Base Compositions and Homologies of Deoxyribonucleic Acids of Corynebacteria Isolated from Human Leprosy Lesions and of Related Microorganisms
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The deoxyribonucleic acids (DNAs) of 25 strains of leprosy-derived corynebacteria (LDC)—non-acid-fast, gram-positive bacteria independently isolated from human leprosy lesions and propagated in axenic culture—were purified and analyzed. The guanine plus cytosine content, by buoyant density determination, was 54 to 59 mol% for most LDC strains, a range that corresponds to that (50 to 60 mol%) of corynebacteria which multiply in animal cells. These values were checked by chromatographic analyses of acid digests of the DNAs. The taxonomic position of the LDC as determined by DNA base composition was confirmed by the results of the corynomycolic acid determinations of the cell walls of the LDC. The results of the hybridization of the DNAs from the LDC strains suggest the occurrence of two high-homology groups, in which most of the strains were accommodated. In contrast, little homology was observed between the DNAs of the LDC and the reference corynebacteria employed. From these data, it can be inferred that the LDC represent a homogeneous and unique cluster of organisms within the genus Corynebacterium, more specifically within the group of corynebacteria pathogenic for humans.

In addition to Mycobacterium leprae—an acid-fast, gram-positive bacterium which cannot be propagated in vitro—other microorganisms, referred to as “diphtheroids” or “coryneforms,” have been recognized in human leprosy lesions (2, 10, 11). The latter gram-positive bacteria, which are not acid fast and which multiply in axenic culture, were tentatively identified as corynebacteria on the basis of the structure of their cell envelopes, in particular, on the basis of the presence of corynomycolic acid in the cell walls (14)—hence, the proposal by Barksdale (2) to designate these organisms as leprosy-derived corynebacteria (LDC). However, immunochromatological studies showed that ribosomes isolated from LDC strains cross-reacted with antisera against mycobacteria rather than with antisera against corynebacteria (15).

In the present work, the deoxyribonucleic acids (DNAs) of 25 independently isolated LDC were purified. Although the initial preparations gave DNAs with abnormally low guanine-plus-cytosine (G+C) values, because of the presence of tightly bound polypeptides, pure LDC DNAs were obtained by improving the purification procedure. The base contents of the purified DNAs were determined to establish with greater precision the taxonomic position of the LDC. In addition, DNA hybridization experiments were carried out to assess the genetic homogeneity of this group of microorganisms and to determine their relationship, if any, to the reference corynebacteria studied.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial strains. The LDC strains were isolated from leprosy patients of different countries; they were obtained through the courtesy of J. Delville (University of Louvain Medical School, Brussels, Belgium), and details concerning their origin are reported in Table 1. Reference strains of Corynebacterium species were obtained from the National Collection of Type Cultures, London, England and the National Collection of Plant Pathogenic Bacteria, Harpenden, England.

Cultivation. LDC were grown at 37°C under forced aeration in a medium containing (per liter): Casitone (Difco Laboratories, Detroit, Mich.), 25 g; FeNH₄ citrate, 0.05 g; Na₂HPO₄·2H₂O, 3.14 g; KH₃PO₄, 1 g; MgSO₄·7H₂O, 0.01 g; sodium succinate·6H₂O, 7 g; NH₄Cl, 5 g; MgCl₂·6H₂O, 0.3 g; KHCO₃, 0.5 g; ZnSO₄·7H₂O, 6 mg; CaCl₂·4H₂O, 1.5 mg; MnCl₂·4H₂O, 1.5 mg; FeSO₄·7H₂O, 1.5 mg; CuSO₄·5H₂O, 0.45 mg; CoCl₂·6H₂O, 0.48 mg; Na₂MoO₄·2H₂O, 0.75 mg; ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA), disodium salt, 5 mg; and 5% (vol/vol) horse serum. Reference corynebacteria were grown in heart infusion broth in shaken flasks either at 37°C (animal parasites) or at 25°C (plant pathogens).

DNA extraction. Bacteria harvested by centrifugation (6500 × g, 15 min, 4°C) and suspended in 0.15 M NaCl plus 0.1 M EDTA (pH 8) were frozen and thawed.
three times, incubated with lysozyme and then with
pronase (3 mg/10^11 cells per ml for each enzyme, 37°C,
3 h), mixed with an equal volume of phenol previously
saturated with 0.1 M NaCl and 0.1 M tris(hydroxy-
methyl)aminomethane (Tris)-hydrochloride (pH
7). Purification of the DNA was achieved by chromatography on
hydroxyapatite columns by the method of Bernardi
(3). Samples containing 30 to 40 A260 (absorbance at
260 nm) units of DNA in the urea phosphate buffer
were loaded on hydroxyapatite columns (4 cm wide by
1 cm high; DNA-grade Bio-Gel HTP from Bio-Rad
Laboratories, Richmond, Calif.; void volume, 8 ml),
which were washed, first with 8 M urea plus 0.24 M
sodium phosphate buffer (pH 6.8) and then with 0.14
M sodium phosphate buffer (pH 6.8); the DNA was
eluted with 0.48 M sodium phosphate buffer (pH 6.8)
(19). The average yield was 1 mg of DNA per g (wet
weight) of cells.

**Chemical and physical determinations of DNA.** The
purity of each DNA preparation was established by
spectrophotometric determinations ($A_{260}/A_{230}$ and
$A_{260}/A_{230}$ ratios). The absence of contamination by
protein and by ribonucleic acid was checked by the
Folin phenol method and by the orcinol procedure
(8).

### TABLE 1. Designations and sources of the strains studied

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Designation</th>
<th>Origin</th>
<th>Isolation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New (LDC)</td>
<td>Old</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Country</td>
<td>Leprosy stage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 D27</td>
<td>Z</td>
<td>LL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 D32</td>
<td>Z</td>
<td>TT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 D40</td>
<td>Z</td>
<td>BB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 D43</td>
<td>Z</td>
<td>TT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 D45</td>
<td>Z</td>
<td>BB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 D52H</td>
<td>Z</td>
<td>TB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 D53</td>
<td>Z</td>
<td>LL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 L3</td>
<td>Z</td>
<td>LL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 L4</td>
<td>Z</td>
<td>LL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 273</td>
<td>Z</td>
<td>TT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 0122</td>
<td>Z</td>
<td>LL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 C3</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>LL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 AGA</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>LL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 84</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>LL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 86</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>LL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 AAIII</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>LL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 LIL</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>LL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 KIM</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>LL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 MANGA</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>LL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 MEDALLE-X</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>bm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 FP266</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>LL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 FP269</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>LL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23 S.A. NaOH</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>Mice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 2628LB</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>LL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*New (LDC) designation replacing the old symbols of "coryneform" isolates after their identification as true
corynebacteria.

*Countries: Z, Zaire; V, Venezuela; S, Senegal; E, Ethiopia; P, Portugal; C, Canada; K, Cameroon; F,
Philippines; U, United States.

*Leprosy stages: TT, tuberculoid; TB, BB, and BL, borderline; LL, lepromatous.

*Biopsy material: s, skin lesions; b, blood; bm, bone marrow.

*Not on Approved Lists of Bacterial Names (23), not validly published since 1 January 1980, and hence
without standing in bacterial nomenclature. Abbreviations: NCPPB, National Collection of Plant Pathogenic
Bacteria; National Collection of Type Cultures.
preparative L65 ultracentrifuge (Spinco) equipped with an ultraviolet scanner and recorder was used. The initial density of the samples was $\rho_{20,000}=1.720$ g/cm$^3$. Samples were centrifuged at 280,000 $\times$ g for 24 to 48 h at 20°C either in the swing-out preparative rotor SW 50.1 or in the analytical Spinco rotor.

Base determinations from acid digests of DNA (28) were made as follows. Samples containing 1 mg of DNA in 20 $\mu$l of 70% perchloric acid were heated at 100°C for 1 h, cooled, neutralized with 4 N KOH in ice, and centrifuged. For descending chromatography, portions of supernatant samples were applied to 3 MM Whatman paper (Balston, Maidstone, England), and a mixture of isopropanol-HCl-water (170:41:39) was used as the solvent. The resultant spots, visualized under ultraviolet light at 253 nm, were eluted with 0.1 N HCl, and the concentration of the bases was determined spectrophotometrically.

DNA hybridization. DNA was labeled by the "nick translation" procedure (17, 22). DNA samples (1 $\mu$g/0.2 ml) in 50 mM NaCl, 5 mM dithiothreitol, and 0.2 M Tris-hydrochloride buffer (pH 7.4) were incubated with $7.5 \times 10^{-10}$ mol of unlabeled triphosphates of deoxythymidine and guanosine and 40 pmol of [32P]deoxyctydine triphosphate (The Radiochemical Center, Amersham, England). The reaction was started by the addition of deoxyribonuclease (1.35 $\times$ 10$^{-4}$ $\mu$g) and DNA polymerase I (2.45 $\mu$g). After 90 min at 20°C, EDTA (10$^{-5}$ M) was included, and DNA was phenol extracted and purified as described above, except that dialysis of 32P-labeled DNA against 0.1 mM EDTA plus 10 mM Tris-hydrochloride buffer (pH 7.5) for 14 h at 4°C was included.

Unlabeled DNA in 0.9 M NaCl-0.09 M sodium citrate buffer (pH 7.6) was denatured by heating at 100°C for 5 min and quick cooling in melting ice and was filtered through nitrocellulose filters (0.45-$\mu$m pore size, type BA85; Schleicher-Schull, Dassel, Germany; 20 $\mu$g of DNA per 23-mm filter). The filters were repeatedly washed with the same buffer, dried overnight at 20°C, and heated for 4 h at 80°C.

The hybridization of labeled DNA to unlabeled nitrocellulose-bound DNA was performed essentially as described previously (12, 25). Filters with anchored DNA were placed in stoppered vials containing 1 ml of 0.45 M NaCl plus 0.045 M sodium citrate buffer (pH 7.6) to which Ficoll, polyvinyl pyrrolidone, and bovine serum albumin (0.02%, wt/vol, each) were added. The vials were incubated for 4 h at 68°C before the hybridization reactions were started. The preincubation buffer was removed and was replaced with a sample of sonicated and heat-denatured 32P-labeled DNA in 1 ml of the same buffer; incubation was at 68°C for 24 h. Filters were then removed, washed on both sides with 50 ml of 3 mM Tris-hydrochloride buffer (pH 9.35), air dried, and counted in a spectrometer with a scintillation fluid containing 4 g of Omnifluor (New England Nuclear Corp., Boston, Mass.) per liter of toluene.

Enzymes. Lysozyme (crystalline N-acetylmuramoyl-hydrolase from the whites of hens' eggs, 22,000 U/mg, EC 3.2.1.17), deoxyribonuclease I (deoxyribonuclease $I_{N}$), and DNA polymerase I (deoxynucleotidohydrolase from bovine pancreas, 2,000 U/mg, EC 2.7.7.7) were obtained from Boehringer (Mannheim, Germany). Pronase (neutral proteinase from Streptomyces griseus, 100,000 U/mg, EC 3.4.24.4) was from Serva Feinbiochemicals (Heidelberg, Germany), and ribonuclease A (from bovine pancreas crystalline ribonuclease $I_{N}$, pyrimido-oligonucleotidohydrolase I, EC 3.1.4.22), from Sigma Chemical Co. (Saint Louis, Mo.).

RESULTS

Evaluation of the purification and analytical procedures. Preliminary to comparative analyses of the DNAs from the LDC, the DNA compositions of selected strains had to be assessed by both chemical and physical methods. In fact, contaminating polypeptides and polysaccharides are known to alter determinations of the buoyant density of DNA, as does the presence of unusual bases and base-bound hexoses. Indeed, in earlier studies in which shorter purification procedures were used, DNA samples with abnormally low G+C contents were obtained by buoyant density determination in CsCl. Purification by passage of the preparations through hydroxyapatite columns improved the quality of the final products. The data in Table 2 indicate that the corynebacterial DNA samples, which were prepared and purified by the method described above, yielded comparable G+C values with both the chemical and physical methods of analysis. The results obtained with the reference corynebacteria were also consistent with those cited in the literature.

Base compositions of DNAs from LDC and reference corynebacteria. Purified DNAs from 25 selected LDC were analyzed by ultracentrifugation in CsCl gradients. The G+C contents (by buoyant density) were within the range of 54 to 60 mol% in most instances (Table 3). The values obtained by ultracentrifugation and base determination of acid hydrolysates were usually within 1 mol% of each other. The values in Table 3 can be classified into three groups: (i) values between 57 and 60 mol% G+C (more than half of the strains tested), (ii) values between 54 and 57 mol% (about one-fourth of the strains), and (iii) values outside the 54 to 60 mol% range (less than one-sixth of all the samples). The base compositions of the DNAs from the LDC strains are, therefore, similar to those (50 to 60 mol% G+C) of corynebacteria pathogenic for animals and dissimilar to those (60 to 70 mol% G+C) of corynebacterial parasites of plants.

Hybridization of DNA from LDC and reference corynebacteria. DNA homology among the LDC strains were tested by hybridization of DNA labeled by "nick translation" with unlabeled DNA anchored to nitrocellulose filters. Isologous hybridization values, which ranged between 20 and 30% of the input, were taken as 100% reference data. Results obtained by heterologous hybridization were calculated as 100% reference values.
TABLE 2. Biophysical properties of DNAs from LDC and from reference corynebacteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Absorbance ((A_{230-280}))</th>
<th>Buoyant density ((\rho_{23}))</th>
<th>Base composition (ATCG)</th>
<th>G+C content (mol%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Corynebacterium hoffmannii</strong></td>
<td>0.960</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>56.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCTC 231</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Corynebacterium xerosis</strong></td>
<td>0.930</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>58.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCTC 9755</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDC6</td>
<td>0.750</td>
<td>2.11</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>59.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDC11</td>
<td>0.730</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>59.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDC14</td>
<td>1.020</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>1.97</td>
<td>59.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDC20</td>
<td>0.980</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>57.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The level of contaminating proteins and ribonucleic acid (in all samples) was lower than the minimum amount detectable by the colorimetric procedure used (i.e., 1 \(\mu\)g/50 \(\mu\)g of DNA per ml).

The buoyant density in CsCl gradient was estimated relative to that of virus 2C DNA (refractive index \(R_{23}\) = 1.403, buoyant density \(\rho_{23} = 1.742\)).

* Base composition is in moles of adenine, thymine, guanine, and cytosine per 100 mol of total bases, by chromatography of acid hydrolysates.

G+C content (percentage G+C of total bases) was the average of the values obtained by buoyant density and spectrophotometric base determinations.

Not on Approved Lists of Bacterial Names (23), not validly published since 1 January 1980, and hence without standing in bacterial nomenclature.

Data in Table 4 indicate that four of the reference LDC strains (LDC4, 8, 12, and 24) were very close to one another, as they exhibited 60 to 100% homology. More than half of the LDC strains in Table 4 were at least 50% homologous to these four reference strains. A few strains (LDC9, 11, and 15) were homologous to one another and yielded lower hybridization values with LDC4, 8, 12, and 24. As a matter of fact, LDC15 displayed high (>40%) homology with LDC9 and 11 and low (<15%) homology with LDC4, 8, 12, and 24 (the latter LDC strains have homologies higher than 60% among themselves).

Accordingly, the LDC were divided into two homology clusters, one related to LDC8 and the other related to LDC15. In addition, as shown in Table 4, there was little homology (less than 10% in most instances) among the reference corynebacteria analyzed in the present study. Finally, among the reference organisms, *Corynebacterium renale* proved to be the closest to the LDC strains.

DISCUSSION

The difficulty in extracting high-molecular-weight DNA from microorganisms of the genera *Corynebacterium*, *Mycobacterium*, and *Nocardia* (CMN group) has been emphasized repeatedly (18, 20, 26, 27). The difficulty stems from the nature and thickness of the cell wall of gram-positive bacteria in general and of the CMN group in particular. In fact, methods for the mechanical disruption of bacteria (pressure cells of Hughes and French, high-speed shaking with glass beads, sonication, and grinding with abrasives) produce a fragmentation of DNA on the one hand, and on the other hand, organisms of the CMN group are relatively resistant to organic solvents and hydrolytic enzymes. Among the methods proposed for the preparation of DNA from CMN organisms are the use of lysozyme in the presence of glycine and EDTA to hydrolyze the peptidoglycan layer (20), incubation with pronase to digest the proteins of the envelope (6), and treatment with sodium deoxycholate in the presence of EDTA and Tween 80 to solubilize the cell wall (26). It was also suggested that the susceptibility of microorganisms to lysis relies on their growth phase, exponentially multiplying cells being easier to disrupt than stationary-phase cultures. We applied these procedures to the LDC and obtained an almost quantitative lysis when multiplying bacteria were submitted first to freezing-thawing and then to the lysozyme-EDTA treatment prior to extraction with sodium dodecyl sulfate and phenol. Contamination of DNA preparations with polypeptides and polysaccharides is well known. The adverse effects of polysaccharide contaminants on the analysis of mycobacterial DNA has been stressed (24): high-molecular-weight polysaccharides overlap the nucleic acid peaks in density gradient centrifugation, bind to polynucleotides in denaturation assays, and in-
interfere with hybridization experiments. Precipitation of DNA with alcohols, concentration with phenol and isopropanol has been used for the selective precipitation of DNA; however, in the latter case, some RNA and polysaccharides are trapped in the DNA pellet upon centrifugation. In the case of corynebacteria, although the combined treatment with chloroform-isoamyl alcohol and phenol-lauryl sulfate removed most contaminating proteins, further purification of the DNA by chromatography on hydroxypatite columns (3, 19) proved essential for elimination of residual polysaccharides and proteins from the LDC lysates. Indeed, the data in Table 2 indicate the high degree of purification of DNA prepared by the procedure described in the present work.

The taxonomy of the Actinomycetales remains a complex matter, in spite of the large number of chemical and immunological techniques which have been applied to it. The difficulties stem primarily from the extreme heterogeneity of the CMN group of bacteria, as proved by the very wide range of DNA base compositions (50 to 75 mol% G+C). This is particularly true for corynebacteria (50 to 70 mol% G+C), which have been divided into corynebacteria sensu stricto (50 to 60 mol% G+C) and the rhodochrous complex (60 to 70 mol% G+C) (5, 9, 30).

Even more striking is the taxonomic heterogeneity of the group of organisms referred to as "coryneforms," which includes members of the genera Arthrobacter, Brevibacterium, Cellulomonas, Corynebacterium, Erysipelothrix, Listeria, and Microbacterium (plus some members of the genera Mycobacterium and Nocardia). Bousfield (5) has published a dendrogram of coryneforms which showed 5 phena: most plant-pathogenic corynebacteria were grouped in phenum V, and phenon IV divided into a subphenum A (corynebacteria pathogenic for animals) and a subphenum B (Mycobacterium flavum).

In the present work, the DNAs of selected reference strains of true corynebacteria and of the rhodochrous complex were analyzed. In most cases our data (see Table 3) were reasonably close to those reported from other laboratories. (The base composition of 51 mol% G+C previously reported for the DNA of "C. herculis" NCIB 9694, a name not on the Approved Lists of Bacterial Names [23], not validly published since 1 January 1980, and hence without standing in bacterial nomenclature.) In addition, the G+C contents of the DNAs from the majority of the LDC were found to lie within the range of 56 to 60 mol%, with an average value of 58 mol%. This value corresponds to that of the corynebacteria that are animal parasites, which possess the highest G+C content.

Within the CMN group of bacteria, DNA homology has been used extensively in the classification of members of the genera Mycobacterium (1, 6, 7, 13, 26) and Nocardia (4, 6, 7) and the rhodochrous complex; however, little work has been devoted to the assessment, by DNA hybridization techniques, of the genetic relatedness of members of the corynebacteria pathogenic for animals (see 29, for example). The data in Table 4 indicate that there is little DNA
homology among the corynebacteria, and this is true even for members of this genus which are animal parasites and which have similar G+C contents in their DNAs. This observation agrees with those of previous studies which showed reduced immune cross-reactivity of ribosomes from corynebacteria (15, 16, 21).

In contrast, there is a high degree of DNA homology among most members of the LDC (Table 4). The data in Table 4 indicate the existence of two homology clusters: most LDC belong to the LDC4, 12, 24 cluster, and a minority of strains is related to the LDC15 cluster. The latter group displays some homology with C. renale. Consequently, if the proposal to divide the true corynebacteria into three groups (related to C. diptheriae, C. genitalium, and C. renale) is adopted, it is in the last group that the LDC would be included.

It is concluded that the DNA base composition of the LDC clearly places these organisms with the true corynebacteria which are parasitic for animals. The homogeneity of the LDC group, as assessed in the present work by the G+C content and the hybridization of the DNA, is currently being analyzed by chemical (cell wall components) and immunological (internal and external antigens) investigations.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We gratefully acknowledge the financial support of the Belgian Ministry of Work ("Cadre Spécial Temporaire" to P.D.), the Belgian National Science Foundation (P.H. is an established investigator of FNRS), and the R. Follereau Foundations ("les Amis du Pere Damien" et "Gli Amici dei Lebbrosi").

We are grateful for the assistance of P. Rensonnet in the ultracentrifugation analyses.

REPRINT REQUESTS

Address reprint requests to: C. Cocito, Department of Molecular Genetics, I.C.P. Ave Hippocrate 75, UCL 7449, B-1200 Brussels, Belgium.

LITERATURE CITED


