar flagella. Colonies on salt-containing agar media are beige when the cultures are young and pinkish beige after 96 h of incubation.

Cells grow at temperatures ranging from 10 to 37°C, and optimal growth occurs at 25 to 30°C. The cells have an absolute requirement for sodium ions and can grow in the presence of NaCl concentrations ranging from 0.1 to 2 M. The cells are strictly aerobic with a nonfermentative type metabolism; they do not grow anaerobically on glucose in the presence or absence of nitrate. The cells are not able to denitrify. They exhibit oxidase, catalase, gelatinase, esculinase, β-galactosidase, and (to a lesser extent) amylase activities. They do not accumulate polyhydroxybutyrate. They use d-glucose, trehalose, saccharose, d-fructose, maltose, melezitose, acetate, pyruvate, malate, citrate, esculin, 2-ketoglutarate, 5-ketogluconate, l-proline, l-arginine, l-glutamate, and shikimate, but not d-araebinos, ribose, d-lxylene, galactose, d-mannose, rhamnose, cellobiose, lactose, d-melibiose, raffinose, gentiobiose, d-turanose, d-lyxose, d-fucose, glycerol, erythritol, dulcitol, adonitol, inositol, d-mannit, d-sorbitol, xylitol, melthan, amygdalin, inulin, salcin, N-acetylglucosamine, d-glucionate, 2-ketogluconate, 2-ketoglutarate, butyrate, d-l-β-hydroxybutyrate, l-histidine, l-lysine, and l-tryptophan. They do not produce bacteriochlorophyll a. FF3T cells are resistant to oleanemycin, gentamicin, streptomycin, and neomycin, slightly susceptible to kanamycin and tetracycline, and susceptible to chloramphenicol, erythromycin, novobiocin, and penicillin G.

On the basis of its small-subunit rRNA sequence, this bacterium belongs to the alpha subdivision of the Proteobacteria and is part of a distinct monophyletic group containing Roseobacter denitrificans and Roseobacter litoralis. Strain FF3T was isolated from a culture of the toxin-producing dinoflagellate Prorocentrum lima PLV2 obtained from Vigo, Spain; this strain has been deposited in the American Type Culture Collection as strain ATCC 51440T.
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